Probabilistic Reasoning Over Time

Time and Uncertainty

The world changes; we need to track and predict it

Diabetes management vs vehicle diagnosis

Basic idea: copy state and evidence variables for each time step

$\mathbf{X}_t$ = set of unobservable state variables at time t
e.g., $BloodSugar_t$, $StomachContents_t$, etc.

$\mathbf{E}_t$ = set of observable evidence variables at time t
e.g., $MeasuredBloodSugar_t$, $PulseRate_t$, $FoodEaten_t$

This assumes **discrete time**; step size depends on problem

Notation: $\mathbf{X}_{a:b} = \mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{X}_{a+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{b-1}, \mathbf{X}_b$

Markov Chain

A Markov chain is a special sort of belief network:



Thus, $P(S_{t+1}|S_0, \ldots, S_t) = P(S_{t+1}|S_t)$.

Often S_t represents the state at time t. Intuitively S_t conveys all of the information about the history that can affect the future states.

"The past is independent of the future given the present."

Stationary Markov Chain

A stationary Markov chain is when for all $t > 0$, $t' > 0$, $P(S_{t+1}|S_t) = P(S_{t'+1}|S_{t'})$.

We specify $P(S_0)$ and $P(S_{t+1}|S_t)$.

- Simple model, easy to specify
- Often the natural model
- The network can extend indefinitely

Example



First-order Markov assumption not exactly true in real world!

Possible fixes:

1. **Increase order** of Markov process
2. **Augment state**, e.g., add $Temp_t$, $Pressure_t$

Example: robot motion.

Augment position and velocity with $Battery_t$

Inference Tasks

- Can you think of an example for each of this?

Filtering: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$

belief state—input to the decision process of a rational agent

Prediction: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+k}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ for $k > 0$

evaluation of possible action sequences;
like filtering without the evidence

Smoothing: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_k|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ for $0 \leq k < t$

better estimate of past states, essential for learning

Most likely explanation: $\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}_{1:t}} P(\mathbf{x}_{1:t}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$

speech recognition, decoding with a noisy channel

Filtering

Aim: devise a **recursive** state estimation algorithm:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) = f(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}, \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}, \mathbf{e}_{t+1}) \\ &= \alpha\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1}, \mathbf{e}_{1:t})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\ &= \alpha\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})\end{aligned}$$

I.e., prediction + estimation. Prediction by summing out $\mathbf{X}_t$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) &= \alpha\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1})\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_t}\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{e}_{1:t})P(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\ &= \alpha\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1})\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_t}\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t)P(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{f}_{1:t+1} = \textsc{Forward}(\mathbf{f}_{1:t}, \mathbf{e}_{t+1})$ where $\mathbf{f}_{1:t} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$
Time and space **constant** (independent of t)

Filtering Example



Smoothing



Divide evidence $\mathbf{e}_{1:t}$ into $\mathbf{e}_{1:k}$, $\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_k|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_k|\mathbf{e}_{1:k}, \mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}) \\
&= \alpha \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_k|\mathbf{e}_{1:k})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{e}_{1:k}) \\
&= \alpha \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_k|\mathbf{e}_{1:k})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_k) \\
&= \alpha \mathbf{f}_{1:k}\mathbf{b}_{k+1:t}
\end{aligned}$$

Backward message computed by a backwards recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_k) &= \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_{k+1}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{x}_{k+1})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_k) \\
&= \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_{k+1}} P(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{x}_{k+1})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_k) \\
&= \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_{k+1}} P(\mathbf{e}_{k+1}|\mathbf{x}_{k+1})P(\mathbf{e}_{k+2:t}|\mathbf{x}_{k+1})\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_k)
\end{aligned}$$

Smoothing Example



Forward–backward algorithm: cache forward messages along the way
Time linear in t (polytree inference), space $O(t|\mathbf{f}|)$

Most Likely Explanation

Most likely sequence $\neq$ sequence of most likely states!!!!

Most likely path to each $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}$
= most likely path to **some** $\mathbf{x}_t$ plus one more step

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}_1 \ldots \mathbf{x}_t} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t+1})$$

$$= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \max_{\mathbf{x}_t} \left(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_t) \max_{\mathbf{x}_1 \ldots \mathbf{x}_{t-1}} P(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \right)$$

Identical to filtering, except $\mathbf{f}_{1:t}$ replaced by

$$\mathbf{m}_{1:t} = \max_{\mathbf{x}_1 \ldots \mathbf{x}_{t-1}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}),$$

I.e., $\mathbf{m}_{1:t}(i)$ gives the probability of the most likely path to state i.
Update has sum replaced by max, giving the Viterbi algorithm:

$$\mathbf{m}_{1:t+1} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \max_{\mathbf{x}_t} (\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_t) \mathbf{m}_{1:t})$$

Viterbi Example



Hidden Markov Models

A Markov chain is a special sort of belief network:



Thus, $P(S_{t+1}|S_0, \ldots, S_t) = P(S_{t+1}|S_t)$.

Often S_t represents the state at time t. Intuitively S_t conveys all of the information about the history that can affect the future states.

"The past is independent of the future given the present."

Hidden Markov Models

$\mathbf{X}_t$ is a single, discrete variable (usually $\mathbf{E}_t$ is too)
Domain of X_t is $\{1, \ldots, S\}$

Transition matrix $\mathbf{T}_{ij} = P(X_t = j | X_{t-1} = i)$, e.g., $\begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$

Sensor matrix $\mathbf{O}_t$ for each time step, diagonal elements $P(e_t | X_t = i)$
e.g., with $U_1 = true$, $\mathbf{O}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$

Forward and backward messages as column vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{1:t+1} &= \alpha \mathbf{O}_{t+1} \mathbf{T}^\top \mathbf{f}_{1:t} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k+1:t} &= \mathbf{T} \mathbf{O}_{k+1} \mathbf{b}_{k+2:t} \end{aligned}$$

Forward-backward algorithm needs time $O(S^2 t)$ and space $O(St)$

Example - Localization

Suppose a robot wants to determine its location based on its actions and its sensor readings: Localization

This can be represented by the augmented HMM:



Example – Localization domain

Circular corridor, with 16 locations:



Doors at positions: 2, 4, 7, 11.

Noisy Sensors

Stochastic Dynamics

Robot starts at an unknown location and must determine where it is.

Example Sensor Model & Dynamics Models

$P(\mathit{Observe\ Door} \mid \mathit{At\ Door}) = 0.8$

$P(\mathit{Observe\ Door} \mid \mathit{Not\ At\ Door}) = 0.1$

$P(loc_{t+1} = L | action_t = goRight \wedge loc_t = L) = 0.1$

$P(loc_{t+1} = L + 1 | action_t = goRight \wedge loc_t = L) = 0.8$

$P(loc_{t+1} = L + 2 | action_t = goRight \wedge loc_t = L) = 0.074$

$P(loc_{t+1} = L' | action_t = goRight \wedge loc_t = L) = 0.002$ for any other location L'.

- All location arithmetic is modulo 16.
- The action *goLeft* works the same but to the left.

Combining Sensor Information

Example: we can combine information from a light sensor and the door sensor Sensor Fusion



S_t robot location at time t
D_t door sensor value at time t
L_t light sensor value at time t

Kalman Filters

Modelling systems described by a set of continuous variables,
e.g., tracking a bird flying—$\mathbf{X}_t = X, Y, Z, \dot{X}, \dot{Y}, \dot{Z}$.
Airplanes, robots, ecosystems, economies, chemical plants, planets, ...



Gaussian prior, linear Gaussian transition model and sensor model

Updating Gaussian Distributions

Prediction step: if $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ is Gaussian, then prediction

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) = \int_{\mathbf{x}_t} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) P(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})\, d\mathbf{x}_t$$

is Gaussian. If $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ is Gaussian, then the updated distribution

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) = \alpha \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$$

is Gaussian

Hence $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ is multivariate Gaussian $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_t, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t)$ for all t

General (nonlinear, non-Gaussian) process: description of posterior grows **unboundedly** as $t \to \infty$

Kalman Update

Transition and sensor models:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) &= N(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x)(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \\ P(\mathbf{z}_t|\mathbf{x}_t) &= N(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z)(\mathbf{z}_t) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{F}$ is the matrix for the transition; $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x$ the transition noise covariance
$\mathbf{H}$ is the matrix for the sensors; $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z$ the sensor noise covariance

Filter computes the following update:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{t+1} &= \mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t + \mathbf{K}_{t+1}(\mathbf{z}_{t+1} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\mu}_t) \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{t+1} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_{t+1})(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t\mathbf{F}^\top + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{t+1} = (\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t\mathbf{F}^\top + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x)\mathbf{H}^\top(\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t\mathbf{F}^\top + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x)\mathbf{H}^\top + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_z)^{-1}$
is the Kalman gain matrix

$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t$ and $\mathbf{K}_t$ are independent of observation sequence, so compute offline

2-D Tracking Example - Filtering



2-D Example Smoothing



Where it breaks

Cannot be applied if the transition model is nonlinear

Extended Kalman Filter models transition as **locally linear** around $\mathbf{x}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu}_t$
Fails if systems is locally unsmooth



Dynamic Bayesian Networks

$\mathbf{X}_t$, $\mathbf{E}_t$ contain arbitrarily many variables in a replicated Bayes net





DBNs and HMMs

Every HMM is a single-variable DBN; every discrete DBN is an HMM





Sparse dependencies $\Rightarrow$ exponentially fewer parameters;
e.g., 20 state variables, three parents each
DBN has $20 \times 2^3 = 160$ parameters, HMM has $2^{20} \times 2^{20} \approx 10^{12}$

Exact Inference in DBNs

Naive method: unroll the network and run any exact algorithm



Problem: inference cost for each update grows with t

Rollup filtering: add slice $t+1$, "sum out" slice t using variable elimination

Largest factor is $O(d^{n+1})$, update cost $O(d^{n+2})$
(cf. HMM update cost $O(d^{2n})$)

Likelihood Weighting in DBNs

Set of weighted samples approximates the belief state



LW samples pay no attention to the evidence!

$\Rightarrow$ fraction "agreeing" falls exponentially with t

$\Rightarrow$ number of samples required grows exponentially with t



Particle Filtering

Basic idea: ensure that the population of samples ("particles") tracks the high-likelihood regions of the state-space

Replicate particles proportional to likelihood for $\mathbf{e}_t$



(a) Propagate (b) Weight (c) Resample

Widely used for tracking nonlinear systems, esp. in vision

Also used for simultaneous localization and mapping in mobile robots
10^5-dimensional state space

Particle Filtering

Assume consistent at time t: $N(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})/N = P(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$

Propagate forward: populations of $\mathbf{x}_{t+1}$ are

$$N(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) = \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_t} P(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) N(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$$

Weight samples by their likelihood for $\mathbf{e}_{t+1}$:

$$W(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) = P(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) N(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$$

Resample to obtain populations proportional to W:

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1})/N &= \alpha W(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) = \alpha P(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) N(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\
&= \alpha P(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_t} P(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) N(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\
&= \alpha' P(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \Sigma_{\mathbf{x}_t} P(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) P(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\
&= P(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1})
\end{aligned}$$

Particle Filtering Performance

Approximation error of particle filtering remains bounded over time, at least empirically—theoretical analysis is difficult



Summary

- Temporal models use state and sensor variables replicated over time
- Markov assumptions and stationarity assumption, so we need
  - Transition model $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{X}_{t-1})$
  - Sensor model $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t|\mathbf{X}_t)$
- Tasks are filtering, prediction, smoothing, most likely sequence; all done recursively with constant cost per time step
- Hidden Markov models have a single discrete state variable; used
- for speech recognition
- Kalman Filters allow n state variables, linear Gaussian, $O(n^3)$ update
- Dynamic Bayes nets subsume HMMs, Kalman Filters; exact update intractable
- Particle Filtering is a good approximate filtering algorithm for DBNs