

NOETHERIAN AND ARTINIAN

DEFINITION

Say $M \in \mathcal{R}\text{-mod}$.

- M is Noetherian if it satisfies ACC on submodules
- M is Artinian if it satisfies DCC on submodules

FACTS

- TFAE: (a) M is Noetherian
(b) Each submodule of M is finitely generated
(c) Any nonempty collection of submodules of M has a max member
- M is Artinian \Leftrightarrow Any nonempty collection of submodules of M has a min member

Note: If R is not commutative, we would say it's left-Noetherian/left-Artinian instead

For simplicity, assume R is commutative, then R is a Noetherian/Artinian ring and M is a Noetherian/Artinian R -module.

QUESTION

How do we define a reasonable notation for the size of a module?

DEFINITION

A chain $M = C_0 \supseteq C_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq C_r = 0$ is called a composition series if each factor C_{i-1}/C_i is simple, i.e. $\neq 0$ and has no submodule other than 0 and itself.

Here, r is called the composition length

MAIN THEOREM

If M has a composition series, then all its composition series have the same length, denoted by $\ell(M)$ ($\ell(M) = \infty$ if M has no composition series)

Strategy

Apply Schrier refinement thm and Jordan-Hölder thm

SCHRIER REFINEMENT THEOREM

For any two chains in M , $M = C_0 \supseteq C_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq C_r = 0$ as chain C and $M = D_0 \supseteq D_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq D_s = 0$ as chain D , they have isomorphic refinements $\tilde{C} \cong \tilde{D}$ ($\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}_i$, $\tilde{C}_{i-1}/\tilde{C}_i \cong \tilde{D}_{i'-1}/\tilde{D}_{i'}$, $i \mapsto i'$ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, r\}$)

JORDAN-HÖLDER THEOREM

Any two composition series C and D are isomorphic

Proof

If we have Schrier refinement thm, then $C \rightarrow \tilde{C}$, $D \rightarrow \tilde{D} \Rightarrow \tilde{C} \cong \tilde{D} \therefore C \cong D$

PROOF OF SCHRIER REFINEMENT THEOREM

Define $C_{ij} = (C_{i-1} \cap D_j) + C_i$ for $i=1, \dots, r$, $j=0, \dots, s$

$D_{ji} = (D_{j-1} \cap C_i) + D_j$ for $i=0, \dots, r$, $j=1, \dots, s$

\therefore We construct $M = C_0 = C_{10} \supseteq C_{11} \supseteq C_{12} \supseteq \dots \supseteq C_{1s} = C_1 = C_{20} \supseteq C_{21} \supseteq \dots \supseteq C_{rs} = 0$

and also $M = D_0 = D_{10} \supseteq D_{11} \supseteq D_{12} \supseteq \dots \supseteq D_{1r} = D_1 = D_{20} \supseteq D_{21} \supseteq \dots \supseteq D_{rs} = 0$

Assuming none of the elements overlap, then we have both chains of length rs

Notice, (Butterfly lemma)

$$C_{i,j-1}/C_{ij} = \frac{(C_{i-1} \cap D_{j-1}) + C_i}{(C_{i-1} \cap D_j) + C_i} \cong \frac{C_{i-1} \cap D_{j-1}}{C_{i-1} \cap D_j + C_i \cap D_j} = \frac{D_{j-1} \cap C_{i-1} + D_j}{(D_{j-1} \cap C_i) + D_j} \cong D_{ji}/D_{ji}$$

If $C_{j,j-1} = C_{ij}$, then we get $D_{j,j-1}/D_{ij} \cong C_{j,j-1}/C_{ij} = 0 \Rightarrow D_{j,j-1} = D_{ij}$, so omit $C_{j,j-1}$ and $D_{j,j-1}$, which means our length is still preserved and equal. \square

Shun/翔海 (@shun4midx)

THEOREM

TFAE

- (a) M has a composition series
- (b) M is both Noetherian and Artinian

Proof

- (a) \Rightarrow (b): Suppose $l(M) = n$ and $M = D_0 \supseteq D_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq D_n = 0$ as a composition series
 Assume M is not Noetherian, i.e. $\exists 0 = N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq N_n \subsetneq \dots$
 Define a chain $C: M \supseteq C_0 \supsetneq C_1 = N_n \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq C_n = N_1 = 0$
 \therefore By Schreier's thm, $\exists \tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{D} = D \Rightarrow \tilde{C}$ is a composition series ~~✗~~
 (b) \Rightarrow (a): $\because M$ is Noetherian
 $\therefore \exists$ a max submodule C_1 of $M \Rightarrow \exists$ a max submodule C_2 of $C_1 \Rightarrow \dots$
 In other words, $M = C_0 \supsetneq C_1 \supsetneq C_2 \supsetneq \dots$
 $\therefore M$ is Artinian
 $\therefore \exists n$, s.t. $C_n = 0$
 \therefore We have constructed M as a composition series (of finite length). \square

EXAMPLE OF WHY NOT ALL RINGS ARE ARTINIAN

$l(\mathbb{Z}) = \infty$

- \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian since it is a PID
- However, \mathbb{Z} is not Artinian! Consider the following infinitely long DCC: $\langle 2 \rangle \supsetneq \langle 2^2 \rangle \supsetneq \langle 2^3 \rangle \supsetneq \dots$

EXAMPLE OF AN ARTINIAN RING

Say $m = p_1 \dots p_r$

Claim: $\mathbb{Z}/\langle m \rangle \supsetneq \langle p_1 \rangle/\langle m \rangle \supsetneq \langle p_1 p_2 \rangle/\langle m \rangle \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \langle p_1 p_2 \dots p_r \rangle/\langle m \rangle = 0$ is a composition series

Proof

Notice, $\mathbb{Z}/\langle m \rangle / \langle p_1 \rangle/\langle m \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/\langle p_1 \rangle$ is a field and is hence simple

Then, $\langle p_1 \rangle/\langle m \rangle / \langle p_1 p_2 \rangle/\langle m \rangle \cong \langle p_1 \rangle/\langle p_1 p_2 \rangle$ is simple since $\langle p_1 \rangle \not\supseteq \langle p_1 p_2 \rangle$

$\therefore l(\mathbb{Z}/\langle m \rangle) = r$

ARTINIAN RING

PROPOSITION

If R is Artinian, then $\# \text{Max } R < \infty$

Proof

Define $S := \{ \bigcap_{i=1}^n m_i \mid m_i \in \text{Max } R \} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists$ a minimal member, say $m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_k$ both are max ideals

Now, for $m \in \text{Max } R$, $m \cap (m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_k) = m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_k \Rightarrow m \supseteq m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_k \Rightarrow m \supseteq m_i$ for some $i \Rightarrow m = m_i$

otherwise, $\exists x_i \in m_i \setminus m, x_1 \dots x_k \in m_1 \cap \dots \cap m_k \subseteq m$

PROPOSITION 2

If R is Artinian and $\text{Max } R = \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$, then $\exists n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $\{0\} = m_1^{n_1} \dots m_k^{n_k} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k m_i^{n_i}$

Proof

Since R is Artinian, it satisfies DCC, so $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $m_i^{n_i} = m_i^{n_i+1}$ (何てせよ、その descending chain は終る事ができる)

If $m_1^{n_1} m_2^{n_2} \dots m_k^{n_k} \neq 0$, then $S = \{ J \subseteq R \mid J m_1^{n_1} \dots m_k^{n_k} \neq 0 \} \neq \emptyset$

Let $0 \neq J_0$ be a minimal member of S . Pick $0 \neq x \in J_0$, s.t. $\langle x \rangle \in S \Rightarrow \langle x \rangle = J_0$, since $\langle x \rangle \subseteq J_0$

Observe that $xm_1^{n_1} \cdots m_k^{n_k} = (xm_1 \cdots m_k)(m_1^{n_1} \cdots m_k^{n_k}) \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow xm_1 \cdots m_k \in S \Rightarrow xm_1 \cdots m_k = (m_1 \cdots m_k)xR = \langle x \rangle = xR$. By Nakayama lemma, $xR=0 \Rightarrow x=0$ ~~✗~~
 $\hookrightarrow xR \cdots R = xR = \langle x \rangle$

PROPOSITION 3

If R is Artinian, then $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$, where R_i is an Artinian local ring (one maximal ideal)

Proof (critical race theory (ixtfc) の www, born to yap about gender, ended up as a STEM major fr)

By CRT, $R = R/\langle 0 \rangle = R/m_1^{n_1} \cdots m_k^{n_k} = R/m_1^{n_1} \cap \cdots \cap m_k^{n_k} \subseteq R/m_1^{n_1} \times \cdots \times R/m_k^{n_k}$

Write $R_i = R/m_i^{n_i}$. If $\bar{m} \in \text{Max } R_i$, say $\bar{m} = \bar{m}/m_i^{n_i}$, then $m \supseteq m_i^{n_i} \Rightarrow m \supseteq m_i \Rightarrow m = m_i$.

That is, $\text{Max } R_i = \{\bar{m}_i\}$ \square

PROPOSITION 4

In a ring R , if we can find max ideals m_1, \dots, m_n not necessarily in different R , s.t. $m_1 \cdots m_n = 0$, then R is Noetherian $\Leftrightarrow R$ is Artinian

Proof Consider $R \supseteq m_1 \supseteq m_1 m_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq m_1 \cdots m_n = 0$

Let $M_i = m_1 \cdots m_{i-1}/m_i \cdots m_n$, which is an R/m_i -module (a field) since $m_i M_i = 0$

Thus, M_i is Artinian $\Leftrightarrow M_i$ is Noetherian

Also, $0 \supseteq m_1 \cdots m_n \supseteq m_1 \cdots m_{n-1} \supseteq M_1 \supseteq 0$

$m_0 = R$ is Artinian $\Leftrightarrow m_1, M_1$ are Artinian $\Leftrightarrow m_1, m_2, M_1 M_2$ are Artinian $\Leftrightarrow \cdots \Leftrightarrow 0 = m_1 \cdots m_n, M_1, \dots, M_n$ are Artinian

$\hookrightarrow 0 \supseteq m_1 \supseteq R \supseteq M_1 \supseteq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow 0 = m_1 \cdots m_n, M_1, \dots, M_n$ are Noetherian $\Leftrightarrow \cdots \Leftrightarrow m_1, M_1$ are Noetherian $\Leftrightarrow m_0 = R$ is Noetherian

REMARK

R is Artinian $\Leftrightarrow R$ is Noetherian and $\text{Max } R = \text{Spec } R$ $\forall R$

Proof

" \Rightarrow ": Proposition 2 + Proposition 4 + $\text{Max } R = \text{Spec } R$ in Artinian rings \checkmark

" \Leftarrow ": $\langle 0 \rangle = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$ (primary decomposition (来週の水曜日(はこの)ノートを書くよ!))

Here, $\sqrt{q_i} = m_i$

Since m_i is finitely generated, $\exists m_i^{n_i}$ s.t. $m_i^{n_i} \subseteq q_i$. Hence, $m_1^{n_1} \cap \cdots \cap m_k^{n_k} = m_1^{n_1} \cdots m_k^{n_k} \subseteq q_1 \cap \cdots \cap q_k = 0$

(Noetherian)

$\therefore m_1^{n_1} \cdots m_k^{n_k} = 0$, so R is Artinian \square