

**BITS Pilani Hyderabad Campus**  
**CS F214 Logic in Computer Science,**  
**I Semester 2021-2022**  
**Lecture Notes**  
**Lecture 19**

**Lemma:** A disjunction of literals  $L_1 \vee L_2 \vee \dots \vee L_m$  is valid iff there are  $i, j$  such that  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , so that  $L_i$  is  $\neg L_j$

**Proof:** Consider  $i, j$  so that  $L_i$  is  $\neg L_j$ .

Now,

$$L_1 \vee L_2 \vee \dots \vee L_m \equiv (L_1 \vee \dots) \vee (L_i \vee \neg L_i) \quad (1)$$

Now,  $(L_i \vee \neg L_i)$  is always true.

Suppose  $L_i$  is an atom  $P$ . In any valuations,  $p$  is either true or false.

Case 1:  $p$  is true

Then  $L_i$  is true.

$\therefore (L_i \vee \neg L_i)$  is true.

$\therefore (1)$  is true.

Case 2:  $p$  is false.

$L_i$  is false,  $\neg L_i$  is true.

$\therefore (L_i \vee \neg L_i)$  is true.

$\therefore (1)$  is true.

Suppose  $L_i$  is  $\neg p$ , the formula is valid for similar reasons.

To prove the converse, suppose for all  $i, j$   $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ .  $L_i$  is not  $\neg L_j$  then

The formula is  $L_1 \vee L_2 \vee \dots \vee L_m$ .

Now, consider a valuation where each literal is made false. Suppose a literal  $L_i$  is  $P_k$ . Then set  $P_k$  false in the valuation.

Suppose  $L_i$  is  $\neg P_l$ . Then set  $P_l$  to true in the valuation.

This procedure will not make any literal evaluate to false because that would imply that such a literal is a negation of a literal that had previously been made false.

**Definition:** Given a formula  $\phi$  in propositional logic, we say that  $\phi$  is satisfiable if it has a validation in which it evaluates to true.

e.g.

(1)  $p \vee q \rightarrow p$  is satisfiable

(2)  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)$