```
Shun/對沙安(@shun4midx)
THEOREM 2 (Uniqueness of a Spliting Field)
Let 7: K->K' be an Bomorphism of fields. Let f(x) EK(x) with a splitting field Lover K. Then, 7 can be extended to an Bomorphism
o: L → L' and T(f(x)) e K'(x) with a splitting field L' over K'.
Proof
By induction on n= deg f,
  · n=1: L=K and L'=k', so set o=T
  · n>1: Assume flat=0. Since majelf=> F(maje) | F(f), 3 REL', s.t. F(maje)(B)=0 and F(maje)=mpje
                 By lemma, 7 7: K(A) ~> K'(B) which extends T
                 On one hand, we can write flx=(x-d)fi(x), fi(x) < K(a)(x). Note, L is a soliting field for fi over K(a).
                 On the other hand, \( \tau(f(x)) = \tau_1(f(x)) = (x-\tau(x))(\tau_1(f_1(x))) = \( \tau_2(f_1(x)) \Rightarrow \tau_1(f_1(x)) \Ri
                 . By induction hypothesis, Ti is extended to an isom oclast which is also an extension of T 1
REMARK
The number of such extensions of T is <[(:K)
# of T_1 \leq \deg M_{a,K} = [K(d): K]
                                                                                               :. Total < [L:KIA))[KIA): K)=(L:K)
By induction hypothesis, # of o ove T, { [L: kld])
THEOREM 3
If Yk, is algebraic and 0≠7: k, → Kz with Kz algebraically closed, then 7 can be extended to 0: L→ Kz
Set S= {(M, 0) | M is a field s.t. KISMEL, O: M -> Kz is an extension of T?
Since (K, T) ES, thus S # P
Define partial order "(M, O,) & (M2, O2) iff M, EM2 and B2/n,=0,"
Given a chain (M:, 0:) lie_1? in 5, consider N=ienM:, which is a field, and \phi: N \longrightarrow K_2
                                                                                                                                                         M: \ni \emptyset \longmapsto \emptyset: (\alpha)
Then, (N, 4) is a least upper bound for this chain.
 -- By Zorn's Lemma, I a max element (M, o) & S.
Claim: M=L
Proof
 Suppose M&L. Dick &ELIM. Since K2 is algebraically closed, = BEKz, s.f. Jama, m)(B)=0
Hence, 3 o.: M(a) -> Kz with oi(m=0. Thus, (M(a), oi) 3 (M, o) -x
COROLLARY
Any two algebraic closures L., Lz of K are isomorphic
Prof
Consider the inclusion homo T:K-L2
 i'Li/k is alg and Lz is alg closed
 :. 30+ o: L. Colz, s.t. olk=idk
Note that o(L.) is alg dosed since Li≅o(L.). Now, VBELZ, Bis alg over K⊆o(L) = Beo(L.), i.e. Lz=o(L.) D
EXAMPLE
                                                                                                                                                     Enit irred ("total)
f(x)=xp-2, p: prime (it is irred due to Eisenstein)
 · maz, Q=f(x) since f(x) is irred and monic ($1), associated poly ($xe-1(t)x", xe-1=(x-1)(xe-1+... f1)
                                                                                                                                                                                        - Exit med
 · Roots: (2, (25, (25, ..., (25, )) = ((2, 5,) = Q(5)) Q(5,)
We know that [Q((5): Q)=p, [Q(50): Q)=p-1
:. [L: Q] = p(p-1) 1:p,p-1 aprime)
```

```
Shun/#33:4 (@shun4midx)
REMARK
Given 1/1, and 1/cz, Lilz=smallert subfield of L containing Li and Lz Assume [Li:K]=m and [Lz:K]=m with godlm,n)=1. Then, [Lilz:K]=mn
 Prost
m=[L,:K] ([L, Lz:K], n=(Lz:K) [[L, Lz:K] = mn [[L, Lz:K] /
Now, L= K(x, ..., an), L, L= L, K(x, ..., an) = L, (a, ..., an) since ma, L, I ma, k
 => [L, L2:K] = [L, (d, ..., dn): L, ] [L,:K] Smn
 : [Lilz:K]=mn D
REMARK (IMPORTANT)
Let Yk be algebraic and Y:L-of be a monomorphism fixing K. Then, T is onto
 f(x) \ge m_{z,k}(x), deg fen, f has roots z = 2,..., z_n

Then, \tau: z_1, ..., z_n f(x) = 1-1 \Rightarrow f(x),..., z_n f(x) \ge m_{z,k}(x), f(x) = m_{z,k}(x),
```