2-21-25 (WEEK 1) LOCALIZATION Recall: "units" = elements that exist multiplicative inverse Today, R is assumed to be commutative let 5 be a multiplicatively closed set with 1€S, 0€S DEFINITION Suppose that there is a ring B and a ring homo f:R-B s.t. (1) f(x) is a unit of B Yxes (2) If g:R > A is another ring homo s.t. g(x) is a unit of A VxES, then 3! ring homo h: B > A s.t. B h > A (Universal Property) Such B, if it exists, is unique up to isom and is called the localization of Rw.r.t. S, denoted by Rs THEOREM Rs exists Proof Set  $R_s = R \times S/\sim$  where  $(a,s) \sim (b,t) \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in S$  s.t.  $(at-bs)_n = 0$ Step 1: "~" is an equivalence relation - (a,s)~(a,s) since (as-as) 1=0 · "(a,s)~(b,t) => (b,t)~(a,s)" since (at-bs) u=0=> (bs-at) u=0 · (a,s)~(b,t), (b,t)~(c,u)>(a,s)~(c,u) since (at-bs)v=0, (bu-ct)w=0, (at-bs)vuw=0, (bu-ct)wvs=0 = (au-cs)tvv=0 Define == [(a, t)] Step 2: R, has a ring structure: 3+2= atto 2. = = ab · Well-defined: ==== (as'-a's)v=0 = (as'-a's) vwtt'=0 === 10+1-6+10=0= (6+1-6+101551=0 +) [(at+bs) s't'-(a't'+b's')st] vw=0 .. as v=a'sv, bt'u=b'tw = (abs't'-a'b'st)vw=0 Actually, (Rs, t, .) forms a ring Step 3: f:R -> Rs satisfies the unversal property (2) Let g:R-> A with glx) being a unit of A VXES If 3 a ring home "Rs->A with g=hf, then h(3)=h(2. f)=h(2)h(3)=h(2)(h(3))-1=hf(a)(hf(s))-1=q(a)q(s)-1=363 So, we define h(3)=g(a)g(s)-It is well-defined as follows: 3= == (at-bs) u=0 = (g(a)g(t)-g(b)g(s)) g(u) =0 = g(a)g(s)-1=g(b)g(t)-1 PROPERTIES · If I contains no zero divisor, then f: R -> Rs is injective prime ideal x+==== x=0 i.e. 3 ness, st. usx=0 = us is not a zero divisor so x=0

· If R is an integral domain and S=R1909, then Rs is called the quotient field of R which is the smallest field containing R:

If for a field F, say g:R SF, with g19) = 0 being a unit, by universal property, 3! h:Rs > F which is injective since

h(3)=g(a)g(s)~1=0=g(a)=0= a=0= 3=4

Lnon-nilpotent

Pick Off∉R, consider S={1, f, f, ...} => Rs=R1

```
- S=P/P for some PESpeck, Rs=Rp
REMARK
SST with IES, T&O, S, T are mcs (multiplicatively closed sets)
When will RSERT?
Ans: When TCJ=RIPNS= P (+. =+ = ) Jues, s.t. tan=s, teJ= (+) nS+p= s=ta)
CONSTRUCTION FOR MODULES
For an R-module M, IES$0 mcs on R, define Ms:= s(m,s) | mEM, sess/~, (m,s)~(n,t) = Tues, s.t. u(tm-sn)=0
⇒ "~" is an equivalence relation, s==[(m, s)) in Ms
Notice: Ms 3 an Rs-module
PROPOSITION
If 0 \rightarrow M' \stackrel{!}{\rightarrow} M \stackrel{!}{\rightarrow} M'' \rightarrow 0 is exact! then 0 \rightarrow M'' \stackrel{f_5}{\rightarrow} M'' \rightarrow 0 is exact \frac{2}{3} \mapsto \frac{f(p)}{3}
\frac{1}{5} \mapsto \frac{g(p)}{3}
Proof
 · fs is 1-1: fs(2)=fs(2)=f(2)= fues, set. ult'f(a)-tf(a')=0=> flut'a-uta')=0=> uta-uta'=0=2=2/
 · 9s 3 onto: V&EMs", let g(a)=b=> gs(年)=も/
 · Imfs = Kergs: gsfs(=) = gffar = = = = 0
                                                                      / Kerg S Imf
 · Kergs SImfs: Let & Ekergs, i.e. 95(2)=20=9=3ues s.t. ugla)=0= qlua)=0= flb=a := == == == == flb=s
FACT
R&MEM,
Provid
f: Rs×M→Ms 3 bilinear => 3 R-module homo f: Rs @RM->Ms
                                                   $⊗m→學
  (f, m) Ho
                               · F is onto: Y$€Ms, F(+⊗m)=# /
                               · F :s 1-1: Let Z,(€) & m; ∈Rs &M
                                           set t= !! ; t;= ;; then ?(4;) ⊗m;= ?(a=) ⊗m;= + ⊗? f;a;m;
                                           If tomekers, i.e. f(tom) = = = 0 in Ms, i.e. ] nes, s.t. um=0.
                                           Then, +0m= = = = = = 0 um = 0 /
THEOREM
Rs is a flat R-module
Provit
Given 0-3M'-> M -> M'-> 0 in em, by prop, 0-> M's-> Ms'-> O 3 exact -
                                                    SII SII
                                             R, ORM' RSORM ROORM"
REMARK
Given MERM, TFAE:
(1) M=0
(2) Mp=O YPESpeck
```

(3) Ma=O VQEMaxR

(1)=(2)=(3) is straight forward.

Consider proving (3) = (1),

Assume 7 04ZEM

