

## A minimization algorithm for deterministic finite automata

The following algorithm takes as input a deterministic finite automaton (DFA) and produces an equivalent one (*i.e.* one accepting the same language) with a minimum number of states. The material is taken from [HU79, §3.4].

A DFA is given by a 5-tuple  $M = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F \rangle$ , where

- $Q$  is a finite set (of *states*)
- $\Sigma$  is a finite set (of *symbols*, forming the *alphabet*)
- $\delta$  is the transition function, assigning to every state  $s$  and input symbol  $a$  the next state,  $\delta(s, a) = s'$  (which may also be written as  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ ).
- $q_0$  is a distinguished *start* state
- $F$  is a subset of  $Q$  consisting of the *final* or *accepting* states

For the algorithm, we may represent  $Q$  by a collection of small integers  $Q = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the alphabet by an enumeration type  $\Sigma = [a \dots z]$  (achieved in Java say, by declaring a collection of integer constants corresponding to the symbols of  $\Sigma$ ) and the transition function by an array of integers doubly indexed by  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$  (`int[ ][ ]Delta`), so that *e.g.* `Delta[2][a] = 4` represents  $2 \xrightarrow{a} 4$ . The final states  $F$  are organised into an array (or list) of integers.

What we need in order to minimize  $M$  is to recognize which pairs of states  $p, q$  are equivalent (*i.e.*, recognize the same languages: for every string  $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ , there is a path labelled  $\sigma$  starting at  $p$  and ending in a final state if and only if there is a path starting in  $q$  labelled  $\sigma$  which ends at a final state). We solve this problem by finding out which pair of states  $p, q$  are *not* equivalent: there is a string  $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$  and a path labelled  $\sigma$  starting at  $p$  which ends in a final state, while there is no such path for  $q$  (or viceversa). We then say such  $p$  and  $q$  are *distinguishable*.

Notice that if  $p, q$  are distinguishable, then for any pair of states  $p', q'$  for which there is an input symbol  $a$  such that  $\delta(p', a) = p$  and  $\delta(q', a) = q$ ,  $p', q'$  are distinguishable as well (if  $\sigma$  distinguishes  $p$  from  $q$ ,  $a\sigma$  distinguishes  $p'$  and  $q'$ ). Clearly, the empty string distinguishes final states from non-final ones.

The algorithm uses two data structures:

- `boolean[ ][ ]Distinguished`: a boolean array indexed by pair of states, which records whether they are distinguished.
- `(int[ ][ ])[ ][ ]Pending`: array indexed by pair of states such that `Pending[p][q]` is an array (or list) of pair of states  $p', q'$  with the property:

if `Distinguished[p][q]` then `Distinguished[p'][q']`

Notice that `Distinguished[p][q]` if and only if `Distinguished[q][p]`, and `Distinguished[p][p] = false`, so we only need information for `Distinguished[p][q]` for  $p < q$ . We express the algorithm in pseudo-algorithmic notation. Translation to actual Java code is fairly straightforward:

```

// initialization
for  $p \in F$  and  $q \in Q - F$ : Distinguished[ $p$ ][ $q$ ] = true;
for  $p, q \in (F \times F) \parallel (Q - F \times Q - F)$ : Distinguished[ $p$ ][ $q$ ] = false;
//iteration
for  $p, q \in (F \times F) \parallel (Q - F \times Q - F)$  with  $p \neq q$ :
    for  $a \in \Sigma$ :
        int  $s = \text{Delta}[p][a]$ ;
        int  $t = \text{Delta}[q][a]$ ;
        if Distinguished[ $s$ ][ $t$ ]
            then
                // mark  $p, q$  as distinguished
                Distinguished[ $p$ ][ $q$ ] = true;
                (* recursively mark all pairs in Pending[ $p$ ][ $q$ ] and
                in all the lists Pending[ $s$ ][ $t$ ] for the pairs  $s, t$  that get marked here *)
            else
                // no pair  $s, t$  reachable by a single input symbol
                // from  $p, q$  is distinguished
                for  $a \in \Sigma$ :
                    int  $s = \text{Delta}[p][a]$ ;
                    int  $t = \text{Delta}[q][a]$ ;
                    if  $s \neq t$  then add [ $p$ ][ $q$ ] to Pending[ $s$ ][ $t$ ]

```

At the exit of the outermost loop, those pairs of states for which **Distinguished**[ $p$ ][ $q$ ] = **false** can be collapsed (since we have failed to distinguish them), therefore reducing the number of states. The definition of the transition matrix **Delta**[ $\bar{p}$ ][ $\bar{q}$ ] for the equivalence classes of  $p$  and  $q$  respectively, is given by that of **Distinguished**[ $p$ ][ $q$ ]. We thus get the minimized automaton.

## References

- [HU79] John E. Hopcroft and Jeffrey D. Ullman. *Introduction to automata theory, languages, and computation*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1979.