

# Introduction to Data Management BCNF Decomposition

Paul G. Allen School of Computer Science and Engineering University of Washington, Seattle

# Usefulness of Keys in Design

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity)

```
rid → name
rid → rating Fine because rid is a superkey
```

rating > popularity

		Redundancy!	
	<b>—</b>		
rid	name	rating	popularit
1	Mee Sum Pastry	3	Resperable
2	Café on the Ave	4	Poppin
3	Guanaco's Tacos	4	Poppin
4	Aladdin Gyro-Cery	5	Poppin

# Database Design

Database Design is about

(1) characterizing data and (2) organizing data

How to talk about properties we know or see in the data

# Database Design

Database Design is about
(1) characterizing data and (2) organizing data

How to organize data to promote ease of use and efficiency

#### **Normal Forms**

#### **Normal Forms**

- 1NF → Flat
- 2NF → No partial FDs (obsolete)
- 3NF → Preserve all FDs, but allow anomalies
- BCNF → No transitive FDs, but can lose FDs
- 4NF → Considers multi-valued dependencies
- 5NF onsiders join dependencies (hard to do)

In 414, we only discuss this

#### **Normal Forms**

#### 1NF

A relation *R* is in **First Normal Form** if all attribute values are atomic. Attribute values cannot be multivalued. Nested relations are not allowed.

We call data in 1NF "flat."

#### **BCNF**

A relation R is in **Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF)** if for every non-trivial dependency,  $X \to A$ , X is a superkey.

Equivalently, a relation R is in BCNF if  $\forall X$  either  $X^+ = X$  or  $X^+ = C$  where C is the set of all attributes in R

#### **BCNF**

A relation R is in **Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF)** if for every non-trivial dependency,  $X \to A$ , X is a superkey.

Equivalently, a relation R is in BCNF if  $\forall X$  either  $X^+ = X$  or  $X^+ = C$  where C is the set of all attributes in R

Name	SSN	Phone	City
Fred	123-45-6789	206-555-9999	Seattle
Fred	123-45-6789	206-555-8888	Seattle
Joe	987-65-4321	415-555-7777	San Francisco

SSN → SSN, Name, City

We often call these "bad FDs" because they prevent the relation from being in BCNF

#### **BCNF**

A relation R is in **Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF)** if for every non-trivial dependency,  $X \to A$ , X is a superkey.

Equivalently, a relation R is in BCNF if  $\forall X$  either  $X^+ = X$  or  $X^+ = C$  where C is the set of all attributes in R

Name	SSN	Phone	City
Fred	123-45-6789	206-555-9999	Seattle
Fred	123-45-6789	206-555-8888	Seattle
Joe	987-65-4321	415-555-7777	San Francisco

SSN → SSN, Name, City

We often call these "bad FDs" because they prevent the relation from being in BCNF

Remove all the bad FDs, then the relation is in BCNF

# Decomposition

- "Extracting" attributes can be done with decomposition (split the schema into smaller parts)
- For this class, decomposition means the following:

$$R(A_1, ..., A_n, B_1, ..., B_m, C_1, ..., C_k) < \frac{R_1(A_1, ..., A_n, B_1, ..., B_m)}{R_2(A_1, ..., A_n, C_1, ..., C_k)}$$

# Decomposition

- "Extracting" attributes can be done with decomposition (split the schema into smaller parts)
- For this class, decomposition means the following:

$$R(A_1, ..., A_n, B_1, ..., B_m, C_1, ..., C_k) < \frac{R_1(A_1, ..., A_n, B_1, ..., B_m)}{R_2(A_1, ..., A_n, C_1, ..., C_k)}$$

Some common attributes are present so we can rejoin data

#### **BCNF** Decomposition Algorithm

```
Normalize(R)

C ← the set of all attributes in R

find X s.t. X^+ \neq X and X^+ \neq C

if X is not found

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2((C - X^+) \cup X)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

#### **BCNF** Decomposition Algorithm

```
Normalize(R)
C \leftarrow \text{the set of all attributes in } R
find X s.t. X^+ \neq X and X^+ \neq C
if X is not found
then "R is in BCNF"
else
decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2((C - X^+) \cup X)
Normalize(R_1)
Normalize(R_2)
```

#### **BCNF** Decomposition Algorithm

```
Normalize(R)
C \leftarrow \text{the set of all attributes in } R
find X s.t. X^+ \neq X and X^+ \neq C
if X is not found
then "R is in BCNF"
else
decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2((C - X^+) \cup X)
Normalize(R_1)
Normalize(R_2)
```

Decompose into a relation where X is a superkey

Decompose into a relation with X and attributes X cannot determine

```
Normalize(R)

C ← the set of all attributes in R

find X s.t. X^+ \neq X and X^+ \neq C

if X is not found

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2((C - X^+) \cup X)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

```
Normalize(R)

C \leftarrow \text{the set of all attributes in } R

find X \text{ s.t. } X^+ \neq X \text{ and } X^+ \neq C

if X \text{ is not found}

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R \text{ into } R_1(X^+) \text{ and } R_2\big((C - X^+) \cup X\big)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

17

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

(1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)

```
Normalize(R)

C \leftarrow \text{ the set of all attributes in } R

find X \text{ s.t. } X^+ \neq X \text{ and } X^+ \neq C

if X is not found

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2\big((C - X^+) \cup X\big)

Normalize(R_1)

Normalize(R_2)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

18

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

- (1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)
- (2) R1 = rating, popularity, recommended

```
Normalize(R)

C \leftarrow \text{ the set of all attributes in } R

find X \text{ s.t. } X^+ \neq X \text{ and } X^+ \neq C

if X \text{ is not found}

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R \text{ into } R_1(X^+) \text{ and } R_2\big((C - X^+) \cup X\big)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

19

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

- (1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)
- (2) R1 = rating, popularity, recommended
- (3) R2 = rid, name, rating

```
Normalize(R)

C ← the set of all attributes in R

find X s.t. X^+ \neq X and X^+ \neq C

if X is not found

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2((C - X^+) \cup X)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

20

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

- (1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)
- (2) R1 = rating, popularity, recommended
- (3) R2 = rid, name, rating

Finished?

```
Normalize(R)

C \leftarrow \text{ the set of all attributes in } R

find X \text{ s.t. } X^+ \neq X \text{ and } X^+ \neq C

if X is not found

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2\big((C - X^+) \cup X\big)

Normalize(R_1)

Normalize(R_2)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

- (1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)
- (2) R1 = rating, popularity, recommended
- (3) R2 = rid, name, rating

Finished? NO! (popularity → recommended) is still "bad" We decompose R1 into R3, R4

```
Normalize(R)

C ← the set of all attributes in R

find X s.t. X^+ \neq X and X^+ \neq C

if X is not found

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R into R_1(X^+) and R_2((C - X^+) \cup X)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

22

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

- (1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)
- (2) R1 = rating, popularity, recommended
- (3) R2 = rid, name, rating

Finished? NO! (popularity → recommended) is still "bad" We decompose R1 into R3, R4

R2 = ride, name, rating R3 = rating, popularity R4 = popularity, recommended

```
Normalize(R)

C \leftarrow \text{ the set of all attributes in } R

find X \text{ s.t. } X^+ \neq X \text{ and } X^+ \neq C

if X \text{ is not found}

then "R is in BCNF"

else

decompose R \text{ into } R_1(X^+) \text{ and } R_2\big((C - X^+) \cup X\big)

Normalize(R<sub>1</sub>)

Normalize(R<sub>2</sub>)
```

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended

Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)

- (1) rating → rating, popularity, recommended ("bad" FD)
- (2) R1 = rating, popularity, recommended
- (3) R2 = rid, name, rating

Finished? NO! (popularity → recommended) is still "bad"

These three tables are the final decomp.

23

We decompose R1 into R3, R4

R2 = ride, name, rating R3 = rating, popularity R4 = popularity, recommended

October 28, 2020 BCNF Decomposition

# **BCNF** Decomposition Order

```
Restaurants(rid, name, rating, popularity, recommended)
rid → name, rating
rating → popularity
popularity → recommended
```

Note that we chose to split the tables on (rating  $\rightarrow$  rating, popularity, recommended) first. We could have instead chosen (popularity  $\rightarrow$  recommended) first.

In this case the final tables in BCNF will have the same attributes, but not always.

As long as the end result is in BCNF, the particular distribution of attributes doesn't matter for correctness.

#### Definition

**Lossless Decomposition** is a reversible decomposition, i.e. rejoining all decomposed relations will always result exactly with the original data.

This is the opposite of a **Lossy Decomposition**, an irreversible decomposition, where rejoining all decomposed relations may result something other than the original data, specifically with extra tuples.

This concept might be familiar if you have ever encountered lossless data compression (e.g. Huffman encoding or PNG) or lossy data compression (e.g. JPEG).

Is BCNF decomposition lossless?

Is BCNF decomposition lossless?

Yes!

In our example:

R2 = ride, name, rating

R4 = rating, popularity

R4 = popularity, recommended

Is BCNF decomposition lossless?

Yes!

In our example:

R2 = ride, name, rating

R4 = rating, popularity

R4 = popularity, recommended

...gives us original R

# More examples

#### Consider this example:

R (A, B, C, D, E, F)

A -> CD

F -> AE

D -> B

# More examples

#### Consider this example:

A -> CD

F -> AE

D -> B

Good idea to start with closures first:

 $A + = \{ABCD\}$ 

So what's our first decomp?

# More examples

#### Consider this example:

A -> CD

F -> AE

D -> B

Good idea to start with closures first:

 $A + = \{ABCD\}$ 

So what's our first decomp?