

# CNN – MNIST Digits Classification

Objectif : entraîner un CNN sur MNIST (10 chiffres) et analyser l'impact du nombre de convolutions (2 vs 3).

```
In [13]: import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import os
from tensorflow import keras
from tensorflow.keras.models import Sequential
from tensorflow.keras.layers import Conv2D, MaxPooling2D, Flatten, Dense, Dropout
from tensorflow.keras.callbacks import EarlyStopping, ModelCheckpoint, Callback
import time
import GPUUtil
import psutil

In [14]: IMG_SIZE = (28, 28)
BATCH_SIZE = 32
EPOCHS = 20

In [15]: (x_train, y_train), (x_test, y_test) = keras.datasets.mnist.load_data()

x_train = x_train.astype("float32") / 255.0
x_test = x_test.astype("float32") / 255.0

x_train = np.expand_dims(x_train, axis=-1) # (60000, 28, 28, 1)
x_test = np.expand_dims(x_test, axis=-1) # (10000, 28, 28, 1)

y_train_cat = keras.utils.to_categorical(y_train, num_classes=10)
y_test_cat = keras.utils.to_categorical(y_test, num_classes=10)

In [16]: def build_cnn(nb_conv):
    model = Sequential()

    # 1ère convolution
    model.add(Conv2D(32, (3,3), activation='relu', input_shape=(28,28,1)))
    model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2)))

    # 2ème convolution
    model.add(Conv2D(32, (3,3), activation='relu'))
    model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2)))

    # 3ème convolution OPTIONNELLE
    if nb_conv == 3:
        model.add(Conv2D(64, (3,3), activation='relu'))
        model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2)))

    model.add(Flatten())
    model.add(Dense(128, activation='relu'))
    model.add(Dropout(0.5))
    model.add(Dense(10, activation='softmax')) # 10 classes (0..9)

    model.compile(
        optimizer='adam',
        loss='categorical_crossentropy',
```

```
        metrics=['accuracy']
    )

    return model
```

```
In [17]: def get_cpu_ram():
    cpu_percent = psutil.cpu_percent(interval=1)
    ram = psutil.virtual_memory()
    ram_used_mb = ram.used / (1024 ** 2)
    return cpu_percent, ram_used_mb

def get_gpu_stats():

    try:
        gpus = GPUUtil.getGPUs()
        if not gpus:
            return None, None, None
        gpu = gpus[0]
        return gpu.load * 100, gpu.memoryUsed, gpu.memoryTotal
    except:
        return None, None, None
```

```
In [18]: class PerformanceCallback(Callback):
    def on_epoch_begin(self, epoch, logs=None):
        self.start_time = time.time()

    def on_epoch_end(self, epoch, logs=None):
        cpu, ram = get_cpu_ram()
        gpu, vram_used, vram_total = get_gpu_stats()
        duration = time.time() - self.start_time

        msg = f" | CPU: {cpu:.1f}% | RAM: {ram:.0f} MB | Time: {duration:.1f}s"
        if gpu is not None:
            msg += f" | GPU: {gpu:.1f}% | VRAM: {vram_used}/{vram_total} MB"
        print(msg)

    def get_callbacks(nb_conv):
        ckpt_dir = f"checkpoints_mnist_cnn_{nb_conv}"
        os.makedirs(ckpt_dir, exist_ok=True)

        checkpoint = ModelCheckpoint(
            filepath=os.path.join(ckpt_dir, "weights_epoch_{epoch:02d}.h5"),
            monitor="val_accuracy",
            save_weights_only=True,
            save_best_only=True,
            verbose=1
        )

        early_stop = EarlyStopping(
            monitor="val_accuracy",
            patience=5,
            restore_best_weights=True,
            verbose=1
        )

        return checkpoint, early_stop, ckpt_dir
```

```
In [19]: model_2 = build_cnn(nb_conv=2)
checkpoint, early_stop, ckpt_dir = get_callbacks(2)
```

```
history_2 = model_2.fit(  
    x_train, y_train_cat,  
    batch_size=BATCH_SIZE,  
    epochs=EPOCHS,  
    validation_data=(x_test, y_test_cat),  
    callbacks=[checkpoint, early_stop, PerformanceCallback()],  
    verbose=1  
)
```

Epoch 1/20  
1871/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.2265 - accuracy: 0.9303  
Epoch 1: val\_accuracy improved from -inf to 0.98330, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_2\weights\_epoch\_01.h5  
| CPU: 2.6% | RAM: 15916 MB | Time: 11.8s | GPU: 4.0% | VRAM: 846.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.2262 - accuracy: 0.9304 - val\_loss: 0.0496 - val\_accuracy: 0.9833  
Epoch 2/20  
1869/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0858 - accuracy: 0.9747  
Epoch 2: val\_accuracy improved from 0.98330 to 0.98630, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_2\weights\_epoch\_02.h5  
| CPU: 4.7% | RAM: 15972 MB | Time: 11.5s | GPU: 5.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0856 - accuracy: 0.9748 - val\_loss: 0.0387 - val\_accuracy: 0.9863  
Epoch 3/20  
1869/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0643 - accuracy: 0.9807  
Epoch 3: val\_accuracy improved from 0.98630 to 0.98870, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_2\weights\_epoch\_03.h5  
| CPU: 2.3% | RAM: 15959 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 5.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0643 - accuracy: 0.9808 - val\_loss: 0.0340 - val\_accuracy: 0.9887  
Epoch 4/20  
1874/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0525 - accuracy: 0.9841  
Epoch 4: val\_accuracy did not improve from 0.98870  
| CPU: 1.2% | RAM: 15973 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 10.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0525 - accuracy: 0.9841 - val\_loss: 0.0323 - val\_accuracy: 0.9886  
Epoch 5/20  
1868/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0456 - accuracy: 0.9865  
Epoch 5: val\_accuracy improved from 0.98870 to 0.99120, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_2\weights\_epoch\_05.h5  
| CPU: 3.1% | RAM: 16017 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 4.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0457 - accuracy: 0.9864 - val\_loss: 0.0267 - val\_accuracy: 0.9912  
Epoch 6/20  
1870/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0381 - accuracy: 0.9886  
Epoch 6: val\_accuracy did not improve from 0.99120  
| CPU: 2.4% | RAM: 15995 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 846.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0382 - accuracy: 0.9886 - val\_loss: 0.0281 - val\_accuracy: 0.9907  
Epoch 7/20  
1868/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0340 - accuracy: 0.9894  
Epoch 7: val\_accuracy improved from 0.99120 to 0.99240, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_2\weights\_epoch\_07.h5  
| CPU: 1.6% | RAM: 16013 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0340 - accuracy: 0.9894 - val\_loss: 0.0257 - val\_accuracy: 0.9924  
Epoch 8/20  
1867/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0307 - accuracy: 0.9904  
Epoch 8: val\_accuracy did not improve from 0.99240  
| CPU: 1.7% | RAM: 16013 MB | Time: 11.5s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0306 - accuracy:

```
cy: 0.9905 - val_loss: 0.0254 - val_accuracy: 0.9916
Epoch 9/20
1868/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0267 - accuracy: 0.9917
Epoch 9: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99240
| CPU: 2.5% | RAM: 16020 MB | Time: 11.5s | GPU: 6.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0268 - accuracy: 0.9916 - val_loss: 0.0284 - val_accuracy: 0.9919
Epoch 10/20
1872/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0244 - accuracy: 0.9916
Epoch 10: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99240
| CPU: 3.1% | RAM: 16024 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 6.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0244 - accuracy: 0.9916 - val_loss: 0.0296 - val_accuracy: 0.9905
Epoch 11/20
1872/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0207 - accuracy: 0.9936
Epoch 11: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99240
| CPU: 1.3% | RAM: 15995 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 6.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0208 - accuracy: 0.9936 - val_loss: 0.0258 - val_accuracy: 0.9922
Epoch 12/20
1873/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0217 - accuracy: 0.9930
Epoch 12: val_accuracy improved from 0.99240 to 0.99280, saving model to checkpoints_mnist_cnn_2\weights_epoch_12.h5
| CPU: 3.1% | RAM: 16011 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0217 - accuracy: 0.9930 - val_loss: 0.0251 - val_accuracy: 0.9928
Epoch 13/20
1866/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0187 - accuracy: 0.9935
Epoch 13: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99280
| CPU: 2.0% | RAM: 15996 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0187 - accuracy: 0.9935 - val_loss: 0.0276 - val_accuracy: 0.9920
Epoch 14/20
1867/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0183 - accuracy: 0.9941
Epoch 14: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99280
| CPU: 2.7% | RAM: 15999 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 6.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0183 - accuracy: 0.9941 - val_loss: 0.0257 - val_accuracy: 0.9921
Epoch 15/20
1866/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0167 - accuracy: 0.9946
Epoch 15: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99280
| CPU: 1.9% | RAM: 16008 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0167 - accuracy: 0.9946 - val_loss: 0.0279 - val_accuracy: 0.9922
Epoch 16/20
1866/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0166 - accuracy: 0.9946
Epoch 16: val_accuracy improved from 0.99280 to 0.99300, saving model to checkpoints_mnist_cnn_2\weights_epoch_16.h5
| CPU: 1.2% | RAM: 15963 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 1.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0165 - accuracy: 0.9947 - val_loss: 0.0286 - val_accuracy: 0.9930
Epoch 17/20
```

```
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0160 - accuracy: 0.9948
Epoch 17: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99300
| CPU: 3.1% | RAM: 15966 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 6.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0160 - accuracy: 0.9948 - val_loss: 0.0327 - val_accuracy: 0.9921
Epoch 18/20
1872/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0143 - accuracy: 0.9955
Epoch 18: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99300
| CPU: 3.0% | RAM: 15979 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 4.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0143 - accuracy: 0.9955 - val_loss: 0.0347 - val_accuracy: 0.9928
Epoch 19/20
1867/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0152 - accuracy: 0.9950
Epoch 19: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99300
| CPU: 2.9% | RAM: 15961 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 5.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0152 - accuracy: 0.9950 - val_loss: 0.0283 - val_accuracy: 0.9929
Epoch 20/20
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0129 - accuracy: 0.9956
Epoch 20: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.99300
| CPU: 2.2% | RAM: 15941 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 4.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0129 - accuracy: 0.9956 - val_loss: 0.0321 - val_accuracy: 0.9919
```

```
In [20]: model_3 = build_cnn(nb_conv=3)
checkpoint, early_stop, ckpt_dir = get_callbacks(3)

history_3 = model_3.fit(
    x_train, y_train_cat,
    batch_size=BATCH_SIZE,
    epochs=EPOCHS,
    validation_data=(x_test, y_test_cat),
    callbacks=[checkpoint, early_stop, PerformanceCallback()],
    verbose=1
)
```

Epoch 1/20  
1868/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.2999 - accuracy: 0.9080  
Epoch 1: val\_accuracy improved from -inf to 0.97490, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_3\weights\_epoch\_01.h5  
| CPU: 2.9% | RAM: 16021 MB | Time: 12.1s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 846.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.2994 - accuracy: 0.9081 - val\_loss: 0.0834 - val\_accuracy: 0.9749  
Epoch 2/20  
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.1054 - accuracy: 0.9703  
Epoch 2: val\_accuracy improved from 0.97490 to 0.97860, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_3\weights\_epoch\_02.h5  
| CPU: 2.4% | RAM: 16029 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.1054 - accuracy: 0.9703 - val\_loss: 0.0747 - val\_accuracy: 0.9786  
Epoch 3/20  
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0783 - accuracy: 0.9777  
Epoch 3: val\_accuracy improved from 0.97860 to 0.98150, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_3\weights\_epoch\_03.h5  
| CPU: 2.2% | RAM: 16061 MB | Time: 11.8s | GPU: 5.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0783 - accuracy: 0.9777 - val\_loss: 0.0603 - val\_accuracy: 0.9815  
Epoch 4/20  
1872/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0639 - accuracy: 0.9811  
Epoch 4: val\_accuracy improved from 0.98150 to 0.98620, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_3\weights\_epoch\_04.h5  
| CPU: 2.0% | RAM: 16021 MB | Time: 11.9s | GPU: 4.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0639 - accuracy: 0.9811 - val\_loss: 0.0451 - val\_accuracy: 0.9862  
Epoch 5/20  
1872/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0513 - accuracy: 0.9849  
Epoch 5: val\_accuracy did not improve from 0.98620  
| CPU: 2.6% | RAM: 16027 MB | Time: 11.8s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0515 - accuracy: 0.9849 - val\_loss: 0.0534 - val\_accuracy: 0.9857  
Epoch 6/20  
1867/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0459 - accuracy: 0.9862  
Epoch 6: val\_accuracy improved from 0.98620 to 0.98780, saving model to checkpoints\_mnist\_cnn\_3\weights\_epoch\_06.h5  
| CPU: 2.5% | RAM: 16054 MB | Time: 12.0s | GPU: 4.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0457 - accuracy: 0.9862 - val\_loss: 0.0418 - val\_accuracy: 0.9878  
Epoch 7/20  
1872/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0416 - accuracy: 0.9881  
Epoch 7: val\_accuracy did not improve from 0.98780  
| CPU: 2.5% | RAM: 16054 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0415 - accuracy: 0.9882 - val\_loss: 0.0516 - val\_accuracy: 0.9872  
Epoch 8/20  
1874/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0359 - accuracy: 0.9891  
Epoch 8: val\_accuracy did not improve from 0.98780  
| CPU: 1.6% | RAM: 16023 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 1.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB  
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0358 - accuracy:

```
cy: 0.9891 - val_loss: 0.0591 - val_accuracy: 0.9850
Epoch 9/20
1870/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0332 - accuracy: 0.9900
Epoch 9: val_accuracy improved from 0.98780 to 0.98820, saving model to checkpoints_mnist_cnn_3\weights_epoch_09.h5
| CPU: 2.2% | RAM: 16041 MB | Time: 11.8s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0332 - accuracy: 0.9900 - val_loss: 0.0461 - val_accuracy: 0.9882
Epoch 10/20
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0295 - accuracy: 0.9911
Epoch 10: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.98820
| CPU: 2.1% | RAM: 16085 MB | Time: 11.8s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0295 - accuracy: 0.9911 - val_loss: 0.0512 - val_accuracy: 0.9877
Epoch 11/20
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0248 - accuracy: 0.9923
Epoch 11: val_accuracy improved from 0.98820 to 0.98940, saving model to checkpoints_mnist_cnn_3\weights_epoch_11.h5
| CPU: 2.3% | RAM: 16047 MB | Time: 11.8s | GPU: 5.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0248 - accuracy: 0.9923 - val_loss: 0.0537 - val_accuracy: 0.9894
Epoch 12/20
1875/1875 [=====] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0249 - accuracy: 0.9925
Epoch 12: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.98940
| CPU: 2.7% | RAM: 16059 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0249 - accuracy: 0.9925 - val_loss: 0.0497 - val_accuracy: 0.9867
Epoch 13/20
1869/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0222 - accuracy: 0.9929
Epoch 13: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.98940
| CPU: 1.6% | RAM: 16057 MB | Time: 11.7s | GPU: 3.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0222 - accuracy: 0.9929 - val_loss: 0.0511 - val_accuracy: 0.9885
Epoch 14/20
1871/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0199 - accuracy: 0.9936
Epoch 14: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.98940
| CPU: 1.3% | RAM: 16051 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0199 - accuracy: 0.9936 - val_loss: 0.0580 - val_accuracy: 0.9885
Epoch 15/20
1870/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0202 - accuracy: 0.9942
Epoch 15: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.98940
| CPU: 1.3% | RAM: 16039 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0202 - accuracy: 0.9942 - val_loss: 0.0570 - val_accuracy: 0.9885
Epoch 16/20
1874/1875 [=====>.] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0179 - accuracy: 0.9944
Epoch 16: val_accuracy did not improve from 0.98940
Restoring model weights from the end of the best epoch: 11.
| CPU: 2.2% | RAM: 16000 MB | Time: 11.6s | GPU: 2.0% | VRAM: 794.0/16303.0 MB
1875/1875 [=====] - 12s 6ms/step - loss: 0.0181 - accuracy:
```


cy: 0.9944 - val\_loss: 0.0573 - val\_accuracy: 0.9884  
 Epoch 16: early stopping

```
In [21]: plt.figure(figsize=(12,4))
```

```
plt.subplot(1,2,1)
plt.plot(history_2.history["val_accuracy"], label="CNN 2 conv")
plt.plot(history_3.history["val_accuracy"], label="CNN 3 conv")
plt.title("Validation Accuracy")
plt.xlabel("Epoch")
plt.ylabel("Accuracy")
plt.legend()

plt.subplot(1,2,2)
plt.plot(history_2.history["val_loss"], label="CNN 2 conv")
plt.plot(history_3.history["val_loss"], label="CNN 3 conv")
plt.title("Validation Loss")
plt.xlabel("Epoch")
plt.ylabel("Loss")
plt.legend()

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



## Conclusion

L'entraînement des deux architectures convolutionnelles sur le dataset MNIST met en évidence un comportement différent de celui observé sur les datasets d'images plus complexes. Dans ce cas, les deux modèles atteignent des performances très élevées, mais l'augmentation de la profondeur du réseau n'apporte pas d'amélioration significative.

CNN à 2 convolutions :

- Précision maximale sur l'ensemble de validation : 99,30 % (epoch 16)
- Perte de validation minimale :  $\approx 0,025$
- Entraînement stable sur 20 epochs, avec des performances très élevées dès les premières itérations

CNN à 3 convolutions :

- Précision maximale sur l'ensemble de validation : 98,94 % (epoch 11)
- Perte de validation minimale :  $\approx 0,042$
- Early stopping déclenché à l'epoch 16, meilleur modèle restauré à l'epoch 11

Les courbes de précision et de perte de validation montrent que le CNN à 2 convolutions converge plus rapidement et atteint une précision légèrement supérieure à celle du CNN à 3 convolutions. Sur ce dataset simple et bien structuré, l'ajout d'une couche convolutionnelle supplémentaire n'améliore pas la généralisation du modèle et peut même introduire une complexité inutile.

Ainsi, contrairement aux résultats obtenus sur les deux autres datasets précédents, le modèle le plus simple (CNN à 2 convolutions) est ici le plus efficace. Cela confirme que le choix de l'architecture doit être adapté à la complexité du dataset, et qu'un réseau plus profond n'est pas systématiquement synonyme de meilleures performances.

Sur MNIST, les deux entraînements sont très légers côté ressources : CPU ~1–5%, RAM ~15,9–16,1 Go, VRAM ~794–846 Mo, et GPU ~1–10% (avec un pic à 10% sur le modèle 2 conv). Chaque epoch dure ~11,5–12 s. En perf, le 2 conv atteint une val\_accuracy max = 0,9930 (99,30%) vers l'epoch 16, tandis que le 3 conv monte à 0,9894 (98,94%) (meilleur epoch 11, puis early stopping à 16). Donc ici, le 2 conv est légèrement meilleur, tout en gardant une consommation CPU/GPU/RAM/VRAM comparable au 3 conv.

In [21]: