Shun/+3354 (@shun4midx) PROPOSITION Suppose that of is increasing. (a) YPSP', we have Up. (f, a) \ Up(f, a) and Lp(f, a) \ Lp. (f, a) (b) YP, P', we have Lp(f, a) < Up. (f, a) (a) It is enough to prove the inequalities hold when P' contains one more subdivision point than P. Let P=(xx)asksn and ce(xi-1, xi) when 15isn. We have: Up(f, α) = = Mk(f) Δακ, and Up(f, α) = = Mk(f) Δακ + M'(α(c) - α(x;-1)) + M"(α(x;) - α(c)), where M':= sup {f(x) | x:-1 < x < c) and M":= sup {f(x) | c < x < x;}. Since M'≤M;(f), M"≤M;(f), we deduce M'(\(\alpha(c) - \alpha(\x;-1))+M"[\(\alpha(\x;) - \alpha(c)) \le M;(f) \(\x'\x'\x'\x'\-\alpha(\x;-1)), so \(\begin{align} \text{Up(f,a)} \le \text (b) Let P, P'∈ P((a,b)), and P":=P'VP. Thun, from (a), Lp(f,α) ≤ Lp"(f,α) ≤ Up"(f,α) ≤ Up"(f,α) = · Core idea to link two unrelated partitions DEFINITION Suppose of is increasing. The upper/lower Stielties integrals of f wird of are defined by: (a, b))) 4 I (f, a): 1 fdd = sup (Lo(f, a) | PEP(G, b))} PROPOSITION Suppose & is increasing, then I(f, a) < I(f, a) (Proof: Tavial) REMARK The equality above may not hold. Say W(x1=x, f(x)=1 a defined on [0, 1]. Then, UP(f,x)=1 and UP(f,x)=0 $\forall PPP((a,b)) \Rightarrow By def, I(f,x)=1, I(f,x)=0$, so $IP(f,x) \leq IP(f,x)$ PROPOSITION (Linearity) let a≤c≤b. Let a:(a,b) → R be bounded and increasing Let fig: (a, b) -> R be bounded. We have: · Itfda = Safda+ Jefda, also jafda = lafda+ lefda ·] = (f+9) 1 a <] = +9 4+] = 4-9 a (olso] = (f+9) 9 x >] = +9 a + 1 = 9 a a RIEMANN'S CONDITION DEFINITION Let a: [a,b] → R be increasing. We say that f satisfies Riemann's condition write a on [a,b] if YE>0, FREEP([a,b]), s.t. YP2Ps, we have 0 = Uplf, a) - Lor(f, a) < E (Note: Tagged points don't matter here) remark Thanks to the propositions above, it suffices to find PEEP([a,b]) with Upecf, a)-Lpe(f,a) < E to satisfy Riemann's condition THEOREM The assumption for a to be increasing is actually not very restrictive. Recall the decomposition theorem for function of for any increasing integrator at, IFAE: bounded variation (xeBV \in a=V-(V-\alpha)) ncreasing (1) fek(x; a, b) (2) f satisfies Riemann's condition $(3) \underline{I}(f,\alpha) = \underline{I}(f,\alpha)$ Prof: Next set of notes!

SOME APPLICATIONS
PROPOSITION



Given non-decreasing a, and fige P((a, b)), suppose f(x) < g(x) \text{Vx} \in (a, b), then we have \$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d\alpha(x) \left\frac{b}{a} g(x) d\alpha(x)\$

Proof

For any partition $P=(x_k)_{0 \le k \le n} \in P((a,b))$, we have $M_k(f) \in M_k(g)$ and $M_k(f) \in M_k(g)$, so we have $L_P(f,\alpha) \le L_P(g,\alpha)$ and $L_P(f,\alpha) \le L_P(g,\alpha)$. Therefore, the upper and lower Stieltjes integrals satisfy: $\overline{I}(f,\alpha) \le \overline{I}(g,\alpha)$ and $\overline{I}(f,\alpha) \le \overline{I}(g,\alpha)$. By the Thin above, thus $\frac{1}{2} f d\alpha \le \frac{1}{2} g d\alpha$ is

PROPOSITION

Given non-decreasing of, fericity, a, b), then Ifleria; a, b) and Ist fix)do(x) | sit ifix)do(x)

Prof