

Analysis II (Part 2)

Shun /羽海 (@shun4midx)

↳ His own notes, feel free

to use them for personal

use.



TESTS OF CONVERGENCE

THEOREM (D'ALEMBERT'S CRITERION/RATIO TEST)

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Suppose that $a_n > 0 \forall n \geq N$ for some $N \geq 1$. Suppose $\lambda := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \in [0, +\infty]$ is well defined, then:

(1) If $\lambda < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ is convergent

(2) If $\lambda > 1$, then $\sum a_n$ is divergent

(3) If $\lambda = 1$, and $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \geq 1 \forall n \geq N'$ for some $N' > 0$, then $\sum a_n$ is divergent

REMARK

In (3), if $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \geq 1$ for large enough n , then they may exhibit different behaviors, e.g. $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ vs $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$

PROOF OF THEOREM

(1) Suppose $\lambda < 1$. Set $\lambda' := \frac{\lambda+1}{2} < 1$. By def., $\exists N > 0$, s.t. $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \leq \lambda'$, $a_n > 0$ for some $N > 0$.

By recurrence, we have $a_n \leq (\lambda')^{n-N} a_N \forall n \geq N$, thus $\sum |a_n| = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} (a_N) + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} (\lambda')^{k-N} |a_N|$

\therefore RHS conv. $\therefore \sum |a_n|$ conv too $\therefore \sum a_n$ converges. \square

(2) Suppose $\lambda > 1$. Set $\lambda' := \frac{\lambda+1}{2} > 1$, so we can find $N' > 0$, s.t. $a_n > 0$, $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \geq \lambda' \forall n \geq N'$ $\therefore a_n \geq (\lambda')^{n-N'} a_N \forall n \geq N'$, so $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$ $\therefore \sum a_n$ diverges

(3) Suppose $\lambda = 1$ and $N > 0$, s.t. $a_n > 0$, $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \geq 1 \forall n \geq N$. We find $a_n > a_N > 0 \forall n \geq N$. $\therefore a_n \rightarrow 0$, so $\sum a_n$ diverges \square

EXAMPLE

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, consider the series $\sum \frac{z^n}{n!}$

\hookrightarrow If $z=0$, of course it converges

\hookrightarrow If $z \neq 0$, consider the norm, $\frac{|z|^{n+1}/(n+1)!}{|z|^n/n!} = \frac{|z|}{n+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 < 1 \therefore$ By d'Alembert's criterion, $\sum \frac{z^n}{n!}$ converges absolutely

COROLLARY

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series with general terms in a Banach space $(W, \|\cdot\|)$. Let $r = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|}$ and $R = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|}$

(1) If $R < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges absolutely

(2) If $r > 1$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges

(3) If $r \in [1, R]$, then we cannot conclude

Proof

The proof is very similar to d'Alembert's criterion, so we prove (1) only as an example.

(1) Suppose $R < 1$, let $\lambda' := \frac{R+1}{2}$. By the characterization of \limsup , $\exists N > 0$, s.t. $\frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|} \leq \lambda' = \frac{R+1}{2} < 1 \forall n \geq N$.

$\therefore \|a_n\| < (\lambda')^{n-N} \|a_N\| \forall n \geq N \Rightarrow \sum a_n$ converges absolutely

THEOREM (CAUCHY'S CRITERION/ROOT TEST)

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Suppose that $a_n > 0 \forall n \geq N$ for some $N \geq 1$. Suppose $\lambda := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \in [0, +\infty]$ is well-defined, then:

(1) If $\lambda < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges

(2) If $\lambda > 1$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges

(3) If $\lambda = 1$, and $(a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1$ for large enough n , then $\sum a_n$ diverges

Proof

(1) Suppose $\lambda < 1$. Set $\lambda' := \frac{\lambda+1}{2} < 1$. We find $N > 0$, s.t. $a_n > 0$, $(a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lambda' \forall n \geq N \therefore a_n \leq (\lambda')^n \forall n \geq N$.

$\therefore \sum |a_n| = \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \text{const} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} (\lambda')^k \therefore \sum a_n$ converges absolutely

The proof to (2) and (3) is very similar. \square

COROLLARY

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{C} . Let $\lambda := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (It is also applicable to Banach spaces)

(1) If $\lambda < 1$, then $\sum a_n$ converges

(2) If $\lambda > 1$, then $\sum a_n$ diverges

(3) If $\lambda = 1$, no conclusion

REMARK

The root test is stronger. Given a sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we have seen: $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n}$

Root test

Ratio test

If we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1 < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$, then the root test applies but ratio test does not apply.

Here is an example of such an $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Let $a_n = \frac{(1+(-1)^n)2^{n+1}+1}{4^n} = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}, & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{1}{4}(1+\frac{1}{2^n}), & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$

Here, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{2n+2}}{a_{2n}} = \frac{4(\frac{1}{2})^{2n+2} + \frac{1}{4^{2n+1}}}{\frac{1}{4^{2n+1}}} \rightarrow +\infty$, so $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{2n+2}}{a_{2n}} = +\infty$

However, we know $|a_n| \leq \frac{2(2^{n+1})+1}{4^n} \Rightarrow 0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1$!

CONDITIONALLY CONVERGENT SERIES

ALTERNATING SERIES

DEFINITION

Let $\sum a_n$ be a series with terms in \mathbb{R} .

We say it is an alternating series if $(-1)^n a_n$ has constant sign.

We may also write $\sum a_n = \sum (-1)^n a_n$, where $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence with constant sign. We may assume $a_n \geq 0 \forall n \geq 0$, by a global sign flip.

THEOREM

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a real sequence. Suppose that a_n is nondecreasing with limit 0. Then, $\sum (-1)^n a_n$ converges and its remainder satisfies, $\forall n \geq 1$, $|R_n| \leq a_{2n}$, $R_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (-1)^k a_k$.

Proof

Consider the odd and even partial sums separately:

$$\cdot S_{2n+1} - S_{2n} = a_{2n+1} - a_{2n} \leq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

$$\cdot S_{2n+1} - S_{2n-1} = -a_{2n+1} + a_{2n} \geq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

\therefore We have $(S_{2n+1})_{n \geq 1} \uparrow$ and $(S_{2n})_{n \geq 1} \downarrow$

$$\therefore S_{2n} - S_{2n-1} = a_{2n} \geq 0$$

$\therefore (S_{2n})_{n \geq 1}, (S_{2n-1})_{n \geq 1}$ are adjacent sequences, hence they both converge to the same limit S .

Also, $\forall n \geq 1$, we have $S_{2n-1} \leq S_{2n+1} \leq S \leq S_{2n}$, so $\forall n \geq 1$, $|R_{2n}| = |S - S_{2n}| \leq S_{2n} - S_{2n-1} = a_{2n}$ and $|R_{2n-1}| = |S - S_{2n-1}| \leq S_{2n} - S_{2n-1} = a_{2n}$.

EXAMPLE

Let's study the series $\sum \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$, now that we proved its convergence.

Recall $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = \ln n + \gamma + o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k}$.

$$\therefore \forall n \geq 1, H_{2n} - S_{2n} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{2}{2k} = H_n$$

In other words, $S_{2n} = H_{2n} - H_n = (\ln(2n) + \gamma + o(1)) - (\ln n + \gamma + o(1)) = \ln 2 + o(1)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$\therefore \sum \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$ converges to $\ln 2$, additionally, $|S_n - \ln 2| = |S_n - \overset{S}{H_n}| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ (H_n can theoretically use this sequence to compute $\ln 2$ but its rate of convergence is too slow and hence it is not practical.)

DIRICHLET'S TEST

Consider a series $\sum a_n$ in a Banach space. Assume $\forall n \geq 1$, $a_n = a_n b_n$. We write $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ for $n \geq 1$ and $S_0 = 0$.

ABEL'S TRANSFORM

For every $n \geq 0$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_k = \sum_{k=0}^n (a_k - a_{k+1}) S_k + a_n S_n$ (IBP but \sum version)

Proof

$\forall n \geq 0$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (S_k - S_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k S_k - \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k+1} S_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k+1}) S_k + a_n S_n$

THEOREM (DIRICHLET TEST)

Let $\sum u_n$ be a series with general terms in a Banach space $(W, \|\cdot\|)$. Suppose that its general term u_n writes $u_n = a_n b_n$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_n \in W$ for all $n \geq 1$, and satisfies:

(i) the sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is nonnegative, nonincreasing, and tends to 0

(ii) the series $\sum b_n$ is bounded

Then, $\sum u_n$ converges.

Proof

Let us apply Abel transform eq to $\sum u_n$: $\forall n \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^n u_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_k - a_{k+1}) S_k + a_n S_n$, where S_n is the n -th partial sum of series $\sum b_n$.

Let $M > 0$, s.t. $|S_n| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \right| \leq M$ $\forall n \geq 1$. Then, $|a_n S_n| \leq |a_n| M \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, so the series $\sum u_n$ and $\sum (a_n - a_{n+1}) S_n$ share the same behavior. Moreover, $\forall k \geq 0, |(a_k - a_{k+1}) S_k| \leq (a_k - a_{k+1}) M$ since $(a_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is nonincreasing.

$\therefore \forall n \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^n |(a_k - a_{k+1}) S_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - a_{k+1}) M = (a_1 - a_{n+1}) M \leq a_1 M$. Hence, $\sum (a_n - a_{n+1}) S_n$ is absolutely convergent and hence convergent. \square

EXAMPLES (Applications of Dirichlet Test)

(1) Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a nonincreasing sequence tending to 0. We know the alternating series $\sum (-1)^n a_n$ is convergent since the partial sum $\left| (-1)^1 + (-1)^2 + \dots + (-1)^n \right| \leq 1$ is bounded (see "bounded" suffices, no need for "converge")

(2) Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a nonincreasing sequence tending to 0. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the series $\sum a_n e^{in\theta}$.

$\forall n \geq 0$, we have $\left| 1 + e^{i\theta} + \dots + e^{in\theta} \right| = \left| \frac{1 - e^{i(n+1)\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} \right| = \left| \sin\left(\frac{(n+1)\theta}{2}\right) \div \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\left| \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right|}$ \therefore The series converges if $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$

REARRANGEMENT OF SERIES

Let $\sum u_n$ be a series with terms in $(W, \|\cdot\|)$

DEFINITION \lceil we don't say "permutation" cuz it can be uncountable

We say $\sum v_n$ is a rearrangement of $\sum u_n$ if \exists a bijection $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $v_n = u_{\varphi(n)}$ $\forall n \geq 1$

THEOREM

If $\sum u_n$ converges absolutely to s , then any rearrangement of $\sum u_n$ is also absolutely convergent with the same limit

Proof

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a bijection and $\sum v_n$, with $v_n = u_{\varphi(n)}$, $n \geq 1$, be a rearrangement of $\sum u_n$.

$\forall n \geq 1, \sum_{k=1}^n \|v_k\| = \sum_{k=1}^n \|u_{\varphi(k)}\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\| < +\infty \therefore \sum \|v_k\|$ converges $\Rightarrow \sum v_n$ absolutely converges

Now, for "same limit",

let $\epsilon > 0$, take $N \geq 1$, s.t. $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|u_n\| < \epsilon$. For $n \geq 0$, $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k$, $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k$

From the ϵ we chose, we know that $\|S_N - S\| = \|\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} u_n\| < \epsilon$

\lceil more shun4midx mention
characterization of idx mapping between u, v .

Note that $\{1, \dots, N\}$ is a finite set, so it has an upper bound $\varphi(M)$, that is $\{1, \dots, N\} \subseteq \{\varphi(1), \dots, \varphi(M)\}$

For $n \geq M$, $\|T_n - S_N\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n v_k - \sum_{k=1}^N u_k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N u_{\varphi(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^N u_k \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k \in A} u_{\varphi(k)} \right\| \leq \sum_{k \in A} \|u_{\varphi(k)}\| \leq \sum_{k \in A} \|u_k\| \leq \sum_{k=M}^N \|u_k\| < \epsilon$, where $A = \{\varphi(1), \dots, \varphi(N)\} \setminus \{1, \dots, N\}$

\therefore For $n \geq M$, $\|T_n - S\| \leq \|T_n - S_N\| + \|S_N - S\| < 2\epsilon \quad \square$

WHAT HAPPENS IF $\sum u_n$ DOES NOT CONVERGE ABSOLUTELY?**EXAMPLE**

Recall: We know that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} = \ln 2$ but is not absolutely convergent

A possible rearrangement: $(1 - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{4} + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6}) - \frac{1}{8} + \dots + (\frac{1}{2k-1} - \frac{1}{2k}) - \frac{1}{4k} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \dots = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$

RIEMANN SERIES THEOREM

Let $\sum u_n$ be a real-valued series that is conditionally convergent.

For $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then \exists a bijection $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, s.t. the rearrangement $\sum u_{\varphi(n)}$ satisfies $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n u_{\varphi(k)} = x$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n u_{\varphi(k)} = y$.

(Proof sketch: Let z_m have the sum of all the terms, z_b have the sum of all -ve terms. Both diverge, so we can find distinct values to match.)

REMARK

If we take $x=y$, then the arrangement satisfies $\sum u_{\varphi(m)} = x=y$ (i.e. it converges).

CAUCHY SERIES

DEFINITION

Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} and $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space over K . Consider a binary operator $A \times A \rightarrow A$ denoted by \cdot .

(1) We say that (A, \cdot) is an algebra if:

- ↪ Right distributivity: $\forall x, y, z \in A$, $(xy) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$
- ↪ Left distributivity: $\forall x, y, z \in A$, $z \cdot (x+y) = z \cdot x + z \cdot y$
- ↪ Scalar multiplication: $\forall x, y \in A$, $a, b \in K$, $(ax) \cdot (by) = (ab)(x \cdot y)$

(2) We say $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed algebra if (A, \cdot) is an algebra and $\forall x, y \in A$, $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$.

EXAMPLE

(1) $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ and $(\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$ are normed algebras.

(2) For $n \geq 1$, $M_{nn}(K)$ equipped with matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a normed algebra, where $\|A\| := \sup_{x \in K^n, \|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$.

(3) Let V be a normed vector space. $L(V) = \{\text{linear maps: } V \rightarrow V\}$ with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a normed algebra.

THEOREM (CAUCHY PRODUCT)

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ be absolutely convergent series with general terms in a normed algebra $(A, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$. We define its Cauchy series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ to be $\forall n \geq 0$, $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$. Then, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ converges absolutely to $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n)(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n)$.

Proof

Denote $A := \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \|a_p\|$ and $B := \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \|b_q\|$.

Let $n \geq 0$, then $\sum_{k=0}^n \|c_k\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{p=0}^k \|a_p\| \|b_{k-p}\| \right) \leq \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^n \|a_p\| \|b_q\| = \left(\sum_{p=0}^n \|a_p\| \right) \left(\sum_{q=0}^n \|b_q\| \right) \leq AB \quad \therefore \sum c_n \text{ converges absolutely (rearrangement ok)}$

Now, define $\forall n \geq 0$, $\Delta_n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k - \left(\sum_{p=0}^n a_p \right) \left(\sum_{q=0}^n b_q \right)$

Thus, $\forall n \geq 0$, $\Delta_n = \sum_{p,q=0}^n a_p b_q - \sum_{p,q=0}^n a_p b_q = \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \sum_{q=0}^n a_p b_q + \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} \sum_{p=0}^q a_p b_q$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{By } \Delta \text{ ineq, } \forall n \geq 0, \|\Delta_n\| &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \sum_{q=0}^n \|a_p\| \|b_q\| + \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} \sum_{p=0}^q \|a_p\| \|b_q\| \\ &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \sum_{q=0}^n \|a_p\| \|b_q\| + \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} \sum_{p=0}^q \|a_p\| \|b_q\| = B \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \|a_p\| + A \sum_{q=0}^{n+1} \|b_q\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE (Applications)

(1) On \mathbb{R} , define the exponential function $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$.

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^x \cdot e^y = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n!} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ given commutativity

Here, we know $c_n = \sum_{p+q=n} \frac{x^p y^q}{p! q!} = \sum \left(\frac{p! q!}{p! q!} \right) \frac{1}{p! q!} x^p y^q = \frac{1}{n!} (x+y)^n \Rightarrow e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$

Notably, Cauchy product makes us able to extend this concept to other normed algebras.

DOUBLE SEQUENCES, DOUBLE SERIES

DOUBLE SEQUENCES AND DOUBLE LIMITS

Let $(W, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Let $(u_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1}$ be a double sequence with values in W (intuition: sequence on a 2D grid)

DEFINITION

Let $l \in W$. We say $(u_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1}$ converges to l if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N > 0$, s.t. $\|u_{m,n} - l\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$, denoted by $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n} = l$, which is called the limit or double limit of $(u_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1}$.

REMARK

This is simply another way to formulate Cauchy's criterion. The sequence $(u_m)_{m \geq 1}$ satisfies Cauchy's criterion if $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_m - u_n\| = 0$

EXAMPLE

Consider $(u_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1}$ to be defined by $u_{m,n} = 1_{m,n} \quad \forall m, n \geq 1$

We have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n} = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n} = 0 \Rightarrow$ The order of limits matter (iterated limits)

THEOREM

Suppose that,

(i) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n} = l \in W$

(ii) $\forall m \geq 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n}$ exists

Then, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n} = l$

Proof

Let $\epsilon > 0$. By (i), we can find $N > 0$, s.t. $\|u_{m,n} - l\| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$.

By (ii), define $l_m := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n}$

$\forall m \geq 1, \exists N' = N'(m), \text{ s.t. } \|l_m - u_{m,n}\| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N'(m)$.

$\forall m \geq N$, we have $\|l_m - l\| \leq \|l_m - u_{m,n}\| + \|u_{m,n} - l\| \leq 2\epsilon \leq \max(N, N'(m))$. \square

THEOREM

Let $(u_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1}$ be a sequence with terms in a Banach space. Then, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2)

(1) $\forall n \geq 1, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |u_{m,n}|$ is absolutely convergent, and the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\|)$ converges

(2) $\forall m \geq 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_{m,n}|$ is absolutely convergent, and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\|)$ converges

And when (1) or (2) holds, we have $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n})$

REMARK

If $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |u_{m,n}|$, we can write $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}$ without checking any condition. They are either both ∞ or finite, and the them above guarantees equality.

PROOF OF THEOREM

By symmetry, it suffices to prove (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Suppose (1) holds. $\forall n \geq 1$, define $A_n := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\| < \infty$

- Fix $m \geq 1$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_1 < \infty$. Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}$ is absolutely convergent
- Let $M \geq 1$. By linearity on finitely many converging series, $\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^M \|u_{m,n}\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n < \infty$. Hence, $\sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}$ converges
- Check the LHS of the equality is well-defined when (2) holds:
When (2) holds, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}$ converges absolutely $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}$ converges. Moreover, $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\| < \infty$ by (2) $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|u_{m,n}\|$ converges
 $\therefore \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{m,n}$ converges

Similarly, (1) holds \Rightarrow RHS of equality is well-defined. \therefore Both terms are well-defined

Now, we show equality holds.

Let $S_n = \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{q=1}^n u_{p,q}$ for $n \geq 1$. Notice, $S_n = \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^n u_{p,q}$.

Goal: Show that $S_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}$ LHS or RHS of equality, then conclude by symmetry.

We introduce the following quantities: $U_{m,q} \geq 1$, $a_{m,q} = \sum_{p=1}^m u_{p,q}$, $a_q = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} u_{p,q}$
 Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $Q > 0$, s.t. $\sum_{q=Q}^{\infty} a_q \leq \varepsilon$

For $n \geq Q$, we have:

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q - S_n = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q - \sum_{q=1}^n a_q = \sum_{q=1}^n (a_q - a_{n,q}) + \sum_{q=n+1}^{\infty} (a_q - a_{n,q}) + \sum_{q=n+1}^{\infty} a_q \quad (\text{RHS})$$

By Δ -ineq, we get: $\left\| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q - S_n \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{q=1}^n (a_q - a_{n,q}) \right\| + \sum_{q=n+1}^{\infty} a_q \leq \left\| \sum_{q=1}^n (a_q - a_{n,q}) \right\| + \varepsilon$

We cannot take the limit, but we can take \limsup : $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q - S_n \right\| \leq \varepsilon$

As the choice of $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, hence: $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q - S_n \right\| = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q - S_n \right\| = 0$, that is, $S_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} a_q$. \square

(\limsup not only helps proving limit exists, but also proves it equals 0 at the same time.)

INFINITE PRODUCT CONVERGENCE AND DIVERGENCE

DEFINITION

Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}

Define $P_0 = 1$, $P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n u_k$, $n \geq 1$, called partial products
 nth partial product

DEFINITION

Define $Z := \{n \geq 1 \mid u_n = 0\}$

1) If $|Z| = \infty$, then we say $\prod u_n$ diverges to 0

2) If $Z = \emptyset$, then:

(a) If $P_n \rightarrow P \neq 0$, we say the infinite product $\prod u_n$ converges to P , denoted as $\prod u_n = P$

(b) If $P_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, we say the infinite product diverges to 0

(c) Otherwise, we say it diverges

3) If $|Z| < \infty$, then let $N > 0$, s.t. $u_n \neq 0 \forall n \geq N$

Define $v_n = u_{n+1} \dots u_{n+|Z|-1}$, $P'_0 = 1$, $P'_n = \prod_{k=N}^{n+|Z|-1} v_k$ (omit all zero terms)

(a) If $\prod v_n$ converges to $P' \neq 0$, then we say $\prod u_n$ converges to $u_1 \dots u_{N-1} P'$ (which is a "convergence" to 0, w/o the 0 it still converges)

(b) If $\prod v_n$ diverges to 0, then we say $\prod u_n$ diverges to 0

(c) Otherwise, we say it diverges

REMARK

Removing/adding finitely many zeroes in $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ does not change the behavior (convergence or divergence) of the product.

PROPOSITION (CAUCHY'S CRITERION)

The infinite product $\prod u_n$ converges $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N > 0$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N, k \geq 1$, we have $|u_{n+k} \dots u_{n+k-1}| < \varepsilon$

Proof

WLOG, let's assume $u_n \neq 0 \forall n \geq 1$.

- Suppose $\prod u_n = P \neq 0$. Then, $P_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} P$, so $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded

Let $M > 0$, s.t. $|P_n| \geq M \forall n \geq 1$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, take $N > 0$, s.t. $|P_{n+k} - P_n| < \varepsilon \forall n \geq N, k \geq 1$.

$$\therefore \left| \frac{P_n - P_{n+k}}{P_n} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$$

minus 1 cuz to conv, the elements must approach 1

$$\left| \frac{P_{n+k} - P_n}{P_n} \right| = \left| \frac{P_{n+k} - P_n}{P_{n+k}} \right|$$

• Suppose that the Cauchy's criterion is satisfied

Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. Take $N > 0$, s.t. $|\alpha_n - 1| < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ where $\alpha_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^n u_k \quad \forall n \geq N$. $\therefore \frac{1}{2} < |\alpha_n| < \frac{3}{2}$

Shun / 羊羽海 (@shun4midx)

Now, let $\varepsilon > 0$, $N' \geq N$, then we have the following $\forall n \geq N'$

Thus, $|\frac{\alpha_{n+k}}{\alpha_n} - 1| = \left| \frac{\alpha_{n+k} - \alpha_n}{\alpha_n} \right| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |\alpha_{n+k} - \alpha_n| < \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon$

$\therefore (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges \square

4-22-25 (WEEK 10)

Shun / 羊咩海 (@shun4midx)

THEOREM

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence with strictly positive terms. Then, $\prod(1+a_n)$ converges $\Leftrightarrow \sum a_n$ converges.

Proof

Observe: $\prod(1+a_n)$ converges $\Leftrightarrow \sum \ln(1+a_n)$ converges

" \Rightarrow ": Suppose $\prod(1+a_n)$ converges, then $\sum \ln(1+a_n)$ converges.

$$\therefore \ln(1+a_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ i.e. } a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

\therefore We have $\ln(1+a_n) \sim a_n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

By comparison thm, $\sum \ln(1+a_n)$ conv $\Rightarrow \sum a_n$ converges

" \Leftarrow ": Suppose $\sum a_n$ conv, so $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$\therefore \ln(1+a_n) \sim a_n$

By comparison thm, $\sum a_n$ conv $\Rightarrow \sum \ln(1+a_n)$ conv $\therefore \prod(1+a_n)$ conv \square

REMARK

The positivity assumption is important!

Consider $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$

$\hookrightarrow \sum a_n$ conv since alternating series

\hookrightarrow For $n \geq 1$, $(1+a_{2n})(1+a_{2n+1}) = (1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}})(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}}) = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n(2n+1)}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2n}}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n(2n+1)}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2n} + o(n^{-\frac{3}{2}})$

However, $\prod(1-\frac{1}{2n})$ diverges because $\sum \frac{1}{2n}$ diverges $\Rightarrow \prod(1+a_{2n})(1+a_{2n+1})$ diverges $\Rightarrow \prod(1+a_n)$ diverges

REMARK

If $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence with $a_n \in (-1, 0)$, we still have the same proof for " $\sum a_n$ conv $\Leftrightarrow \prod(1+a_n)$ conv"

DEFINITION

or over a normed algebra

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a nonzero complex-valued sequence. We say that $\prod(1+a_n)$ conv absolutely if $\prod(|1+a_n|)$ conv

PROPOSITION

If $\prod(1+a_n)$ conv abs, then it must also conv

Proof

For $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, by Δ ineq, we have $\left| \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (1+a_i) - 1 \right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^k (1+|a_{n+j}|) - 1$

Simple expansion

Therefore, if $\prod(|1+a_n|)$ satisfies the Cauchy's condition, so does $\prod(1+a_n)$ \square

APPLICATION TO THE RIEMANN ζ FUNCTION

let $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of ordered primes, i.e. $p_1=2, p_2=3, p_3=5, \dots$

THEOREM (EULER'S PRODUCT?)

For $s > 1$, we have $\zeta(s) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-p_n^{-s}}$. Moreover, the infinite product conv abs

Proof

For $n \geq 1$, let $P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1-p_k^{-s}}$

Goal: Show that $P_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(s) \neq 0$

For any $k \geq 1$, we have $\frac{1}{1-p_k^{-s}} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (p_k^{-s})^m = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} p_k^{-sm} = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_k^{-sm} = : 1+a_k$

(by) Geom series

Note that $a_k > 0 \ \forall k \geq 1$. $\sum_{k=1}^N a_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_k^{-sm} \leq \zeta(s)$

$\therefore \sum a_k$ conv (i.e. it abs conv), so $\prod(1+a_k)$ conv

Hence, $P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1-p_k^{-s}} = \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_k^{-sm}} = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_1^{-sm_1} \dots p_n^{-sm_n}}$. Define $A_N = \{N \in \mathbb{N} \mid N \text{ has all prime factors among } p_1, \dots, p_n\}$. $\therefore P_n = \prod_{N \in A_N} \frac{1}{1-p_N^{-s}} \Rightarrow |P_n - \zeta(s)| \leq \sum_{N \in A_N} \frac{1}{N^s} \square$

REMARK

We may show that $\sum \frac{1}{p_k}$ diverges using the Riemann zeta function.

COMPLEMENT ON RIEMANN INTEGRALS

DEFINITION

- ↪ A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be piecewise continuous on $[a, b]$ if \exists a partition $P = (x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n} \in P([a, b])$, s.t. $f|_{(x_{i-1}, x_i)}$ is conti and can be extended continuously to $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$, $\forall i$.
- ↪ Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a subset. A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be piecewise continuous if $f|_J$ is p.c. \forall segments $J \subseteq I$
- ↪ Let $PC(I, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of piecewise continuous functions on I
- ↪ For any normed vector space $(W, \| \cdot \|)$, we define $PC(I, W)$ similarly

EXAMPLES OF PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

- 1) $\begin{cases} x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \\ \mathbb{R}^* := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- 2) $x \mapsto \ln x$
 $(0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- 3) $x \mapsto \sin(\frac{1}{x})$
 $\mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

PROPOSITION

Let $I = [a, b]$ be a segment of \mathbb{R} . If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise continuous function, then it is bounded and Riemann-integrable on I .

Proof

Let $P = (x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n} \in P([a, b])$ be a partition satisfying the definition. Then, on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we may define a conti $g_i: [x_{i-1}, x_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, s.t. $g_i|_{(x_{i-1}, x_i)} \equiv f|_{(x_{i-1}, x_i)}$

Therefore, $g_i \in R(x; x_{i-1}, x_i)$ and $f \in R(x; x_{i-1}, x_i)$

To conclude, we use the cyclic relation to observe $f \in R(x; a, b)$ \square

INTEGRABILITY ON AN INTERVAL

Let I be an interval, $PC_+(I) := PC_+(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$

DEFINITION

Let $f \in PC_+(I)$. We say that f is integrable on I , if $\exists M > 0$, s.t. $\int_J f \leq M$ for any segment $J \subseteq I$, and we write $\int_I f = \sup_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ J \text{ is a segment}}} \int_J f$

REMARK

If I is an interval, $a = \inf I$, $b = \sup I$, we may write $\int_I f = \int_a^b f$ (this is the generalization of notation)

PROPOSITION

Let $f \in PC_+(I)$ be an integrable function. Then, for any sequence of segments $(J_n = [a_n, b_n])_{n \geq 1}$ with $\bigcup J_n = I$, $J_n \subseteq J_{n+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq I$ and $\bigcup J_n = I$, we have $\int_I f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{J_n} f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f dx$ (further $\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f dx = \int_{J_n} f$)

Proof

Given such a sequence $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we want to show $\int_{J_n} f \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_I f$

$\hookrightarrow \forall n \geq 1$, $J_n \subseteq I$ is a subsegment $\Rightarrow \int_{J_n} f \leq \int_I f \Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f \leq \int_I f$

\hookrightarrow Given $\varepsilon > 0$, by the characterization of sup, we may find a subsegment $J \subseteq I$, s.t. $\int_J f + \varepsilon \geq \int_I f$

Since $\bigcup J_n = I$ and $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing for inclusion, we may find $N > 0$, s.t. $J_n \supseteq J \ \forall n \geq N$.

$\Rightarrow \int_I f \leq \int_{J_n} f + \varepsilon \ \forall n \geq N$

$\Rightarrow \int_I f \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f + \varepsilon$

This relation holds $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, so we have $\int_I f \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f$

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f = \int_I f \quad \square$

4-29-25 (WEEK 11)

Shun / 羊羽海 (@shun4midx)

EXAMPLE

Fix $\lambda > 0$ and consider $R_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{[0, +\infty]} R$ which is nonnegative.

$$x \mapsto e^{-\lambda x}$$

For every integer $n \geq 1$, take $J_n = [0, \infty]$, and $\int_J f(x) dx = \int_0^n e^{-\lambda x} dx = \left[-\frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda} \right]_0^n = \frac{1-e^{-\lambda n}}{\lambda} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda}$. $\therefore \int_J f dx = \frac{1}{\lambda}$

EXAMPLE

The function $R_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{[0, +\infty]} R$ is nonnegative and not integrable

$$x \mapsto |\sin x|$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} |\sin x| dx = \int_0^\pi |\sin x| dx = 2 \Rightarrow \int_0^{\pi n} |\sin x| dx = 2n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$
 \therefore The integral isn't well defined.

EXAMPLE (RIEMANN INTEGRALS)

- 1) $t \mapsto t^{-\alpha}$ is integrable on $[a, +\infty)$ iff $\alpha > 1$ for some $a > 0$.
- 2) $t \mapsto t^{-\alpha}$ is integrable on $[0, a]$ iff $\alpha < 1$ for some $a > 0$.
- 3) For $a < b$, $t \mapsto (b-t)^{-\alpha}$ is integrable on (a, b) iff $\alpha < 1$
- 4) For $a < b$, $t \mapsto (t-a)^{-\alpha}$ is integrable on (a, b) iff $\alpha < 1$

EXAMPLE (BERTRAND'S INTEGRALS)

Fix $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, consider $t \mapsto t^{-\alpha} |\ln t|^{-\beta}$

- 1) For $\alpha > 1$, if $\alpha > 1$, it is integrable on $[a, +\infty)$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta > 1$
- 2) For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, if $\alpha < 1$, it is integrable on $(0, a]$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta > 1$

DEFINITION

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $(W, \| \cdot \|)$ is a Banach space. A function $f: I \rightarrow W$ is called **integrable** if $\|f\|$ is integrable.

For a sequence $(J_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying for $n \geq 1$, $J_n \subseteq J_{n+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq I$, and $\bigcup_n J_n = I$, define $\int_I f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f \in W$
 "interval-def"

Denote $L'(I, W) := \{f: I \rightarrow W \mid \int_I f < +\infty\}$ (L' norm stuff...)

PROPOSITION

The definition of $\int_I f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f$ does not depend on the choice of $(J_n)_{n \geq 0}$ if it satisfies interval-def

REMARK

- For (a, b) , $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, we may consider $(J_n = (a, b - \frac{1}{n}))_{n \geq 1}$
- For $[a, +\infty)$, $-\infty < a < \infty$, we may consider $(J_n = [a, n])_{n \geq 1}$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION

We do so by considering two steps:

- (1) Given $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying interval-def, check that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{J_n} f$ is well-defined
- (2) Given $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying interval-def, check that their integrals are equal

Step 1

Let $(J_n = [a_n, b_n])_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying interval-def, $U_n := \int_{J_n} f$, $U := \int_I f$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and take $N \geq 1$ s.t. $|U_p - U_q| < \epsilon$ for all $p, q \geq N$.

For $p, q \geq N$, $p \neq q$, $U_p - U_q = \int_{J_p} f - \int_{J_q} f = \int_{(a_p, a_q)} f - \int_{(b_q, b_p)} f$

$$\therefore \|U_p - U_q\| \leq \|[a_p, a_q]\| \|f\| + \|[b_q, b_p]\| \|f\| = U_p - U_q < \epsilon$$

This means that $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W , so it converges. ✓

Step 2

Let $(J_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(K_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy interval-def.

From (1), we know that $u_n = \int_{J_n} f \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} u$ and $v_n = \int_{K_n} f \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} v$

for $n \geq 1$, let $L_n := J_n \cup K_n$. Due to interval-def, we may find $N \geq 1$ s.t. $J_n \cap K_n \neq \emptyset \forall n \geq N$. Thus, $(L_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies interval-def.

Now, we want to show that $w = u$, then by symmetry we also have $w = v$, so $u = v$.

- $\forall n \geq 1, J_n \subseteq L_n$
- $\forall n \geq 1, U_n := \int_{J_n} \|f\|_1, W_n := \int_{L_n} \|f\|_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \|W_n - U_n\| &= \left\| \int_{L_n} \int_{J_n} \|f\|_1 \right\| \leq \int_{L_n} \int_{J_n} \|f\|_1 = W_n - U_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \\ \therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (W_n - U_n) &= 0 \Rightarrow w = u. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

since we found W_n, U_n are nonng \Rightarrow their integrals/limits are well-def, hence $W_n - U_n \rightarrow 0$

PROPERTIES

Properties that can be preserved when we take a limit still hold for integrable functions, such as

- $L^1(I, W) \xrightarrow{\quad} W$ is a linear map
 $f \mapsto \int_I f$
- $\int_I f + \int_J f = \int_{I \cup J} f \neq I \cap J = \emptyset$
- Δ ineq., $\|\int_I f\| \leq \int_I \|f\|_1$, IBP, change of variables

PROPOSITION

Let $f \in PC(I, W)$ be a piecewise continuous function on I . TFAE

- (1) f is integrable on $[a, b]$
- (2) (Partial integral) $x \mapsto \int_a^x \|f(t)\| dt$ is bounded on $[a, b]$
- (3) (Partial integral) $x \mapsto \int_a^x \|f(t)\| dt$ has a limit when $x \rightarrow b^-$
- (4) (Remainder integral) The limit of $x \mapsto \int_x^b \|f(t)\| dt$ when $x \rightarrow b^-$ is 0
- (5) (Cauchy's criterion) $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists A \in I$, s.t. $\forall x, y \in (A, b), x < y, \int_x^y \|f(t)\| dt < \epsilon$

PROPOSITION

Let $f \in PC(I, W)$ and $c \in I$. Define $I_- := I \cap (-\infty, c]$ and $I_+ := [c, +\infty)$, then TFAE

- (1) f is integrable on I
 - (2) f is integrable on I_- and I_+
- And in this case, we have $\int_I f = \int_{I_-} f + \int_{I_+} f$

PROPOSITION

Let $f \in PC(I, W)$, $\varphi \in PC_+(I)$.

- (1) If $\|f\| \leq \varphi$ on I and φ is integrable, then f is integrable and $\|\int_I f\| \leq \int_I \varphi$
- (2) If $f \in PC_+(I)$ and is nonintegrable with $f \leq \varphi$, then φ is nonintegrable

Proof

(1) For any subsegment $J \subseteq I$, we have $\int_J \|f(t)\| dt \leq \int_J \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt = \int_J \varphi$

(2) By contradiction \square

EXAMPLE

Check that $f: t \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}}$ is integrable on $(0, 1)$.

Let $c = \frac{1}{2}, I_- = (0, \frac{1}{2}], I_+ = [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$

- For $t \in I_-$, $f(t) \leq \frac{2}{\pi}$, which is integrable on $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$, and so $\exists f$
- For $t \in I_+$, $f(t) \leq \frac{2}{\pi}$, which is integrable on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, so $\exists f$

COMPARISON OF INTEGRALS

DEFINITION

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow W$, $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be piecewise continuous

i.e. $\forall x \in (b-\delta, b]$

We say $f \leq O(g)$ or $f(x) = O(g(x))$ when $x \rightarrow b^-$ if $\exists M > 0, \delta > 0$, s.t. $\forall x \in [a, b] \cap B(b, \delta)$, we have $|f(x)| \leq M|g(x)|$

We say $f \equiv o(g)$ or $f(x) = o(g(x))$ when $x \rightarrow b^-$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, s.t. $\forall x \in (b-\delta, b]$, $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon |g(x)|$

We say $f \sim g$ or $f(x) \sim g(x)$ when $x \rightarrow b^-$ if $f - g \equiv o(g)$

PROPOSITION

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow W$ be p.c. and $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable

(crucial!!)

(1) If $f \leq O(g)$, then f is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b f \leq O(\int_a^b g)$

(2) If $f \equiv o(g)$, then f is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b f \equiv O(\int_a^b g)$

(3) If $W = \mathbb{R}$ and $f \sim g$, then f is integrable on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b f \sim \int_a^b g$

Proof

As (2), (3) are similar, we only prove (1) here.

By assumption, take $M > 0, \delta > 0$, s.t. $\forall x \in (b-\delta, b]$, $|f(x)| \leq Mg(x)$

$\therefore \forall x \in (b-\delta, b]$, we have $|\int_x^b f| \leq \int_x^b |f| \leq M \int_x^b g \quad \square$

EXAMPLE (THE GAMMA FUNCTION)

Define the gamma function $\Gamma: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$x \mapsto \int_0^{+\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$$

- $t \mapsto t^{x-1} e^{-t}$ is nonnegative
- Around 0^+ , $t^{x-1} e^{-t} \sim t^{x-1}$ and $t \mapsto t^{x-1}$ is nonnegative around 0 and integrable, so $t^{x-1} e^{-t}$ is too
- Around 0^- , $t^{x-1} e^{-t} = O(\frac{1}{t^2})$ and $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t^2}$ is integrable around $-\infty$, so $t^{x-1} e^{-t}$ is too
- E.g.: $\Gamma(1) = 1, \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$
- Hence, $\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^x e^{-t} dt = -(t^x e^{-t}) \Big|_0^{+\infty} + \int_0^{+\infty} x t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt = x \Gamma(x) \quad \forall x > 0$, which is the factorial

EXAMPLE

Goal: Find the asymptotic behavior of \arccos around $x=1^-$

Note that $\int_x^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt = \arccos x, x \in (0, 1)$.

As $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-x)(1+x)}} \underset{x \rightarrow 1^-}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}$, thus $\arccos x \sim \sqrt{2(1-x)}$ (integrate both sides)

EXAMPLE

We know that $\int_{\frac{1}{2}\pi}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = 1$

We want to find the asymptotic behavior of $F(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$

i) Note that $e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = o(te^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) \Rightarrow F(x) = o(\int_x^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} te^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt) = o([-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}] \Big|_x^{+\infty}) = o(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}})$

5-1-25 (WEEK 11)

Shun / 羊羽海 (@shun4midx)

REMARK

How do we define $\int_a^b f$, for $f: I \rightarrow W$? How do we obtain Δ -inq?

(a) If W is a fin dim vector space

↪ Write $f = \sum_i f_i e_i$, define $\int_a^b f := \sum_i (\int_a^b f_i) e_i$

↪ If $\|f\|_1 = N_1, N_2, N_\infty$, it follows from direct computation

(b) If f is infinite dimensional

↪ Step function: $f: I \rightarrow W$, $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in P([a, b])$, s.t. $f(x_{k-1}, x_k) \in f_k \in W$ is const $\forall 1 \leq k \leq n$. Define $\int_a^b f := \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \otimes x_k$, and we have

$$\|\int_a^b f\| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \otimes x_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\| \|x_k\| = \int_a^b \|f\|$$

↪ General cont: function

(i) Find a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of step functions, s.t. $\|f_n - f\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ ($\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M > 1$, s.t. $|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{M} \Rightarrow \|f(x)-f(y)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$)

(ii) Check that $\{\int_a^b f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in W , so converges, then $\int_a^b f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n$ (Define $f_n = g$ $\forall n \geq 1$, $\|f_n - f_m\| \leq \frac{2}{n}$ if $n, m \geq N$)

(iii) Check that the limit is well-def

EXAMPLE (CONTINUED)

To get a more precise asymptotic formula for $F(x)$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$, we may start with IBP.

Write $\int_0^\infty F(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{-te^{-xt}}{-t} dt = \frac{e^{-xt}}{x} - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-xt}}{x^2} dt = \frac{e^{-xt}}{x} - o(F(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$

We deduce when $x \rightarrow \infty$, $F(x) = \frac{1}{x} e^{-xt/2} (1 + o(1))$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$

\therefore By induction, $\forall n \geq 0$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, after IBP, we get $F(x) = \frac{e^{-xt/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^k \frac{(2k-1)!!}{x^{k+1}} \right) (1 + o(1))$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$

PROPOSITION (COMPARISON FOR NON-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow W$, $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nonintegrable function, both are p.c.

1) If $f \overset{\infty}{\rightarrow} 0(g)$, then $\int_a^x f \overset{\infty}{\rightarrow} 0(\int_a^x g)$

2) If $f \overset{\infty}{\rightarrow} 0(g)$, then $\int_a^x f \overset{\infty}{\rightarrow} 0(\int_a^x g)$

3) If $W = \mathbb{R}$ and $f \overset{\infty}{\rightarrow} g$, then f is nonintegrable on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^x f \overset{\infty}{\rightarrow} \int_a^x g$

Proof

Note that $\int_a^x g \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow b} +\infty$ because g is nonintegrable

As (2) and (3) are similar, we prove (1) here only

1) Let $M > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, s.t. $\|f(x)\| \leq M|g(x)|$ where $x \in (b-\delta, b)$

For $x \in (b-\delta, b)$, $\|\int_a^x f\| = \|\int_a^{b-\delta} f + \int_{b-\delta}^x f\| \leq \int_a^{b-\delta} \|f\| + \int_{b-\delta}^x \|f\| \leq \int_a^{b-\delta} (\|f\| + M) \int_a^x g$

Since $\int_a^x g \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow b} +\infty$, thus $\exists \delta' \in (0, \delta)$, s.t. $\forall x \in (b-\delta', b)$, $\int_a^{b-\delta'} \|f\| \leq M \int_a^x g$

$\therefore \forall x \in (b-\delta', b)$, $\|\int_a^x f\| \leq 2M \int_a^x g$. \square

EXAMPLE

$$\int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\ln t} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} ?$$

$t \mapsto \frac{1}{\ln t}$ is nonneg and nonintegrable on $[2, +\infty)$

By IBP, $\int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\ln t} = \left[\frac{t}{\ln t} \right]_2^{\infty} + \int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t \ln^2 t} = \frac{\infty}{\ln \infty} - \frac{2}{\ln 2} + o\left(\int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\ln t}\right)$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$

$\therefore \int_2^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\ln t} \sim \frac{1}{\ln 2}$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$

IMPROPER INTEGRAL

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be p.c.

DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES

DEFINITION

(1) We say that the integral $\int_{[a, b]} f = \int_{[a, b]} f(t) dt$ converges if $x \mapsto \int_x^b f$ converges when $x \rightarrow b^-$

(2) Otherwise, we say that the integral $\int_{[a, b]} f$ diverges

REMARK

If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonneg integrable, then this definition coincides with what we saw earlier, $\lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} \int_a^x f = \sup_{x \in [a, b)} \int_a^x f$

PROPOSITION (CAUCHY'S CRITERION)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise continuous function. TFAE

(1) The integral $\int_{[a,b]} f$ converges

(2) $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists c \in [a, b]$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in [c, b]$ with $x < y$, we have $|\int_x^y f(t) dt| < \varepsilon$

PROPOSITION

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise conti: function. let $c \in (a, b)$, then:

(1) Both integrals $\int_{[a,b]} f$ and $\int_{[c,b]} f$ have the same behavior

(2) If they both converge, we have $\int_{[a,b]} f = \int_{[a,c]} f + \int_{[c,b]} f$

Proof

These are direct consequences of " $\forall c \in (a, b), \forall x \in [c, b], \int_a^x f = \int_a^c f + \int_c^x f$ "

COROLLARY

If $\int_{[a,b]} f$ conv, then $\int_{[x,b]} f \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow b} 0$

- $\int_{[a,x]} f$: partial integral

- $\int_{[x,b]} f$: remainder integral

PROPOSITION

If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded p.c. function, and $F \in R(x; a, b)$, then $\int_{[a,b]} f = \int_a^b f$ (\therefore We can generalize the notation \int_a^b)

PROPOSITION

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded p.c. and F is a primitive of f . Then, TFAE

(1) $\int_a^b f$ converges

(2) F has a finite limit at b^-

Proof

$$\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a) \xrightarrow{b^-} F(b^-) - F(a)$$

The function $x \mapsto \int_a^x f$ is differentiable with derivative $-f$.

DEFINITION

Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. Fix $c \in (a, b)$. Let $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be p.c. We say that the improper integral $\int_{(a,b)} f = \int_a^b f$ converges if both $\int_{(a,c)} f$ and $\int_{(c,b)} f$

REMARK

The choice of $c \in (a, b)$ is arbitrary, from the previous propositions

EXAMPLE

Let $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{1-x}$$

Indeed, x is not integrable.

$f(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0^+} \infty$ and $\frac{1}{x}$ is not integrable around 0^+

$f(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 1^-} \infty$ and $\frac{1}{1-x}$ is not integrable around 1^-

We may check the convergence of $\int_{(0,1)} f$ and $\int_{(1,1)} f$

We find $\int_0^1 f = 2\ln\frac{1}{2} - \ln\frac{1}{n} - \ln(1 - \frac{1}{n}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$, so $\int_{(0,1)} f$ diverges

CONDITIONAL CONVERGENCE**DEFINITION**

Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, I is an interval. We say that $\int_I f$ converges conditionally if

- $\int_I |f|$ converges

- f is not integrable on I (i.e. $\int_I f$ diverges)

EXAMPLE

$\int_{\pi}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx$ converges conditionally

• "Not integrable": For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \left| \frac{\sin x}{x} \right| dx \geq \frac{1}{(k+1)\pi} \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} |\sin x| dx$ and $\sum \frac{1}{k\pi} dN$
 [Check $\int 1 \cdot 1 = \infty$ $\therefore \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\sin x}{x} \right| dx = \infty$]

• "Converges": For $t > \pi$, $\int_{\pi}^t \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \left[-\frac{\cos x}{x} \right]_{\pi}^t - \int_{\pi}^t \frac{\cos x}{x^2} dx = -\frac{\cos t}{t} + \frac{\cos \pi}{\pi} - \int_{\pi}^t \frac{\cos x}{x^2} dx$
 $\rightarrow 0$ const $\left| \frac{\cos x}{x^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x^2}$, so $x \mapsto \frac{\cos x}{x^2}$ is integrable on (π, ∞)

THEOREM (ABEL'S RULE) (Proof in next section of notes)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 , $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^0 ,

(i) f is decreasing with $\lim_{x \rightarrow b} f(x) = 0$

(ii) $\exists M > 0$, s.t. $\forall x \in [a, b]$, we have $|\int_a^x g(t) dt| \leq M$

Then, the integral $\int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt$ is convergent

5-6-2S (WEEK 12)

THEOREM (ABEL'S RULE)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 and $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^0 .

Suppose (i) $|f(x)| \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow b^-$

(ii) $\exists M > 0$, s.t. $|\int_a^x g(t) dt| \leq M$ for $x \in [a, b]$

Then, $\int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt$ converges.

Proof

We want to check that Cauchy's criterion is satisfied.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, by (i), we may find $A \in (a, b)$, s.t. $|f(t)| \leq \varepsilon \forall t \in (A, b)$

Let G be a primitive of g , $G(x) = \int_a^x g(t) dt \forall x \in (A, b)$

\Rightarrow It follows from (ii) that $|G(x)| \leq M$ for some fixed M uniformly in $x \in [a, b]$

Let $x, y \in [A, b]$ with $x \leq y$. We have $\int_x^y f(t)g(t) dt = [f(t)G(t)]_x^y - \int_x^y f'(t)G(t) dt = f(y)G(y) - f(x)G(x) - \int_x^y f'(t)G(t) dt$

Notice,

$$\cdot |f(y)G(y)| \leq M$$

$$\cdot |f(x)G(x)| \leq M$$

$$\cdot |\int_x^y f'(t)G(t) dt| \leq \int_x^y |f'(t)| |G(t)| dt \leq M \int_x^y |f'(t)| dt = -M \int_x^y f'(t) dt = M(f(x) - f(y)) \leq M$$

\therefore In conclusion, $|\int_x^y f(t)g(t) dt| \leq 3\varepsilon M$ for $x, y \in [A, b]$ with $x \leq y$. \square

EXAMPLE

Fix $\alpha > 0$. Then, the following integrals converge. $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t^\alpha} dt$, $\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos t}{t^\alpha} dt$ (by Abel's rule). Also, this means $\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{it}}{t^\alpha} dt$ conv too.

EXAMPLE

Let $f: [1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $g: [1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$x \longmapsto \frac{e^{ix}}{\sqrt{x}}, \quad x \longmapsto \frac{e^{ix}}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{1}{x}$$

- $f(x) \sim g(x)$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$

- $\int_1^\infty f$ conv

$\therefore \int_1^\infty g$ does not conv (since otherwise $\int_1^\infty (g(t)-f(t)) dt = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} dt$ conv $\rightarrow \infty$)

LAPLACE'S METHOD

THEOREM

Let $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ and $g, h: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 .

Suppose (i) $x \mapsto g(x)e^{hx}$ is integrable on (a, b) kinda like abs conv, not just conv

(ii) $\exists c \in (a, b)$, s.t. (a) h is increasing on (a, c) and decreasing on (c, b) with $h''(c) < 0$

(b) $g(c) \neq 0$

Then, when $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\int_a^b g(x)e^{\lambda h(x)} dx \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-\lambda h''(c)}} g(c) e^{\lambda h(c)}$

PROOF SKETCH

By Taylor expansion, $h(x) \approx h(c) - \frac{1}{2}(-h''(c))(x-c)^2$

Then, $\int_a^b g(x)e^{\lambda h(x)} dx \underset{\text{approx}}{\approx} \int_{c-\varepsilon}^{c+\varepsilon} e^{\lambda(h(c)+h''(c)(x-c)^2)} dx = g(c)e^{\lambda h(c)} \int_{c-\varepsilon}^{c+\varepsilon} e^{\frac{-\lambda}{2}h''(c)(x-c)^2} dx$

Let $y = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-\lambda h''(c)}} \int_{c-\varepsilon}^{c+\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}h''(c)y^2} dy \underset{\text{approx}}{\approx} g(c)e^{\lambda h(c)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}h''(c)y^2} dy = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-\lambda h''(c)}} g(c)e^{\lambda h(c)}$ (Used a steepest descent in NL)

Remark: These two "≈" are not rigorous and require explanation, hence why this is a "proof sketch" rather than a "proof"

APPLICATION (STIRLING'S FORMULA)

Recall for $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$, $x > 0$, $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\Gamma(n+1) = \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-t} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{n \ln t - t} dt$

Let $t = ns$, $= \int_0^\infty n^ns e^{n \ln(ns) - ns} ds = n^{n+1} \int_0^\infty e^{n(\ln s - s)} ds$

Define $h: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$s \longmapsto \ln s - s$$

We have $h'(s) = \frac{1}{s} - 1$, $h''(s) = -\frac{1}{s^2}$

0	+	-
$h(s)$	↗	↘

h is ↗ on $(0, 1)$, ↘ on $(1, +\infty)$, and $h''(1) = -1 < 0$

∴ By Laplace's method, we find $\int_0^\infty e^{n(\ln s - s)} ds \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n}} e^{-n} \Rightarrow n! = \Gamma(n+1) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$

SEQUENCES AND SERIES OF FUNCTIONS

NOTATION

$F(A, M) := \{f: A \rightarrow M \text{ functions}\}$

$B(A, M) := \{f: A \rightarrow M \text{ bounded functions}\}$

NOTIONS OF CONVERGENCE

SEQUENCES OF FUNCTIONS

DEFINITION

Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions from A to M , that is, they are elements of $F(A, M)$

- Let $f \in F(A, M)$, we say $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise to f if $\forall x \in A, \exists f_n(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x) \in (M, d)$
- We say $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise if $\exists f \in F(A, M)$, s.t. $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise to f
- Let $B \subseteq A$ be a subset. We say $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise on B if $((f_n)|_B)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise

EXAMPLE

For $n \geq 1$, let $f_n: [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$x \longmapsto x^n$$

The sequence of functions $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise to $1_{[0, 1]}$ on $[0, 1]$

REMARK

(1) If $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$ pointwise, then f is unique (but depends on d)

(2) If $(M, d) = (W, \| \cdot \|)$ is a finite-dimensional normed vector space, and $f_n \longrightarrow f$ pointwise, the limit does not depend on the norm

(3) Some properties are preserved by pointwise convergence: linearity, product, inequality, monotonicity, etc

(4) Analytic properties (continuity, differentiability, integrability, etc) may NOT be preserved

DEFINITION

Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions from A to M

- Let $f \in F(A, M)$, we say $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to f if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N(\varepsilon) > 0$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N, \forall x \in A, d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon$
- We say $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly if $\exists f \in F(A, M)$, s.t. $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to f
- We say $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly on $B \subseteq A$ if $((f_n)|_B)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly

REMARK

Let's write the pointwise convergence using quantifiers.

We say $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N(\varepsilon) > 0$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N, d(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \varepsilon$

This means that uniform convergence \Rightarrow pointwise convergence. In particular, the uniform limit is unique.

PROPOSITION (CAUCHY'S CRITERION)

Suppose (M, d) is complete. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions from A to M . Then, $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f$ uniformly if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0$, s.t. $\forall m, n \geq N, \forall x \in A, d(f_m(x), f_n(x)) \leq \varepsilon$

COROLLARY

If $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to f , it converges pointwise to f .

REMARK

To show that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, we start by proving the pointwise convergence, then check that this convergence is uniform.

DEFINITION

- Let us equip $B(A, M)$ with the following distance: $\forall f, g \in B(A, M), d_{\infty}(f, g) = \sup_{x \in A} d(f(x), g(x))$
If $f_n \in B(A, M)$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly $\Leftrightarrow d_{\infty}(f_n, f) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$
- Let $(W, \| \cdot \|)$ be a normed vector space. Let us equip $B(A, W)$ with the following norm, $\forall f \in B(A, W), \|f\|_{\infty} = \|f\|_{\infty, A} = \sup_{x \in A} \|f(x)\|$, called the **norm of uniform convergence**. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly $\Leftrightarrow \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

PROPOSITION

Let $(W, \| \cdot \|)$ be a Banach space. Then, $B(A, W)$ is also a Banach space.

Proof

Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $B(A, W)$. We want to check that $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in $(B(A, W), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})$.

- Let $x \in A$, note that $(f_n(x))_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W , so it converges to a limit we call $f(x)$.
- Check that $f \in B(A, W)$. First, a Cauchy sequence is bounded, so $\|f_n\|_{\infty} < M$ for some $M > 0$ uniformly in n .

For $x \in A$, $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$, so $\|f(x)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n(x)\| < M$. This means that $f \in B(A, W)$.

- Check that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $(B(A, W), \| \cdot \|_{\infty})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, take $N > 0$, s.t. $\|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$.

$\forall x \in A$, we have $\|f_n(x) - f(x)\| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$. This means, $\|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$.

5-8-25 (WEEK 12)

EXAMPLE

Let $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $n \geq 1$

$$x \mapsto x^n(1-x)$$

- For $x \in [0, 1]$, $|f_n(x)| = x^n(1-x) \leq x^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

- For $x=1$, $f_n(x)=0 \forall n$

- Thus, $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ pointwise

- Check that this convergence is uniform

$$\text{for } n > 1, f'_n(x) = nx^{n-1}(1-x) - x^n = nx^{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{n}{n+1}x\right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc|c} 0 & \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} & 1 & \Rightarrow |f_n(x)| \leq f_n\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) = \frac{1}{n+1}\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^n \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \\ f'_n & + & - & \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{indep of } x) \\ f_n & \nearrow 0 & \searrow 0 & \therefore f_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly} \quad \square \end{array}$$

REMARK

If $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise but not uniformly, how do we prove this?

- $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly: $\exists \varepsilon > 0$, s.t. $\forall N > 0$, $\exists x \in [0, 1], n \geq N$, s.t. $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon$

\hookrightarrow This means $\exists (n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$, s.t. $|f_{n_k}(x_k) - f(x_k)| \geq \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$

EXAMPLE

Let $f_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$x \mapsto \frac{x+n}{x+n}$$

- \forall fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_n(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$, so $f_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise

- For $x=n$, we have $f_n(n) = \frac{n+n}{2n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2}$

- $\therefore f_n(n) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ for $n > N$ for some $N > 1$

- The convergence is not uniform

THEOREM (DINI'S THEOREM)

Let (K, d) be a compact metric space. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of continuous functions $K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Suppose (i) The sequence (f_n) is increasing, i.e. $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x) \quad \forall x \in K$

(ii) $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, f is continuous

Then, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly

Proof

When we say " (K, d) is compact", we want to use the Borel-Lebesgue property

Let $g_n := f_n - f \geq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider $\forall n > 0$, $E_n = \{x \in K \mid g_n(x) < \varepsilon\} = g_n^{-1}((-\infty, \varepsilon])$, which is open in (K, d) , as it is the preimage of an open set under a conti: function.

Note, $\forall x \in E_n$, $g_n(x) < \varepsilon$, so $\exists N > 0$, s.t. $x \in E_N \quad \forall n \geq N$

$\therefore (E_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of open sets, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = K$

$\therefore (E_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an open covering of the compact space (K, d) , so $\exists N > 0$, s.t. $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n = E_N$. This means $0 \leq g_N(x) < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in K$

$\therefore 0 \leq g_n(x) < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N \quad \square$

ALTERNATE VERSION

Let $I = [a, b]$ be a segment and a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ from $I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Suppose (i) $f_n \uparrow$ on $I \quad \forall n \geq 1$

(ii) $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, f is continuous

Then, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

SERIES OF FUNCTIONS

Let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions from A to W ↪ normed vector space
Banach space if we need "Cauchy ⇒ conv"

DEFINITION

We say that $\sum u_n$ converges pointwise if $\forall x \in A$, the series $\sum u_n(x)$ converges. We write $\sum u_n : A \rightarrow W$
 $x \mapsto \sum u_n(x)$

- $S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k(x)$ is called the k^{th} partial sum
- If $\sum u_n$ converges pointwise, then $R_n(x) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_k(x)$ is the n^{th} remainder
- We say $\sum u_n$ converges uniformly if $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges uniformly

PROPOSITION

The series of functions $\sum u_n$ converges uniformly iff

- $\sum u_n$ converges pointwise
- The sequence of remainders $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converge uniformly to 0

EXAMPLE

Consider $\sum (-1)^n x^n$ for $x \in [0, 1]$

- For $x \in [0, 1]$, $(\frac{x}{n}) \rightarrow 0$, so the alternating series converges
 \Rightarrow The series of functions $\sum (-1)^n x^n$ converges pointwise
- We may estimate the remainder of an alternating series. For $x \in [0, 1]$, $|R_n(x)| \leq \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1} x^{n+1}}{n+1} \right| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$
 $\therefore R_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly

REMARK

$(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly $\Leftrightarrow \sum (f_{n+1} - f_n)$ converges uniformly

PROPOSITION (CAUCHY'S CRITERION)

$\sum u_n$ converges uniformly $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N, k \geq 1$, $\|u_{n+1} + \dots + u_{n+k}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$

$\lceil S_{n+k} - S_n \rceil$

DEFINITION

Let $u_n \in B(A, W) := E$ for $n \geq 1$. We say the series of functions $\sum u_n$ converges normally if $\sum \|u_n\|_{\infty}$ converges
(If E is a Banach space, $u_n \in E$, $\sum \|u_n\|_{\infty}$ converges means that $\sum u_n$ converges absolutely in $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$)

function version of conv abs!

PROPOSITION

Let $\sum u_n$ be a series of bounded functions from A to W that converges normally on A . Then, we have:

- $\forall a \in A$, $\sum u_n(a)$ converges absolutely
- The series of functions $\sum u_n$ converges uniformly

Proof

(1) Let $a \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\sum_{k=1}^n |u_k(a)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|u_k\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|u_k\|_{\infty} < \infty$, so $\sum u_k(a)$ converges absolutely

(2) $\sum \|u_n\|_{\infty}$ converges so it satisfies Cauchy's property

$$\forall n \geq 1, k \geq 1, \|u_n(x) + \dots + u_{n+k}(x)\|_{\infty} \leq \|u_n(x)\|_{\infty} + \dots + \|u_{n+k}(x)\|_{\infty} \leq \|u_n\|_{\infty} + \dots + \|u_{n+k}\|_{\infty}$$

\therefore We have the Cauchy's condition for $\sum u_n(x) \quad \forall x \in A$

$\therefore \sum u_n$ converges uniformly \square

EXAMPLE

Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} . $f_i \equiv 1$, $\forall n \geq 1$, $f_n(x) = 1 + \int_0^x f_n(t) dt$

Show that $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly. To achieve this, let us check that $\sum (f_{n+1} - f_n)$ converges normally

For $n \geq 1$, $x \in [0, 1]$, we have $|f_{n+2}(x) - f_{n+1}(x)| = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x (f_{n+2}(t) - f_{n+1}(t)) dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f_{n+2} - f_{n+1}\|_{\infty}$

$$\Rightarrow \|f_{n+2} - f_{n+1}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f_{n+2} - f_{n+1}\|_{\infty} \quad \forall n \geq 1 \Rightarrow \|f_{n+2} - f_{n+1}\|_{\infty} \leq (\frac{1}{2})^{n-1} \|f_2 - f_1\|_{\infty} \Rightarrow (\because \text{it's a GS}) \sum \|f_{n+2} - f_{n+1}\|_{\infty} \text{ converges}$$

COUNTEREXAMPLEBack to $\sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n} x^n$

- Known: Uniform convergence on $[0, 1]$
- Let $u_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n} x^n$, $x \in [0, 1]$. $\|u_n\|_\infty = \frac{1}{n}$, $\sum \frac{1}{n} = \infty$, so $\sum u_n$ does not converge normally!
- However, for $a \in [0, 1]$, $\|u_n|_{[0, a]}\|_\infty = \frac{a^n}{n}$. In fact, $\sum \frac{a^n}{n}$ converges, so $\sum u_n$ converges normally on $[0, a]$

PROPERTIES OF THE UNIFORM LIMITLet (X, d_X) and (M, d_M) be metric spaceslet $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions in $B(X, M)$.**CONTINUITY****PROPOSITION**For $a \in X$, suppose f_n is continuous at a for all $n \geq 1$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then f is continuous at a (Btw, the contrapositive provides us with another way to prove nonuniform convergence: find a d_X -continuous point of f)ProofLet $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, take $N > 0$, s.t. $\forall n \geq N$, $\forall x \in X$, $d_M(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ (*)We can use the continuity of f_n at a .We can find $\eta > 0$, s.t. $d_X(x, a) \leq \eta \Rightarrow d_M(f_n(x), f_n(a)) \leq \varepsilon$ (**)Then, for $x \in B_X(a, \eta)$, we have: $d_M(f(x), f(a)) \leq d_M(f(x), f_N(x)) + d_M(f_N(x), f_N(a)) + d_M(f_N(a), f(a)) \leq 3\varepsilon$ □**COROLLARY**Suppose f_n is continuous on X for all $n \geq 1$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then f is continuous on X .**COROLLARY**Let $\sum u_n$ be a series of continuous functions on X . If $\sum u_n$ converges uniformly, then $\sum u_n$ is continuous**EXAMPLE**Let $u_n: \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $n \geq 0$

$$x \longmapsto \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

We want to discuss the series of functions $u(x) = \sum u_n(x)$

- For $x \geq 0$, $\sum \frac{x^n}{n!}$ conv by the ratio test. $\therefore u(x)$ is well-defined
- $x \mapsto u_n(x)$ is continuous $\forall n \geq 0$
- Question: Is u a continuous function?
- Uniform convergence on \mathbb{R}^+ ? Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|R_n(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^N u_k(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_k(x) \right| \geq u_{N+1}(x) = \frac{x^{N+1}}{(N+1)!} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} \infty \therefore R_n \not\rightarrow 0$ uniformly
- Given $M > 0$, let us show that $\sum u_n$ converges uniformly on $[0, M]$. Then, the continuity of u on $[0, M]$ follows.
This is true $\forall M > 0$, so we deduce the continuity of u on the whole \mathbb{R}^+
- For $x \in [0, M]$, $|R_n(x)| = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_k(x) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} u_k(M) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{M^k}{k!} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ indep of $x \in [0, M]$ (\because They are remainders of the convergent $\sum \frac{M^k}{k!}$)
 $\Rightarrow \sum u_n$ converges uniformly on $[0, M]$

INTEGRATION

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, s.t. $I \neq \emptyset$.

Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of functions from I to a Banach space $(W, \| \cdot \|)$.

PROPOSITION

Suppose that on each segment $J \subseteq I$, all the f_n 's are continuous and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Let $a \in I$, define $\varphi(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$, $\varphi_n(x) = \int_a^x f_n(t) dt$

Then, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on every segment $J \subseteq I$.

REMARK

We may interchange the order of " \lim " and " \int_a^x ", $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^x f_n(t) dt = \int_a^x \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) dt \quad \forall x \in I$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt = \varphi(x)$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION

Let $J = [c, d] \subseteq I$ be a segment of I

for $x \in J$, we have $\|\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x)\| = \left\| \int_a^x (f_n(t) - f(t)) dt \right\| \leq \int_a^c \|f_n - f\|_{\infty, [c, d]} dt \leq |x - c| \|f_n - f\|_{\infty, [c, d]} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$
 \therefore This convergence is uniform when $x \in [c, d]$

(uniformly bounded)

EXAMPLE

Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} .

Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. We want to show that $\int_0^1 f_n^2 \rightarrow \int_0^1 f^2$

For example, we may try to prove $f_n^2 \rightarrow f^2$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$

- For $x \in [0, 1]$, we have $|f_n(x)^2 - f(x)^2| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| |f_n(x) + f(x)| \leq 2M \|f_n - f\|_{\infty}$, where M is given below.
- $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a convergent sequence in $(B([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ Only valid because $[0, 1]$ not $(0, 1)$
 This means that $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded, so $\|f_n\|_{\infty} \leq M$ for some $M > 0$ uniformly in n
- This gives us $|f_n(x)^2 - f(x)^2| \leq 2M \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. This implies $f_n^2 \rightarrow f^2$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$
- More generally speaking, for any integer $p \geq 1$, we have $\int_0^1 (f_n)^p \rightarrow \int_0^1 f^p$

EXAMPLE

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_n \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}$ pointwise

$$x \mapsto x^n$$

We have seen that $f_n \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}$ is NOT uniform because all the f_n 's are conti at 1 but $\mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}$ is NOT conti at 1

However, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\int_0^1 f_n(t) dt = \frac{1}{n+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$$\int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}} dt = 0$$

\therefore The integrals converge uniformly

CAUTION

Convergence of integrals is much weaker than uniform convergence even if $\text{uni} \Rightarrow \text{int conti.}$

COROLLARY

Let $\sum n$ be a series of continuous functions from $[a, b] \rightarrow (W, \|\cdot\|)$.

If $\sum n$ converges uniformly, then $\forall x \in [a, b]$, $\int_a^x (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(t)) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\int_a^x n(t) dt) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N (\int_a^x n(t) dt)$, where the limit on the right side is uniform in $x \in [a, b]$.

\therefore We can say that we can "integrate term by term"

THEOREM

Let $\alpha \in BV([a, b])$. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of bounded functions from $[a, b]$ to \mathbb{R} . Suppose $f_n \in R(\alpha; a, b) \ \forall n \geq 1$.

Suppose $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Define $g(x) = \int_a^x f(t) d\alpha(t)$ and $g_n(x) = \int_a^x f_n(t) d\alpha(t) \ \forall n \geq 1$

Then, (1) $f \in R(\alpha; a, b)$, so g is well-defined

(2) $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly

Proof:

By decomposition theorem, wlog, we may assume α to be nondecreasing. The case $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$ is trivial, all the integrals are zero, so nothing to prove. Hence, let us assume $\alpha(a) < \alpha(b)$

Recall Riemann's condition: $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists P_\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$, s.t. $U_P(f, \alpha) - L_P(f, \alpha) \leq \epsilon \ \forall P \supseteq P_\epsilon \iff f \in R(\alpha; a, b)$

(1) Let us check Riemann's condition.

Given $\epsilon > 0$. We may find $N > 0$, s.t. $\|f - f_N\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha(b)-\alpha(a)} \ \forall n \geq N$

Then, $\forall P \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$, $|U_P(f - f_N, \alpha)| = \left| \sup_{\substack{x \in I \\ x \in (x_0, \dots, x_k)}} (f(x) - f_N(x)) \Delta \alpha_i \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha(b)-\alpha(a)} |\sum_{i=0}^k \Delta \alpha_i| = \epsilon$. Similarly, $|L_P(f - f_N, \alpha)| \leq \epsilon$.

Since $f_N \in R(\alpha; a, b)$, we may find $P_\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$, s.t. $U_{P_\epsilon}(f_N, \alpha) - L_{P_\epsilon}(f_N, \alpha) \leq \epsilon \ \forall P \supseteq P_\epsilon$.

$\therefore U_P(f, \alpha) - L_P(f, \alpha) \leq U_P(f - f_N, \alpha) + U_{P_\epsilon}(f_N, \alpha) - L_{P_\epsilon}(f_N, \alpha) \leq 3\epsilon \ \forall P \supseteq P_\epsilon$. ✓

(2) For $n \geq 1$ and $x \in [a, b]$, $|g_n(x) - g(x)| = \left| \int_a^x (f_n(t) - f(t)) d\alpha(t) \right| \leq \|f_n - f\|_\infty (\alpha(x) - \alpha(a)) \leq \|f_n - f\|_\infty (\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ indep of x □

COROLLARY

Let $\alpha \in BV([a, b])$. Let $\sum u_n$ be a series of bounded functions from $[a, b]$ to \mathbb{R} s.t. $u_n \in R(\alpha; a, b) \ \forall n \geq 1$.

Suppose $\sum u_n$ converges uniformly. Then,

(1) $\sum u_n \in R(\alpha; a, b)$

(2) $\forall x \in [a, b]$, $\int_a^x \sum_{n=1}^N u_n(t) d\alpha(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \int_a^x u_n(t) d\alpha(t)$ and the convergence is uniform.

DERIVATIVES

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval s.t. $I \neq \emptyset$, and $f_n: I \rightarrow W \ \forall n \geq 1$

THEOREM

Suppose (i) $\forall n \geq 1$, $f_n: I \rightarrow W$ is of class C^1

(ii) The sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise to $f \in F(I, W)$

(iii) The sequence $(f'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to $g \in F(I, W)$ on every segment I

Then, the following properties hold.

(1) The function f is of class C^1 and $f' = g$

(2) The sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly on every segment of I

Proof:

Let $x \in I$, by (ii), we know $f_n(a) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a)$

(1) For $x \in I$, we have $\int_a^x g_n(t) dt \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^x g(t) dt$ from before
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{" } f_n(x) - f_n(a)$

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(a) + \int_a^x g(t) dt \quad \forall x \in I \Rightarrow \text{By FTC, } f'(x) = g(x) \quad \forall x \in I$

As g is continuous on I , we denote this $f \in C^1$.

(2) For $x \in I$, we have $f_n(x) - f(x) = \left(\int_a^x g_n(t) dt - \int_a^x g(t) dt \right) + (f_n(a) - f(a)) = \int_a^x (g_n(t) - g(t)) dt + (f_n(a) - f(a))$

$\therefore \|f_n(x) - f(x)\| \leq (a-x) \|g_n - g\|_\infty, c_{a,x} + \|f_n(a) - f(a)\|$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{" } \xrightarrow{x \in I} 0 \text{ indep of } x$

\therefore There is convergence on segments. □

COROLLARY

Let $p \geq 1$ be an integer, $f_n: I \rightarrow W$ be of class C^p $\forall n \geq 1$

Suppose (i) $(f_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise for $0 \leq k \leq p-1$

(ii) $(f_n^{(p)})_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly on every segment

Then $f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \in C^p$ and for $0 \leq k \leq p$, we have $f^{(k)}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{(k)}(x) \quad \forall x \in I$

COROLLARY

Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of C^1 functions $I \rightarrow W$.

Suppose (i) $\sum u_n$ converges pointwise

(ii) $\sum u_n'$ converges uniformly on every segment

Then, $\sum u_n \in C^1$ and $(\sum u_n)' = \sum u_n'$

We often say this as "We can differentiate the series term by term."

EXAMPLE

We want to check that \sum is of class C^1 .

Recall: $\sum: (1, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$s \longmapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

For $n \geq 1$, let $u_n: (1, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is of class C^1 .

$$s \longmapsto \frac{1}{n^s} = e^{-s \ln n}$$

- $\sum u_n(s)$ is well-defined $\forall s > 1$, so $\sum u_n$ converges pointwise

- Let $a, b \in (1, +\infty)$, s.t. $1 < a < b < +\infty$. Take $J = [a, b]$.

For $n \geq 1$, we have $u_n'(s) = (-\ln n) \left(\frac{1}{n^s}\right) \forall s > 1$.

Take $c \in (1, a)$. We see that $\|u_n\|_J \|_{\infty} = \frac{\ln n}{n^c} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^c}\right)$. Since $\sum \frac{1}{n^c}$ converges, we deduce that $\sum u_n|_J$ converges normally, so uniformly. Apply corollary to conclude that $\sum \in C^1$ on $(1, +\infty)$ and $\sum'(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-\ln n)^c}{n^s} \forall s > 1$

COROLLARY

Let $p \geq 1$ be an integer, $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of C^p from $I \rightarrow W$

Suppose (i) For $0 \leq k \leq p-1$, $\sum u_n^{(k)}$ converges pointwise

(ii) $\sum u_n^{(p)}$ converges uniformly on every segment

Then, $\sum u_n \in C^p$ and for $0 \leq k \leq p$, we have $(\sum u_n)^{(k)} = \sum u_n^{(k)}$

Remark: This implies \sum is C^∞ and $\sum^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-\ln n)^k}{n^s} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

EXAMPLE

Let $(W, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Consider $L_c(W)$ equipped over $\|\cdot\|$, which is still a Banach space. Moreover, it is also a normed algebra.

Given $u \in L_c(W)$, define $\mathcal{E}_u: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow L_c(W)$. Define $u_n: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow L_c(W)$

$$t \longmapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} u^n$$

• $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|u_n(t)\| \leq \frac{|t|^n \|u^n\|}{n!} \leq \frac{|t|^n \|u\|^n}{n!}$

Since $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{|t|^n \|u\|^n}{n!} = \exp(|t| \|u\|) < +\infty$, thus $\sum u_n(t)$ conv abs and hence converges

5-15-25 (WEEK 13)

EXAMPLE

Let $(W, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $\mathcal{L}(W)$ be equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\| \Rightarrow$ normed algebra, fix $u \in \mathcal{L}(W)$

Consider $E_u: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(W)$
 $t \longmapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} u^n$

We want to study the regularities and properties of E_u

- Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, check that $E_u(t)$ is well-defined, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^n}{n!} \|u^n\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^n}{n!} \|u\|^n = \exp(\|u\| |t|) < \infty$
 $\therefore \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} u^n$ converges absolutely, so it converges.
- To check the continuity of E_u at some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we may check that the series of function converges uniformly on $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$
 \hookrightarrow More specifically, we check for uniform convergence on $[t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon]$ for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.
- Fix $M > 0$. We already know $t \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} u^n$ converges pointwise on $[-M, M]$. It remains to show that the remainder function converges uniformly to 0.
 - For $t \in [-M, M]$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $R_n(t) := \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^k}{k!} u^k \Rightarrow \|R_n(t)\| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^k}{k!} \|u\|^k \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{M^k}{k!} \|u\|^k$. As $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|u\|^n$ is a convergent series, RHS $\rightarrow 0$ as it is the remainder of a convergent series
 - Additionally, this upper bound does NOT depend on $t \in [-M, M]$, so the series converges uniformly
 $\therefore E_u$ is continuous on $[-M, M] \forall M > 0$, i.e. E_u is continuous on \mathbb{R}
- For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define $U_n(t) := \frac{t^n}{n!} u^n$ which is C^∞ on \mathbb{R} (polynomial function)
 For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $U'_n(t) = \frac{n t^{n-1}}{n!} u^n = \begin{cases} u \cdot U_{n-1}, & n \geq 1 \\ 0, & n=0 \end{cases}$
- Fix $M > 0$. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n \cdot U_{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n'$ converges uniformly (We also know U_n converges pointwise), so E_u is C' on $[-M, M]$
- We know $E'_u(t) = u \cdot E_u(t) \quad \forall t \in [-M, M]$. Therefore, E_u is C' on \mathbb{R} , $E'_u(t) = u \cdot E_u(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$
- Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If E_u is C^k for $k \geq 1$, then so is $E'_u = u \cdot E_u \Rightarrow E_u \in C^{k+1}$. \therefore By induction on k , E_u is of class C^∞

POWER SERIES

We state the theorems and properties in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , but they hold in general under normed algebra with minimal modifications.

DEFINITIONS AND RADIUS OF CONVERGENCE

In $(\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$, balls are called disks

For example, $D(a, r) = B(a, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{C} \mid |y-a| < r\} \Rightarrow$ open disk
 $\bar{D}(a, r) = \bar{B}(a, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{C} \mid |y-a| \leq r\} \Rightarrow$ closed disk

DEFINITION

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of complex numbers and $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-c)^n$ is called a power series centered at $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
- If $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x-c)^n$ is called a real power series centered at $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with variable $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 WLOG, we automatically assume $c=0$ (by translation).

PROPOSITION (ABEL'S LEMMA)

Let $\sum a_n z^n$ be a power series. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $(a_n z_0^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded. Then,

- For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < |z_0|$, the series $\sum a_n z^n$ converges absolutely
- For $r \in (0, |z_0|)$, the series of functions $\sum a_n z^n$ converges normally in the closed disk $\bar{D}(0, r)$. (In the entire disk, we have uniform convergence)

Proof

Let $M > 0$, s.t. $|a_n| |z_0|^n \leq M$. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < |z_0|$. Then, $b_n \geq 0$, $|a_n z^n| = |a_n z_0^n| \frac{|z|^n}{|z_0|^n} \stackrel{\leq M}{\leq} \stackrel{1}{|z_0|^n}$

Hence,

- $\sum |a_n z^n|$ converges because it can be upper bounded by a geometric series $\sum M \left(\frac{|z|}{|z_0|} \right)^n$ which converges. $\Rightarrow \sum a_n z^n$ converges absolutely
- Fix $r \in (0, |z_0|)$. For $z \in \bar{D}(0, r)$, $|a_n z^n| \leq M \left(\frac{r}{|z_0|} \right)^n$, with the upper bound independent on $z \in \bar{D}(0, r)$. Hence, it is normal convergence.

DEFINITION

Let $\sum a_n z^n$ be a power series. Define $R = R(\sum a_n z^n) := \sup \{r > 0 \mid ((a_n r^n)_{n \geq 0}) \text{ is bounded}\}$. This is called the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum a_n z^n$

REMARK

\neg condition

If we add phases to the sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$, the power series $\sum a_n z^n$ has its radius of convergence remain unchanged

Shun / 羊羽海 (@shun4midx)

PROPOSITION

Let $\sum a_n z^n$ be a power series and R be its radius of convergence. Then,

- (1) For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|z| < R$, the series $\sum a_n z^n$ converges absolutely
- (2) For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|z| > R$, the series $\sum a_n z^n$ diverges
- (3) For $r \in (0, R)$, the series $\sum a_n z^n$ converges normally on the closed disk $\bar{D}(0, r)$

Proof

(1) Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z| < R$. Write $r = \frac{|z|+R}{2}$. Then, $|z| < r < R$.

By definition of R , we know that $(|a_n|r^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded. $\therefore \sum a_n z^n$ converges absolutely

(3) Can be shown in the same way as (1)

(2) $|z| > R$ means that $(|a_n||z|^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is unbounded, so $a_n z^n \not\rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \sum a_n z^n$ does not converge \square

REMARK

1) If $R = \infty$, the power series is well-defined on \mathbb{C} . Such a function is called an entire function

2) When $R < \infty$, and $z \in \partial D(0, R)$, the behavior of $\sum a_n z^n$ can have any behavior

PROPOSITION (D'ALEMBERT'S CRITERION, RATIO TEST)

If $\ell := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| (\in [0, +\infty])$ exists, then $R = \frac{1}{\ell}$

Proof

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We want to check when $\sum a_n z^n$ converges.

We have: $\frac{|a_{n+1} z^{n+1}|}{|a_n z^n|} = \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| |z| \longrightarrow \ell |z| \begin{cases} < 1, & |z| < \frac{1}{\ell} \\ = 1, & |z| = \frac{1}{\ell} \\ > 1, & |z| > \frac{1}{\ell} \end{cases}$

This means, by def, $R = \frac{1}{\ell}$. \square

PROPOSITION (CAUCHY'S CRITERION, ROOT TEST)

Let $\lambda := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Then, $R = \frac{1}{\lambda}$.

Proof

Similar to above.

EXAMPLES ON THE BOUNDARY OF R

1) Consider the power series $\sum z^n$. Obviously, $R(\sum z^n) = 1$.

This means for $r \in (0, 1)$, $\sum z^n$ converges normally on $\bar{D}(0, r)$.

For $z \in \partial D(0, 1)$, $|z^n| = 1 \Rightarrow \sum z^n$ diverges

2) Consider the power series $\sum \frac{z^n}{n^2}$ with $R(\sum \frac{z^n}{n^2}) = 1$

Here, $\|\frac{z^n}{n^2}\|_{\infty}, \text{ for } n=1 = \frac{1}{n^2}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges. Hence, $\sum \frac{z^n}{n^2}$ converges normally on $\bar{D}(0, 1)$.

3) Consider $\sum \frac{z^n}{n}$, $R(\sum \frac{z^n}{n}) = 1$

• For $z=1$, $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges

• For $z=e^{i\theta}$, $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$, $\sum \frac{e^{i\theta n}}{n}$ converges because $\frac{1}{n} \downarrow 0$ and $\left| \sum_{k=0}^n e^{ik\theta} \right| = \left| \frac{e^{i(n+1)\theta} - 1}{e^{i\theta} - 1} \right| = |\sin \frac{n+1}{2}\theta| \leq |\sin \frac{\theta}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}$, which is bounded.

OPERATIONS ON POWER SERIES

PROPOSITION

Let $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum b_n z^n$ be power series with radius of convergence R_f and R_g respectively.

Let $R = R(\sum (a_n + b_n) z^n)$, then $R \geq \min(R_f, R_g)$

Moreover, if $R_f \neq R_g$, then $R = \min(R_f, R_g)$. If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < \min(R_f, R_g)$, we also have $\sum (a_n + b_n) z^n = \sum a_n z^n + \sum b_n z^n$

Proof

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < \min(R_f, R_g)$. We know $(a_n z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(b_n z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ are bounded, so $((a_n + b_n) z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded $\Rightarrow |z| < R$

\therefore By taking $|z| \rightarrow \min(R_f, R_g)$ from below, we find that $\min(R_f, R_g) \leq R$ \square

Now, consider when $R_f \neq R_g$, by symmetry, suppose $R_f < R_g$

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, s.t. $R_f < |z| < R_g$. We know $(a_n z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded and $(b_n z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is unbounded $\Rightarrow ((a_n + b_n) z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is unbounded

This means that $|z| \geq R$. By taking $|z| \rightarrow R_f^+$, we find $R_f \geq R$.

We have already shown that $R \geq R_f \therefore R = R_f \quad \square$

When $|z| < \min(R_f, R_g)$, $\sum a_n z^n$ and $\sum b_n z^n$ converge $\therefore \sum (a_n + b_n) z^n$ converges to $\sum a_n z^n + \sum b_n z^n \quad (\text{limit proof of } \frac{N}{z}, N \rightarrow \infty)$

DEFINITION

Let $\sum a_n z^n$ and $\sum b_n z^n$ be power series. Their Cauchy product $\sum c_n z^n$ is given by $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$

S-20-25(WEEK 14)

Shun / 羊羽海 (@shun4midx)

PROPOSITION

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ with radii of convergence R_f, R_g . For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $|z| < \min(R_f, R_g)$, $f(z)g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}) z^n$

Proof

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < \min(R_f, R_g)$, then $\sum a_n z^n$ and $\sum b_n z^n$ both converge absolutely. Then, the conclusion follows.

REGULARITY

Let $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ be a power series with radius of convergence $R > 0$.

Known: f is well-defined on $D(0, R)$

THEOREM

f is continuous in the disk of convergence $D(0, R)$

Proof

Let $g \in D(0, R)$, take $r \in (0, R - |g|)$. Then, $\bar{D}(z, r) \subseteq \bar{D}(0, |z| + r) \subseteq \bar{D}(0, R)$

We know that $\sum a_n z^n$ is continuous $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and the convergence $z \mapsto \sum a_n z^n$ is normal on $\bar{D}(0, |z| + r)$.

$\therefore f$ is continuous on $\bar{D}(0, |z| + r)$, so also at z . \square

THEOREM (ABEL'S THEOREM)

Suppose $\sum a_n R^n$ converges. Then, $[0, R] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous

$$x \longmapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$

In other words, $\lim_{x \rightarrow R, x \in \mathbb{R}} \sum a_n x^n = \sum a_n R^n$

Note: The continuity is only "radial", not across the entire disk

Proof

By rescaling, we may assume $R=1$

Let $R_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k R^k = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k$ be the n th remainder of the convergent series $\sum a_n$

- We already know f converges pointwise on $[0, 1]$ ($[0, 1] \subseteq \bar{D}(0, 1)$)
- It remains to show the uniform convergence to 0 of the remainder of the series of function

For $m > n$, and $x \in [0, 1]$, we have $\sum_{k=n+1}^m a_k x^k = \sum_{k=n+1}^{m-1} (R_{k-1} - R_k)x^k = \sum_{k=n+1}^{m-1} R_k x^{k+1} - \sum_{k=n+1}^m R_k x^k = R_n x^{m+1} - R_m x^m + \sum_{k=m+1}^{m-1} R_k (x^{k+1} - x^k)$

$$\downarrow m \rightarrow \infty \quad \boxed{1 \cdot 1 \leq M(x^{k+1} - x^k)}$$

This means $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k x^k = R_n x^{m+1} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} R_k (x^{k+1} - x^k) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, 1]$

$$\boxed{\exists L \cdot 1 \leq M x^{k+1} \text{ indep of } m}$$

\therefore abs conv as $m \rightarrow \infty$

Now check that it converges uniformly to 0 on $[0, 1]$

let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $|R_n| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$.

For $n \geq N$, $x \in [0, 1]$, we have $|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k x^k| \leq |R_n x^{n+1}| + \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} |R_k| (x^k - x^{k+1}) \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon x^{n+1} \leq 2\varepsilon \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], n \geq N$.

$\therefore \sum a_n z^n$ converges uniformly on $[0, 1]$. \square

THEOREM (TAUBER'S THEOREM)

Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x) = l$ exists and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} a_n = l$. Then, $\sum a_n R^n = l$ (where $f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$)

Proof

WLOG, take $R=1$. Let $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $x \in [0, 1)$, we have $S_n - f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (1 - x^k) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$

(1) (2)

As $1 - x^k = (1-x)(1+x+\dots+x^{k-1})$, $|1-x^k| \leq |1-x| k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $x \in [0, 1)$,

$\therefore |(1)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n (1-x) \cdot k |a_k| = (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^n |k a_k|$

(Cesàro sum)

We know $|\ln a_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |k a_k| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. If we take $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, then $|(1)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |k a_k| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take N s.t. $|a_m| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M^m}$. For $n \geq N$, we have for $x \in [0, 1]$, $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| x^k \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{M^k} x^k \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M^{n+1}} x^{n+1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M^n} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M(n-x)}$. If we take $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, then $|f(x_n)| \leq \varepsilon$.

In conclusion, let $\varepsilon > 0$, take N s.t. $|a_m| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M^m}$. For $n \geq N$, take $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. Then, $|S_n - f(x_n)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \varepsilon \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n - f(x_n)| \leq \varepsilon$. This holds $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, so we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n - f(x_n)| = 0$.

By the assumption, $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} l \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n - f(x_n)) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = l \quad \square$

COROLLARY

Let $\sum a_n z^n$, $\sum b_n z^n$ be convergent series. Define $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$. Suppose $\sum c_n$ is convergent. Then, $\sum c_n = (\sum a_n)(\sum b_n)$.

Proof

Sketch: Abel's limit equality in the disk: $(\sum a_n z^n)(\sum b_n z^n) = \sum c_n z^n \text{ if } z \in (-1, 1)$, take \lim as $z \rightarrow 1^-$. \square

DEFINITION

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $z_0 \in A$, we say f is C -differentiable (or simply differentiable) at $z_0 \in A$ if the following limit exists, $\frac{df}{dz}(z_0) = \frac{1}{z_0} f(z_0) = f'(z_0) := \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in A} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} \in \mathbb{C}$, which is also called the C -derivative of f at z_0 .

REMARK

This notation is much stronger than the differential defined in the first term. Additionally, scaling + rotation \Rightarrow linear + continuous.

Note that $\frac{d}{dz}(z^n) = nz^{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Let $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ be a power series with $R > 0$.

THEOREM

Let $f \in C^1$. Then,

- $R(\sum a_n z^{n-1}) = R(\sum a_n z^n)$
- $\forall z \in D(0, R)$, $f'(z) = \sum n a_n z^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} z^n$

Part

Let $R' := R(\sum a_n z^{n-1})$.

- " $R' \leq R$ ": Let $z \in D(0, R')$. We know $(n a_n z^{n-1})_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded $\Rightarrow (a_n z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded $\Rightarrow |z| \leq R \Rightarrow R' \leq R$ by taking z to the boundary
 - " $R \leq R'$ ": Let $z \in D(0, R)$. We know $(a_n z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded
- Take $z' \in D(0, |z|)$, $n a_n (z')^{n-1} = a_n z^{n-1} (\frac{z}{z'})^{n-1} \cdot n \stackrel{\text{bounded}}{\rightarrow} 0 \text{ if } |\frac{z}{z'}| < 1$

$$\therefore |z'| \leq R' \Rightarrow |z| \leq R' \Rightarrow R \leq R'$$

In conclusion, $R = R'$. \square

Notice, $\sum n a_n z^{n-1}$ converges normally on $\bar{D}(0, r)$ for $r < R$. $\sum a_n z^n$ converges normally on $\bar{D}(0, r)$ for $r < R$.

As every $z \mapsto a_n z^n$ is C^1 , so $\sum a_n z^n \in C^1$ on $\bar{D}(0, R)$ and can be differentiated term by term. \square

(By induction, $f \in C^\infty$)

COROLLARY

f is C^∞ on $D(0, R)$.

$\forall z \in D(0, R)$, $f^{(p)}(z) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} n(n-1)\dots(n-p+1) a_n z^{n-p} = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \binom{n}{p} p! a_n z^{n-p}$

In particular, this gives $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $a_p = \frac{f^{(p)}(0)}{p!}$ and $\forall z \in D(0, R)$, $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n$

EXAMPLE

$\forall z \in D(0, 1)$, $\frac{1}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n z^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) z^n \text{ if } z \in (0, 1)$. By induction, $\frac{1}{(1-z)^m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+m}{m} z^n$

Also, $\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} = -\frac{1}{(1-z)^3} + \frac{2z}{(1-z)^4} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 z^{n-2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{2} z^{n-2} = 6$ (Multiply the above by z and diff again)

COROLLARY

Define $F: D(0, R) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $z \longmapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n}{n+1} z^{n+1}$

Then, F has the same radius of convergence and $F' = f$ on $D(0, R)$

APPLICATION

$$\forall x \in (-1, 1), \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n \Rightarrow -\ln(1-x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1}$$

By Abel's thm, $\sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1}$ converges.

$$\text{Hence, } \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} = \sum \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n+1} \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \ln(1-x) = \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1} \Rightarrow \ln 2 = \sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n+1}$$

COEFFICIENTS OF POWER SERIES

COROLLARY (UNIQUENESS OF POWER SERIES)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ with $R_f := R(\sum a_n z^n) > 0$, $R_g := R(\sum b_n z^n) > 0$

Suppose that there exists $r \in [0, \min(R_f, R_g)]$ s.t. $f \equiv g$ on $(-r, r)$. Then, $a_n = b_n \ \forall n \geq 0$

Proof

Let $r > 0$ s.t. $f \equiv g$ on $(-r, r)$.

Since f and g are both C^∞ and they are equal, we deduce that $f^{(k)} = g^{(k)}$ on $(-r, r)$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

This implies $f^{(k)}(0) = g^{(k)}(0) \quad \forall k \geq 0$. Hence, $a_k = b_k \quad \forall k \geq 0$. \square

EXAMPLE

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with $R > 0$. Suppose that f is an even function, i.e. $f(-z) = f(z) \quad \forall z \in (-R, R)$. Then, $(-1)^n a_n = a_n \quad \forall n \geq 0$. In particular, $a_n = 0 \quad \forall \text{odd } n$.

THEOREM (CAUCHY'S FORMULA)

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ with $R > 0$. Then, for $r \in (0, R)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $r^n a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) e^{-ir\theta} d\theta$

Proof

We write $\int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) e^{-ir\theta} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k r^k e^{ik\theta} e^{-(k-r)\theta} d\theta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k r^k \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(k-r)\theta} d\theta = a_n r^n 2\pi$

Now, let us check that we can indeed interchange \sum and \int .

The series of function is given by $[0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\theta \longmapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k r^k e^{ik\theta - r\theta}$$

For every $k \geq 0$, $\|\theta \longmapsto a_k r^k e^{ik\theta - r\theta}\|_\infty \leq |a_k| r^k$

Since $r < R$, we know $\sum |a_k| r^k$ converges, so the series of functions converges normally and uniformly. \square

EXPANSION IN POWER SERIES

DEFINITION

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $f: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

- Let $R > 0$, if $0 \in A$ and there exists a power series $\sum a_n z^n$ such that $\forall z \in D(0, R)$, $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$, then we say that f can be expanded into a power series around 0 or expanded into a power series on $D(0, R)$.
In particular, we know that $R(\sum a_n z^n) \geq R$ and f is C^∞ on $D(0, R)$.
- Let $z_0 \in A$. We say that f can be expanded into a power series around z_0 if $z \mapsto f(z+z_0)$ can be expanded into a power series around 0 ($\Rightarrow f(z) = \sum a_n (z-z_0)^n$)

PROPOSITION

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open and $0 \in A$. Then, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2)

(1) f can be represented as a power series around 0

(2) There exists r , s.t. the remainder $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges pointwise to 0 on $D(0, r)$, where $R_n(z) = f(z) - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \xrightarrow{\text{pointwise}} 0$ and $a_k = \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!}$

When (2) holds, we have $R(\sum a_k z^k) > r$ and $f = \sum a_k z^k$ on $D(0, r)$.

Proof

" \Rightarrow ": By def.

" \Leftarrow ": Let r , s.t. (2) holds. For $z \in D(0, r)$, $\sum a_k z^k$ converges, so $(a_k z^k)_{k \geq 0}$ is bounded, and $|z| \leq r \Rightarrow \sum |a_k z^k| \leq r \sum |a_k| r^k \Rightarrow r \leq R(\sum a_k z^k) \Rightarrow r \leq R(\sum a_k z^k)$. \square

REMARK

How to check $R_n(z) \xrightarrow{\text{pointwise}} 0$?

(1) The remainder R_n can be estimated using

\hookrightarrow Taylor-Integral formula: $R_n(z) = z^{n+1} \int_0^1 (1-t)^n t^n f^{(n+1)}(t) dt$

\hookrightarrow Taylor-Lagrange: $R_n(z) = \frac{z^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\theta z) \quad \theta \in (0, 1)$

(2) It is NOT enough to check that $R(\sum \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} z^k) > 0$

EXAMPLEConsider $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{x}{k}}, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

We are going to check that $f^{(k)}(0) = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0$, $R(\sum a_n z^n) = \infty$ But clearly, $f(x) \neq 0$ for $x > 0$, so we cannot expand into a power series around 0.

$$\cdot \forall x > 0, f'(x) = -\frac{1}{k} e^{-\frac{x}{k}}, \quad f''(x) = \left(-\frac{2}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k^2}\right) e^{-\frac{x}{k}}$$

We have $f'(x), f''(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$ In general, $f^{(k)}(x) = P_k(\frac{1}{x}) e^{-\frac{x}{k}}$ for some polynomial P , $\deg P \leq k$.

$$\Rightarrow f^{(k)}(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

 \therefore The function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\infty$ on \mathbb{R} with $f^{(k)}(x) = 0 \quad \forall k$ as $o(x^n)$ Note that $f(x) = o(x^n)$, Taylor expansion tells us $f(x) = 0 + \dots + 0 + f(x)$ **EXAMPLE**1) $z \mapsto e^z$ can be expanded around 0

$$\text{For } z \in \mathbb{C}, R_n(z) = e^z - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

$$\text{We know that } R_n(z) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k+1}}{k+1} f^{(k+1)}(0)z^k \text{ for some } \theta = \theta(z) \in (0, 1)$$

$$\therefore \text{For } z \in \mathbb{C}, |R_n(z)| = \frac{|z|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} e^{\theta + R_n(z)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

2) $z \mapsto \frac{1}{1-z} \rightarrow$ defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.The expansion around 0: $\forall z \in D(0, 1), \frac{1}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$

$$\text{For } z \in D(0, 1), |R_n(z)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |z|^k = \frac{|z|^{n+1}}{1-|z|} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

3) For a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n$ is a finite series**PROPOSITION**If f can be written as a power series in $D(0, R)$, $R > 0$, then for any $z_0 \in D(0, R)$, f can also be written as a power series around z_0 ProofLet $R > 0$ and $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ s.t. $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$ for $z \in D(0, R)$. Fix $z_0 \in D(0, R)$, take $r \in (0, R - |z_0|)$. We want to write f as a power series in $D(z_0, r)$, i.e. in the form $\sum b_n (z - z_0)^n$ For $z \in D(z_0, r)$, write $\sum a_n z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n ((z - z_0) + z_0)^n$ binomial expansion

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} z^{n-k} (z - z_0)^k = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^n 1_{n \geq k} a_n \binom{n}{k} z^{n-k} (z - z_0)^k \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^n 1_{n \geq k} a_n \binom{n}{k} z_0^{n-k} (z - z_0)^k$$

- For $n \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=0}^n 1_{n \geq k} a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_n$ is a finite sum, so it converges absolutely

- $\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^n 1_{n \geq k} \binom{n}{k} |z - z_0|^k = \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n| (|z - z_0| + |z_0|)^n$ (too, because we are inside the disk of convergence. \square)

 $\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n (z - z_0)^n$ **APPLICATIONS TO ODE**

Know that the solution can be expanded into a power series

↳ Write $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n \rightarrow$ plug into the ODE \Rightarrow get relations between coefficientsDon't know that the solution can be written as a power series, we can assume that there is such a solution. Then, apply the previous step and check $R > 0$.**EXAMPLE**Consider $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, power sum around 0?

$$x \mapsto e^{x^2} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

 $x \mapsto e^{x^2}$ can be written as a power series on \mathbb{R} (centered anywhere) $t \mapsto e^{-t^2}$ the same, we can integrate on \mathbb{R} Cauchy product has a radius of convergence $= \infty$

$$f'(x) = 2x e^{x^2} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt + 1 = 2x f(x) + 1 \quad (\text{ODE})$$

Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ on \mathbb{R} .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} x^n \\ f(2x)f'(x) &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} x^n \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{aligned} (n+1) a_{n+1} &= 2a_0, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{recursive relation} \\ a_0 &= 1 \end{aligned} \right. , \text{ with initial condition } a_0 = 0 \text{ from } f(0) = 0$$

 (We may check that [f] power series has $R > 0$)

EXAMPLE

let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider $f: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $x \longmapsto (1+x)^\alpha = e^{\alpha \ln(1+x)}$

$\forall x \in (-1, 1), f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} f(x), f(0) = 1$

Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1) \Rightarrow f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} x^n \Rightarrow (1+x) f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((n+1)a_{n+1} + n a_n) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^n$

$\therefore (n+1) a_{n+1} = (n-1) a_n \quad \forall n \geq 0.$

$\therefore a_n = \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-n+1)}{n!} = \binom{\alpha}{n} \Rightarrow f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} x^n, \text{ check } R > 0.$