

**Comments and feedback from the class:**

Was neat to learn that the description didn't seem to matter much.

Good context for domain knowledge. Topic was really entertaining. Liked insights into years being more common in high scoring reviews.

The description of text-mining was good. Others asked for more explanation here.

What are cayuse and gaja? (words in the list)

Is there any reason you can think of that the words weren't as significant predictors as you expected?

What was the distribution of points in the data set?

Can you tease out more about the role of price in points?

Is there more you can do to visualize the relationship between price/variety/province and points?

Can you explain more about the gradient boosting aspect? What was good here about the ability to deal with many levels?

App was neat. Like the app a lot. Can you remind us what the size of the circles was? Are the findings expected here (not a wine person) in terms of what regions have lots of wine?

Comment from class on confirmation bias was neat to think about.

The visualization had top/bottom in it. What did that mean?

Can you say anything about differences between red and white wines?

**Comments and feedback from Prof. Wagaman:**

The presentation was a little short at 9:08. The time might have been spent on some more details. Your classmates had some thoughts on what they wanted to hear more about above.

The TF-IDF discussion was good. How easy was the package to use? Talk about your experience with it.

You mentioned you couldn't get some geocodes. Where there any patterns in the ones you couldn't get?

The map has good interactivity and you had a good demo of it.

Could you try a model without price/variety/province to see how the words do themselves?

Be sure to include references and appropriate titles on plots in the report, including appropriate data citation. Also, be sure to run spell-check to help catch minor typos.