



Swiss Institute of
Bioinformatics


INTRODUCTION TO SEQUENCING DATA ANALYSIS

Reproducible Computational Research

Deepak Tanwar
Geert van Geest

November 19-21, 2025

Adapted from previous year courses



Learning Objectives

Understand the difference between replicable and reproducible research.

Learn about the reproducibility crisis and its impact on science.

Get introduced to literate programming for clearer, more reproducible code.

Apply simple rules to make your own code reproducible and easy to understand.





Computational reproducibility is the ability to recreate, **exactly same**, an earlier research/ analysis given the same data and code.

Replication vs Reproducibility


		Data	
		Same	Different
Analysis	Same	Reproducible	Replicable
	Different	Robust	Generalisable

Claerbout, J. F., & Karrenbach, M. (1992). Electronic documents give reproducible research a new meaning. In *SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts*. <https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1822162>


Cartoon depiction of terms



Replicability vs Reproducibility



Study 2 has successfully **reproduced** Study 1 if the estimates from both studies are consistent




Study 2 has successfully **replicated** Study 1 if the estimates from both studies are consistent

10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001254

Quiz: 8

What best describes *computational reproducibility*?

Reproducibility spectrum




The reproducibility crisis in science

HAVE YOU FAILED TO REPRODUCE AN EXPERIMENT?

Most scientists have experienced failure to reproduce results.


● Someone else's ● My own



HAVE YOU EVER TRIED TO PUBLISH A REPRODUCTION ATTEMPT?

Although only a small proportion of respondents tried to publish replication attempts, many had their papers accepted.

● Published ● Failed to publish



Number of respondents from each discipline:

Biology 703, Chemistry 106, Earth and environmental 95, Medicine 203, Physics and engineering 236, Other 233

<https://www.nature.com/articles/533452a>

Quiz: 9

What is one key issue highlighted in the reproducibility crisis?

Academic Bias and biotech failures


The unspoken rule is that at least 50% of the studies published even in top tier academic journals - Science, Nature, Cell, PNAS, etc... - can't be repeated with the same conclusions by an industrial lab. In particular, key animal models often don't reproduce.

<https://lifescivc.com/2011/03/academic-bias-biotech-failures/>

A perfectly typeset text example



Literate programming




Literate programming is a programming methodology that combines a programming language with a documentation language, making programs more robust, more portable, and more easily maintained than programs written only in a high-level language.



Quiz: 10

What is the goal of literate programming?

Tools for literate programming in R



quarto®

Tools for literate programming in [LaTeX](#)

Sweave - Integrates R code into [LaTeX](#) (older tool).

knitr - Improved version of Sweave, works with R and [LaTeX](#).

Pweave - Python + [LaTeX](#) (like knitr, but for Python).

noweb - Original language-independent tool for literate programming.

Tools for literate programming in Multiple-languages



Org Mode

Your life in plain text

A *GNU Emacs* major mode for keeping notes, authoring documents, computational notebooks, literate programming, maintaining to-do lists, planning projects, and more — in a fast and effective plain text system.

GNU ELPA

org 9.7.28

report bug

feedback

source code

sr.ht Project

Libera.Chat

Matrix

Quiz: 11

Which of the following is not a tool for literate programming?

Why reproducible?

1. For yourself!
 - a. Adjusting your analysis
 - b. Sharing your analysis
 - c. Find out what the heck you did > 2 weeks ago
2. Because the academic community requires it..
 1. Many journals require accompanied code
 2. Proposals often require a data management plan

5 simple rules to get started

1. Execute the commands from a script
2. Number scripts based on their order of execution
3. Give your scripts a descriptive and active name
4. Make your scripts specific
5. Directories and variables at the beginning of the script

Rule 1

Execute the commands from a script to be able to trace back your steps

All output **files** and **directories** created from within a script

Adjusting your analysis becomes possible

Makes your analysis **portable**. It can be run:

- » On a different computer
- » By your colleague

Rule 2

Number scripts based on their order of execution (e.g. 01_download_reads.sh)

Easily trace the order of execution

Separates **main** scripts from **secondary** scripts (i.e. scripts called by another script)

Example:

01_download_reads.sh
02_run_fastqc.sh
03_trim_reads.sh
04_run_fastqc_trimmed.sh

Rule 3

Give your scripts a descriptive and active names

Makes it easier to identify the script of interest

Example:

01_download_reads.sh
02_run_fastqc.sh
03_trim_reads.sh
04_run_fastqc_trimmed.sh

Rule 4

Make your scripts **specific**, i.e. do not combine many different commands in the same script

Makes your scripts **modular** (i.e. you can use it for other analyses)

Makes job submission more efficient

Turn your script in a **pipeline** later

Rule 5

Refer to **directories and variables at the beginning of the script**

Directories and variables need to be **changed** often

No need to **search** through the whole script to change them

Debugging is easier

Example:

```
#!/usr/bin/env bash
TRIMMED_DIR=~/workdir/trimmed_data
READS_DIR=~/workdir/reads
mkdir -p $TRIMMED_DIR
```

Quiz: 12

Which of the following is not one of the five simple rules for reproducible code?

Further steps

Version control (git, GitHub, GitLab)

Pipelines

Notebooks




Further readings

1. Sandve, G.K. *et al.* (2013b) 'Ten simple rules for reproducible computational research,' *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(10), p. e1003285.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003285>.
2. Heise, V. *et al.* (2023) 'Ten simple rules for implementing open and reproducible research practices after attending a training course,' *PLoS Computational Biology*, 19(1), p. e1010750. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1010750>.
3. Rule, A. *et al.* (2018b) 'Ten simple rules for reproducible research in Jupyter notebooks,' *arXiv (Cornell University)* [Preprint]. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1810.08055>.

Summary

1. **Reproducibility is essential** for trust and progress in science.
2. **Reproducibility ≠ Replicability** – know the difference.
3. The **reproducibility crisis** affects even top-tier research.
4. **Literate programming** improves transparency by combining code and explanation.
5. Follow **simple coding rules** to make your work reproducible.
6. Think ahead: **version control, documentation, and sharing** are key for reproducible research.



Thank you

DATA SCIENTISTS FOR LIFE
sib.swiss