1.

用原資料/使用standard score轉換後的資料/使用scaling轉換後的資料分別算kmeans得到label,然後都去跟原資料跑出within class difference,做了三次後產生下圖:

```
5
pure wicd = 97.20457357401651
5
standard score wicd = 1161.5283586880184
3
scaling wicd = 1051.9768470260174

6
pure wicd = 97.22486903387325
3
standard score wicd = 1161.7853227902192
7
scaling wicd = 1052.0072844643441

4
pure wicd = 97.20457357401651
8
standard score wicd = 1161.5992568265601
7
scaling wicd = 1051.9768470260174
```

(每行上面的數字代表跑了幾個iteration後收斂)

透過觀察上面三組數據,可以發現標準化後的數據對於使用Kmeans分群未必有幫助。

2.

用K-nearest neighbors (KNN)演算法對iris資料進行預測,每次拿一筆資料當作test資料,剩下當作train資料,分別印出1-NN到10-NN的10個confusion matrix:

```
1 NN confusion matrix
                         6 NN confusion matrix
    0
        1
            2
                              0
                                  1
                                      2
0 [50.
       0.
           0.]
                           [50.
                                  0.
                                      0.]
           3.]
1 [ 0. 47.
                         1 [ 0. 47. 3.]
2 [ 0. 3. 47.]
                         2 [ 0.
                                 3. 47.]
2 NN confusion matrix
                          7 NN confusion matrix
    0
            2
        1
                              0
                                  1
                                      2
0 [50.
            0.]
                           [50.
                                  0.
       0.
                                      0.]
1 [ 0. 47. 3.]
2 [ 0. 3. 47.]
                                     4.]
                         1 [ 0. 46.
                         2 [ 0. 1. 49.]
3 NN confusion matrix
                         8 NN confusion matrix
    0
        1
            2
                              0
                                  1
                                      2
                                  0.
0 [50. 0. 0.]
                           [50.
                                      0.]
1 [ 0. 47.
           3.]
                         1 [ 0. 47. 3.]
2 [ 0.
                         2 [ 0.
       3. 47.]
                                  2. 48.]
4 NN confusion matrix
                         9 NN confusion matrix
        1
            2
                              0
                                  1
                                      2
       0.
                                  0.
0 [50.
           0.]
                           [50.
                                      0.]
                          0
1 [ 0. 47.
           3.]
                          1 [ 0. 47.
                                      3.]
       3. 47.]
                         2 [ 0.
2 [ 0.
                                  2. 48.]
5 NN confusion matrix
                          10 NN confusion matrix
    0
            2
                              0
                                  1
                                      2
        1
 [50.
                           [50.
                                  0.
        0.
                                      0.]
            0.]
                          0
                                      4.]
           3.]
                         1
                            [ 0. 46.
  [ 0. 47.
                            I
                                  3. 47.]
  [0. 2. 48.]
                              0.
```

可以觀察到在這組資料集下,使用不同的K對於最後預測的準確度關係不大。