Approximation Algorithms III

2023/11/23

詹博华 (中国科学院软件研究所)

Summary

We show two more techniques for designing approximation algorithms:

- Randomization: applied to the MAX-3-CNF satisfiability problem.
- (LP) Relaxation: applied to weighted vertex cover.

MAX-3-CNF Satisfiability

- We say that a randomized algorithm has approximation ratio $\rho(n)$ if, for any input of size n, the expected cost C of the solution produced by the randomized algorithm is within a factor of $\rho(n)$ of the cost of the optimal solution.
- MAX-3-CNF satisfiability: given a 3-CNF formula ϕ , return an assignment to variables in ϕ that **maximizes** the number of clauses satisfied.

MAX-3-CNF Satisfiability

Theorem: randomly setting each variable to 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and 0 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ yields a randomized $\frac{8}{7}$ -approximation algorithm.

Note: we assume each clause has exactly three distinct literals, with no clause containing both a variable and its negation.

MAX-3-CNF Satisfiability

Proof:

- Given a random assignment of variables x_i , each clause is satisfied with probability 7/8 (each literal is satisfied with probability 1/2, so the probability that all three literals are not satisfied has probability 1/8).
- This shows that the expected number of clauses that are satisfied is 7m/8, where m is the number of clauses (this makes crucial use of **linearity of expectation**,, E[A + B] = E[A] + E[B], even if random variables A and B are not independent).
- Since m is an upper bound on the number of satisfied clauses, we have approximation ratio $\frac{m}{7m/8} = 8/7$.

Weighted vertex-cover

- Consider a weighted generalization of the vertex cover problem.
- Given graph G = (V, E) where each vertex $v \in V$ has positive weight w(v).
- Find the vertex cover with minimum total weight.
- Approach: compute a lower bound on minimum-weight vertexcover using linear programming, then "round" the solution to obtain an actual vertex cover.

Conversion to Linear-Programming

- Associate a variable x(v) to each vertex $v \in V$.
- x(v) = 0 corresponds to not picking v, and x(v) = 1 corresponds to picking v for the vertex cover.
- This gives rise to a **0-1 integer program** as follows.

```
Minimize \sum_{v \in V} w(v)x(v)
Subject to x(u) + x(v) \ge 1 for each (u,v) \in E, and x(v) \in \{0,1\} for each v \in V.
```

Relaxation

- This alone does not help, since we know 0-1 integer programming is also NP-complete.
- However, we can relax the problem by removing the $x(v) \in \{0,1\}$ constraint into $0 \le x \le 1$ (for real number x).
- This gives a **linear programming** problem:

Minimize
$$\sum_{v \in V} w(v) x(v)$$

Subject to $x(u) + x(v) \ge 1$ for each $(u, v) \in E$, $x(v) \le 1$ and $x(v) \ge 0$ for each $v \in V$.

Relaxation

- Since we have simply relaxed constraints in converting the 0-1 integer program to linear program, any solution to the 0-1 integer program is a solution to the linear program.
- This shows optimal solution to the linear program gives a **lower bound** for the solution of 0-1 integer program.
- The linear program can be solved using simplex algorithm or (in guaranteed polynomial time) interior-point methods.

Approximation Algorithm

• From the solution x to the linear program, we can give an approximate solution to weighted vertex-cover as follows: for any $v \in V$, add v to the vertex cover if $x(v) \ge 1/2$.

```
APPROX-MIN-WEIGHT-VC (G, w)

1 C = \emptyset

2 compute \bar{x}, an optimal solution to the linear program in lines (35.17)–(35.20)

3 for each v \in V

4 if \bar{x}(v) \ge 1/2

5 C = C \cup \{v\}

6 return C
```

Approximation Algorithm

Theorem: the above algorithm solves weighted vertex-cover with approximation ratio 2.

Proof: we need to show two parts:

- 1. The algorithm does give a vertex-cover.
- 2. The total weight returned is within a factor of 2 of the optimal solution.

Proof of two parts

First part: the solution returned is a vertex cover.

• For each edge (u, v), we have the constraint $x(u) + x(v) \ge 1$ in the linear program. Hence at least one of x(u) and x(v) must have value $\ge 1/2$, so at least one of u and v is placed in the vertex cover.

Second part: the total weight is within factor of 2 of the optimal.

• Starting from solution to the linear program, which gives a lower bound on the total weight. The solution returned **increases** the weight of each picked vertex by **at most a factor of 2** (from \geq 1/2 to 1), and **reduces** the weight of other vertices (from \leq 1/2 to 0).

Summary: technique of relaxation

We have given an algorithm for weighted vertex-cover with approximation ratio 2.

The basic idea is:

- Relax the problem into one that is easier to solve, by removing/weakening some constraints.
- Solution to the weakened problem gives a lower bound on the optimal solution. Adjust the solution to the weakened problem so the original constraints are satisfied.

In this class, we have learned:

- Time complexity (divide and conquer, amortized analysis).
- Heaps and hash tables, sorting and searching.
- Red-black trees and B-trees.
- Dynamic programming and greedy algorithm.
- Graph algorithms: DFS, BFS, shortest-path, minimum spanning tree, network flow.
- Linear programming.
- NP-completeness and approximation algorithms.

But more importantly, we should have learned:

- How to choose appropriate algorithms for a known problem.
- How to design new algorithms for new problems.
- How to prove correctness and analyze efficiency of algorithms.
- How to identify problems that are too hard (NP-complete), and what to do for these problems.

Many topics in the textbook are left out:

- Randomized algorithms.
- Augmenting data structures (interval trees).
- Fibonacci heaps, van Emde Boas Trees, union-find.
- Algorithms in linear algebra and number theory.
- Fast fourier transform.
- String algorithms.
- Computational geometry.

But even more topics are not in the textbook at all:

- Local and global optimization (gradient methods, simulated annealing, genetic algorithms).
- Algorithms for SAT and its generalizations.
- Convex programming.
- Concurrent data structures.
- Distributed algorithms.

• ...

We are really just getting started!