

## 902 43500 HOMEWORK 4

due Tuesday, December 7, 2010

All problems are in the book: Michael Sipser, *Introduction to the Theory of Computation*, 2nd Edition, PAWS Publishing Company, 2005.

*Solution by Cheng-Chung Li*

**Problem 1** Give implementation-level descriptions of Turing Machines that decide the language  $\{w \mid w \text{ does not contain twice as many 0s as 1s}\}$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ .

**Ans.**

“On input string  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and mark the first 0 which has not been marked. If there is no unmarked 0, go to stage 5.
2. Move on and mark the next unmarked 0. If there is not any on the tape, *accept*. Otherwise, move the head back to the front of the tape.
3. Scan the tape and mark the first 1 which has not been marked. If there is no unmarked 1, *accept*.
4. Move the head back to the front of the tape and repeat stage 1.
5. Move the head back to the front of the tape. Scan the tape to see if there are any unmarked 1s. If there is not, *reject*. Otherwise, *accept*.”

□

**Problem 2** Let a  $k$ -PDA be a pushdown automaton that has  $k$  stacks. Thus a 0-PDA is an NFA and a 1-PDA is a conventional PDA. You already know that 1-PDAs are more powerful (recognize a larger class of languages) than 0-PDAs.

- a. Show that 2-PDAs are more powerful than 1-PDAs.
- b. Show that 3-PDAs are not more powerful than 2-PDAs.  
(Hint: Simulate a Turing Machine tape with two stacks.)

**Ans.**

- a. Since the example 2.36 in the textbook has proved that the language  $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$  is not context-free, building a 1-PDA to recognize  $L$  is impossible. However, you can easily build a 2-PDA to recognize  $L$ . According to the discussion, 2-PDAs are more powerful than 1-PDAs since they can recognize more languages than 1-PDAs do.
- b. The first step for solving this problem is to show that the power of the 2-PDAs is equivalence to the standard Turing Machines. We split the tape of a TM into two stacks. Stack 1 (Stack 2, respectively) stores the characters on the left (right, respectively) of the head, with the bottom of

stack storing the leftmost (rightmost, respectively) character of the tape in the TM. Moreover, for each transition  $\delta(q_i, c_i) = (q_j, c_j, L)$  in the TM, the corresponding PDA transition pops  $c_i$  off stack 2, pushes  $c_j$  into stack 2, pops stack 1 and then pushes the popped character into stack 2, and goes from state  $q_i$  to  $q_j$ . For any transition  $\delta(q_i, c_i) = (q_j, c_j, R)$  in the TM, the corresponding PDA transition pops  $c_i$  off stack 2 and takes away  $c_i$ , push  $c_j$  into stack 1, and goes from state  $q_i$  to  $q_j$ . Please also check the slides I made for the course on November 30, 2010 on the blog. Actually, the power of the  $k$ -tape Turing Machines is greater than the  $k$ -PDAs. Also, the  $k$ -PDAs are more powerful than the 2-PDAs. However, we know that the 2-PDAs are equivalence to both the standard and the  $k$ -tape Turing Machines. Thus all machines listed above have the same power.

□

**Problem 3** Show that the collection of Turing-recognizable languages is closed under the operations of

- a. concatenation.
- b. star.
- c. intersection.

**Ans.**

- a. For any two Turing-recognizable languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be the TMs that recognize them. We construct a NTM  $M'$  that recognizes the concatenation of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ :

“On input  $w$ :

1. Nondeterministically cut  $w$  into two parts  $w_1w_2$ .
2. Run  $M_1$  on  $w_1$ . If it halts and rejects, *reject*. If it accepts, go to stage 3.
3. Run  $M_2$  on  $w_2$ . If it accepts, *accept*. If it halts and rejects, *reject*.”

If there is a way to cut  $w$  into two substrings such that  $M_1$  accepts the first part and  $M_2$  accepts the second part,  $w$  belongs to the concatenation of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  and  $M'$  will accept  $w$  after a finite number of steps.

- b. For any Turing-recognizable language  $L$ , let  $M$  be the TM that recognizes it. We construct a NTM  $M'$  that recognizes the star of  $L$ :

“On input  $w$ :

1. Nondeterministically cut  $w$  into parts so that  $w = w_1w_2 \dots w_n$ .
2. Run  $M$  on  $w_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $M$  accepts all of them, *accept*. If it halts and rejects any of them, *reject*.”

If there is a way to cut  $w$  into substrings such that  $M$  accepts all the substrings,  $w$  belongs to the star of  $L$  and thus  $M'$  will accept  $w$  after a finite number of steps.

- c. For any two Turing-recognizable languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be the TMs that recognize them. We construct a TM  $M'$  that recognizes the intersection of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ :

“On input  $w$ :

1. Run  $M_1$  on  $w$ , if it halts and rejects, *reject*. If it accepts, go to stage 2.
2. Run  $M_2$  on  $w$ , if it halts and rejects, *reject*. If it accepts *accept*.”

If both of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  accept  $w$ ,  $w$  belongs to the intersection of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  and  $M'$  will accept  $w$  after a finite number of steps.

□