

# Note 8: Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry and Applications

In this Note, we first introduce some applications of Rauch Comparison Theorem. Then we will give another two Comparison Theorems, Hessian Comparison Theorem and Toponogov Comparison Theorem, and also some applications of these two theorems.

## Applications of Rauch Comparison Theorem

Recall the Rauch Comparison Theorem in Note 7. By Hopf-Rinow Theorem, if  $M$  is a complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature,  $\exp_p$  is defined on the whole  $T_p M$ . Then for any  $p \in M$ ,  $\exp_p : T_p M \rightarrow M$  is a distance-expanding map. Furthermore, there is an interesting corollary, which can be found in [1], Corollary 3, p.119.

### Corollary 1

Let  $M$  be a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature, and let  $ABC$  be a geodesic triangle in  $M$  (i.e. all sides of the triangle are minimal geodesics), with corresponding angles  $A, B, C$ , and corresponding side  $a, b, c$ , then

- (1)  $a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos C \leq c^2$ ,
- (2)  $A + B + C \leq \pi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be the vertex of angle  $C$ , and refer to Figure 8.2 in the tangent space  $T_x M$ . Draw radial line segments  $\overline{Op}$  and  $\overline{Oq}$  with lengths  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, such that  $\exp_x$  maps  $\overline{Op}$  and  $\overline{Oq}$  to the sides of the geodesic triangle with lengths  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $\zeta$  be the  $\exp_x^{-1}$  image of side  $c$ . Since  $\exp_x$  is a distance-expanding map, we have  $L(\zeta) \leq c$ , so the length of the line segment  $\overline{pq}$  in  $T_x M$  is  $L(\overline{pq}) \leq L(\zeta) \leq c$ . The law of cosines for the triangle  $Opq$  in  $T_x M$  is given by:

$$a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos C = L(\overline{pq})^2,$$

which proves (1). In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , construct a triangle with boundary lengths  $a, b$ , and  $c$ . This is possible because the sides of a geodesic triangle are always the shortest geodesic paths, and the sum of the lengths of any two sides must be greater than the length of the third side. Let  $A', B', C'$  denote the angles of this triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . From (1), we know that  $C \leq C'$ . Similarly, we can deduce  $A \leq A'$  and  $B \leq B'$ . Thus, (2) is proven.  $\square$

This corollary is a special case of Toponogov Comparison Theorem. The proof of the Toponogov theorem essentially relies on the Rauch Comparison theorem, and the method is similar to the proof of this corollary. However, it typically involves conjugate points, making the situation more complex.

### Theorem 1

Let  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds, and let  $K$  and  $\tilde{K}$  be the sectional curvatures, respectively. Consider a point  $p$  in  $M$  and  $\tilde{p}$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Let  $\varphi : T_p M \rightarrow T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}$  be an isometric linear isomorphism. Assume that  $W$  and  $\tilde{W} = \varphi(W)$  are neighborhoods of the origin in  $T_p M$  and  $T_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M}$ , respectively, such that the exponential mappings

$$\exp_p : W \rightarrow \exp_p(W) \subset M \quad \text{and} \quad \exp_{\tilde{p}} : \tilde{W} \rightarrow \exp_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{W}) \subset \tilde{M}$$

are both diffeomorphisms. If for any corresponding points

$$q \in \exp_p(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{q} = \exp_{\tilde{p}} \circ \varphi \circ (\exp_p)^{-1}(q) \in \exp_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{W}),$$

and for any two-dimensional sections  $\sigma \subset T_q M$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} \subset T_{\tilde{q}} \tilde{M}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$K(\sigma) \geq \tilde{K}(\tilde{\sigma}),$$

then, for any smooth curve  $\beta(u)$  in  $W$  with  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ , the following inequality holds:

$$L(\exp_p \circ \beta) \leq L(\exp_{\tilde{p}} \circ (\varphi \circ \beta)).$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\beta$  is nonzero everywhere (i.e.,  $\beta$  does not pass through the origin). Consider the geodesic variation:

$$\alpha(t, u) = \exp_p(t\beta(u)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1.$$

Let

$$V(t, u) = \alpha_{*(t, u)} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = (\exp_p)_{*t\beta(u)} (t\beta'(u)).$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} V(1, u) &= (\exp_p)_{*\beta(u)} (\beta'(u)), \\ L(\exp_p \circ \beta) &= \int_0^1 |V(1, u)| du. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$L(\exp_{\tilde{p}} \circ \varphi \circ \beta) = \int_0^1 |\tilde{V}(1, u)| du,$$

where:

$$\tilde{V}(1, u) = \frac{d}{du} (\exp_{\tilde{p}}(\varphi \circ \beta(u))) = (\exp_{\tilde{p}})_{*\varphi \circ \beta(u)} (\varphi(\beta'(u))).$$

For any fixed  $u \in (0, 1)$ , consider the vector fields  $V(t, u)$  and  $\tilde{V}(t, u)$  along the geodesics  $\gamma_u$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_u$ , respectively, where

$$\gamma_u(t) = \exp_p(t\beta(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}_u(t) = \exp_{\tilde{p}}(t\varphi \circ \beta(u)).$$

According to the process of defining Jacobi fields,  $V(t, u)$  and  $\tilde{V}(t, u)$  are Jacobi fields along  $\gamma_u$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_u$ , respectively. By definition:

$$\begin{aligned} V(0, u) &= \tilde{V}(0, u) = 0, \\ \frac{DV}{dt}(0, u) &= \beta'(u), \quad \frac{D\tilde{V}}{dt}(0, u) = \varphi(\beta'(u)), \\ \gamma'_u(0) &= \beta(u), \quad \tilde{\gamma}'_u(0) = \varphi(\beta(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the assumption that, for any  $X \in T_{\gamma_u(t)}M$  and  $\tilde{X} \in T_{\tilde{\gamma}_u(t)}\tilde{M}$ :

$$K(\gamma'_u, X) \geq \tilde{K}(\tilde{\gamma}'_u, \tilde{X}),$$

we can apply Rauch Comparison Theorem, which implies:

$$|V(t, u)| \leq |\tilde{V}(t, u)|.$$

In particular:

$$|V(1, u)| \leq |\tilde{V}(1, u)|,$$

and thus:

$$L(\exp_p \circ \beta) \leq L(\exp_{\tilde{p}} \circ \varphi \circ \beta).$$

□

This corollary plays an essential role in the proof of the following Toponogov's Theorem and that is how the theorem relies on the Rauch Comparison theorem.

## Hessian Comparison Theorem

We will introduce a naturally defined distance function on the manifold as well as Gauss's Lemma expressed using the distance function.

To avoid introducing the concepts of cut points and cut locus, we introduce another specialized definition: a geodesic is called "stably shortest" if all geodesics nearby it are also shortest. Specifically, let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma} : [0, b] &\rightarrow T_{\gamma(0)}M \\ t &\mapsto t\dot{\gamma}(0) \end{aligned}$$

be a radial line segment with  $\gamma(0) = x$ . We call  $\gamma = \exp_x \tilde{\gamma}$  "stably shortest" if there exists a sector neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $M_x$  such that:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{tp \mid t \in [0, b], |p - \dot{\gamma}(0)| < \text{some constant}\}.$$

All radial line segments in  $\mathcal{U}$  are mapped to the shortest geodesics in  $M$  starting from  $x$  by  $\exp_x$ . From the continuity of the cut locus (see [1], p.158, Lemma 5), one can show that  $\gamma$  is stably shortest if and only if it does not contain any cut points along  $\gamma$  away from  $\gamma(0)$ .

For any given point  $x \in M$ , we can define a distance function  $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows: for any  $y \in M$ ,  $\rho(y)$  is defined as the distance between  $x$  and  $y$ , denoted as  $d(x, y)$ . It is evident

that the function  $\rho$  is continuous, but it may not necessarily be differentiable. If  $\gamma : [0, b] \rightarrow M$  is a normal (with  $|\gamma'| \equiv 1$ ) stably shortest geodesic, then it has a neighborhood  $\exp_x \mathcal{U}$  (as described above) such that  $\exp_x : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \exp_x \mathcal{U}$  is a diffeomorphism, and

$$\rho(\exp_x z) = |z|, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Thus,  $\rho$  is smooth on  $\exp_x \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ . Now, we define a vector field  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$  on  $\exp_x \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$  as follows: For any  $\exp_x z \in \exp_x \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ , we have

$$\frac{z}{|z|} \in T_x M \equiv T_z(T_x M),$$

so let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Big|_{\exp_x z} = d \exp_x \left( \frac{z}{|z|} \right).$$

It is clear from the definition of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$  that:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \cdot \rho = 1, \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right\rangle = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Using  $\rho$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$ , we can restate Gauss's Lemma as follows:

#### Lemma 1

For any tangent vector  $X$  in  $\exp_x \mathcal{U} - \{x\}$ , we have:

$$X \cdot \rho = \left\langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right\rangle.$$

*Proof.* When  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$ , it is evident from equation (1) that the lemma holds. If

$$\left\langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right\rangle = 0,$$

then by Gauss's Lemma in Note 6, we know that  $X$  is tangent to a geodesic sphere. Note that the geodesic sphere is an iso-distance surface of  $\rho$ , so  $X \cdot \rho = 0$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

## Toponogov's Theorem and its Applications

In this section we state Toponogov's Theorem<sup>[2]</sup>, which is a powerful global generalization of the Rauch Theorem. There will be two equivalent statements, and it will be convenient to prove them simultaneously. All indices below are to be taken modulo 3.

**Definition 1**

A geodesic triangle in the Riemannian manifold  $M$  is a set of three geodesic segments parameterized by arc length  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$  of lengths  $l_1, l_2, l_3$  such that  $\gamma_i(l_i) = \gamma_{i+1}(0)$  and  $l_i + l_{i+1} \geq l_{i+2}$ . Set

$$\alpha_i = \angle(-\gamma'_{i+1}(l_{i+1}), \gamma'_{i+2}(0)),$$

the angle between  $-\gamma'_{i+1}(l_{i+1})$  and  $\gamma'_{i+2}(0)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \pi$ .

We shall specify a geodesic triangle by giving its sides  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ .

**Theorem 2**

Let  $M$  be a complete manifold with  $K_M \geq H$ .

(A) Let  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$  determine a geodesic triangle in  $M$ . Suppose  $\gamma_1, \gamma_3$  are minimal and if  $H > 0$ , suppose  $L[\gamma_2] \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{H}}$ . Then in  $M^H$ , the simply connected 2-dimensional space of constant curvature  $H$ , there exists a geodesic triangle  $(\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2, \bar{\gamma}_3)$  such that  $L[\gamma_i] = L[\bar{\gamma}_i]$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_1 \leq \alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_3 \leq \alpha_3$ . Except in case  $H > 0$  and  $L[\gamma_i] = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{H}}$  for some  $i$ , the triangle in  $M^H$  is uniquely determined.

(B) Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  be geodesic segments in  $M$  such that  $\gamma_1(l_1) = \gamma_2(0)$  and  $\angle(-\gamma'_1(l_1), \gamma'_2(0)) = \alpha$ . We call such a configuration a hinge  $l$  and denote it by  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha)$ . Let  $\gamma_1$  be minimal, and if  $H > 0$ ,

$$L[\gamma_2] \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{H}}$$

Let  $\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2 \subset M^H$  be such that  $\gamma_1(l_1) = \gamma_2(0), L[\gamma_i] = L[\bar{\gamma}_i] = l_i$  and  $\angle(-\bar{\gamma}'_1(l_1), \bar{\gamma}'_2(0)) = \alpha$ . Then

$$\rho(\gamma_1(0), \gamma_2(l_2)) \leq \rho(\bar{\gamma}_1(0), \bar{\gamma}_2(l_2))$$

For the proof is too long but the necessary tools to prove this theorem are all given by us so far, we shall not present the proof here.

**Reference**

- [1] 伍鸿熙, 沈纯理, and 虞言林. 黎曼几何初步. 高等教育出版社, Bei jing, 2014.
- [2] Jeff Cheeger and D. G. Ebin. *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*. AMS Chelsea Publishing. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, R.I, 2008.