

# Mathematical Derivation

## of Bayes Filter

$$P(x_t | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$

$$= p(z_t | x_t, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) p(x_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})$$

$$p(z_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})$$

$$= \eta p(z_t | x_t, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) p(x_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})$$

We now exploit the assumption  
that our state is complete

(Markov Assumption)

$$p(z_t | x_t, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) = p(z_t | x_t)$$

Therefore,

$$p(x_t | z_{1:t}, u_{1:t})$$

$$= \eta p(z_t | x_t) p(x_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})$$

and hence

$$\left\{ \text{bel}(x_t) = \eta p(z_t | x_t) \overline{\text{bel}}(x_t) \right\}$$

- implemented in line 4 of Bayes Filter Algorithm

$$\text{bel}(n_t) = P(n_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})$$

Using total probability theorem

$$\text{bel}(n_t) = \int P(n_t | n_{t-1}, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) \underbrace{P(n_{t-1} | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t})}_{\text{bel}(n_{t-1})} dt$$

Once again, we exploit that our state is complete (Markov Assumption)

$$P(n_t | n_{t-1}, z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) = P(n_t | n_{t-1}, u_t)$$

Here we retain  $u_t$ , since it does not predate the state  $n_{t-1}$ .

Finally, we make an independence assumption

$$\begin{aligned} P(n_t | z_{1:t-1}, u_{1:t}) &= P(n_{t-1} | z_{1:t-1}, u_{t-1}) \\ &= \text{bel}(n_{t-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{bel}(n_t) = \int P(n_t | n_{t-1}, u_t) \text{bel}(n_{t-1}) dt$$

implemented in line 3 of  
Bayes filter Algorithm

To summarize,

the Bayes Filter Algorithm calculates the posterior over the state  $m_t$  conditioned on the measurement and control data up to time  $t$ .

The derivation assumes that the world is Markov, that is, the state is complete,

# Mathematical Derivation of the kf

## Part I : PREDICTION

$$\bar{\text{bel}}(n_t) = \int p(n_t | x_{t-1}, u_t) \underbrace{\text{bel}(n_{t-1})}_{\sim N(n_{t-1}; \mu_{t-1}, \Sigma_{t-1})} d n_{t-1}$$

$$\sim N(n_t; A_t n_{t-1} + B_t u_t, R_t)$$

The "prior" belief  $\text{bel}(n_{t-1})$  is represented by the mean  $\mu_{t-1}$  and the covariance  $\Sigma_{t-1}$

The state transition probability was given as a normal distribution over  $n_t$  and with mean  $A_t n_{t-1} + B_t u_t$  and covariance  $R_t$

Writing in Gaussian form:

$$\bar{\text{bel}}(n_t) = \eta \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (n_t - A_t n_{t-1} - B_t u_t)^T R_t^{-1} (n_t - A_t n_{t-1} - B_t u_t) \right\} \\ \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (n_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})^T \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} (n_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1}) \right\} d n_{t-1}$$

in short we have

$$\bar{\text{bel}}(n_t) = \eta \int \exp \left\{ -L_t \right\} d n_{t-1}$$

• quadratic in  $n_{t-1}$  and quadratic in  $n_t$

where

$$L_t = \frac{1}{2} (n_t - A_t n_{t-1} - B_t u_t)^T R_t^{-1} (n_t - A_t n_{t-1} - B_t u_t) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (n_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})^T \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} (n_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

To solve this integral in closed form, we will decompose  $L_t$  into two functions,

$$L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t) \text{ and } L_t(n_t) :$$

$$L_t = L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t) + L_t(n_t)$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{Independent}} \quad$   
of  $n_{t-1}$

Therefore,

$$\bar{bel}(n_t) = \left( \tilde{\eta} \right) \exp \left\{ -L_t(n_t) \right\} \int \exp \left\{ -L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t) \right\} dn_{t-1}$$

$\downarrow$   
are not same

we will decompose  
such that the value of  
 $\int \exp \left\{ L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t) \right\} dn_{t-1}$  does not  
depend on  $n_t$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{bel}(n_t) = \left( \tilde{\eta} \right) \exp \left\{ -L_t(n_t) \right\}$$

Let us now perform this decomposition.

We are seeking a function  $L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t)$  quadratic in  $n_{t-1}$ .

To determine the coefficients of this quadratic, we calculate the first two derivatives of  $L_t$ :

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial n_{t-1}} = -A_e^T R_t^{-1} (n_t - A_e n_{t-1} - B_e u_t) + \Sigma_{e=1}^{-1} (n_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_t}{\partial n_{t-1}^2} = A_e^T R_t^{-1} A_e + \Sigma_{e=1}^{-1} \triangleq \Psi_t^{-1}$$

$\Psi_t$  defines the curvature of  $L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t)$

Setting the first derivative to 0 gives us the mean:

$$A_e^T R_t^{-1} (n_t - A_e n_{t-1} - B_e u_t) = \Sigma_{e=1}^{-1} (x_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

Solving for  $n_{t-1}$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) - A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t \gamma_{t-1} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \gamma_{\ell+1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t \gamma_{t-1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \gamma_{\ell+1} = A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1}) \gamma_{t-1} = A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}$$

*remove*

\$\rightarrow \Psi\_t^{-1}\$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Psi_t^{-1} \gamma_{t-1} = A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}$$

$$\therefore \text{Mean} = \Psi_t^{-1} [A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}]$$

Thus, we now have a quadratic function defined as follows:

$$\underbrace{\{L_t(\gamma_{t-1}, \gamma_t)\}}_{\text{Quadratic Function}} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{t-1} - \Psi_t [A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}])^T \Psi_t^{-1} (\gamma_{t-1} - \Psi_t [A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\gamma_t - B_t \mu_t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{-1} \mu_{\ell-1}])$$

- Common quadratic form of the -ve exponent of normal distribution. In fact the function

$$\det(2\pi \Psi)^{-1/2} \exp \{ -L_t(\gamma_{t-1}, \gamma_t) \}$$

is a valid PDF for the variable  $n_{t+1}$ . As the PDF is of the form

$$p(n) = \det(2\pi\Sigma)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(n-\mu)\right\}$$

As the PDF integrates to 1

it follows that

$$\int \exp\left\{-L_t(n_{t+1}, n_t)\right\} dn_{t+1} = \det(2\pi\Sigma)^{1/2}$$

↑  
true

The value of the integral is independent of  $n_t$ , this integral is constant.

$$\underline{\text{bel}}(n_t) = \langle \exp\left\{-L_t(n_t)\right\} \int \exp\left\{-L_t(n_{t+1}, n_t)\right\} dn_{t+1} \rangle$$

Therefore

Are not same

$$\boxed{\underline{\text{bel}}(n_t) = \langle \exp\left\{-L_t(n_t)\right\} \rangle}$$

$$L_t(\eta_t) = L_t - L_t(\eta_{t-1}, \eta_t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\eta_t - A_t \eta_{t-1} - B_t u_t)^T R_t^{-1} (\eta_t - A_t \eta_{t-1} - B_t u_t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})^T \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} (\eta_{t-1} - \mu_{t-1})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (\eta_t - \Psi_t [A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\eta_t - B_t u_t) + \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t-1}])^T \Psi_t^{-1}$$

$$(\eta_{t-1} - \Psi_t [A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\eta_t - B_t u_t) + \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t-1}])$$

Upon simplification, we observe that

$L_t(\eta_t)$  indeed does not depend on  $\eta_{t-1}$

All the terms containing  $\eta_{t-1}$  cancel out

Therefore, quadratic in  $\eta_t$

$$L_t(\eta_t) = + \frac{1}{2} (\eta_t - B_t u_t)^T R_t^{-1} (\eta_t - B_t u_t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \mu_{t-1}^T \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t-1}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} [A_t^T R_t^{-1} (\eta_t - B_t u_t) + \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t-1}]^T (A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t + \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1})$$

$$[K_t^T R_t^{-1} (\eta_t - B_t u_t) + \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \mu_{t-1}]$$

The observation that  $L_f(\pi_f)$  is quadratic in  $\pi_f$  means that  $\bar{bel}(\pi_f)$  is indeed normal (gaussian) distributed.

The mean and covariance, <sup>of this distribution</sup>, are given by the

minimum and curvature of  $L_f(\pi_f)$  with respect to  $\pi_f$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L_f(\pi_f)}{\partial \pi_f} &= R_f^{-1}(\pi_f - B_f \mu_f) - R_f^{-1} A_f (A_f^T R_f^{-1} A_f + \Sigma_{f-1}^{-1})^{-1} \\ &\quad [A_f^T R_f^{-1} (\pi_f - B_f \mu_f) + \Sigma_{f-1}^{-1} \mu_{f-1}] \\ &= [R_f^{-1} - R_f^{-1} A_f (A_f^T R_f^{-1} A_f + \Sigma_{f-1}^{-1})^{-1} A_f^T R_f^{-1}] (\pi_f - B_f \mu_f) \\ &\quad - R_f^{-1} A_f (A_f^T R_f^{-1} A_f + \Sigma_{f-1}^{-1})^{-1} \Sigma_{f-1}^{-1} \mu_{f-1}\end{aligned}$$

Using the inversion lemma for the first factor

for any invertible quadratic matrices  $R$  and  $\Omega$  and any matrix  $P$  with appropriate dimension, the following holds true

$$(R + P \Omega P^T)^{-1} = R^{-1} - R^{-1} P (\Omega^{-1} + P^T R^{-1} P)^{-1} P^T R^{-1}$$

we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L_T(\pi_T)}{\partial \pi_T} &= (R_T + A_T \Sigma_{T-1} A_T^T)^{-1} (\pi_T - B_T \mu_T) \\ &\quad - R_T^{-1} A_T (A_T^T R_T^{-1} A_T + \Sigma_{T-1}^{-1})^{-1} \Sigma_{T-1}^{-1} \mu_{T-1} \end{aligned}$$

The minimum of  $L_T(\pi_T)$  is attained when the first derivative is zero

$$(R_T + A_T \Sigma_{T-1} A_T^T)^{-1} (\pi_T - B_T \mu_T) = R_T^{-1} A_T (A_T^T R_T^{-1} A_T + \Sigma_{T-1}^{-1})^{-1} \Sigma_{T-1}^{-1} \mu_{T-1}$$

Solving for  $\hat{x}_t$

$$A_t + A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_t &= B_t u_t + \underbrace{(R_t + A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T)^{-1} R_t^{-1} A_t}_{\left( A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t + \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \Sigma_{t-1}^{-1} u_{t-1}} \\ &\quad \left( \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t + I \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= B_t u_t + A_t \underbrace{\left( I + \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t \right) \left( \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T R_t^{-1} A_t + I \right)^{-1}}_I u_{t-1}$$

Therefore

$$\text{Mean} = B_t u_t + A_t \hat{x}_{t-1}$$

$$\therefore \overline{\hat{x}_t} = \text{Mean of bel}(\hat{x}_t) = B_t u_t + A_t \hat{x}_{t-1}$$

After incorporating control command  $u_t$

This proves the correctness of Line 2 of the Kalman filter Algorithm.

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_t(n+)}{\partial n_t^2} = (R_t + A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T)^{-1}$$

↓

Inverse of this is the covariance of  $\bar{b}_{el}(n_t)$

$$\therefore \boxed{\bar{\Sigma}_t = R_t + A_t \Sigma_{t-1} A_t^T}$$

This proves the correctness of line 3

### Summary of Prediction Step

We showed that lines 2 and 3 of the Kalman filter algorithm indeed implement the Bayes filter prediction step.

To do so,

Step 1:  $L_t \xrightarrow{\text{Decompose}} L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t) + L_t(n_t)$

Step 2:  $L_t(n_{t-1}, n_t)$  changes the predicted belief  $\bar{b}_{el}(n_t)$  only by a constant factor.

Step 3: Finally determined  $L_t(n_t)$  and showed that it results in mean  $\bar{n}_t$  and covariance  $\bar{\Sigma}_t$  of the Kalman filter prediction  $\bar{b}_{el}(n_t)$

## Part - II : MEASUREMENT UPDATE

$$\text{bel}(\eta_t) = \eta \underbrace{p(z_t | \eta_t)}_{\sim N(z_t; C_t \eta_t, \theta_t)} \underbrace{\bar{\text{bel}}(\eta_t)}_{\sim N(\eta_t; \bar{\mu}_t, \bar{\Sigma}_t)}$$

Thus, the product is given by an exponential

$$\text{bel}(\eta_t) = \eta \exp \{-J_t\}$$

with

$$J_t = \frac{1}{2} (z_t - C_t \eta_t)^T \theta_t^{-1} (z_t - C_t \eta_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta_t - \bar{\mu}_t)^T \bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1} (\eta_t - \bar{\mu}_t)$$

This function is quadratic in  $\eta_t$ ,

hence  $\text{bel}(\eta_t)$  is a gaussian.

To calculate parameters we again calculate the first and second derivative of  $J_t$ , w.r.t.  $\eta_t$ :

$$\frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial \pi_t} = -C_t^T Q_t^{-1} (z_t - C_t \pi_t) + \bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1} (\pi_t - \bar{\mu}_t)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \bar{J}}{\partial \pi_t^2} = C_t^T Q_t^{-1} C_t + \bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1}$$

↓  
inv

Inverse of this is the covariance of bel ( $\pi_t$ )

$$\therefore \boxed{\Sigma_t = (C_t^T Q_t^{-1} C_t + \bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1})^{-1}}$$

The mean of bel ( $\pi_t$ ) is the minimum of this quadratic function, which can be calculated by setting the derivative to zero

$$\underbrace{\{C_t^T Q_t^{-1} (z_t - C_t \pi_t)\}}_{\downarrow} = \bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1} (\mu_t - \bar{\mu}_t)$$

$$\underbrace{\{C_t^T Q_t^{-1} (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) - C_t^T Q_t^{-1} C_t (\mu_t - \bar{\mu}_t)\}}$$

$$\Rightarrow C_t^T Q_t^{-1} (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) = (\mu_t - \bar{\mu}_t) \underbrace{\{C_t^T Q_t^{-1} C_t + \bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1}\}}_{\downarrow \Sigma_t^{-1}}$$

and hence we have

$$\Sigma_t C_t^\top Q_t^{-1} (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t) = \mu_t - \bar{\mu}_t$$

We define {Kalman gain} as

$$K = \Sigma_t C_t^\top Q_t^{-1}$$

and obtain

$$\boxed{\mu_t = \bar{\mu}_t + K_t (z_t - C_t \bar{\mu}_t)}$$

This proves the correctness of line 5  
in the Kalman filter algorithm

$K_t$  is a function of  $\Sigma_t$

and this is at odds with the fact that

we use  $K_t$  to calculate  $\Sigma_t$  in

line 6 of the Kalman Filter Algorithm

So we need to express  $K_f$  in terms of covariances other than  $\Sigma_f$ .

$N$  (for convenience)

$$K_f = \Sigma_f C_f^T \Theta_f^{-1}$$

$$= \Sigma_f C_f^T \Theta_f^{-1} \left( C_f \bar{\Sigma}_f (C_f^T + \Theta_f) \right) \underbrace{\left( C_f \bar{\Sigma}_f (C_f^T + \Theta_f)^{-1} \right)}_{= I}$$

$$= \Sigma_f \left( C_f^T \Theta_f^{-1} (C_f \bar{\Sigma}_f C_f^T + C_f^T \Theta_f^{-1} \Theta_f) \right) (N) \underbrace{= I}_{= I}$$

$$= \Sigma_f \left( C_f^T \Theta_f^{-1} (C_f \bar{\Sigma}_f C_f^T + \underbrace{\Sigma_f^{-1} \bar{\Sigma}_f}_{= I}) \right) (N)$$

$$= \Sigma_f \left( \underbrace{(C_f^T \Theta_f^{-1} C_f + \bar{\Sigma}_f^{-1})}_{= \Sigma_f^{-1}} \right) \bar{\Sigma}_f C_f^T (N)$$

$$= \underbrace{\Sigma_f \Sigma_f^{-1}}_{= I} \bar{\Sigma}_f C_f^T (N)$$

Therefore,

$$\boxed{K = \bar{\Sigma}_f C_f (C_f \bar{\Sigma}_f C_f^T + \Theta_f)^{-1}}$$

This expression proves the correctness  
of line 4 of the Kalman Filter Algorithm

line 6 is obtained by expressing the covariance ( $\Sigma_t$ ) using Kalman Gain  $K_t$

The advantage of using the equation mentioned in line 6 over using the equation derived earlier for covariance is that we can avoid inventing the state covariance matrix.

$$\Sigma_t = (\bar{\Sigma}_t^{-1} + C_t^T \Theta_t^{-1} C_t)^{-1}$$

Using Inversion Lemma

$$= \bar{\Sigma}_t - \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (\Theta_t + C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T)^{-1} C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t$$

$\boxed{\bar{\Sigma}_t (K_t)}$

$$= [I - \underbrace{\bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T (\Theta_t + C_t \bar{\Sigma}_t C_t^T)^{-1} C_t}_{\downarrow K_t \text{ (Kalman gain)}}] \bar{\Sigma}_t$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\Sigma_t = (I - K_t C_t) \bar{\Sigma}_t}$$

This proves the correctness of line 6 of the Kalman Filter Algorithm

# Mathematical Derivation of EKF

The Mathematical derivation of EKF  
parallels that of Kalman filter