## 1 Neural ODE

## 1.1 Adjoint Method

Let M a manifold, and  $x(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, M)$  a trajectory, obeying

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t}(t) = f(t, x(t); \theta),$$

and

$$x(t_0) = x_0$$

where  $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \times M, T_M)$  parameterized by  $\theta$ . For  $\forall t_1 > t_0$ , let

$$x_1 := x_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t, x(t); \theta) dt.$$

Then

**Theorem 1.** Let  $C \in C^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\forall x(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, M)$  obeying dynamics  $f(t, x; \theta) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times M, T_M)$  with initial value  $x(t_0) = x_0$ . Denote

$$L := \mathcal{C}\left(x_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\tau, x(\tau); \theta) d\tau\right).$$

Then we have, for  $\forall t \in [t_0, t_1]$  given,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\alpha}(t)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{1}^{\alpha}} - \int_{t}^{t_{1}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\beta}(\tau)} \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}(\tau, x(\tau); \theta) d\tau,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\beta(\tau)} \frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial \theta}(\tau, x(\tau); \theta) \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

**Proof.** Suppose the x(t) is layerized, the L depends on the variables (inputs and model parameters) on the ith layer can be regarded as the loss of a new model by truncating the original at the ith layer, which we call  $L_i(z_i)$ .

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L_{i}}{\partial x_{i}^{\alpha}}(x_{i}) = & \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{i+1}^{\beta}}(x_{i+1}) \frac{\partial x_{i+1}^{\beta}}{\partial x_{i}^{\alpha}}(x_{i}) \\ = & \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{1}^{\beta}}(x_{i+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}^{\alpha}}(x_{i}^{\beta} + f^{\beta}(t_{i}, x_{i}; \theta) \Delta t) \\ = & \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{i+1}^{\alpha}}(x_{i+1}) + \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{i+1}^{\beta}}(x_{i+1}) \partial_{\alpha} f^{\beta}(t_{i}, x_{i}; \theta) \Delta t. \end{split}$$

This hints that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\alpha(t)} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\beta(t)}\frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha(t)}(t,x(t);\theta).$$

The initial value is  $\partial L/\partial x_1$ . Thus

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x(t)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} - \int_t^{t_1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\beta}(\tau)} \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\alpha}(\tau)} (\tau, x(\tau); \theta) d\tau.$$

Varing  $\theta$  will vary the  $L_i(x_i)$  from two aspects, the effect from  $\partial L_{i+1}/\partial \theta$  and the  $\Delta x_{i+1}$  caused by  $\Delta \theta$ .

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L_{i}}{\partial \theta}(x_{i}) = & \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial \theta}(x_{i+1}) + \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{i+1}} \frac{\partial x_{i+1}}{\partial \theta} \\ = & \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial \theta}(x_{i+1}) + \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(x_{i}^{\beta} + f^{\beta}(t_{i}, x_{i}; \theta) \Delta t) \\ = & \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial \theta}(x_{i+1}) + \frac{\partial L_{i+1}}{\partial x_{i+1}} \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial \theta}(t_{i}, x_{i}; \theta) \Delta t. \end{split}$$

This hints that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\alpha}(t)}\frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial \theta}(t, x(t), \theta).$$

The initial value is 0 since  $\mathcal{C}(.)$  is explicitly independent on  $\theta$ . Thus

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = -\int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\beta}(\tau)} \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial \theta} (\tau, x(\tau); \theta) d\tau.$$

# 2 Hopfield Network

#### 2.1 Discrete-time Hopfield Network

**Definition 2.** [Discrete-time Hopfield Network]

Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \{-1, +1\}^d$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define discrete-time dynamics

$$x^{\alpha}(t+1) = \operatorname{sign}(W^{\alpha}_{\beta} x^{\beta}(t) + b^{\alpha}).$$

**Lemma 3.** Let (x, W, b) a discrete-time Hopfield network. Define  $\mathcal{E}(x) := -(1/2)W_{\alpha\beta}x^{\alpha}x^{\beta} - b_{\alpha}x^{\alpha}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(x(t+1)) - \mathcal{E}(x(t)) \leq 0$ .

**Proof.** Consider async-updation of Hopfield network, that is, change the component at dimension  $\hat{\alpha}$ , i.e.  $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^{\beta} + b_{\hat{\alpha}}]$ , then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) &= -\frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \, {x'}^{\alpha} {x'}^{\beta} - b_{\alpha} {x'}^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \, x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} + b_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \\ &= -2 \, ({x'}^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) \, (W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \, x^{\beta} + b_{\hat{\alpha}}), \end{split}$$

which employs conditions  $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$  and  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ . Next, we prove that, combining with  $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^{\beta} + b_{\hat{\alpha}}]$ , this implies  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) \leq 0$ .

If  $(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) > 0$ , then  $x'^{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$  and  $x^{\hat{\alpha}} = -1$ . Since  $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \, x^{\beta} + b_{\hat{\alpha}}]$ ,  $W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \, x^{\beta} + b_{\hat{\alpha}} > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) < 0$ . Contrarily, if  $(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) < 0$ , then  $x'^{\hat{\alpha}} = -1$  and  $x^{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$ , implying  $W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} \, x^{\beta} + b_{\hat{\alpha}} < 0$ . Also  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) < 0$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) = 0$ . So, we conclude  $\mathcal{E}(x') - \mathcal{E}(x) \le 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let (x, W, b) a discrete-time Hopfield network. Then  $\exists t_{\star} < +\infty$ , s.t. x(t+1) = x(t).

**Proof.** Since the states of the network are finite, the  $\mathcal{E}$  is lower bounded. Thus  $\exists t_{\star} < +\infty$ , s.t. x(t+1) = x(t).

### 2.2 Continuous-time Hopfield Network

**Definition 5.** [Continuous-time Hopfield Network]

Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in [-1, +1]^d$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define dynamics

$$\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t}(t) = -x^{\alpha}(t) + f(W^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}x^{\beta}(t) + b^{\alpha}),$$

where  $\tau$  a constant and  $f: \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$  being increasing. The  $(x, W, b; \tau, f)$  is called a continuous-time Hopfield network.

#### Remark 6. With

$$\tau \frac{x^{\alpha}(t+\Delta t) - x^{\alpha}(t)}{\Delta t} = -x^{\alpha}(t) + f(W^{\alpha}_{\beta} x^{\beta}(t) + b^{\alpha}).$$

Setting  $\Delta t = \tau$  gives and f(.) = sign(.) gives

$$x^{\alpha}(t+\tau) = \operatorname{sign}(W^{\alpha}_{\beta}x^{\beta}(t) + b^{\alpha}),$$

which is the same as the discrete-time Hopfield network.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $(x, W, b; \tau, f)$  a continous-time Hopfield network. Define  $a^{\alpha} := W^{\alpha}_{\beta} x^{\beta} + b^{\alpha}$  and  $y^{\alpha} := f(a^{\alpha})$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}(y) := -\frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} y^{\alpha} y^{\beta} - b_{\alpha} y^{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{y^{\alpha}} f^{-1}(y^{\alpha}) dy^{\alpha}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{E}(y(x(t+dt))) - \mathcal{E}(y(x(t))) \leq 0$ .

**Proof.** The dynamics of  $a^{\alpha}$  is

$$\begin{split} \tau \frac{\mathrm{d} a^\alpha}{\mathrm{d} t} = & \tau W^\alpha{}_\beta \frac{\mathrm{d} x^\beta}{\mathrm{d} t} \\ = & W^\alpha{}_\beta [-x^\beta(t) + f(a^\beta)] \\ = & -(W^\alpha{}_\beta x^\beta(t) + b^\alpha) + b^\alpha + W^\alpha{}_\beta y^\beta \\ = & W^\alpha{}_\beta y^\beta + b^\alpha - a^\alpha. \end{split}$$

Since W is symmetric, we have  $\partial \mathcal{E}/\partial y^{\alpha} = -W_{\alpha\beta}y^{\beta} - b_{\alpha} + f^{-1}(y_{\alpha})$ . Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}y^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} (-W_{\alpha\beta}y^{\beta} - b_{\alpha} + f^{-1}(y_{\alpha}))$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}y^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} (-W_{\alpha\beta}y^{\beta} - b_{\alpha} + a_{\alpha})$$

$$= -\frac{\mathrm{d}y^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} (W_{\alpha\beta}y^{\beta} + b_{\alpha} - a_{\alpha})$$

Notice that, the second term of rhs is exactly the dynamics of  $a_{\alpha}$ , then

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{d}t} &= -\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}y^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\mathrm{d}a_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ &= -\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}y^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}a^{\alpha}} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}a^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\mathrm{d}a_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right) \\ &= -\tau f'(a^{\alpha}) \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}a^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{\mathrm{d}a_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right). \end{split}$$

**Remark 8.** The condition  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$  is not essential for this lemma. Indeed, this condition is absent in the proof. This differs from the case of discrete-time.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 9.** Let  $(x, W, b; \tau, f)$  a continous-time Hopfield network. Then for  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists t_{\star} < +\infty$ , s.t.  $\| dx/dt \| < \epsilon$ .

**Proof.** The function  $E := \mathcal{E} \circ y$  is lower bounded since y, i.e. function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ , is bounded. This E is a Lyapunov function for the continuous-time Hopfield network.

**Corollary 10.** Let  $(x, W, b; \tau, f)$  a continous-time Hopfield network. And  $D := \{x_n | x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d, n=1,...,N\}$  a dataset<sup>2</sup>. If add constraint  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$ , then we can train the Hopfield nework by seeking a proper parameters (W,b), s.t. its stable point covers the dataset as much as possible, by<sup>3</sup>

#### Algorithm 1

```
Given 1 > \Delta t > 0, and regularizer R, for step = 0, \ldots, S: for x_n \in D: y(W,b) := x(t_0 + \Delta t; W,b) \text{ by solving the ODE of Hopfield network with IV } x(t_0) := x_n \\ loss(W,b) := ||y(W,b) - x_n|| \\ update (W,b) \text{ by minimizing loss via gradient descent method.}
```

**Proof.** For  $\forall x_n \in D$ , we try to find (W, b), s.t. dx/dt = 0 at  $x_n$ , i.e.

$$x_n^{\alpha} = f(W_{\beta}^{\alpha} x_n^{\beta} + b^{\alpha}).$$

When  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$ ,  $f(W_{\beta}^{\alpha} x^{\beta} + b^{\alpha})$  thus has no information of  $x^{\alpha}$ , it has to predict the  $x^{\alpha}$  by the interaction between  $x^{\alpha}$  and the other x's components.

<sup>1.</sup> With experiments, we find that adding condition  $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$  for  $\forall \alpha$  significantly restricts the capacity of Hopfield network for learning, as well as its robustness.

<sup>2.</sup> We use Greek alphabet for component in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and Lattin alphabet for element in dataset.

<sup>3.</sup> This algorithm generalizes the algorithm 42.9 of Mackay. Directly using  $y^{\alpha}(W, b) := f(W^{\alpha}_{\beta} x^{\beta} + b^{\alpha})$  instead performs significantly worse than this approach. [Why?]