## **Algebra II Theorems**

By Shun (@shun4midx)

#### **Statements**

**Notice:** I have briefly mentioned this in my README.md document, but by "Theorems", I refer to not just Theorems, but also Lemmas, Propositions, Corollaries and other things of the sort.

## 2-19-25 (Week 1): Rings and Modules (Quotient)

**Fact 1.1.** For the relationship between I and R/I,

- (1) I is  $\max \Leftrightarrow R/I$  is a field
- (2) I is **prime**  $\Leftrightarrow R/I$  is an **integral domain**

Fact 1.2.  $\mathcal{N}_R \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ , i.e. it is an ideal, and  $R/\mathcal{N}_R$  is reduced

**Proposition 1.1.** 
$$\mathcal{N}_R = \cap_{P \in \operatorname{Spec} R} P$$

Corollary 1.1. 
$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\operatorname{Spec} R \ni P \supseteq I} P$$

**Example 1.1.** Usually 
$$\sqrt{I^n} \neq I$$
, but if  $P' \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ , then  $\sqrt{(P')^n} = P'$ 

Fact 1.3. The following are true about primary ideals

- (1) Q is **primary**  $\Leftrightarrow R/Q \neq 0$  and the **zero-divisors** in R/Q are **nilpotent**
- (2) If Q is **primary**, then  $\sqrt{Q}$  is the **smallest prime ideal** containing Q

**Example 1.2.** 
$$\sqrt{I} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \neq I$$
 is **primary** (Key example)

### **Statements and Proof Outlines**

**Notice:** I would give enough detail but not write my proofs formally here. They are proof **outlines** so it is easier to recall **for me**, not actual proofs nor in complete English. It may look messy to you, that's understandable. I have a certain chronic eye condition and am dyslexic, so I need such "messiness" to understand what I write. When I can't color-code stuff like in my notes, this is the best alternative.

#### 2-19-25 (Week 1): Rings and Modules (Quotient)

**Fact 1.1.** For the relationship between I and R/I,

- (1) I is  $\max \Leftrightarrow R/I$  is a field
- (2) I is prime  $\Leftrightarrow R/I$  is an integral domain

Proof.

- (1) " $\Rightarrow$ ":  $\forall \ \overline{0} \neq \overline{x} \in R/I, \ x \notin I \Rightarrow \langle x \rangle + I \supseteq I \Rightarrow \langle x \rangle + I = R$ . In particular,  $1 \in R \Rightarrow \exists \ a \in I$ , s.t.  $yx + a = 1 \Rightarrow \overline{yx} = \overline{1} \Rightarrow \overline{y} = \overline{x}^{-1}$  " $\Leftarrow$ ": Let  $I \subsetneq J$ , pick x in  $J \setminus I$ ,  $\overline{x} \neq \overline{0}$  in R/I. Let  $\overline{y} \in R/I$ , st.  $\overline{yx} = \overline{1} \Rightarrow yx + a = 1, \ a \in J$ . In particular,  $\underline{1} \in J \Rightarrow \forall \ r \in R, 1(r) = r \in J : R = J$ , and hence is  $\mathbf{max}$
- (2) " $\Rightarrow$ ":  $\overline{xy} = \overline{0}$  and  $\overline{x} \neq \overline{0} \Rightarrow xy \in I$  and  $x \notin I \Rightarrow y \in I \Rightarrow \overline{y} = \overline{0}$ , by def, OK " $\Leftarrow$ ":  $xy \in I$  and  $x \notin I \Rightarrow \overline{xy} = \overline{0}$  and  $\overline{x} \neq \overline{0} \Rightarrow \overline{y} = \overline{0} \Rightarrow y \in I$ , by def, OK

**Fact 1.2.**  $\mathcal{N}_R \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ , i.e. it is an **ideal**, and  $R/\mathcal{N}_R$  is **reduced** 

*Proof.*  $a,b \in \mathcal{N}_R$ , say  $a^n = 0$ ,  $b^m = 0$  and  $r \in R \Rightarrow \underline{(ra)^n} = r^n a^n = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{ra \in \mathcal{N}_R}$  and  $\underline{(a+b)^{n+m}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+m} \binom{n+m}{i} \ a^i \ b^{m+n-i} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{a+b \in \mathcal{N}_R}$ . For **reduced**, of course, quotient  $\mathcal{N}_R$  means no more non-zero nilpotent

**Proposition 1.1.**  $n_R = \bigcap_{P \in \operatorname{Spec} R} P$ 

Proof.

- " $\subseteq$ ": For  $a \in \mathcal{N}_R$ , say  $\underline{a^n = 0 \in P} \ \forall P \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ . By def of  $P, a \in P \ \forall P \Rightarrow a \in \operatorname{RHS}$
- "\(\sup \)": Use contraposition and Zorn's Lemma. Let  $a \notin \mathcal{N}_R$ ,  $S = \{_R \mathcal{M} \ni I \subseteq R \mid \underline{a^n \notin I} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ . Note  $\underline{S \neq \emptyset}$  since  $\{0\} \in S \ (a \notin \mathcal{N}_R \Rightarrow a^k \neq 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N})$

Define **partial order** " $\leq$ " in S as " $I \leq J \Leftrightarrow I \subseteq J$ ". Let  $\{I_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  be a **chain** in S. Then,  ${}_R\mathcal{M} \ni I := \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$  is a **least upper bound** of  $\{I_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ . (Module because  $a, b \in I \Rightarrow a \in I_i, b \in I_j \Rightarrow I_i \subseteq I_j$  or  $I_j \subseteq I_i \Rightarrow a + b \subseteq I_i$  or  $I_j \subseteq I$ ). By **Zorn's Lemma**,  $\exists$  a **max element** Q in S

Claim 1.1.  $Q \in \operatorname{Spec} R$  (Then  $a \notin Q \Rightarrow a \notin \operatorname{RHS}$ )

*Proof.*  $x \notin Q \Rightarrow \langle x \rangle + Q \supsetneq Q \Rightarrow \underline{\langle x \rangle} + Q \notin S \Rightarrow \underline{a^n \in \langle x \rangle} + Q$ . Similarly,  $y \notin Q \Rightarrow a^m \in \langle y \rangle + Q$ . Thus,  $x \notin Q$ ,  $y \notin Q \Rightarrow a^{m+n} \in \langle xy \rangle + Q \Rightarrow \langle xy \rangle + Q \supsetneq Q$ , i.e.  $\underline{xy \notin Q}$  (def of prime ideal)

Corollary 1.1.  $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\text{Spec} R \ni P \supset I} P$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: R \longrightarrow R/I$ . Then,  $\sqrt{I} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_{R/I}) = \phi^{-1}(\bigcap_{\overline{P} \in \operatorname{Spec} R/I} \overline{P}) = \bigcap_{\operatorname{Spec} R \ni P \supseteq I} P$ ,  $\overline{P} = P/I$   $r \longmapsto \overline{r}$ 

**Example 1.1.** Usually  $\sqrt{I^n} \neq I$ , but if  $P' \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ , then  $\sqrt{(P')^n} = P'$ 

*Proof.* "
$$\subseteq$$
": By Prop 1.1,  $\boxed{\sqrt{(P')^n} = \cap_{P\subseteq (P')^n}P} \subseteq P'$  " $\supseteq$ ":  $\forall \ x \in P', \ \underline{x^n \in (P')^n} \Rightarrow x \in \sqrt{(P')^n}$ 

#### Fact 1.3. The following are true about primary ideals

- (1) Q is **primary**  $\Leftrightarrow R/Q \neq 0$  and the **zero-divisors** in R/Q are **nilpotent**
- (2) If Q is **primary**, then  $\sqrt{Q}$  is the **smallest prime ideal** containing Q

Proof.

(1) "\(\Rightarrow\)": 
$$\overline{xy} = \overline{0}, \ \overline{x} \neq 0 \Rightarrow xy \in Q, \ x \notin Q \Rightarrow y^n \in Q \Rightarrow \boxed{(\overline{y})^n = \overline{0}}$$
"\(\infty\)":  $\underline{xy} \in Q, \ x \notin Q \Rightarrow \overline{xy} = \overline{0}, \ \overline{x} \neq \overline{0} \text{ in } R/Q \Rightarrow (\overline{y})^n = \overline{0} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \boxed{y^n \in Q}$ 

(2) " $\sqrt{Q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ ": We know  $\underline{xy} \in \sqrt{Q} \Rightarrow (xy)^n = \underline{x^n y^n} \in \underline{Q}$ .  $\underline{x} \notin \sqrt{Q} \Rightarrow x^m \notin Q \forall m \Rightarrow \underline{x^n \notin Q}$ . By def,  $\underline{(y^n)^l} \in \underline{Q} \Rightarrow y \in \sqrt{\overline{Q}}$ 

"Smallest": By Prop 1.1, 
$$\sqrt{Q} = \bigcap_{P \supset Q} P \Rightarrow \sqrt{Q} \subset P$$
, so  $\forall P \in \operatorname{Spec} R, \ P \supseteq Q$ 

# Example 1.2. $\sqrt{I} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \neq I$ is primary

*Proof.* For  $R = \mathbb{R}[x,y]$ , we need  $xy \in I$  and  $x \notin I \Rightarrow y^n \in I$ , so  $I = \langle x^2, xy \rangle$  is **not primary**. Notice,  $I = \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x, y \rangle^2$ .

Now,  $R/\langle x \rangle = \mathbb{R}[x,y]/\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{R}[y]$ , which is **not a field**  $\therefore \underline{\langle x \rangle}$  is **not a maximal ideal**.  $R/\langle x,y \rangle \cong \mathbb{R}$ , which is a field  $\Rightarrow \langle x,y \rangle$  is a **max ideal** 

Now, we know 
$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\langle x \rangle} \cap \sqrt{\langle x, y \rangle^2} = \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x, y \rangle = \boxed{\langle x \rangle}$$
, which is **primary**