

1 Energy-based Model

Definition 1. *[Energy-based Model]*

Let \mathcal{M} a measure space, and $E: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$. Then define probabilistic model based on E as

$$p_E(x; \theta) = \frac{\exp(-E(x; \theta))}{\int_{\mathcal{M}} dx' \exp(-E(x'; \theta))}, \quad (1)$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

We call this an energy-based model, where $E(\cdot; \theta)$ is called a energy function parameterized by θ .

Theorem 2. *[Universality]*

For any probability density $q: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and for $\forall C \in \mathbb{R}$, define, for $\forall x \in \text{supp}(q)$,

$$E_q(x) := -\ln q(x) + C, \quad (2)$$

then, for $\forall x \in \text{supp}(q)$,

$$q(x) = \frac{\exp(-E_q(x))}{\int_{\text{supp}(q)} dx' \exp(-E_q(x'))}. \quad (3)$$

That is, for any probability density, there exists an energy function (up to constant) that can describe the probability density.

Proof. Directly,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= \frac{\exp(-E_q(x))}{\int_{\text{supp}(q)} dx' \exp(-E_q(x'))} \\ \{E_q := \dots\} &= \frac{q(x)}{\int_{\text{supp}(q)} dx' q(x')} \\ \left\{ \int_{\text{supp}(q)} dx' q(x') = 1 \right\} &= q(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3. *[Maximum Entropy Principle]*

For any probability density $p_D: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$p_E(x) = \text{argmax}_p H[X], \quad (4)$$

s.t. constrains

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_D} \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(x; \theta) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(x; \theta) \right] \quad (5)$$

are satisfied.

Theorem 4. *[Activity Rule]*

The local maximum of $p_E(\cdot; \theta)$ is the local minimum of $E(\cdot; \theta)$, and vice versa.

Theorem 5. *[Learning Rule]*

For any probability density $p_D: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define Lagrangian $L(\theta; p_D) := -\int_{\mathcal{M}} dx p_D(x) \ln p_E(x; \theta)$. Then, the gradient of Lagrangian w.r.t. component θ^α is

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(\theta; p_D) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} dx p_D(x) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(x; \theta) - \int_{\mathcal{M}} dx p_E(x; \theta) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(x; \theta), \quad (6)$$

or in more compact format,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(\theta; p_D) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_D} \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(x; \theta) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_E(x; \theta)} \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(x; \theta) \right]. \quad (7)$$

2 Effective Theory

Definition 6. *[Effective Energy]*

Suppose exists $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H})$, s.t. $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Re-denote $E(x; \theta) \rightarrow E(v, h; \theta)$ and $p_E(x; \theta) \rightarrow p_E(v, h; \theta)$. Then, define effective energy $E_{\text{eff}}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$E_{\text{eff}}(v; \theta) := -\ln \int_{\mathcal{H}} dh \exp(-E(v, h; \theta)). \quad (8)$$

Theorem 7. [Effective Theory]

Recall that $p_{E_{\text{eff}}}(v; \theta) := \int_{\mathcal{H}} dh p(v, h; \theta)$. Then,

$$p_{E_{\text{eff}}}(v; \theta) = \frac{\exp(-E_{\text{eff}}(v; \theta))}{\int_{\mathcal{V}} dv' \exp(-E_{\text{eff}}(v'; \theta))}. \quad (9)$$

Lemma 8. [Gradient of Effective Energy]

$$\frac{\partial E_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(v, \theta) = \int_{\mathcal{H}} dh p(h|v; \theta) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(v, h; \theta). \quad (10)$$

Theorem 9. [Learning Rule of Effective Theory]

For any probability density $p_D: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define Lagrangian $L(\theta; p_D) := -\int_{\mathcal{V}} dv p_D(v) \ln p(v; \theta)$. Then, the gradient of Lagrangian w.r.t. component θ^α is

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(\theta; p_D) = \int_{\mathcal{V}} dv \int_{\mathcal{H}} dh p_D(v) p(h|v; \theta) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(v, h; \theta) - \int_{\mathcal{V}} dv \int_{\mathcal{H}} dh p(v, h; \theta) \frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(v, h; \theta),$$

or in more compact format,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(\theta; p_D) = \mathbb{E}_{v \sim p_D, h \sim p_E(h|v; \theta)} \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(v, h; \theta) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{v, h \sim p_E(v, h; \theta)} \left[\frac{\partial E}{\partial \theta^\alpha}(v, h; \theta) \right]. \quad (11)$$

3 Examples

3.1 Boltzmann Machine

Definition 10. [Boltzmann Machine]

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^n$, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{(n \times n)}$ being symmetric, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\theta := (W, b)$. Given dataset $D := \{x_i | x_i \in \mathcal{M}, i = 1, \dots, N\}$, denote expectation as \hat{x} . Then a Boltzmann machine is defined by energy function

$$E(x; W, b) := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) - \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}. \quad (12)$$

Remark 11. [MaxEnt Principle of BM]

Relating to MaxEnt principle, the observable that the model simulates is

$$\forall (\alpha, \beta), \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_D} [(x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha)(x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta)], \quad (13)$$

for which it shall also simulate

$$\forall \alpha, \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_D} [\hat{x}^\alpha]. \quad (14)$$

Theorem 12. [Activity Rule of BM]

For $\forall \alpha$,

$$p_E(x_\alpha = 1 | x_{\setminus \alpha}) = \sigma \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) + c_\alpha \right), \quad (15)$$

where $c_\alpha := b_\alpha + (1/2 - \hat{x}^\alpha) W_{\alpha\alpha}$. The sigmoid function $\sigma := 1/(1 + e^{-x})$. This relation is held for arbitrary replacement of the vector \hat{x} .

Proof. Directly, for $\forall \gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ln p(x_\gamma = 1 | x_{\setminus \gamma}) - \ln p(x_\gamma = 0 | x_{\setminus \gamma}) \\
& [\alpha = \beta = \gamma] = \frac{1}{2} W_{\gamma\gamma} (1 - \hat{x}^\gamma)^2 - \frac{1}{2} W_{\gamma\gamma} (-\hat{x}^\gamma)^2 \\
& [\alpha \neq \gamma, \beta = \gamma] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} W_{\alpha\gamma} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (1 - \hat{x}^\gamma) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} W_{\alpha\gamma} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (-\hat{x}^\gamma) \\
& [\alpha = \gamma, \beta \neq \gamma] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \gamma} W_{\gamma\beta} (1 - \hat{x}^\gamma) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \gamma} W_{\gamma\beta} (-\hat{x}^\gamma) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) \\
& [\alpha, \beta \neq \gamma] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq \gamma} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq \gamma} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) \\
& [\alpha = \gamma] + b^\gamma - 0 \\
& [\alpha \neq \gamma] + \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} b_\gamma x^\gamma - \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} b_\gamma x^\gamma \\
& = \frac{1}{2} W_{\gamma\gamma} - W_{\gamma\gamma} \hat{x}^\gamma \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} W_{\alpha\gamma} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \neq \gamma} W_{\gamma\beta} (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) \\
& + 0 \\
& + b_\gamma \\
& + 0 \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\gamma \right) W_{\gamma\gamma} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} W_{\gamma\alpha} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) + b_\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$p(x_\gamma = 1 | x_{\setminus \gamma}) = \sigma \left[\sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} \frac{1}{2} (W_{\alpha\gamma} + W_{\gamma\alpha}) (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) + \left(b_\gamma + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\gamma \right) W_{\gamma\gamma} \right) \right]. \quad \square$$

Theorem 13. *[Learning Rule of BM]*

$$\sum_x p_D(x) x^\mu = \sum_x p_E(x) x^\mu, \quad (16)$$

and

$$\sum_x p_D(x) (x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu) (x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) = \sum_x p_E(x) (x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu) (x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu).$$

3.2 Restricted Boltzmann Machine

Definition 14. *[Restricted Boltzmann Machine]*

Let $\mathcal{V} = \{0, 1\}^{m_1}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{0, 1\}^{m_2}$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{H}$. Let $U \in \mathbb{R}^{(m_1 \times m_2)}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$. Then a restricted Boltzmann machine is defined by energy function¹

$$E(v, h; U, b, c) := - \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) - \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} - \sum_i c_i h^i. \quad (17)$$

Remark 15. [Relation with Boltzmann machine]

By replacements in Boltzmann machine,

$$x \rightarrow (v, h), \quad (18)$$

$$b \rightarrow (b, c), \quad (19)$$

and

$$W \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U \\ U^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

we obtain the restricted Boltzmann machine.

Theorem 16. *[Activity Rule of RBM]*

We have

$$p(h_i = 1 | v_\alpha, h_{\setminus i}) = \sigma \left(\sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right), \quad (21)$$

1. We use latin letters for latent variables.

and

$$p(v_\alpha = 1 | v_{\setminus \alpha}, h_i) = \sigma \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + b_\alpha \right). \quad (22)$$

Theorem 17. *[Effective Energy of RBM]*

We have

$$E_{\text{eff}}(v; U, b, c) = \sum_\alpha \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \hat{h}^i - b_\alpha v^\alpha \right) - \sum_i s \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right), \quad (23)$$

where soft-plus s is defined as

$$s(x) := \ln(1 + e^x). \quad (24)$$

Proof. Directly,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{eff}}(v) &= -\ln \left(\prod_i \sum_{h^i=0,1} \right) \exp(-E(v, h)) \\ \{\text{Definition}\} &= -\ln \left(\prod_i \sum_{h^i=0,1} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + \sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha + \sum_i c_i h^i \right) \\ \{\text{Extract } b v\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha - \ln \left(\prod_i \sum_{h^i=0,1} \right) \exp \left[\sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + \sum_i c_i h^i \right] \\ \{\text{Combine}\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha - \ln \left(\prod_i \sum_{h^i=0,1} \right) \exp \left[\sum_i \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) \right) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + \sum_i c_i h^i \right] \\ \{\exp \sum = \prod \exp\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha - \ln \prod_i \left[\sum_{h^i=0,1} \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + c_i h^i \right) \right] \\ \{\ln \prod = \sum \ln\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha - \sum_i \ln \sum_{h^i=0,1} \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + c_i h^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{h^i=0,1} \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + c_i h^i \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (1 - \hat{h}^i) + c_i \right) + \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (-\hat{h}^i) \right) \\ \{\text{Extract}\} &= \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (-\hat{h}^i) \right) \left[\exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right) + 1 \right], \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{eff}}(v) &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha - \sum_i \ln \sum_{h^i=0,1} \exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (h^i - \hat{h}^i) + c_i h^i \right) \\ \{\text{Previous}\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha + \sum_i \sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) \hat{h}^i \\ &\quad - \sum_i \ln \left[\exp \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right) + 1 \right] \\ \{s(x) := \dots\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) \hat{h}^i - \sum_i s \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right) \\ \{\text{Extract Const}\} &= -\sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \hat{h}^i - \sum_i s \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right) + \text{Const} \\ \{\text{Combine}\} &= \sum_i \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \hat{h}^i - b_\alpha v^\alpha \right) - \sum_i s \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right) + \text{Const}. \end{aligned}$$

The constant, which will be eliminated by Z , can be omitted. \square

4 Perturbation Theory

4.1 Perturbation of Boltzmann Machine

Define $p_i(x)$ by Taylor expansion $p_E(x) = p_0(x) + p_1(x) + \dots + p_n(x) + \mathcal{O}(W^{n+1})$. Denote $\sigma_\alpha := \sigma(b_\alpha)$.

4.1.1 0th-order

Lemma 18. *[0th-order of Boltzmann Machine]*

We have

$$p_0(x) = \prod_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}(x^{\alpha}), \quad (25)$$

where

$$p_{\alpha}(x) := \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x)}{1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})}. \quad (26)$$

Proof. Since $E_0(x; W, b) := -\sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(x) &= \frac{\exp(\sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha})}{\sum_{x'^1 \in \{0,1\}} \cdots \sum_{x'^n \in \{0,1\}} \exp(\sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} x'^{\alpha})} \\ \{\exp \sum = \prod \exp\} &= \prod_{\alpha} \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha})}{\sum_{x'^{\alpha} \in \{0,1\}} \exp(b_{\alpha} x'^{\alpha})} \\ &= \prod_{\alpha} \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha})}{1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})} \\ &= \prod_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 19. We have

$$\frac{\partial p_{\alpha}}{\partial b_{\alpha}}(x) = p_{\alpha}(x)(x - \sigma_{\alpha}). \quad (27)$$

Proof. Directly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\alpha}} \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x)}{1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})} \\ &= \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x) x}{1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})} - \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x) [\exp(b_{\alpha})]}{[1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})]^2} \\ &= \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha} x)}{1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})} \left[x - \frac{\exp(b_{\alpha})}{1 + \exp(b_{\alpha})} \right] \\ &= p_{\alpha}(x)(x - \sigma(b_{\alpha})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 20. For $\forall \alpha$, the mean of $p_{\alpha} V^{\alpha} := \sum_x p_0(x) x^{\alpha}$ is

$$V^{\alpha} = \sigma^{\alpha}. \quad (28)$$

Proof. Since $(\partial p_{\alpha} / \partial b_{\alpha})(x) = p_{\alpha}(x)(x - \sigma(b_{\alpha}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}(x) &= \sum_x p_{\alpha}(x)x - \sum_x p_{\alpha}(x)\sigma(b_{\alpha}) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\alpha}} \sum_x p_{\alpha}(x) &= \sum_x p_{\alpha}(x)x - \left(\sum_x p_{\alpha}(x) \right) \sigma(b_{\alpha}) \\ &= 0 = \sum_x p_{\alpha}(x)x - \sigma(b_{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 21. Variance $V^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} := \sum_x p_0(x) (x - \sigma^{\alpha_1})(x - \sigma^{\alpha_2}) = \sum_x p_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^2 (x - \sigma^{\alpha_i})$ is

$$V^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \delta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \sigma^{\alpha_1} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha_1}). \quad (29)$$

Proof. Since $(\partial p_{\alpha} / \partial b_{\alpha})(x) = p_{\alpha}(x)(x - \sigma(b_{\alpha}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial b_{\beta} \partial b_{\alpha}}(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\beta}} [p_0(x)(x - \sigma^{\alpha})] \\ &= p_0(x)(x - \sigma^{\alpha})(x - \sigma^{\beta}) - \delta^{\alpha \beta} p_0(x) \sigma^{\alpha} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial b_{\beta} \partial b_{\alpha}}(x) &= \sum_x p_0(x)(x - \sigma^{\alpha})(x - \sigma^{\beta}) - \sum_x \delta^{\alpha \beta} p_0(x) \sigma^{\alpha} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha}). \\ 0 &= \sum_x p_0(x)(x - \sigma^{\alpha})(x - \sigma^{\beta}) - \delta^{\alpha \beta} \sigma^{\alpha} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha}). \\ \sum_x p_0(x)(x - \sigma^{\alpha})(x - \sigma^{\beta}) &= \delta^{\alpha \beta} \sigma^{\alpha} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 22. β -momentum $V^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} := \sum_x p_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - \sigma^{\alpha_i})$ is

$$V^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} = \delta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} \sigma^{\alpha_1} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha_1}) (1 - 2\sigma^{\alpha_1}). \quad (30)$$

Lemma 23. 4 -momentum $V^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4} := \sum_x p_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^4 (x - \sigma^{\alpha_i})$ is

$$V^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4} = V_c^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4} + \sum_{\text{all pairs}} V^{\alpha_{m_1} \alpha_{m_2}} V^{\alpha_{n_1} \alpha_{n_2}}, \quad (31)$$

where “connected” part

$$V_c^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4} := \delta^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_4} \sigma^{\alpha_1} (1 - \sigma^{\alpha_1}) [1 - 6\sigma^{\alpha_1} + 6(\sigma^{\alpha_1})^2], \quad (32)$$

and $(m_1, m_2), (n_1, n_2)$ runs over all (three) pairs.

4.1.2 1st-order

Lemma 24. For $\forall \alpha$,

$$\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W). \quad (33)$$

Proof. The gradient of loss gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x p_D(x) x^\alpha &= \hat{x}^\alpha = \sum_x p_E(x) x^\alpha \\ \{\text{Taylor expand}\} &= \sum_x p_0(x) x^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W) \\ \left\{ \sum_x p_0(x) x^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha \right\} &= \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 25.

$$\frac{p_1(x)}{p_0(x)} = \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha) (x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (34)$$

Proof. Directly,

$$\begin{aligned} p_E(x) &= \frac{\exp(b_\alpha x^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta))}{Z} \\ \{\text{Extract } b_\alpha x^\alpha\} &= \frac{\exp(b_\alpha x^\alpha) \exp(\frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta))}{Z} \\ \{\text{Expand to } \mathcal{O}(W)\} &= \frac{\exp(b_\alpha x^\alpha) \{1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) + \dots\}}{Z_0(1 + Z_1 + \dots)} \\ \{p_0(x) = \dots\} &= p_0(x) \frac{\{1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) + \dots\}}{1 + Z_1 + \dots} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \sim 1 - \epsilon \right\} &= p_0(x) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) + \dots \right\} \{1 - Z_1 + \dots\} \\ \{\text{Expand}\} &= p_0(x) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) - Z_1 + \dots \right\} \\ &=: p_0(x) + p_1(x) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_1(x)}{p_0(x)} &= \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \hat{x}^\alpha) (x^\beta - \hat{x}^\beta) - Z_1 \\ \{\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)\} &= \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha) (x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - Z_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute Z_1 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_x p_E(x) = \sum_x p_0(x) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha) (x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - Z_1 \right\} \\ \left\{ \sum_x p_0(x) = 1 \right\} &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \left[\sum_x p_0(x) (x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha) (x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) \right] - Z_1 \\ \{V^{\alpha\beta} := \dots\} &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta} - Z_1 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_1(x)}{p_0(x)} &= \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha) (x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - Z_1 \\ \{Z_1 = \dots\} &= \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} (x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha) (x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 26. Up to $\mathcal{O}(W)$, for $\forall \gamma$,

$$\sum_x p_E(x) x^\gamma = V^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \quad (35)$$

Proof. Directly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_x p_E(x)x^\gamma &= \sum_x p_0(x)x^\gamma + \sum_x p_1(x)x^\gamma \\
\{p_1(x) = \dots\} &= \sum_x p_0(x)x^\gamma + \sum_x p_0(x) \left[\frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\alpha}\sigma^\alpha(1 - \sigma^\alpha) \right] x^\gamma \\
\{\text{Expand}\} &= \sum_x p_0(x)x^\gamma \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta)x^\gamma \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)x^\gamma \\
&= \sum_x p_0(x)x^\gamma \\
\{\text{Combine}\} &+ \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta)(x^\gamma - \sigma^\gamma) + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta)\sigma^\gamma \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)x^\gamma \\
&= V^\gamma \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^\gamma \\
\{V^\gamma = \sigma^\gamma\} &- \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta}\sigma^\gamma \\
&= V^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 27. Up to $\mathcal{O}(W)$, for $\forall(\mu, \nu)$,

$$\sum_x p_E(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) = V^{\mu\nu} + W_{(\alpha\beta)}V^{\alpha\mu}V^{\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V_c^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}. \quad (36)$$

Proof. Directly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_x p_E(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
\{p_E = p_0 + p_1\} &= \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) + \sum_x p_1(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
&= \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
\{p_1(x) = \dots\} &+ \sum_x p_0(x) \left[\frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta) - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta} \right] (x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
&= \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
\{\text{Expand}\} &+ \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\
\{\hat{x} = \dots\} &= \sum_x p_0(x) \left(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\mu} \right) \left(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\nu} \right) \\
\{\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)\} &+ \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta)(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu)(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu) \\
\{\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)\} &- \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu)(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu) \\
[\text{Expand}] &= \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu)(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\nu} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\mu} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\alpha - \sigma^\alpha)(x^\beta - \sigma^\beta)(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu)(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta} \sum_x p_0(x)(x^\mu - \sigma^\mu)(x^\nu - \sigma^\nu) \\
\{V^{\mu\nu} = \dots\} &= V^{\mu\nu} \\
\{\sigma^\mu = V^\mu = \dots\} &- 0 \\
\{\sigma^\nu = V^\nu = \dots\} &- 0 \\
\{V^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \dots\} &+ \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \\
\{V^{\mu\nu} = \dots\} &- \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta}V^{\mu\nu} \\
&= V^{\mu\nu} \\
\{V^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = V_c^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \dots\} &+ \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}(V_c^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + V^{\alpha\beta}V^{\mu\nu} + V^{\alpha\mu}V^{\beta\nu} + V^{\alpha\nu}V^{\beta\mu}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V^{\alpha\beta}V^{\mu\nu} \\
&= V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}(V_c^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + V^{\alpha\mu}V^{\beta\nu} + V^{\alpha\nu}V^{\beta\mu}) \\
\{\text{Combine}\} &= V^{\mu\nu} + W_{(\alpha\beta)}V^{\alpha\mu}V^{\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}V_c^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 28. [Perturbation Solutions of BM]

Define $\hat{c}^\mu := \sigma^{-1}(\hat{x}^\mu)$ and $\hat{C}^{\mu\nu} := \sum_x p_D(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu)$. Then, up to $\mathcal{O}(W^2)$, for $\forall \mu$,

$$\hat{C}^{\mu\mu} = \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu), \quad (37)$$

$$b_\mu = \hat{c}^\mu - W_{\mu\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right); \quad (38)$$

and for $\forall \mu, \nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\hat{C}^{\mu\nu}}{\hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \hat{x}^\nu(1 - \hat{x}^\nu)}. \quad (39)$$

Proof. Here we prove the second declaration.

When $\mu \neq \nu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}^{\mu\nu} &= \sum_x p_E(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) \\ \{V^{\mu\nu} \propto \delta^{\mu\nu}\} &= W_{(\alpha\beta)} V^{\alpha\mu} V^{\beta\nu} \\ \{W \text{ symmetric}\} &= W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\mu} V^{\beta\nu} \\ \{V^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = \delta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \sigma^{\alpha_1}(1 - \sigma^{\alpha_1})\} &= W_{\mu\nu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) \sigma^\nu(1 - \sigma^\nu) \\ \{\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)\} &= W_{\mu\nu} \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \hat{x}^\nu(1 - \hat{x}^\nu) \end{aligned}$$

thus, for $\forall \mu \neq \nu$,

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\hat{C}^{\mu\nu}}{\hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \hat{x}^\nu(1 - \hat{x}^\nu)}.$$

And for $\mu = \nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}^{\mu\mu} &= \sum_x p_E(x)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu)(x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu) \\ \{W_{\mu\nu} \text{ symmetric}\} &= V^{\mu\mu} + W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\mu} V^{\beta\mu} + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta\mu\mu} \\ &= \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) \\ &\quad + W_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\alpha\mu} \delta^{\beta\mu} [\sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu)]^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\alpha\beta\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) [1 - 6\sigma^\mu + 6(\sigma^\mu)^2] \\ &= \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) \\ &\quad + W_{\mu\mu} [\sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu)]^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} W_{\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) [1 - 6\sigma^\mu + 6(\sigma^\mu)^2] \\ \{\hat{x} = \sigma + \dots\} &= \left(\hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta\mu} \right) \left(1 - \hat{x}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta\mu} \right) \\ &\quad + W_{\mu\mu} [\sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu)]^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} W_{\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) [1 - 6\sigma^\mu + 6(\sigma^\mu)^2] \\ \{\text{Expand}\} &= \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) + W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta\mu} \left(\hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + W_{\mu\mu} [\sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu)]^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} W_{\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) [1 - 6\sigma^\mu + 6(\sigma^\mu)^2] \\ \{V^{\alpha\beta\mu} = \dots\} &= \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) + W_{\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) (1 - 2\sigma^\mu) \left(\hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + W_{\mu\mu} [\sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu)]^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} W_{\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu(1 - \sigma^\mu) [1 - 6\sigma^\mu + 6(\sigma^\mu)^2] \\ &= \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) + W_{\mu\mu} \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) (1 - 2\hat{x}^\mu) \left(\hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ [\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)] &+ W_{\mu\mu} [\hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu)]^2 \\ [\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)] &+ \frac{1}{2} W_{\mu\mu} \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) [1 - 6\hat{x}^\mu + 6(\hat{x}^\mu)^2] \\ \{\text{Combine}\} &= \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \\ &\quad + W_{\mu\mu} \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (1 - 2\hat{x}^\mu) \left(\hat{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) + \frac{1}{2} [1 - 6\hat{x}^\mu + 6(\hat{x}^\mu)^2] \right\} \\ \{\text{Simplify}\} &= \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu), \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\hat{C}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) + \mathcal{O}(W^2).$$

Finally, we have, for $\forall \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}^\mu &= V^\mu + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} V^{\alpha\beta\mu} \\ &= \sigma^\mu + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\alpha\beta\mu} \sigma^\alpha (1 - \sigma^\alpha) (1 - 2\sigma^\alpha) \\ &= \sigma^\mu + W_{\mu\mu} \sigma^\mu (1 - \sigma^\mu) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma^\mu \right). \\ \{\hat{x}^\alpha = \sigma^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(W)\} &= \sigma^\mu + W_{\mu\mu} \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sigma^\mu = \hat{x}^\mu - W_{\mu\mu} \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right).$$

Since $\sigma^\mu := \sigma(b_\mu)$ and $\sigma'(\hat{c}^\mu) = \sigma(\hat{c}^\mu)(1 - \sigma(\hat{c}^\mu)) = \hat{x}^\mu(1 - \hat{x}^\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(b_\mu) &= \sigma(\hat{c}^\mu) - \sigma'(\hat{c}^\mu) W_{\mu\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right) \\ &= \sigma \left(\hat{c}^\mu - W_{\mu\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right) \right) + \mathcal{O}(W^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$b_\mu = \hat{c}^\mu - W_{\mu\mu} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right).$$

□

Corollary 29. *[Solution without Self-interaction]*

If set, for $\forall \mu, W_{\mu\mu} = 0$, then, up to $\mathcal{O}(W)$, we have the perurbation solution of Boltzmann machine as follow.

For $\forall \mu$,

$$b_\mu = \hat{c}^\mu, \quad (40)$$

and for $\forall \mu, \nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\hat{C}^{\mu\nu}}{\hat{x}^\mu (1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \hat{x}^\nu (1 - \hat{x}^\nu)}. \quad (41)$$

4.2 Perturbation of Restricted Boltzmann Machine

Lemma 30. *[Perturbation of RBM]*

For $\forall i$, let $\hat{h}^i \equiv 1/2$ and $c_i \equiv 0$, then we have

$$E_{\text{eff}}(v; U, b, c) = -\frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (v^\beta - \hat{v}^\beta) - b_\alpha^{\text{eff}} v^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3), \quad (42)$$

where

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}, \quad (43)$$

and

$$b_\alpha^{\text{eff}} := b_\alpha - \sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \left(\hat{h}^i - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_i}{4} \right). \quad (44)$$

That is, restricted Boltzmann machine reduces to a Boltzmann machine.

Proof. Recall that

$$E_{\text{eff}}(v; U, b, c) = \sum_\alpha \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \hat{h}^i - b_\alpha v^\alpha \right) - \sum_i s \left(\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right), \quad (45)$$

where soft-plus s is defined as

$$s(x) := \ln(1 + e^x). \quad (46)$$

Taylor expansion of soft-plus is

$$s(x) = 0 + \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^2}{8} + \mathcal{O}(x^3).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{eff}}(v) &= \sum_\alpha \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \hat{h}^i - b_\alpha v^\alpha \right) \\ \{\text{Taylor expand}\} &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left[\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right] - \frac{1}{8} \sum_i \left[\sum_\alpha U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) + c_i \right]^2 \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3) \\ \{\text{Expand}\} &= \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \hat{h}^i - \sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i c_i \\ &- \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} \right) (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (v^\beta - \hat{v}^\beta) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) c_i - \frac{1}{8} \sum_i c_i^2 \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3) \\ \left[\propto \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \right] &= \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \left(\hat{h}^i - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_i}{4} \right) \\ &- \sum_\alpha b_\alpha v^\alpha \\ &- \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} \right) (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (v^\beta - \hat{v}^\beta) \\ [\text{Without } v] &+ \text{Const} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} \right) \right] (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (v^\beta - \hat{v}^\beta) \\ &- \sum_\alpha \left[b_\alpha - \sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \left(\hat{h}^i - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_i}{4} \right) \right] v^\alpha \\ &+ \text{Const} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3). \end{aligned}$$

Omitting the constant, which will be eliminated by Z , we have

$$E_{\text{eff}}(v) = -\frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} (v^\alpha - \hat{v}^\alpha) (v^\beta - \hat{v}^\beta) - b_\alpha^{\text{eff}} v^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3), \quad (47)$$

where

$$b_\alpha^{\text{eff}} := b_\alpha - \sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^\alpha \left(\hat{h}^i - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_i}{4} \right),$$

and

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i}. \quad (48) \quad \square$$

Theorem 31. *[Perturbation Equations of RBM]*

Up to $\mathcal{O}(U^3 + c^3)$, we have, for $\forall \mu$,

$$b_\mu - \sum_i U_{\mu i} v^\mu \left(\hat{h}^i - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c_i}{4} \right) = \hat{c}^\mu - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right), \quad (49)$$

and for $\forall \mu, \nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\nu i} = \frac{\hat{C}^{\mu\nu}}{\hat{x}^\mu (1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \hat{x}^\nu (1 - \hat{x}^\nu)}. \quad (50)$$

The \hat{h}^i and c_i are free parameters, and the general setting is $\hat{h}^i = 1/2$ and $c_i = 0$ for $\forall i = 1, \dots, m$. Then the first equation reduce to, for $\forall \mu$,

$$b_\mu = \hat{c}^\mu - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right). \quad (51)$$

Proof. By the perturbation solution of BM, for $\forall \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_\alpha^{\text{eff}} &= \hat{c}^\mu - W_{\mu\mu}^{\text{eff}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right) \\ \left\{ W_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} := \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} \right\} &= \hat{c}^\mu - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^\mu \right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $\forall \mu, \nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} &= \frac{\hat{C}^{\mu\nu}}{\hat{x}^\mu (1 - \hat{x}^\mu) \hat{x}^\nu (1 - \hat{x}^\nu)} \\ \{\text{Definition}\} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\nu i}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 32. *[Positive Semi-definiteness of Covariance]*

Let X^μ , $\mu = 1, \dots, N$ random variables. Then we have matrix

$$\frac{\text{Cov}(X^\mu, X^\nu)}{\text{Var}(X^\mu) \text{Var}(X^\nu)}$$

positive semi-definite.

Proof. Directly, define $Z^\mu := X^\mu / \text{Var}[X^\mu]$. Then, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^\mu] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X^\mu]}{\text{Var}[X^\mu]}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Cov}(X^\mu, X^\nu)}{\text{Var}(X^\mu) \text{Var}(X^\nu)} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X^\mu - \mathbb{E}[X^\mu])(X^\nu - \mathbb{E}[X^\nu])]}{\text{Var}(X^\mu) \text{Var}(X^\nu)} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(X^\mu - \mathbb{E}[X^\mu])}{\text{Var}(X^\mu)} \frac{(X^\nu - \mathbb{E}[X^\nu])}{\text{Var}(X^\nu)} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(Z^\mu - \mathbb{E}[Z^\mu])(Z^\nu - \mathbb{E}[Z^\nu])] \\ &= \text{Cov}(Z^\mu, Z^\nu), \end{aligned}$$

which, as a covariance matrix, is positive semi-definite. \square

Lemma 33. *[Eigenvalues of Covariance]²*

Let $\{X^\mu | \mu = 1, \dots, n\}$ random variables. Then we have:

$\exists \{a_{i\mu} \in \mathbb{R}, b_i \in \mathbb{R} | i = 1, \dots, m, \mu = 1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. for $\forall i$, $\sum_\nu a_{i\nu} X^\nu = b_i$, iff there exists m vanished eigenvalues in the covariance matrix of $\{X^\mu | \mu = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Let $C^{\mu\nu} := \text{Cov}(X^\mu, X^\nu)$.

1. Proof of \Rightarrow

2. C.f. [this question](#) on stackexchange.com.

Directly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mu} a_{i\mu} C^{\mu\nu} &= \sum_{\mu} a_{i\mu} \text{Cov}(X^{\mu}, X^{\nu}) \\
&= \sum_{\mu} a_{i\mu} \mathbb{E}[(X^{\mu} - \mathbb{E}[X^{\mu}])(X^{\nu} - \mathbb{E}[X^{\nu}])] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\mu} a_{i\mu} X^{\mu} - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\mu} a_{i\mu} X^{\mu}\right]\right)(X^{\nu} - \mathbb{E}[X^{\nu}])\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(b_i - b_i)(X^{\nu} - \mathbb{E}[X^{\nu}])] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, a_i is an eigenvector of C with vanished eigenvalue.

2. Proof of \Leftarrow

From diagonalization $Q^T \Lambda Q = C$, where Q is orthogonal, we get $\Lambda = Q C Q^T$. On the other hand, let $Y := Q X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(Y_{\mu}, Y_{\nu}) &= \mathbb{E}[(Y_{\mu} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{\mu}])(Y_{\nu} - \mathbb{E}[Y_{\nu}])] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(Q_{\mu\alpha} X^{\alpha} - \mathbb{E}[Q_{\mu\alpha} X^{\alpha}])(Q_{\nu\beta} X^{\beta} - \mathbb{E}[Q_{\nu\beta} X^{\beta}])] \\
&= Q_{\mu\alpha} \mathbb{E}[(X^{\alpha} - \mathbb{E}[X^{\alpha}])(X^{\beta} - \mathbb{E}[X^{\beta}])] Q_{\nu\beta} \\
&= Q_{\mu\alpha} C_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\nu\beta} \\
&= Q C Q^T.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $\Lambda = \text{Cov}(Y_{\mu}, Y_{\nu})$. We conclude that, for $\forall \mu$,

$$\lambda_{\mu} = \text{Cov}(Y_{\mu}, Y_{\mu}) = \text{Var}(Y_{\mu}),$$

and for $\forall \mu, \nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$\text{Cov}(Y_{\mu}, Y_{\nu}) = 0.$$

Then, if $\exists \lambda_i = 0$, then $\text{Var}(Y_i) = 0$, implying $Y_i = \text{Const} =: b_i$. Denote $a_{i\mu} := Q_{i\mu}$, then we find $a_{i\mu} X^{\mu} = b_i$. □

Theorem 34. [Perturbation Solution of RBM]

Let m is the number of independent variables in $\{X^{\mu} | \mu = 1, \dots, n\}$, then we have a solution

1. $W_{\mu\nu}$ has m positive eigenvalues and $n - m$ vanished ones. Let them be $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$, with eigenvectors u_1, \dots, u_m , Then, for $\forall \mu, i$,

$$U_{\mu i} = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i^{\mu}. \quad (52)$$

2. And, for $\forall \mu$,

$$b^{\mu} = \sigma^{-1} \left(2\hat{x}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (53)$$

3. Perturbation demands

$$\left| \hat{x}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \ll \hat{x}^{\mu}.$$

Proof. Set

$$W_{\mu\mu}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\hat{x}^{\mu}(1 - \hat{x}^{\mu})}.$$

1. Recalling $\text{Var}(X^{\mu}) = \hat{x}^{\mu}(1 - \hat{x}^{\mu})$, we have, for $\forall \mu, \nu$,

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X^{\mu}, X^{\nu})}{\text{Var}(X^{\mu})\text{Var}(X^{\nu})}.$$

Then, by the lemma 32 and the lemma 33, we find that $W_{\mu\nu}$ is positive semi-definite, having m positive eigenvalues and $n - m$ vanished ones. Let $U_{\mu i} = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i^{\mu}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\nu i} &= \sum_i \lambda_i u_i^{\mu} u_i^{\nu} \\
&= W_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equations of U are satisfied.

2. From the equations of b ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(b_{\mu}) &= \sigma \left(\hat{c}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^{\mu} \right) \right) \\
&= \sigma(\hat{c}^{\mu}) - \sigma'(\hat{c}^{\mu}) \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^{\mu} \right) \\
\{\sigma'(\hat{c}^{\mu}) = \hat{x}^{\mu}(1 - \hat{x}^{\mu})\} &= \sigma(\hat{c}^{\mu}) - \hat{x}^{\mu}(1 - \hat{x}^{\mu}) \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^{\mu} \right) \\
\left\{ W_{\mu\mu}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_i U_{\mu i} U_{\mu i} \right\} &= \hat{x}^{\mu} - \hat{x}^{\mu}(1 - \hat{x}^{\mu}) W_{\mu\mu}^{\text{eff}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^{\mu} \right) \\
\left\{ W_{\mu\mu}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\hat{x}^{\mu}(1 - \hat{x}^{\mu})} \right\} &= \hat{x}^{\mu} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \hat{x}^{\mu} \right) \\
&= 2\hat{x}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$b^{\mu} = \sigma^{-1} \left(2\hat{x}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad \square$$

4.3 Validation of Perturbations

Remark 35. [Validation of Perturbations 1]

Based on the dimension analysis, it's suspected that the condition of validation of perturbation solution in the corollary 29 is

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X^\mu, X^\nu)}{\text{Var}(X^\mu)\text{Var}(X^\nu)} \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X^\mu)\text{Var}(X^\nu)}}. \quad (54)$$

That is, the Pearson coefficients is tiny: for $\forall \mu, \nu$ with $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$\frac{\text{Cov}(X^\mu, X^\nu)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X^\mu)\text{Var}(X^\nu)}} \ll 1 \quad (55)$$

Remark 36. [Validation of Perturbations 2]

For making the perturbation stated in theorem 34 valid, the dataset shall have the properties, for $\forall \alpha$,

$$\hat{x}^\alpha \approx 0.5 \quad (56)$$

and for $\forall \alpha, \beta$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\hat{C}^{\alpha\beta} \approx 0. \quad (57)$$

Given a dataset of X^a , we construct a “soften version” of it, Y^a , s.t. this Y^a satisfies these properties.

Definition 37. [Zoom-in Trick]

Given Bernoulli random variable X , and a parameter $\delta \in [0, 0.5)$, we duplicate it to i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_m , s.t. for $\forall i$

$$p(y_i = 1 | x = 0) = \delta, \quad (58)$$

and

$$p(y_i = 1 | x = 1) = 1 - \delta. \quad (59)$$

Lemma 38. We have, for $\forall i$,

$$p(y_i = 1) = 0.5 + (2p - 1)(0.5 - \delta), \quad (60)$$

where $p := p(x = 1)$.

Theorem 39. [Zoom-in Trick]

Let $\epsilon := 0.5 - \delta > 0$. We have, for $\forall(\alpha, i)$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{y}^{(\alpha, i)} = 0,$$

and for $\forall(\alpha, i), (\beta, j)$ with $(\alpha, i) \neq (\beta, j)$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \hat{C}^{(\alpha, i)(\beta, j)} = 0.$$

Specifically for the first limit, we have $\hat{y}^{(\alpha, i)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ where

$$\mu := 0.5 + (2\hat{x}^\alpha - 1)(0.5 - \delta), \quad (61)$$

and

$$\sigma := \sqrt{\frac{0.25 - [(2\hat{x}^\alpha - 1)(0.5 - \delta)]^2}{N}},$$

with N the data-size.

Proof. The first limit can be derived from the $\hat{y}^{(\alpha, i)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$.

The second limit can be proved by considering the limit case, where $\delta \rightarrow 0.5$. In this situation, for $\forall(\alpha, i)$, $y^{(\alpha, i)} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(0.5)$. Thus all independent, leading to $\hat{C}^{(\alpha, i)(\beta, j)} = 0$. \square

Appendix A Perturbations by Temperature

Let $\beta := 1/T$. Then inserting temperature is replacements $U \rightarrow \beta U$, $b \rightarrow \beta b$, $c \rightarrow \beta c$, and $E_{\text{eff}}(v) \rightarrow -\beta^{-1}E_{\text{eff}}(v)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\text{eff}}(v; \beta) &= \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^{\alpha} \hat{h}^i - b_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \right) - \beta^{-1} \sum_i s \left(\beta \sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) + \beta c_i \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_i U_{\alpha i} v^{\alpha} \hat{h}^i - b_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \right) \\
[\text{Taylor expand}] &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left[\sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) + c_i \right] - \frac{\beta}{8} \sum_i \left[\sum_{\alpha} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) + c_i \right]^2 + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} v^{\alpha} \hat{h}^i - \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) \\
&\quad - \frac{\beta}{8} \sum_i \sum_{\alpha, \beta} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) U_{\beta i} (v^{\beta} - \hat{v}^{\beta}) - \frac{\beta}{4} \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) c_i \\
&\quad + \text{Const} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, i} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) \left(\hat{h}^i - \frac{\beta}{4} c_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\beta}{8} \sum_i \sum_{\alpha, \beta} U_{\alpha i} (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) U_{\beta i} (v^{\beta} - \hat{v}^{\beta}) - \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} \\
&\quad + \text{Const} \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{h}^i \equiv 1/2$ and $c_i \equiv 0$ for $\forall i$, and omit the constant, then

$$E_{\text{eff}}(v; \beta) = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{8} \sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i} \right) (v^{\alpha} - \hat{v}^{\alpha}) (v^{\beta} - \hat{v}^{\beta}) - \sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} v^{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (62)$$

Thus,

$$W_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}} \rightarrow \frac{\beta}{8} \sum_i U_{\alpha i} U_{\beta i},$$

and

$$b_{\alpha}^{\text{eff}} \rightarrow b_{\alpha}. \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{p_1(x)}{p_0(x)} = \beta E(x) - \beta \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{W_{\alpha\alpha}}{4} + \frac{b_{\alpha}}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2).$$