

PID AND UFD

Today, assume R is an integral domain.

DEFINITION

Let $p \in R \setminus \bar{R}$ ($\bar{R} = R^* \cup \{0\}$). We say p is a **prime** if " $p|ab \Rightarrow p|a$ or $p|b$ ", and we say p is **irreducible** if " $p=ab \Rightarrow a \in R^*$ or $b \in R^*$ ".

FACT 1

1. Prime \Rightarrow irreducible

Proof

$p=ab \Rightarrow p|ab \Rightarrow p|a$ or $p|b$. Say $p|a$, then $a=pc \Rightarrow p=pcb \Rightarrow cb=1$, so $b \in R^*$. Similar for $p|b \Rightarrow a \in R^*$

2. Irreducible $\not\Rightarrow$ prime (\star important)

Example: In A_{-5} , we have $2(3) = (1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5})$

\hookrightarrow " $1+\sqrt{-5}$ is irred": $1+\sqrt{-5} = \alpha\beta \Rightarrow N(1+\sqrt{-5}) = 6 = N(\alpha)N(\beta) \Rightarrow N(\alpha)=1$ or $N(\beta)=1$

$\hookrightarrow (1+\sqrt{-5}) \nmid 2, 3$: If $2 = (1+\sqrt{-5})\alpha$, then $N(2) = N(1+\sqrt{-5})N(\alpha) \Rightarrow N(\alpha) = \frac{2}{3} \notin \mathbb{N} \times$

PROPOSITION 1

Let R be a PID and $p \in R \setminus \bar{R}$. TFAE:

- (a) p is irr
- (b) $\langle p \rangle \in \text{Max } R$
- (c) $\langle p \rangle \in \text{Spec } R$
- (d) p is a prime

Proof

"(a) \Rightarrow (b)": $\exists M \in \text{Max } R$, s.t. $\langle p \rangle \subseteq M = \langle m \rangle \Rightarrow "p=um \Rightarrow u \in R^*$ or $m \in R^*" \Rightarrow m=u^{-1}p \Rightarrow \langle m \rangle \subseteq \langle p \rangle \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle = \langle m \rangle = M$

"(b) \Rightarrow (c)": OK

"(c) \Rightarrow (d)": $p|ab \Rightarrow ab \in \langle p \rangle \Rightarrow a \in \langle p \rangle$ or $b \in \langle p \rangle \Rightarrow p|a$ or $p|b$

"(d) \Rightarrow (a)": By fact

DEFINITION

R is a **unique factorization domain (UFD)** if:

- $\forall a \in R \setminus \bar{R}, \exists u \in R^*, \text{ irr } p_i: \forall i=1, \dots, r$, s.t. $a = up_1 p_2 \dots p_r$
- If $a = up_1 \dots p_r = vq_1 \dots q_s$, then $r=s$ and $p_i \sim q_i$: after some change of the indices $\forall i=1, \dots, r$

PROPOSITION 2

R is a UFD \Leftrightarrow $\begin{cases} \text{ACC on principal ideals, i.e. } \langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \dots \text{ and } \exists k, \text{ s.t. } \langle a_k \rangle = \langle a_{k+1} \rangle = \dots \\ \text{irr} \Rightarrow \text{prime} \end{cases}$

Proof

" \Rightarrow ": Assume that $0 \neq \langle a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_3 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$

$\therefore \langle a_1 \rangle \neq R, \langle a_2 \rangle \neq R$

$\therefore a_1, a_2 \in R \setminus \bar{R}$, say $a_1 = up_1 \dots p_n, a_2 = vq_1 \dots q_m$

Now, $a_1 \in \langle a_2 \rangle \Rightarrow a_2 | a_1 \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 b \Rightarrow up_1 \dots p_n = vq_1 \dots q_m b$

$\langle a_1 \rangle \neq \langle a_2 \rangle \Rightarrow a_1 \nmid a_2 \Rightarrow b \notin R^* \Rightarrow b = v'q'_1 \dots q'_s, r \geq 1$

\hookrightarrow UFD

By uniqueness, $n = m + r, r \geq 1 \Rightarrow n > m, q_i \sim p_i: \forall i=1, \dots, m$. We conclude that $a_2 = u'p_1 \dots p_m$

Similarly, $a_3 = u''p_1 \dots p_s, s \leq m \leq n$, etc... However, $\{p_i\}$ is a finite set \times

Let a be irr and abc , say $bc=ad$.

$\hookrightarrow b=0$ or $c=0$: $abc=0 \Rightarrow a|0 \Rightarrow a|b$ or $a|c$

$\hookrightarrow b \in R^\times$ or $c \in R^\times$: Say $b \in R^\times$, $c=adb^{-1} \Rightarrow a|c$. Similarly, $c \in R^\times \Rightarrow a|b$.

$\hookrightarrow b \in R \setminus R^\times$ or $c \in R \setminus R^\times$: Let $b=up_1 \dots p_n$, $c=vq_1 \dots q_m$, then a is irr and $u p_1 \dots p_n q_1 \dots q_m = ad \Rightarrow a|p_i$ or $a|q_j \Rightarrow a|b$ or $a|c$

" \Leftarrow ": Existence: Let $a \in R \setminus R^\times$.

Claim: a has at least one irr factor

Proof

If a is irr, then done. Otherwise, $a=a_1 b_1$, $a_1, b_1 \notin R^\times$.

\Rightarrow If a_1 is irr, then done. Otherwise $a_1=a_2 b_2$, $a_2, b_2 \notin R^\times$.

\vdots

\therefore Eventually, $\exists a_n$ that is irr. Otherwise, we find $\langle a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_3 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$ nonending \times

Now, if a is irr, then done. Otherwise, $a=p_1 a_1$ with irr p_1 and $a_1 \notin R^\times$.

If a_1 is irr, then done. Otherwise, $a_1=p_2 a_2$ with irr p_2 and $a_2 \notin R^\times$.

\vdots

Eventually, \exists irr a_n and $a_{n-1} = p_n a_n$ ^{Key} Otherwise, we find $\langle a_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle a_2 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$ nonending \times

Hence, $a=p_1 \dots p_n a_n = p_1 \dots p_n p_{n+1}$, which is a prime decomposition. \checkmark

Uniqueness: Let $a=u p_1 \dots p_n = v q_1 \dots q_m$

By induction on n , $n=1 \Rightarrow u p_1 = v q_1 \dots q_m \Rightarrow p_1 = u^{-1} v q_1 \dots q_m \Rightarrow m=1$ and $p_1 \sim q_1$.

For $n>1$, $p_1 q_1 \dots q_m \Rightarrow p_1 q_i$ for some i , say $q_i = q_1$, write $q_1 = p_1 w$

^{irr=prime}

Then, $u p_1 \dots p_n = v w p_1 q_2 \dots q_m \Rightarrow$ By induction hypothesis, $n-1=m-1 \Rightarrow n=m$ and $p_i \sim q_i \forall i=2, \dots, m$. \checkmark

THEOREM

PID \Rightarrow UFD

Proof

• "irr \Rightarrow prime": Ref above

• " $\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \dots$ ": Let $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle$, which is also an ideal. Say $I = \langle a \rangle$ and $a \in \langle a_k \rangle$ for some k . Then, $I = \langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle a_k \rangle \subseteq \langle a_{k+1} \rangle \subseteq \dots \subseteq I \Rightarrow \langle a_k \rangle = \langle a_{k+1} \rangle = \dots \checkmark$

RING OF GAUSSIAN INTEGERS

Gaussian Integers: A_{-1} is a ED, PID, and UFD. (We underline things to prove here in orange)

• $A_{-1}^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$: $N(a) = N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow a = \pm 1, b=0$ or $a=0, b=\pm 1 \checkmark$

• $\alpha \in A_{-1} \setminus A_{-1}^\times$ is a Gauss prime $\Rightarrow N(\alpha) = p$ or p^2 for some prime integer p .

\hookrightarrow Write $N(\alpha) = \alpha \bar{\alpha} = p_1 \dots p_n$, prime integers p_i . Then, $\alpha | p_1 \dots p_n \Rightarrow \alpha | p_i$ for some i .

Say $p_i = \alpha \beta \Rightarrow p_i = \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta} \Rightarrow \bar{\alpha} | p_i$. So, $\alpha \bar{\alpha} = N(\alpha) | p_i^2 \Rightarrow N(\alpha) = p$ or $p^2 \checkmark$

• If $N(\alpha) = p^2$, say $p = \alpha \beta \Rightarrow \bar{p} = \bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}$. So, $p^2 = N(\alpha) N(\beta) \Rightarrow N(\beta) = 1 \Rightarrow \beta \in A_{-1}^\times \Rightarrow p \sim \alpha$ is a Gauss prime

CLAIM

$p \sim \alpha$ is a Gauss prime $\Leftrightarrow x^2+1$ is irr in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Proof

Consider $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[i] = A_{-1}$
 $f(x) \mapsto f(i)$

Then, $\ker \varphi = \{f(x) | f(i) = 0\} = \langle x^2+1 \rangle$ (Proof: Gauss Lemma in the next section)

By 1st isom thm, $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2+1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[i] = A_{-1}$.

Now, p is a Gauss prime $\Leftrightarrow \langle p \rangle \in \text{Max } A_{-1}$

By 3rd isom thm, $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle p, x^2+1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle p \rangle / \langle x^2+1 \rangle / \langle p \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle p \rangle / \langle x^2+1 \rangle$ is a field, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\langle p \rangle$ is a field $\Leftrightarrow x^2+1 \in \text{Max } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^2+1 \text{ is irr in } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(x)$$

Shun/羊羽海 (@shun4midx)

CLAIM

p is not a Gauss prime $\Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $p=2$

Proof

Say $p = \alpha\bar{\alpha} \Leftrightarrow x^2+1$ is irr in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}(x)$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \text{ has integer solution}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } \langle a \rangle = 4 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times, \cdot) \text{ or } p=2$$

(Lagrange) $\Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ s.t. } 4 \mid |\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times| = p-1 \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } p=2$

Opposite direction: $2^2=4 \mid p-1 = |\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times|$. By Sylow I, $\exists H \leq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times$, s.t. $|H| = |\langle a \rangle| = 4$ ↖ cyclic

$$\therefore p = a^2 + b^2 = N(a+bi) \Leftrightarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } p=2$$

CLAIM

$$n = A^2 + B^2 \Leftrightarrow n = 2^k p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s}, p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, q_j \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, b_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

Proof

" \Rightarrow ": For $n = N(A+Bi) = N(\alpha_1)N(\alpha_2) \cdots N(\alpha_k)$, write $A+Bi = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k$, α_i : Gauss prime

Here, $N(\alpha_i) = p$ or $p^2 \Leftrightarrow (p=2 \text{ or } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4})$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ↖ Related to p as norm ↖ related to p^2 as norm

" \Leftarrow ": $2 = (1-i)(1+i)$, $(1+i) \sim (1-i)$, write $p_i = \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_i$

Let $(1+i)^k \alpha_1^{a_1} \cdots \alpha_r^{a_r} q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_s^{b_s} = A+Bi$, then $(1-i)^k \bar{\alpha}_1^{a_1} \cdots \bar{\alpha}_r^{a_r} \bar{q}_1^{b_1} \cdots \bar{q}_s^{b_s} = A-Bi$

Multiplying the two, we get $n = A^2 + B^2$