

# Homework 4

Due 11/8/2012 2 PM

1. (4 points): **Question 13.8**

- (a) This asks for the probability that Toothache is true.  $P(\text{toothache}) = 0.108 + 0.012 + 0.016 + 0.064 = 0.2$
- (b) This asks for the vector of probability values for the random variable Cavity. It has two values, which we list in the order  $\langle \text{true}, \text{false} \rangle$ . First add up  $0.108 + 0.012 + 0.072 + 0.008 = 0.2$ . Then we have  $P(\text{Cavity}) = \langle 0.2, 0.8 \rangle$
- (c) This asks for the vector of probability values for Toothache, given that Cavity is true.  $P(\text{Toothache}|\text{cavity}) = \langle (.108 + .012)/0.2, (0.072 + 0.008)/0.2 \rangle = \langle 0.6, 0.4 \rangle$
- (d) This asks for the vector of probability values for Cavity, given that either Toothache or Catch is true. First compute  $P(\text{toothache} \vee \text{catch}) = 0.108 + 0.012 + 0.016 + 0.064 + 0.072 + 0.144 = 0.416$ . Then  $P(\text{Cavity}|\text{toothache} \vee \text{catch}) = \langle (0.108 + 0.012 + 0.072)/0.416, (0.016 + 0.064 + 0.144)/0.416 \rangle = \langle 0.4615, 0.5384 \rangle$

2. (4 points): **Question 13.17** There is a bug in this question –  $P(B|X, Z)$  should be  $P(Y|X, Z)$  i.e.,  $B$  should be replaced with  $Y$ . So your goal is to prove that  $P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$  is equivalent to each of  $P(X|Y, Z) = P(X|Z)$  and  $P(Y|X, Z) = P(Y|Z)$ .

(Answer) We with the given statement,

$$P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)$$

Now we apply the definition of conditional probability.

$$P(X, Y|Z) = \frac{P(X, Y, Z)}{P(Z)} \text{ and } P(Y|Z) = \frac{P(Y, Z)}{P(Z)}$$

Now,

$$\frac{P(X, Y, Z)}{P(Z)} = P(X|Z) \frac{P(Y, Z)}{P(Z)}$$

Since  $P(X, Y, Z) = P(X|Y, Z)P(Y, Z)$ , we substitute the RHS for  $P(X, Y, Z)$  to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P(X|Y, Z)P(Y, Z)}{P(Z)} &= P(X|Z) \frac{P(Y, Z)}{P(Z)} \\ \Rightarrow P(X|Y, Z) &= P(X|Z) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The second part of the exercise follows from by a similar derivation, or by noticing that  $X$  and  $Y$  are interchangeable in the original statement (because multiplication is commutative and  $X, Y$  means the same as  $Y, X$ ).

3. (10 points): **Question 14.6** Parts **a** through **d**.

- a. (c) matches the equation. The equation describes absolute independence of the three genes, which requires no links among them.
- b. (a) and (b). The assertions are the absent links; the extra links in (b) may be unnecessary but they do not assert an actual dependence. (c) asserts independence of genes which contradicts the inheritance scenario.

- c. (a) is best. (b) has spurious links among the H variables, which are not directly causally connected in the scenario described. (In reality, handedness may also be passed down by example/training.)
- d. Notice that the  $l \rightarrow r$  and  $r \rightarrow l$  mutations cancel when the parents have different genes, so we still get 0.5.
4. (3 points): **Question 14.14** Part **a**. The network asserts (ii) and (iii). (For (iii), consider the Markov blanket of M.)
5. (9 points): **Question 14.15** Parts **a,b,c**.

a.

$$\begin{aligned}
P(B|j, m) &= \alpha P(B) \sum_e P(e) \sum_a P(a|b, e) P(j|a) P(m|a) \\
&= \alpha P(B) \sum_e P(e) \left[ .9 \times .7 \times \begin{pmatrix} .95 & .29 \\ .94 & .001 \end{pmatrix} + .05 \times .01 \times \begin{pmatrix} .05 & .71 \\ .06 & .999 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&= \alpha P(B) \sum_e P(e) \begin{pmatrix} .598525 & .183055 \\ .59223 & .0011295 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \alpha P(B) \left[ 0.002 \times \begin{pmatrix} .598525 \\ .183055 \end{pmatrix} + 0.998 \times \begin{pmatrix} .59223 \\ .0011295 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&= \alpha \begin{pmatrix} .001 \\ .999 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} .59224259 \\ .001493351 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \alpha \begin{pmatrix} .00059224259 \\ .0014918576 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\approx \langle .284, .716 \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- b. Including the normalization step, there are 7 additions, 16 multiplications, and 2 divisions. The enumeration algorithm has two extra multiplications.
- c. To compute  $\mathbf{P}(X_1|X_n = \text{true})$  using enumeration, we have to evaluate two complete binary trees (one for each value of  $X_1$ ), each of depth  $n - 2$ , so the total work is  $O(2^n)$ . Using variable elimination, the factors never grow beyond two variables. For example, the first step is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(X_1|X_n = \text{true}) &= \alpha \mathbf{P}(X_1) \dots \sum_{x_{n-2}} P(x_{n-2}|x_{n-3}) \sum_{x_{n-1}} P(x_{n-1}|x_{n-2}) P(X_n = \text{true}|x_{n-1}) \\
&= \alpha \mathbf{P}(X_1) \dots \sum_{x_{n-2}} P(x_{n-2}|x_{n-3}) \sum_{x_{n-1}} f_{X_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) f_{X_n}(x_{n-1}) \\
&= \alpha \mathbf{P}(X_1) \dots \sum_{x_{n-2}} P(x_{n-2}|x_{n-3}) f_{\overline{X_{n-1}X_n}}(x_{n-2})
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The last line is isomorphic to the problem with  $n - 1$  variables instead of  $n$ ; the work done on the first step is a constant independent of  $n$ , hence (by induction on  $n$ , if you want to be formal) the total work is  $O(n)$ .