$$\begin{split} &L := L(z(t), t, \theta) \\ &\dot{z}^{\alpha}(t) =: f^{\alpha}(z(t), t, \theta) \\ &\Rightarrow z^{\alpha}(t+\epsilon) = z^{\alpha}(t) + \epsilon f^{\alpha}(z(t), t, \theta) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\partial z^{\beta}(t+\epsilon)}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)} = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} + \epsilon \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)}(z(t), t, \theta) \\ &a_{\alpha}(t) := \frac{\partial L}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)} \\ &\frac{\partial L}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z^{\beta}(t+\epsilon)} \frac{\partial z^{\beta}(t+\epsilon)}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)} \\ &\Rightarrow a_{\alpha}(t) = a_{\beta}(t+\epsilon) \frac{\partial z^{\beta}(t+\epsilon)}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)} = \left[a_{\beta}(t) + \epsilon \dot{a}_{\beta}(t)\right] \left[\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} + \epsilon \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)}(z(t), t, \theta)\right] \\ &\Rightarrow \dot{a}_{\alpha}(t) = -a_{\beta}(t) \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial z^{\alpha}(t)}(z(t), t, \theta) \end{split}$$

1 Calculation of $\partial L/\partial \theta$

Suppose the model is layerized, the loss depends on the variables (inputs and model parameters) on the *i*th layer can be regarded as the loss of a new model by truncating the original at the *i*th layer, which we call $L_i(z_i)$. Varing θ will vary the $L_0(z_0)$ from two aspects, the effect from $dL_1/d\theta$ and the Δz_1 caused by $\Delta \theta$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{dL}_0}{d\theta}(z_0) = \frac{\mathrm{dL}_1}{d\theta}(z_1) + \frac{\mathrm{dL}_1}{\mathrm{dz}_1} \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \theta}.$$

The same relation holds for any i, by simply considering a truncated model,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dL}_i}{d\theta}(z_0) = \frac{\mathrm{dL}_{i+1}}{d\theta}(z_{i+1}) + \frac{\mathrm{dL}_{i+1}}{\mathrm{dz}_{i+1}} \frac{\partial z_{i+1}}{\partial \theta}.$$

Thus we have, recursely,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\mathrm{dL_0}}{d\theta}(z_0) & = & \frac{\mathrm{dL_1}}{d\theta}(z_1) + \frac{\mathrm{dL_1}}{\mathrm{dz_1}} \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \theta} \\ & = & \left[\frac{\mathrm{dL_2}}{d\theta}(z_1) + \frac{\mathrm{dL_2}}{\mathrm{dz_2}} \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial \theta} \right] + \frac{\mathrm{dL_1}}{\mathrm{dz_1}} \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \theta} \\ & = & \frac{\mathrm{dL_2}}{d\theta}(z_1) + \frac{\mathrm{dL_2}}{\mathrm{dz_2}} \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\mathrm{dL_1}}{\mathrm{dz_1}} \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial \theta} \\ & = & \dots \\ & = & \frac{\mathrm{dL_N}}{d\theta}(z_1) + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\mathrm{dL_i}}{\mathrm{dz_i}} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial \theta}. \end{array}$$

By
$$z_{i+1}(t) = z_i(t) + \epsilon f(z_i(t), t; \theta), \ \partial z_i / \partial \theta = \epsilon \partial f(z_i, t; \theta) / \partial \theta$$

1 Continuum of Hopfield

1.1 Hopfield Network

Consider Hopfield network. Let $x(t) \in \{-1, +1\}^N$ denotes the state of the network at descrete time t = 0, 1, ...; and W a matrix on \mathbb{R}^N , essentially ensuring $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$ and $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$. Define energy $E_W(x(t)) := -W_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha}(t) x^{\beta}(t)$.

Theorem 1. Along dynamics $x_{\alpha}(t+1) = \text{sign}[W_{\alpha\beta}x^{\beta}(t)], E_W(x(t+1)) - E_W(x(t)) \leq 0.$

Proof. Consider the async-updation of Hopfield network. Let's change the component at dimension $\hat{\alpha}$, i.e. $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta}x^{\beta}]$, then

$$E_W(x') - E_W(x) = -W_{\alpha\beta} x'^{\alpha} x'^{\beta} + W_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}$$
$$= -2 (x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta} x^{\beta},$$

which employs conditions $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$ and $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$. Next, we prove that, combining with $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta}x^{\beta}]$, this implies $E_W(x') - E_W(x) \leq 0$.

If $(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) > 0$, then $x'^{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$ and $x^{\hat{\alpha}} = -1$. Since $x'_{\hat{\alpha}} = \text{sign}[W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta}x^{\beta}]$, $W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta}x^{\beta} > 0$. Then $E_W(x') - E_W(x) < 0$. Contrarily, if $(x'^{\hat{\alpha}} - x^{\hat{\alpha}}) < 0$, then $x'^{\hat{\alpha}} = -1$ and $x^{\hat{\alpha}} = 1$, implying $W_{\hat{\alpha}\beta}x^{\beta} < 0$. Also $E_W(x') - E_W(x) < 0$. Otherwise, $E_W(x') - E_W(x) = 0$. So, we conclude $E_W(x') - E_W(x) \le 0$.

Since the states of the network are finite, the E_W is lower bounded. Thus the network converges (relaxes) at finite t.

1.2 Continuum

Let's consider applying the convergence of Hopfield network to neural ODE for generic network architecture. This makes the descrete time t a continuum.

Theorem 2. Given any function $\mathcal{E} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and an arbitrary positive defined linear transformation U on \mathbb{R}^N . Let $x(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ denote trajectory. Then, $d\mathcal{E}/dt \leq 0$ along x(t) if

$$\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{dt}(t) = -U^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x^{\beta}}(x(t)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt}(t) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}(x(t)) \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{dt}(t) = -U^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}(x(t)) \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x^{\beta}}(x(t)) \leqslant 0.$$

Further, if the function \mathcal{E} is lower bounded, then the trajectory converges (relaxes) at finite t.

This is the continuum analogy to the convergence of Hopfield network. Indeed, let U the identity, and $\mathcal{E}(x) = -W_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}$ with $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$, then dynamics becomes

$$\frac{dx_{\alpha}}{dt}(t) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}(x(t)) = -2W_{\alpha\beta}x^{\beta}(t),$$

which makes

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt}(t) = -2\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{dt}(t) W_{\alpha\beta} x^{\beta}(t).$$

Comparing with the proof of convergence of Hopfield network, i.e. $\Delta E_W(x) = -2\Delta x^{\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta} x^{\beta}$, the analogy is obvious. The only differences are that the condition $W_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$ and the sign-function are absent here.