

Journal of Statistical Software

MMMMMM YYYY, Volume VV, Issue II.

http://www.jstatsoft.org/

SNSeg: An R Package for Change-Point Analyses and Time Series Segmentation via Self-Normalization

Shubo SunZifeng ZhaoXiaofeng ShaoFeiyu JiangUniversity of IllinoisUniversity of Notre DameUniversity of IllinoisFudan University

Abstract

The R package SNSeg provides functions to perform change-point segmentation and estimation for univariate and multivariate time series through Self-Normalization (SN). While many existing nonparametric approaches support changes in only one type of parameters (e.g. mean or variance) of a univariate time series and are tuning-parameter-dependent, SN-based algorithms are offered with more convinence in any user-chosen number or smooth parameter, robust to temporal dependence, and can implement change-point estimation based on changes in more than one type of parameters (e.g. mean, variance and acf) of both univariate and multivariate time series. The nested local-window segmentation algorithm (SNCP) is applied to conduct multiple change-point estimation, and an extension of SNCP, named SNHD, is designed for change-point estimation in high-dimensional time series. Graphics for time series and SN test statistics segmentation plots are available with certain options. Detailed examples are given in simulations of different MAR processes.

Keywords: undecided.

1. Introduction

In recent years, change-point analysis has become an increasingly research area in statistics and other related fields, including finance, economics, signal processing, and medical research to study diseases such as COVID-19. (Here needs a large number of citations and some other complement stuff) There are a number of R packages avaliable for change-point analysis, see **bf** (Erdman and Emerson 2007), **cpm** (Ross 2013), **changepoint** (Killick and Eckley 2014), and ecp (James et al. 2020) for some reviews.

In this paper, we concern the R package SNSeg to implement SN-based framework for time se-

ries change-point estimation and segmentation. SN techniques were first introduced by Shao (2010) for confidence interval construction in time series, and furtherly developed in Shao (2015) for univariate time series and Zhao et al. (2021) for multivariate and high-dimensional time series. (blablabla)

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: (blablabla)

2. SN Change-Point Estimation Framework

2.1. Single Change-Point Estimation

We start with a single change-point estimation in a general parameter $\theta = \theta(F_t)$ for a univariate time series $\{Y_t\}_{t=1}^n$, where F_t denotes the CDF of Y_t and $\theta(\cdot)$ denotes a functional. The SN-based testing method is defined as

$$SN_n = \max_{k=1,\dots,n-1} T_n(k), \quad T_n(k) = D_n(k)^2 / V_n(k),$$
(1)

where

$$D_n(k) = \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{3/2}} (\hat{\theta}_{1,k} - \hat{\theta}_{k+1,n}), \quad (2)$$

$$V_n(k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{i^2(k-i)^2}{n^2k^2} (\hat{\theta}_{1,i} - \hat{\theta}_{i+1,k})^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^n \frac{(n-i+1)^2(i-k-1)^2}{n^2(n-k)^2} (\hat{\theta}_{i,n} - \hat{\theta}_{k+1,i-1}).$$
(3)

If $\theta(\cdot)$ is a mean functional, SN_n is denoted as the CUSUM-based SN test statistic for all observations in Shao and Zhang (2010). If it is not a mean functional (for instance, variance, acf, etc.), SN_n is not the CUSUM-based test statistic and can be referred to to Zhang and Lavitas (2018) for more details. $T_n(k)$ is referred as the SN test statistic for the k^{th} observation. For a pre-specified threshold K_n , we declare no change point when $SN_n < K_n$. Given that SN_n exceeds the threshold, we estimate the single change-point location via

$$\hat{k} = \arg\max_{k=1,\dots,n-1} T_n(k). \tag{4}$$

Intuitively, the change point takes place at the location of the maximum test statistic among all observations if it exceeds the SN threshold. Note that this SN-based procedure is a general framework since it can be implemented with any functional $\theta(\cdot)$ with a nonparametric estimator based on the empirical distribution. Assumptions and theoretical justifications can be found in Zhao et al. (2021) for more discussion.

2.2. Multiple Change-Point Estimation

We furtherly extend the SN-based test to multiple change-point estimation. To proceed, we assume $m_0 \ge 0$ unknown number of change points $0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_{m_0} < n$ that partition Y_t into $m_0 + 1$ stationary segments. Define $k_0 = 0$ and $k_{m_0+1} = n$, and then the i_{th} segment, denoted as

$$Y_t = Y_t^{(i)}, k_{i-1} + 1 \le t \le k_i, fori = 1, \dots, m_0 + 1,$$
 (5)

contains observations between two change points $t = k_{i-1} + 1$ and k_i , which share common feature characterized by the functional θ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, m_0 + 1$.

To recover the unknown locations of the change points, we start by introduce some notations. Similar to the single change-point estimation framework, for $1 \le t1 < k < t2 \le n$, we define

$$T_n(t_1, k, t_2) = D_n(t_1, k, t_2)^2 / V_n(t_1, k, t_2),$$
(6)

where $D_n(t_1, k, t_2) = \frac{(k - t_1 + 1)(t_2 - k)^{3/2}}{t_2 - t_1 + 1} (\hat{\theta}_{t_1, k} - \hat{\theta}_{k+1, t_2}), V_n(t_1, k, t_2) = L_n(t_1, k, t_2) + R_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ and

$$L_n(t_1, k, t_2) = \sum_{i=t_1}^k \frac{(i - t_1 + 1)^2 (k - i)^2}{(t_2 - t_1 + 1)^2 (k - t_1 + 1)^2} (\hat{\theta}_{t_1, i} - \hat{\theta}_{i+1, k}), \tag{7}$$

$$R_n(t_1, k, t_2) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - i + 1)^2 (i - 1 - k)^2}{(t_2 - t_1 + 1)^2 (t_2 - k)^2} (\hat{\theta}_{i, t_2} - \hat{\theta}_{k+1, i-1}).$$
 (8)

Here $T_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ represents the SN test defined on the subsample $Y_{t_1=t_1}^{t_2}$. In other words, we find the SN test statistic for each observation within the interval $[t_1, t_2]$. Set $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = n$, $T_n(t_1, k, t_2) = T_n(1, k, n)$ will be reduced to the single global test change-point estimation framework in (1).

Then we combine the SN framework with a nested local-window segmentation algorithm proposed in Zhao et al. (2021). For each k, instead of one global test for $T_n(1, k, n)$, we compute a maximal SN test based on a collection of nested windows covering k. Specifically, we fix a small trimming papameter $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and define the window size $h = \lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor$. For each $k = h, \dots, n-h$, we define the nested local-window set $H_{1:n}(k)$ where

$$H_{1:n}(k) = (t_1, t_2)|t_1 = k - j_1 h + 1, j_1 = 1, \dots, \lfloor k/h \rfloor; t_2 = k + j_2 h, j_2 = 1, \dots, \lfloor (n-k)/h \rfloor.$$
(9)

Note that for k < h and k > n - h, we have $H_{1:n}(k) = \emptyset$.

For each $k = 1, \dots, n$, based on its nested local-window set $H_{1:n}(k)$, we define a maximal SN test statistic such that

$$T_{1,n}(k) = \max_{(t_1,t_2) \in H_{1:n}(k)} T_n(t_1, k, t_2), \tag{10}$$

where we set $\max_{(t_1,t_2)\in\emptyset} T_n(t_1,k,t_2) = 0$. Intuitively, the nested local-window framework requires that there exist some local window sets (t_1,t_2) regards each k as the only changepoint. This means each k will have several SN test statistics, and the maximal test statistic $T_n(t_1,k,t_2)$ will be equivalent to the original global test statistic $T_{1,n}(k)$.

The reason to apply the nested local-window algorithms is the self-normalizer $V_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ under the multiple change-point estimation framework. The intuition is as follows. For a non-change-point k, if the nested local-window $H_{1:n}(k)$ contains no change-point, the subsample test statistic $T_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ is expected to be small. If $H_{1:n}(k)$ contains at least one change-point, its self-normalizer $V_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ may be inflated since $L_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ and $R_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ are based on contrast statistics and might significantly inflate due to other change-points except for k. This

means a large value of $V_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ can cause deflation to $T_n(t_1, k, t_2)$. By applying the nested local-window algorithms, with a relatively small value of the trimming parameter ϵ , there exists at least one nested window $(\tilde{t_1}, \tilde{t_2}) \in H_{1:n}(k)$ that includes k as the only change-point for any true change-point location k. Thus, the maximal statistic $T_n(t_1, k, t_2)$ will remain effective thanks to $T_n(\tilde{t_1}, k, \tilde{t_2})$.

Based on the maximal $T_{1,n}(k)$ and the pre-specified threshold K_n , the SN-based multiple change-point estimation (SNCP) proceeds as follows. Using the full sample $Y_{t=1}^n$, we apply the nested local-window framework to calculate $T_{1,n}(k)$ for $k=1,\dots,n$. Given that $\max_{k=1,\dots,n} T_{1,n}(k) \leq K_n$, SNCP declares no change-point. Otherwise, SNCP declares $\hat{k} = \arg\max_{k=1,\dots,n} T_{1,n}(k)$ as the first change-point. Then we repeat the procedures of SNCP to the two segments $Y_{t=1}^{\hat{k}}$ and $Y_{t=\hat{k}+1}^n$ until all $T_{1,n}(k) \leq K_n$. In other words, no more change-point is detected and the remaining partitioned segments are homogeneous piecewise segments.

2.3. Theoretical Results of SN-based Test Threshold

In this case, it is essestial to study theoretical properties of the threshold K_n . For multiple change-point estimation, we first assume a univariate time series case whose dimension is d=1. For any $u \in (\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)$, we define the scaled limit of $H_{1:n}(k)$ by $H_{\epsilon}(u)=(u_1,u_2)|u_1=u-j\epsilon,j=1,\cdots,\lfloor u/\epsilon\rfloor$; $u_2=u+j\epsilon,j=1,\cdots,\lfloor (1-u)/\epsilon\rfloor$. We also define $\triangle(u_1,u,u_2)=B(u)-B(u_1)-\frac{u-u_1}{u_2-u_1}[B(u_2)-B(u_1)]$ where $B(\cdot)$ is a standard brwonian motion. Then under the no-change-point case, according to Assumptions 3.1(i), 3.2 from Zhao et al. (2021), we have **Theorem 3.1**(i) from Zhao et al. (2021) that

$$\max_{k=1,\dots,n} T_{1,n}(k) D \to G_{\epsilon} = \sup_{u \in (\epsilon,1-\epsilon)} \max_{(u_1,u_2) \in H_{\epsilon}(u)} D(u_1,u,u_2)^2 / V(u_1,u,u_2),$$
 (11)
where $D(u_1,u,u_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_2-u_1}} \triangle(u_1,u,u_2)$ and $V(u_1,u,u_2) = \frac{1}{(u_2-u_1)^2} (\int_{u_1}^u \triangle(u_1,s,u)^2 ds + \int_u^{u_2} \triangle(u,s,u_2)^2 ds).$

This theorem characterizes the asymptotic behavior of SNCP under no change-point environment and thus provides a natural choice of threshold K_n . For a given window size ϵ , G_{ϵ} is a pivotal distribution and its critical values (for a *univariate* time series based on change in a single parameter) can be obtained via simulation.

We extend the theorem for time series with dimension $1 < d \le 10$ (univariate time series with multi-parameters and multivariate time series). By **Proposition 3.1** in Zhao et al. (2021), for a given dimension d and window size ϵ , the limiting distribution $G_{\epsilon,d}^*$ is a pivotal distribution and its critical values can be obtained via simulation. Similarly, for high-dimensional time series (d > 10), the simulation of its critical values is included in the supplement text (add citation).

3. Time Series Models

In this section we briefly list, describe and provide the syntax for the models available for

estimation and simulation purposes within the **SNSeg** package. All these models are belong to the class of Multivariate Autoregressive (MAR) processes, with different MAR process simulation working for the change in different parameters of time series. Having ststed this, the time series models avaiable in **SNSeg** so simulate and estimate contain:

- Basic MAR Process for univariate times series (MAR());
- MAR Process to estimate the change in variance or ACF for univariate time series (MAR_Variance());
- MAR Process for multivariate time series (MAR_MTS_Covariance()).

The expressions within the brackets in the above list are the syntax to specify the models in the package. The function MAR() can be used to simulate univariate time series. The function MAR_Variance() can also simulate univariate time series, whereas it is better to use if users estimate change-point based on the change in the variance or ACF for a given time series. Different from these two above functions, MAR_MTS_Covariance() can only simulate multivariate time series. The code below shows how these model specifications can be used for simulations.

```
# reproducible
set.seed(1234)
# number of observations
n = 1000
# number of repeats time (the dimension of MAR)
reptime = 2
# correlation between the time series
rho = 0.4
# MAR example
ts <- MAR(n, reptime, rho)
# MAR_Variance example
ts <- MAR_Variance(n, reptime, rho)
\# MAR_MTS_Covariance example (I'll add annotations in the future)
exchange_cor_matrix <- function(d, rho){</pre>
tmp <- matrix(rho, d, d)</pre>
diag(tmp) <- 1
return(tmp)
# SN Segmentation for Multivariate Mean
library(mvtnorm)
set.seed(10)
```

```
d <- 5
n <- 1000
cp_sets <- round(n*c(0,cumsum(c(0.075,0.3,0.05,0.1,0.05)),1))
mean_shift <- c(-3,0,3,0,-3,0)/sqrt(d)
mean_shift <- sign(mean_shift)*ceiling(abs(mean_shift)*10)/10
rho_sets <- 0.5
sigma_cross <- list(exchange_cor_matrix(d,0))
ts <- MAR_MTS_Covariance(n, 2, rho_sets, cp_sets=c(0,n), sigma_cross)</pre>
```

Note that the output of MAR() and MAR_Variance() are multivariate time series, and to use a univariate time series, it is necessary to extract one of the columns from the output matrix.

4. SN Segmentation Modelling

In this section, we discuss how to perform change-point estimation based on the change in a single parameter or multi-parameters of univariate and multivariate time series. As highlighted in Sec 2.2, we defined a nested local-window $H_{1:n}(k)$ for each potential change point $k = h, \dots, n - h$, and the local window sets to contain k have a range (t_1, t_2) in the equation (9). We also defined a maximal SN tests that chooses the largest SN test statistic for all test statistics of each k because of multiple (t_1, t_2) , and selected the change-point that has the greatest test statistic among all k's and is over the SN threshold. To make the local window sets (t_1, t_2) and the test statistic for each window set available, a list called SN_{-sweep_result} is used to record these values.

Considering the usefulness of graphical representations, the **SNSeg** package provides user-friendly option to include $plot_SN=TRUE$ for time series segmentation plots and test statistics segmentation plots.

Affiliation:

Zifeng Zhao Assistant Professor Dual Faculty of Business Analytics and Statistics University of Notre Dame Mendoza College of Business, Notre Dame, IN 46556

E-mail: zzhao2@nd.edu

URL: https://mendoza.nd.edu/mendoza-directory/profile/zifeng-zhao/

http://www.jstatsoft.org/

http://www.amstat.org/

Submitted: yyyy-mm-dd

Accepted: yyyy-mm-dd

Shubo Sun Second-year Master Student Department of Statistics University of Illinois Urbana Champaign

E-mail: shubos2@illinois.edu

URL: https://sites.google.com/view/shubosun/home?authuser=0

MMMMMM YYYY