

CONTINUED RIEMANN MAPPING THEOREM PROOF

As S is dense in D , $\exists z_i \in S$, s.t. $|z - z_i| < \epsilon_d$ $\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(z_i)$ exists $\therefore \exists N > 0$, s.t. $|\varphi_n(z_i) - \varphi_m(z_i)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \forall n, m > N$ $\text{Hence, } |\varphi_n(z) - \varphi_m(z)| \leq |\varphi_n(z) - \varphi_n(z_i)| + |\varphi_n(z_i) - \varphi_m(z_i)| + |\varphi_m(z_i) - \varphi_m(z)| < \epsilon$ $\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(z)$ exists $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ (iii) Define $\varphi(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.We want " $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ on cpts of \mathbb{C} " $\forall K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, given $\epsilon > 0$, $V_j := \{z \in K \mid |\varphi_n(z) - \varphi(z)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq j\}$ Then, $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j \xrightarrow{K \text{ cpt}} K = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j \Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ unif on } K$ $\therefore \text{cont:}$
As $\varphi_n \text{ ana} \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ ana}$ and $\varphi'_n \rightarrow \varphi'$, thus $\varphi \text{ ana}$ and $\varphi'(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \varphi'(z) = M$ \square by our choice

RIEMANN-ZETA FUNCTION

DEFINITION

 $\text{notice, } n \rightarrow \text{the base now, not } z!!!$ A Dirichlet series is in the form of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$, where $n^z = \exp(z \log n)$ and we choose the branch s.t. $\log n \in \mathbb{R}$ In particular, the Riemann zeta function is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z}$

THEOREM

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$ converges at $z = z_0$, then it converges at all $z \in \{ \operatorname{Re}(z) > \operatorname{Re}(z_0) \} = H_0$. Moreover, it conv unif on cpts $\forall K \subseteq H_0$

Proof

Fix z_0 , we want " $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$ conv"Claim: Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N_0$, s.t. $N, M > N_0 \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{n=M}^N \frac{a_n}{n^z} \right| < \epsilon$

Proof

Define $A_i := \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{a_n}{n^{z_0}}$, $b_i := \frac{1}{n^{z-z_0}}$. Then, $\sum_{n=M}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z} = A_{M-1} b_M + A_M (b_M - b_{M+1}) + \dots + A_{N-1} (b_{N-1} - b_N) + A_N b_N$ $\quad (\star)$ Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{z_0}}$ conv, $\exists A > 0$, s.t. $|A_i| < A$, $b_n - b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n^{z_0}} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^z} = (-t^z)^{n+1} = \int_n^{n+1} \omega t^{-z_0} dt \Rightarrow |b_n - b_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{n^{z_0+1}}$, $\delta = \operatorname{Re}(z - z_0) > 0$ Moreover, $\star \leq A \cdot \sum_{n=M}^N \frac{1}{n^{z_0+1}}$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{z_0+1}}$ conv $\forall \delta > 0$ $\therefore \exists C > 0$, s.t. $\forall n_1, n_2 > C$, $\left| \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{n^{z_0+1}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{A}$, so $\star < \epsilon$
 $\Rightarrow \forall N, M > C$, $\left| \sum_{n=M}^N \frac{a_n}{n^z} \right| < 3\epsilon \quad \square$

REMARK / COUNTEREXAMPLE

 $A_i = \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{a_n}{n^{z_0}}$, indep of $z \in H_0$. (Δ) In particular, $\exists A$, s.t. $|A_i| < A \quad \forall i$. Then, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^z}$ converges? (No!)For example, let $a_n := (-1)^n$, then for $z = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n$ does not converge, but it satisfies (Δ) . Hence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^z}$ conv $\forall \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$

THEOREM

If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^2}$ converges for some z but not all $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ (called abscissa of convergence) s.t. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^2}$ converges if $\operatorname{Re}(z) > x_0$

Proof

Consider the Thm, we know $[\inf \{\operatorname{Re}(w) \mid \sum \frac{a_n}{n^2} \text{ conv}\}] > -\infty$ as $f(z) := \sum \frac{a_n}{n^2}$ not conv $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

$\forall z' \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}(z') > c$, $\exists z_0$ with $c < \operatorname{Re}(z_0) < \operatorname{Re}(z')$, s.t. $f(z) \text{ conv at } z_0 \Rightarrow f(z') \text{ conv}$

By Thm, $x_0 = c$

$$\text{Now, } \sum \left| \frac{a_n}{n^2} \right| = \sum \frac{|a_n|}{n^{2c+1}}$$

$$\forall z \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}(z) > \operatorname{Re}(z_0), \sum \frac{|a_n|}{n^{2c+1}} < \sum \frac{|a_n|}{n^{2\operatorname{Re}(z_0)}} \quad \square$$

EXAMPLE

$$\zeta(z) := \sum \frac{1}{n^2} \Rightarrow \text{abscissa of } \zeta \text{ is } z=1$$

square, i.e. $\zeta \cdot \zeta$.

$$\zeta^2(z) = \sum \frac{c_n}{n^2}, c_n = \sum_{d|n} 1$$

$$\text{In general, } f(z) := \sum \frac{a_n}{n^2}, g(z) := \sum \frac{b_n}{n^2} : \text{conv } \forall \operatorname{Re}(z) > c$$

$$\text{Then, we can define } f(z) \cdot g(z), f(z)g(z) = \sum \frac{c_n}{n^2}, c_n = \sum_{d|n} a_d b_{\frac{n}{d}} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(z) > c$$

ANALYTIC CONTINUATION**MAIN QUESTION**

Given $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ conv abr for $|z| < R$, \exists ana f s.t. $f|_{D(0, R)} = \sum a_n z^n$ but f defined on $D \neq D(0, R)$?

↪ We have seen examples such as Schwarz Lemma and removable singularities: $\frac{1}{1-z}$: ana cont: of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n$, $|z| < 1$

(I) POWER SERIES**THEOREM**

If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ has a positive radius of conv R , then f has a singularity at $|z| = R$

EXAMPLE

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2} \text{ conv at } z=1$$

If f : ana in a nbd of $z=1$ and $f(z) = \sum \frac{z^n}{n^2}$ at $z=1$, $f(z)|_{D(1, \varepsilon)} = \sum \frac{z^n}{n^2}$,

Then, $f''(z)$: ana on $D(1, \varepsilon)$

$$\text{However, } f''(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2} z^{n-2} \text{ div at } z=1 \quad \times$$

$\Rightarrow z=1$ is a singular point of $\sum \frac{z^n}{n^2}$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1

If not, $\forall |z| = R, \exists \max \varepsilon_z > 0$, s.t. f can be continued analytically on $D(z, \varepsilon_z)$ to \tilde{f} , where ε_z varies conti: in z .

$$|z|=R: \text{cpt} \Rightarrow \varepsilon = \min_{|z|=R} \varepsilon_z, \exists |z_0|=R, \varepsilon_{z_0} = \varepsilon \xrightarrow{z=z_0} \text{regular}$$

Then, \tilde{f} can be defined on $D(0, R+\varepsilon)$ analytically $\Rightarrow \tilde{f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \tilde{f}|_{D(0, R)} = f \xrightarrow{\text{Uniqueness}} a_n = b_n$, so radius of conv = $R \rightarrow$