

## Math 721 - Homework 13 Solutions

1. Find generators for the kernels of the following ring homomorphisms:  
(a)  $\phi : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\phi(f) = f(2 + i)$ . (b)  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\phi(f) = f(1 + \sqrt{2})$ . (c)  $\phi : \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t]$  defined by  $\phi(f(x, y, z)) = f(t, t^2, t^3)$ .

(a) Notice that  $(2 + i)^2 = 4 + 2i + i^2 = 4 + 2i + (-1) = 3 + 2i$ . Thus,  $(2 + i)^2 - 2(2 + i) - 1 = 0$  and so  $x^2 - 2x - 1 \in \ker \phi$ .

Claim: We have  $\ker \phi = (x^2 - 2x - 1)$ .

Proof: It is clear that since  $x^2 - 2x - 1 \in \ker \phi$ ,  $(x^2 - 2x - 1) \subseteq \ker \phi$ . We will show that  $\ker \phi \subseteq (x^2 - 2x - 1)$ .

If  $p(x) \in \ker \phi$ , we can write  $p(x) = (x^2 - 2x - 1)q(x) + r(x)$ , where  $r(x)$  has degree less than or equal to 1. (This is because  $x^2 - 2x - 1$  has nonzero, so we can use Proposition 11.2.9). Then since  $p(x)$ ,  $(x^2 - 2x - 1)$  are both in  $\ker \phi$ ,  $r(x) \in \ker \phi$ . We have  $r(x) = ax + b$  and so  $r(2 + i) = a(2 + i) + b = (2a + b) + ai = 0$ . Thus,  $a = 0$  and  $2a + b = 0$  and so  $a = b = 0$ . Thus,  $r = 0$  and so  $p(x) = (x^2 - 2x - 1)q(x)$ . Hence,  $p(x) \in (x^2 - 2x - 1)$ .

(b) We have  $(1 + \sqrt{2})^2 = 2 + 2\sqrt{2} + 2 = 4 + 2\sqrt{2}$ , so  $(1 + \sqrt{2})^2 - 2(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 2 = 0$ . Thus,  $x^2 - 2x - 2 \in \ker \phi$ .

Claim: We have  $\ker \phi = (x^2 - 2x - 2)$ .

Proof: The same sort of argument that we gave above in (a) proves this. Notice that we can still apply Proposition 11.2.9 because the leading coefficient of  $x^2 - 2x - 2$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

(c) Notice that  $y - x^2$  and  $z - x^3$  are in  $\ker \phi$  since  $\phi(y - x^2) = t^2 - (t)^2 = 0$  and  $\phi(z - x^3) = t^3 - (t)^3 = 0$ .

Claim: We have  $\ker \phi = (y - x^2, z - x^3)$ .

Proof: Again, it is clear that  $(y - x^2, z - x^3) \subseteq \ker \phi$ . We'll prove that  $\ker \phi \subseteq (y - x^2, z - x^3)$ . Let  $p(x, y, z) \in \ker \phi$ . We will think of  $p(x, y, z)$  as a polynomial in the single variable  $z$  (with coefficients that are polynomials in  $x$  and  $y$  - we'll write this as  $p(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y][z]$ ).

We can divide  $p(x, y, z)$  by  $z - x^3$ . We can do this because the leading coefficient of  $z$ , which is 1 is a unit in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ . The remainder will be zero, or have degree zero in  $z$ . Thus, we get

$$p(x, y, z) = (z - x^3)q(x, y, z) + r(x, y)$$

(I wrote the remainder as  $r(x, y)$  to indicate that it is a “constant” in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[x, y][z]$ , namely a polynomial only in  $x$  and  $y$ .) It's not necessarily the case that  $r(x, y) = 0$ , but  $r(x, y)$  is in the kernel (since  $p(x, y, z)$  and  $z - x^3$  are).

Now, we will take  $r(x, y)$  and think of it as an element of  $\mathbb{C}[x][y]$  (polynomials in  $y$  with coefficients that are polynomials in  $x$ ). We will divide  $r(x, y)$  by  $y - x^2$ , and again get a remainder of degree zero in  $y$  (that is, a “constant”, which means a polynomial only in  $x$ ). Hence, we have

$$r(x, y) = (y - x^2)s(x, y) + u(x).$$

Since  $r(x, y)$  and  $y - x^2$  are in  $\ker \phi$ , so is  $u(x)$ . This means that  $\phi(u(x)) = u(t) = 0$ . This means that  $u(x) = 0$ . Putting all of this together we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, y, z) &= (z - x^3)q(x, y, z) + r(x, y) \\ &= (z - x^3)q(x, y, z) + (y - x^2)s(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $p(x, y, z) \in (z - x^3, y - x^2) = \{(z - x^3)a_1(x, y, z) + (y - x^2)a_2(x, y, z) : a_1(x, y, z), a_2(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]\}$  and thus,  $\ker \phi \subseteq (z - x^3, y - x^2)$ .

2. Let  $R$  be a ring of prime characteristic  $p$  (see the last paragraph of Section 11.3 for a review of this definition). Prove that the map  $\phi : R \rightarrow R$  given by  $\phi(x) = x^p$  is a ring homomorphism. (It is called the Frobenius map.)

Since  $R$  has prime characteristic,

$$p = \overbrace{1 + 1 + 1 + \cdots + 1}^{p \text{ times}} = 0.$$

Thus, for any  $r \in R$ ,  $px = 0x = 0$ . Now, we have

$$\phi(a + b) = (a + b)^p = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} a^k b^{p-k}.$$

We have  $\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!} = p \frac{(p-1)!}{k!(p-k)!}$  is a multiple of  $p$  if  $0 < k < p$  (for these values of  $k$ ,  $k!$  and  $(p-k)!$  don't have any factors of  $p$  in them). Thus,  $\binom{p}{k} a^k b^{p-k} = 0$  if  $0 < k < p$  and so

$$\phi(a + b) = a^p + b^p = \phi(a) + \phi(b).$$

We have  $\phi(ab) = (ab)^p = a^p b^p = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ , and  $\phi(1) = 1^p = 1$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism.

3. Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of a ring  $R$ . Prove that the intersection  $I \cap J$  is an ideal. Show by example that the set of products  $\{xy | x \in I, y \in J\}$

need not be an ideal, but that the set of finite sums  $\sum_{v=1}^k x_v y_v$  of products of elements of  $I$  and  $J$  is an ideal. This ideal is called the *product ideal*, and is denoted  $IJ$ . Is there a relation between  $IJ$  and  $I \cap J$ ?

If  $a$  and  $b$  are both in  $I \cap J$ , then  $a + b \in I$  and  $a + b \in J$  since  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals, and so  $a + b \in I \cap J$ . If  $a \in I \cap J$  and  $r \in R$ , then  $ar \in I$  and  $ar \in J$  since  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals, and so  $ar \in I \cap J$ . This proves that  $I \cap J$  is an ideal.

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $I = J = (2, x)$ . Then,  $\{yz | y \in I, z \in J\}$  contains  $x^2$  and 4. However,  $x^2 + 4$  is an irreducible polynomial. [ If not, then any factor of it with degree less than 2 must have leading coefficient a unit, and so Proposition 11.2.9 implies that the factor must be a linear factor, and this means that  $x^2 + 4$  has an integer root. This is impossible since  $x^2 + 4 > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . ] This shows that  $x^2 + 4$  is not in the set  $\{yz | y \in I, z \in J\}$ .

On the other hand, if  $a = \sum_{v=1}^k x_v y_v$  and  $b = \sum_{v=k+1}^l x_v y_v$  are both finite sums of products of elements of  $I$  and  $J$ , then

$$a + b = \sum_{v=1}^l x_v y_v$$

is also a finite sum of products, and so  $IJ$  is closed under addition. Also, if  $r \in R$ , then

$$ar = \sum_{v=1}^k x_v (y_v r)$$

is also a finite sum of products of elements in  $IJ$  because  $y_v \in J$  implies that  $y_v r \in J$ . Hence,  $IJ$  is an ideal.

In general, if  $a \in IJ$ , then

$$a = \sum_{v=1}^k x_v y_v$$

for  $x_v \in I$  and  $y_v \in J$ . Since  $x_v y_v \in I$  for all  $v$ , it follows that  $a \in I$ . Similar reasoning shows that  $a \in J$ . Thus,  $a \in I \cap J$  and so  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ . This is all one can say in general, for example, if  $I = (2)$  and  $J = (3)$ , then  $IJ = (6)$  and  $I \cap J = (6)$ . However, if  $I = (2)$  and  $J = (2)$ , then  $IJ = (4)$  and  $I \cap J = (2)$ . Here  $I \cap J$  is strictly larger than  $IJ$ .

4. Identify the following rings: (a)  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 - 3, 2x + 4)$  (b)  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(6, 2x - 1)$  (c)  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 3, 5)$ . [ Here, I'm not necessarily asking you to give a simple name for the quotient ring, I'm asking you to describe the

ring as accurately as possible. You should also answer the following questions: Is it finite? Is it infinite? Is it a field? ]

(a) Let  $I = (x^2 - 3, 2x + 4)$  and let  $f = x^2 - 3$  and  $g = 2x + 4$ . Then,  $gx - 2f = 2x^2 + 4x - 2(x^2 - 3) = 4x + 6$ . Thus,  $2g - (gx - 2f) = (4x + 8) - (4x + 6) = 2 \in I$ . Define  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[x]$  by taking

$$p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k c_n x^n$$

and letting

$$\phi(p(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^k (c_n \bmod 2) x^n \in \mathbb{F}_2[x].$$

It is straightforward to check that  $\phi$  is a surjective homomorphism, and  $\ker \phi = \{\sum_{n=0}^k c_n x^n : c_n \text{ is even for all } n\} = (2)$ . Thus, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \phi(I) = (x^2 - 3)$ , then by the correspondence theorem, we have that  $R/I \approx \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I} \approx \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2 - 3)$ .

An arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2 - 3)$  can be represented as  $r(x) + \mathcal{I}$ , and  $r(x)$  is unique up to addition by a multiple of  $x^2 - 3$ . Since any polynomial  $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$  can be represented uniquely in the form

$$h(x) = (x^2 - 3)q(x) + r(x)$$

where  $r = 0$  or  $\deg r \leq 1$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2 - 3)$  has four elements:  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $1 + \mathcal{I}$ ,  $x + \mathcal{I}$  and  $x + 1 + \mathcal{I}$ . This ring is not a field, since

$$(x + 1 + \mathcal{I})^2 = x^2 + 2x + 1 + \mathcal{I} = (x^2 - 3) + (2x + 4) + \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I},$$

and so  $(x + 1 + \mathcal{I})^2 = 0$  in  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}$ .

(b) If  $I = (6, 2x - 1)$ , we have that  $6x - 3(2x - 1) = 3 \in I$ . Thus,  $I = (3, 2x - 1)$ . A similar argument to the one in part (a) shows that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I \approx \mathbb{F}_3[x]/(2x - 1)$ . Now, 2 is a unit in  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , and so we can represent every element in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/(2x - 1)$  as  $a + \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I} = (2x - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3[x]$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I \approx \mathbb{F}_3[x]/\mathcal{I} \approx \mathbb{F}_3$ , and so this ring is a field.

(c) If  $I = (x^2 + 3, 5)$ , then as in part (a), we have  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I \approx \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 + 3)$ . The polynomial  $x^2 + 3$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$ . [If it wasn't, it would have a linear factor, and hence have a root in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . However, if  $f(x) = x^2 + 3$ , then  $f(0) = 3$ ,  $f(1) = 4$ ,  $f(2) = 2$ ,  $f(3) = 2$ ,  $f(4) = 4$ .] So  $\mathcal{I} = (x^2 + 3) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_5[x]$ , is an ideal generated by an irreducible polynomial. Thus,  $\mathcal{I}$  is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$ , and so  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/\mathcal{I}$  is a field. Every element of  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/\mathcal{I}$  can be represented in the form  $a + bx + \mathcal{I}$  (from the division algorithm, since  $\mathcal{I}$  is generated by a polynomial of degree 2). Thus,  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]/\mathcal{I}$  is a field of order 25.

5. Are the rings  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 7)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x^2 + 7)$  isomorphic?

I gave one argument for this in class. I'll give a different one here, based on the units in the two rings. If we let  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$  be given by  $\phi(p(x)) = p(\sqrt{-7})$ , then  $\phi$  is a surjective ring homomorphism, and  $x^2 + 7 \in \ker \phi$ . Let  $I = (x^2 + 7)$ . If we take  $p(x) \in \ker \phi$ , we can write

$$p(x) = (x^2 + 7)q(x) + r(x)$$

where  $r = 0$  or  $\deg r \leq 1$ , and we have  $r(x) \in \ker \phi$  (since  $r(x) = p(x) - (x^2 + 7)q(x)$  is the difference of two things in the kernel). Now, if

$$r(x) = ax + b$$

then  $r(\sqrt{-7}) = a\sqrt{-7} + b$ , and this equals zero if and only if  $a = b = 0$ . Hence,  $\ker \phi = (x^2 + 7)$ . The first isomorphism theorem for rings then guarantees that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 7) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$ . Note that 2 is NOT a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$  since  $\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$ .

On the other hand, 2 is a unit in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x^2 + 7)$  since if  $J = (2x^2 + 7)$ , then

$$\alpha = (x^2 + 4) + J \in \mathbb{Z}[x]/J$$

has  $2\alpha = (2x^2 + 8) + J = (1 + J) + (2x^2 + 7) + J = 1 + J$ . Since  $1 + J$  is the multiplicative identity in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/J$ , it follows that 2 is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/J$ .

Finally, we will show that if two rings  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are isomorphic, and 2 is a unit in  $R_1$ , then 2 must be a unit in  $R_2$ . This is because if  $2a = 1$  in  $R_1$ , and  $\phi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is an isomorphism, then if we let  $b = \phi(a)$ , we have

$$2b = b + b = \phi(a) + \phi(a) = \phi(2a) = \phi(1) = 1$$

and so  $2b = 1$  in  $R_2$  as well. Thus, 2 is a unit in  $R_2$ .

Since 2 is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 7)$  and 2 is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2x^2 + 7)$ , these two rings cannot be isomorphic.