

# 1 Hopfield Network

## 1.1 Discrete-time Hopfield Network

### 1.1.1 Definition

**Definition 1.** [Discrete-time Hopfield Network]

Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \{-1, +1\}^d$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define discrete-time dynamics

$$x^\alpha(t+1) = \text{sign}(W_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta(t) + b^\alpha).$$

The  $(W, b)$  is called a discrete-time Hopfield network.

### 1.1.2 Convergence

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(W, b)$  a discrete-time Hopfield network. Define  $\mathcal{E}(x) := -(1/2)W_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta - b_\alpha x^\alpha$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(x(t+1)) - \mathcal{E}(x(t)) \leq 0$ .

**Proof.** Consider async-updation of Hopfield network, that is, change the component at dimension  $\hat{\alpha}$ , i.e.  $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta + b_{\hat{\alpha}}]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) &= -\frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} x'^\alpha x'^\beta - b_\alpha x'^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha x^\beta + b_\alpha x^\alpha \\ &= -2(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}})(W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta + b_{\hat{\alpha}}), \end{aligned}$$

which employs conditions  $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ . Next, we prove that, combining with  $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta + b_{\hat{\alpha}}]$ , this implies  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) \leq 0$ .

If  $(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) > 0$ , then  $x'^{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$  and  $x^{\hat{\alpha}} = -1$ . Since  $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta + b_{\hat{\alpha}}]$ ,  $W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta + b_{\hat{\alpha}} > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) < 0$ . Contrarily, if  $(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) < 0$ , then  $x'^{\hat{\alpha}} = -1$  and  $x^{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$ , implying  $W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^\beta + b_{\hat{\alpha}} < 0$ . Also  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) < 0$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) = 0$ . So, we conclude  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** [Convergence of Discrete-time Hopfield Network] Let  $(W, b)$  a discrete-time Hopfield network. Then any trajectory obeying the update rule will converge either to a fixed point or a limit circle.

**Proof.** Since the states of the network are finite, the  $\mathcal{E}$  is lower bounded.  $\square$

### 1.1.3 Learning Rule

Let  $(W, b)$  a discrete-time Hopfield network. And  $D := \{x_n | x_n \in \{-1, +1\}^d, n = 1, \dots, N\}$  a dataset<sup>1</sup>. We can train the Hopfield network by seeking a proper parameters  $(W, b)$ , s.t. its stable points cover the dataset as much as possible, by<sup>2</sup>

**Algorithm 1**

```
W, b = init_W, init_b # e.g. by Glorot initializer
for step in range(max_step):
    for x in dataset:
        y = softsign(W @ x + b)
        loss = norm(x - y)
    optimizer.minimize(objective=loss, variables=(W, b))
```

1. We use Greek alphabet for component in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and Lattin alphabet for element in dataset.

2. This algorithm generalizes the algorithm 42.9 of Mackay.

```

W = set_zero_diag(symmetrize(W))

@custom_gradient
def softsign(x, T=1e-0):
    y = sign(x)
    grad_fn = lambda x: (1 - tanh(x)) ** 2 / T
    return y, grad_fn

```

**Remark 4.** In this algorithm, we use a specially designed softsign function instead of using tanh. The reason is that the output  $y$  is binary in this case, which then ceasing the difficulty of learning. Indeed, when  $x = 1$ ,  $y = 1$  using softsign is much simpler than  $y = 0.1$  using tanh, reflected in the loss. This improves the capacity of re-construction with the same capacity of network. Numerical experiments confirm this remark.

## 1.2 Continuous-time Hopfield Network

### 1.2.1 Definition

**Definition 5.** *[Continuous-time Hopfield Network]*

Let  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  and  $x \in [-1, +1]^d$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define dynamics

$$\tau \frac{dx^\alpha}{dt}(t) = -x^\alpha(t) + f(W_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta(t) + b^\alpha),$$

where  $\tau \in (0, +\infty)$  a constant and  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  being increasing. The  $(W, b; \tau, f)$  is called a continuous-time Hopfield network.

**Remark 6.** With

$$\tau \frac{x^\alpha(t + \Delta t) - x^\alpha(t)}{\Delta t} = -x^\alpha(t) + f(W_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta(t) + b^\alpha).$$

Setting  $\Delta t = \tau$  gives and  $f(\cdot) = \text{sign}(\cdot)$  gives

$$x^\alpha(t + \tau) = \text{sign}(W_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta(t) + b^\alpha),$$

which is the same as the discrete-time Hopfield network.

### 1.2.2 Convergence

**Lemma 7.** Let  $(W, b; \tau, f)$  a continous-time Hopfield network. Define  $a^\alpha := W_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta + b^\alpha$  and  $y^\alpha := f(a^\alpha)$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}(y) := -\frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta - b_\alpha y^\alpha + \sum_\alpha \int^{y^\alpha} f^{-1}(y^\alpha) dy^\alpha.$$

Then  $\mathcal{E}(y(x(t + dt))) - \mathcal{E}(y(x(t))) \leq 0$ .

**Proof.** The dynamics of  $a^\alpha$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau \frac{da^\alpha}{dt} &= \tau W_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^\beta}{dt} \\
&= W_{\alpha\beta} [-x^\beta(t) + f(a^\beta)] \\
&= -(W_{\alpha\beta} x^\beta(t) + b^\alpha) + b^\alpha + W_{\alpha\beta} y^\beta \\
&= W_{\alpha\beta} y^\beta + b^\alpha - a^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $W$  is symmetric, we have  $\partial \mathcal{E} / \partial y^\alpha = -W_{\alpha\beta} y^\beta - b_\alpha + f^{-1}(y_\alpha)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} &= \frac{dy^\alpha}{dt} (-W_{\alpha\beta} y^\beta - b_\alpha + f^{-1}(y_\alpha)) \\ &= \frac{dy^\alpha}{dt} (-W_{\alpha\beta} y^\beta - b_\alpha + a_\alpha) \\ &= -\frac{dy^\alpha}{dt} (W_{\alpha\beta} y^\beta + b_\alpha - a_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, the second term of rhs is exactly the dynamics of  $a_\alpha$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} &= -\tau \frac{dy^\alpha}{dt} \frac{da_\alpha}{dt} \\ &= -\tau \frac{dy^\alpha}{da^\alpha} \left( \frac{da^\alpha}{dt} \frac{da_\alpha}{dt} \right) \\ &= -\tau f'(a^\alpha) \left( \frac{da^\alpha}{dt} \frac{da_\alpha}{dt} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is increasing and  $\tau > 0$ ,  $d\mathcal{E}/dt \leq 0$ . □

**Remark 8.** The condition  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$  is not essential for this lemma. Indeed, this condition is absent in the proof. This differs from the case of discrete-time.

**Theorem 9.** [Convergence of Continuous-time Hopfield Network] Let  $(W, b; \tau, f)$  a continuous-time Hopfield network. Then any trajectory along the dynamics will converge either to a fixed point or a limit circle.

**Proof.** The function  $E := \mathcal{E} \circ y$  is lower bounded since  $y$ , i.e. function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ , is bounded. This  $E$  is a Lyapunov function for the continuous-time Hopfield network. □

### 1.2.3 Learning Rule

**Corollary 10.** Let  $(W, b; \tau, f)$  a continuous-time Hopfield network. And  $D := \{x_n | x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d, n = 1, \dots, N\}$  a dataset<sup>3</sup>. If add constraint  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$ , then we can train the Hopfield network by seeking a proper parameters  $(W, b)$ , s.t. its stable points cover the dataset as much as possible, by<sup>4</sup>

**Algorithm 2**

```
W, b = init_W, init_b # e.g. by Glorot initializer
for step in range(max_step):
    for x in dataset:
        y = f(W @ x + b)
        loss = norm(x - y)
        optimizer.minimize(objective=loss, variables=(W, b))
    W = set_zero_diag(symmetrize(W))
```

**Proof.** For  $\forall x_n \in D$ , we try to find  $(W, b)$ , s.t.  $dx/dt = 0$  at  $x_n$ , i.e.

$$x_n^\alpha = f(W_{\alpha\beta} x_n^\beta + b_\alpha).$$

When  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$ ,  $f(W_{\alpha\beta} x_n^\beta + b_\alpha)$  thus has no information of  $x_n^\alpha$ , it has to predict the  $x_n^\alpha$  by the interaction between  $x_n^\alpha$  and the other  $x$ 's components. □

3. We use Greek alphabet for component in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and Latin alphabet for element in dataset.

4. This algorithm generalizes the algorithm 42.9 of Mackay.

**Remark 11.** This algorithm is equivalent to

**Algorithm 3**

```

dt = ... # e.g. 0.1
W, b = init_W, init_b
for step in range(max_step):
    for x in dataset:
        # that is, compute x(dt), with x(0) = x
        y = ode_solve(f=lambda t, x: -x + f(W @ x + b), t0=0, t1=dt, x0=x)
        loss = norm(x - y)
        optimizer.minimize(objective=loss, variables=(W, b))
        W = set_zero_diag(symmetrize(W))

```

Indeed, trying to reach  $y = x$  within a small interval will force  $x$  to be a fixed point.

### 1.2.4 Relation to Auto-encoder

Notice that at fixed point  $x_*$ ,  $x_*^\alpha = f(W^\alpha_\beta x_*^\beta + b^\alpha)$ , which is a single-layer auto-encoder. The learning rule is also simply the learning rule of single-layer auto-encoder.

### 1.2.5 Stability of Fixed Points

We study the stability of fixed points. Let  $z^\alpha := W^\alpha_\beta x^\beta + b^\alpha$ . Jacobian

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^\alpha_\beta &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} (-x^\alpha + f(z^\alpha)) \\
 &= -\delta^\alpha_\beta + f'(z^\alpha) W^\alpha_\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $f(x) = \tanh(x)$ , and at fixed point,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^\alpha_\beta &= -\delta^\alpha_\beta + \frac{1}{2}(1 - f^2(z^\alpha)) W^\alpha_\beta \\
 &= -\delta^\alpha_\beta + \frac{1}{2}(1 - x_*^\alpha)(1 + x_*^\alpha) W^\alpha_\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The eigen-value of  $J$ ,  $\lambda_J = -1 + \lambda$ , have

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 - x_*^\alpha)(1 + x_*^\alpha) W^\alpha_\beta - \lambda \delta^\alpha_\beta\right) = 0$$

For instance, if  $x_*^\alpha \rightarrow \pm 1$  for  $\forall \alpha$ , that is  $\|x_*^2 - 1\| \ll 1$ , then, because of the linearity of this equation, we will have  $\lambda \ll 1$ . In this case,  $\lambda_J \approx -1 < 0$ , indicating the stability of the fixed point  $x_*$ .

## 2 Variations

### 2.1 Dense Associative Memories

**Theorem 12.** Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\tau > 0$ . Define the dynamics

$$\tau \frac{dx}{dt} = -\nabla E(x) = -x + W^T \cdot \nabla F(W \cdot x + b) + v.$$

If  $\nabla F(\cdot)$  is bounded, i.e.  $\exists K > 0$  s.t.  $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{\|\nabla F(x)\|\} < K$ , then any trajectory along the dynamics will converge either to a fixed point or a limit circle.

**Proof.** Let  $E(x) := \frac{1}{2}x_\alpha x^\alpha - v_\alpha x^\alpha - F(W^\alpha_\beta x^\beta + b^\alpha)$ , then  $\tau dx/dt = -\nabla E(x)$ . The  $-x$  term will dominate the  $W^T \cdot \nabla F(W \cdot x + b)$  term for  $\|x\| > K\|W\|$ , thus converges. So  $E$  is a Lyapunov function of the dynamics.  $\square$

**Example 13.** Let  $F(x) := \sum_\alpha \int^{x^\alpha} \sigma(s) ds$ , where  $\sigma$  is sigmoid function. Then

$$\tau \frac{dx}{dt} = -x + W^T \cdot \sigma(W \cdot x + b) + v.$$

This coincides with the form in ref 1.

**Example 14.** Let  $F(x) := \beta^{-1} \ln(\beta \sum_\alpha e^{x^\alpha})$ ,  $b=0$ , and  $v=0$ , then

$$\tau \frac{dx}{dt} = -x + W^T \cdot \text{softmax}(\beta W \cdot x).$$

This coincides with the form in ref 2.

**Example 15.** Let  $v_i := W_{i,\cdot}$ , i.e. the  $i$ th row of the matrix  $W$ . Assume  $\|v_i\| = 1$  for  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $F(x) := \beta^{-1} \ln(\beta \sum_\alpha e^{x^\alpha})$ , and  $v=0$ , then

$$\tau \frac{dx^\alpha}{dt} = -x^\alpha + \sum_i p_i v_i^\alpha,$$

where  $z_i := v_i \cdot x + b_i$  and then  $p^i := \exp(\beta z^i) / \sum_j \exp(\beta z^j)$ . The  $\{(p_i, v_i) | i = 1, \dots, n\}$  forms a categorical distribution.

**Lemma 16.** Assume example 15. The Jacobian of the dynamics is

$$J^{\alpha\beta}(x) = -\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \text{Cov}_{p(x)}(v^\alpha, v^\beta),$$

where  $\text{Cov}_p(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the covariance given distribution  $p$ .

**Proof.** Directly,

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\alpha\beta} &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \left( -x^\alpha + \sum_i v_i^\alpha p_i \right) \\ &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{i,j} v_i^\alpha \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial z^j} \frac{\partial z^j}{\partial x_\beta} \\ &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \beta \sum_{i,j} v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta (p_i \delta_{i,j} - p_i p_j) \\ &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \beta \sum_i p_i v_i^\alpha v_i^\beta - \beta \left( \sum_i p_i v_i^\alpha \right) \left( \sum_j p_j v_j^\beta \right) \\ &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \beta \mathbb{E}(v^\alpha v^\beta) - \beta \mathbb{E}(v^\alpha) \mathbb{E}(v^\beta) \\ &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \beta \text{Cov}_p(v^\alpha, v^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

And notice that the only variable that depends on  $x$  is  $p$ . So we insert  $x$  and gain the result.  $\square$

For instance, at fixed point  $x = v_1$ ,  $p = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\text{Cov}_{p(v_1)}(v^\alpha, v^\beta) = v_1^\alpha v_1^\beta - v_1^\alpha v_1^\beta = 0$ . So  $J^{\alpha\beta} = -\delta^{\alpha\beta}$  is negative defined, indicating that the fixed point is stable.

## 2.2 Cellular Automa

TODO

## 2.3 Relation to Restricted Boltzmann Machine and Low-Density Parity-Check Code

**Definition 17.** *[Boltzmann Machine & Low-Density Parity-Check Decoder] Let  $W \in \mathbb{R}^L \times \mathbb{R}^A$ , with  $L < A$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^A$ , and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^L$ . For  $\forall x \in \{-1, +1\}^A$ , define updation rule*

$$\begin{aligned} z_{t+1} &= \text{sign}[W \cdot x_t + b]; \\ x_{t+1} &= \text{sign}[W^T \cdot z_{t+1} + v]. \end{aligned}$$

We call  $(W, b, v)$  with this updation rule a Boltzmann machine (or low-density parity-check decoder).

**Theorem 18.** *Boltzmann machine is a special case of discrete-time Hopfield network. This, thus, ensures the convergence of Boltzmann machine.*

**Proof.** Define  $y := (z_1, \dots, z_L, x_1, \dots, x_A) \in \mathbb{R}^{L+A}$ ,  $h := (b_1, \dots, b_L, v_1, \dots, v_A)$ , and

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{0}_1 & W^T \\ W & \mathbb{0}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbb{0}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^A \times \mathbb{R}^A$ ,  $\mathbb{0}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^L \times \mathbb{R}^L$  are zero matrices. Then we have  $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $U_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha, \beta$ , and the updation rule can be viewed as an async-updation of Hopfield network  $(U, h)$ , which updates the first  $L$  components at each step of updation.  $\square$

## 3 References

1. [On autoencoder scoring.](#)
2. [Hopfield networks is All You Need.](#)