

Nickel-Mediated Hydrogenolysis of C–O Bonds of Aryl Ethers:
What Is the Source of the Hydrogen?

Paul Kelley, Sibio Lin, Guy Edouard, Michael W. Day, and Theodor Agapie*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard MC 127-72, Pasadena, California 91125, United States


Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Mechanistic studies of the hydrogenolysis of aryl ethers by nickel were undertaken with (diphosphine)aryl methyl ethers. A Ni(0) complex containing Ni–arene interactions adjacent to the aryl–O bond was isolated. Heating led to aryl–O bond activation and generation of a nickel aryl methoxide complex. Formal β -H elimination from this species produced a nickel aryl hydride which can undergo reductive elimination in the presence of formaldehyde to generate a carbon monoxide adduct of Ni(0). The reported complexes map out a plausible mechanism of aryl ether hydrogenolysis catalyzed by nickel. Investigations of a previously reported catalytic system using isotopically labeled substrates are consistent with the mechanism proposed in the stoichiometric system, involving β -H elimination from a nickel alkoxide rather than cleavage of the Ni–O bond by H_2 .

Elaboration of the aryl C–O bond to a variety of functional groups has emerged as a versatile synthetic tool in organic methodology,¹ as phenol precursors are readily available and synthetic modification of the aromatic ring is facile. The strong aryl C–O bond, however, is typically difficult to activate. Nickel-based catalysts have proven versatile in the conversion of substrates with aryl C–O^{2–13} or C–S^{14–16} bonds. Although cross-coupling of phenolic substrates tends to require prior conversion to the more reactive sulfonates,² recent advances show that aryl phosphates, aryl esters, aryl carbamates, aryl ethers, and even free phenols can be used as electrophiles in cross-coupling reactions.^{3–13} In a complementary approach, the conversion of aryl C–O to aryl–H bonds has been recognized as a valuable strategy for removing an oxygen-based directing group from an aryl ring. Silanes have been utilized as a hydride source for this transformation.^{10,11} Additionally, stoichiometric intramolecular aryl C–O activation has been reported with rhodium and palladium pincer complexes.^{17,18} In the context of biomass conversion to alternative fuels and chemicals, the depolymerization of lignin, a significant component of biomass containing aryl ether linkages, is a considerable challenge.^{19–21} Recently, an appealing strategy involving the cleavage of lignin-like aryl C–O bonds via nickel-catalyzed hydrogenolysis was reported by Hartwig et al.²² Given the general interest in the conversion of aryl C–O bonds, detailed mechanistic insight including the nature of the intermediates is instrumental in developing practical catalysts. Herein, we report detailed studies of the nickel-mediated reductive cleavage of an aryl ether with pendant phosphines and extend the mechanistic implications of these studies to a catalytic system.

We recently reported that diphosphine arene pincer ligands based on a *p*-terphenyl linker support mono- and dinuclear nickel complexes that exhibit strong nickel–arene interactions.^{23,24} The *m*-terphenyl diphosphine motif was also found to predispose the metal center toward interaction with the carbon at the 2'-position of the central ring.²⁵ Toward exploiting potential C–X activation chemistry, ligand variants with ether substitution at this position were prepared. The present study utilizes diphosphines with *tert*-butyl (**1a**) or dimethylamino (**1b**) substitution para to the ether on the central ring (Scheme 1); these two ligands lead to nickel complexes

Scheme 1. Stoichiometric Cleavage of an Aryl C–O Bond Facilitated by Nickel



with similar reactivity but complementary crystallinity that allows for solid-state characterization of the resultant species.

Addition of 1 equiv of $Ni(COD)_2$ to the diphosphines (**1a,b**) at 20 °C led to generation of new species (**2a,b**) according to NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 1). The ^{31}P NMR chemical shifts of the resulting species (**2a**, 41.0 ppm; **2b**, 40.7 ppm) are similar to those reported for the *p*-terphenyl diphosphine-supported Ni(0) (40.4 ppm).²³ Similarly, the protons assigned to the central arene resonate upfield compared with those of the free phosphine in the

Received: January 12, 2012

Published: March 6, 2012






Figure 1. Solid-state structures of **2b** (left) **5b** (center), and **6a** (right). Solvent molecules, anions, and select hydrogen atoms not shown for clarity.

^1H NMR spectrum of **2a,b**, whereas the ether OCH_3 peak is only slightly shifted. These data are consistent with the formation of a $\text{Ni}(0)$ species with interactions between the metal center and the aromatic π -system, but not the ether oxygen. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) study of **2b** confirmed the spectroscopic findings. In the solid state the metal center is bound by two phosphines and interacts with two carbon centers of the central arene (Figure 1). The short $\text{Ni}-\text{C}$ distances (1.96–2.09 Å) indicate strong interactions between the nickel center and central arene. Consequently, the $\text{C}-\text{C}$ distances of the central ring are consistent with partial localization of the double bonds. For **2b** the $\text{C}8-\text{C}9$ and $\text{C}10-\text{C}11$ bonds (1.368(2) and 1.360(2) Å, respectively) are shorter than the rest of the central arene $\text{C}-\text{C}$ bonds by >0.06 Å. The aryl $\text{C}-\text{O}$ bond points away from the metal center, consistent with partial sp^3 hybridization of the 2'-position of the central ring (**2b**, C18, Figure 1) due to the $\text{Ni}-\text{C}$ interaction. Notably, an intermediate displaying η^2 -interactions between $\text{Ni}(0)$ and the double bond adjacent to the oxygen was found computationally to precede $\text{C}-\text{O}$ bond activation in the cross-coupling of phenol derivatives.^{8,26} Complex **2b** is the only example of such an arrested intermediate characterized by crystallography, according to a Cambridge Structural Database search.²⁷

Complexes **2a,b** were found to convert to new species in solution at 45 °C. After the first 12 h a single product (**3a,b**, respectively) was observed by NMR spectroscopy, in mixture with starting material. Monitoring by ^1H NMR spectroscopy revealed that the peaks corresponding to the central arene ring shifted downfield. Additionally, the OCH_3 resonance shifted nearly 0.5 ppm downfield relative to precursors **1a,b**. Upon further heating, additional species, **4a,b**, were observed. The central arene proton signals of these species are also shifted relative to **1a,b**, respectively, in the aromatic region. Intriguingly, the OCH_3 signal is absent, and an upfield triplet was observed in the hydride region of the ^1H NMR spectrum (−2.85 ppm, **4a**; −2.87 ppm, **4b**). These upfield peaks are consistent with the formation of $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ hydrides. Compound **4b** was isolated in 55% yield by precipitation from THF upon stirring **2b** at 20 °C for 8 days; however, efforts to obtain X-ray-quality single crystals of **4b** have been unsuccessful to date. Treatment with methyl iodide at 20 °C for 14 h generated a new species, assigned as a $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ iodide (**5b**); an XRD study of this species confirmed the above assignment (Figure 1). The nickel center is found in the same plane as the central arene. The $\text{Ni}-\text{C}$ distance (1.919(1) Å) is consistent with an aryl $\text{C}-\text{Ni}$ bond. The diphosphine ligand framework, bound in *pseudo*- C_2 fashion, acts as a classical tridentate diphosphine aryl pincer,²⁸ but with six-member chelates involving aryl–aryl linkages.^{29,30} The solid-state structure is consistent with the NMR spectroscopic data for **4** and **5**, indicating the absence of the methoxy group and the lack of interaction with the π -system of the arene. Most

importantly, the structure shows cleavage of the aryl $\text{C}-\text{O}$ bond and displacement of oxygen by nickel.

Additional experiments were performed to confirm the nature of compound **3**. The reaction of **4b** with methanol at 20 °C led to the re-formation of species **3b**, albeit not quantitatively (^1H NMR spectroscopy). Treatment of **5b** with sodium methoxide at 20 °C led to the formation of **3b**, **4b**, and other unidentified species. Although species **3** could not be obtained by these routes without contamination from complex **4**, the independent methods of generation are consistent with **3** being a $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ aryl methoxide. This is the product of oxidative addition of the aryl $\text{C}-\text{O}$ bond to $\text{Ni}(0)$.³¹ Similar stoichiometric reactions mediated by rhodium and palladium have been reported.^{17,18}

The origin of the hydride in **4** was studied by using a version of the diphosphine ligand deuterated at the methoxy position (**1b-d₃**). This precursor led to the formation of a species (**4b-d₁**) with no signal in the hydride region of the ^1H NMR spectrum, but otherwise displaying the same peaks as **4**. This is consistent with the formation of a nickel deuteride, which confirms the methoxy group as the source of hydrogen (deuterium). β -H elimination could occur via a five-coordinate intermediate,^{32–34} although in coordinatively saturated systems it has been proposed to occur via alkoxide dissociation^{35–37} or in bimolecular fashion.^{38,39} Given the strain of the chelates in complex **4**, phosphine dissociation may also be possible,^{32,33,40,41} opening up a cis coordination site for β -H elimination.^{42–44} Further studies are necessary to determine the mechanism in the present system.

Although its generation does not require dihydrogen, hydride **4** is a potential intermediate in the hydrogenolysis of the aryl–O bond. Complexes **2a,b** were heated separately to 100 °C, generating new species, **6a,b**, in $>90\%$ yield (^1H NMR spectroscopy) within 2 h. A Toepler pump experiment showed that ~ 0.9 equiv of combustible gas was generated in this reaction. The $\text{Ni}-\text{H}$ peak is absent from the ^1H NMR spectra of the products, and a new triplet is present (**6a**, 6.82 ppm; **6b**, 6.51 ppm), assigned to a new aryl *ipso*- $\text{C}-\text{H}$. Infrared (IR) spectroscopy revealed an absorption indicative of a terminal $\text{Ni}(0)-\text{CO}$ (**6a**, 1929 cm^{-1} ; **6b**, 1917 cm^{-1}). An XRD study of **6a** confirms the formation of a nickel carbonyl complex, with the metal center bound to the two phosphines and interacting with the π -system of the central aromatic ring. This binding mode is consistent with reductive elimination forming an aryl–H bond. The metal center is close to only one carbon atom from the central ring (**6a**, C18, Figure 1), and the $\text{Ni}-\text{C}$ distance is longer (2.2763(7) Å) than in **2b**. These structural parameters suggest that the metal center is less π -basic in **6** compared to **2**, likely due to the electron-withdrawing properties of the carbonyl ligand.


The formation of compound **6** indicates that, in addition to reductive elimination of a $\text{C}-\text{H}$ bond, decarbonylation of

formaldehyde had occurred to generate H_2 . To determine the origin of the hydrogen involved in the C–H reductive elimination, deuterium-labeled **2-d₃** was investigated. Upon heating, the product does not display the aryl C–H peak in the ^1H NMR spectrum but otherwise displays the same spectroscopic features as **6**, indicating that the deuterium originating from the methoxy group was transferred to the aryl. Performing the reaction in the presence of 1 atm H_2 does not affect the isotope incorporation, indicating that Ni–methoxide cleavage by H_2 does not compete with β -H elimination. No reaction was observed upon heating of isolated **4b** for 6 h at 100 °C. In contrast, in the presence of paraformaldehyde, conversion of **4b** to **6b** occurred within 4 h at 60 °C. This behavior indicates that formaldehyde facilitates the reductive elimination step. π -Acidic ligands have been previously reported to facilitate reductive elimination.^{45,46} In the present case, isomerization to a *cis*-hydride aryl species also must occur prior to reductive elimination. The reaction of **4b** with carbon monoxide generated **6b** as well, albeit more slowly and in a mixture with unidentified species.

Silanes have been used as hydride sources for the reductive cleavage of aryl C–O bonds.^{10,11} To test the effect of added silane, **2b** was heated to 80 °C in benzene in the presence of 2 equiv of Et_3SiD and generated only <10% **6b-d₁**. A larger excess of Et_3SiD (13 equiv) led to more deuterium incorporation (~20% **6b-d₁**). Treatment of **2b-d₃** with Et_3SiH (2 equiv) generated **6b-d₁** and **6b** in a 1:1 ratio.⁴⁷ These results indicate that the mechanism involving β -H elimination (Scheme 1) is favored vs interception of the nickel methoxide by silane at low concentration of silane. The increased isotopic incorporation from Et_3SiH vs Et_3SiD is consistent with normal isotope effects for β -H elimination (conversion of **3b** to **4b**) and transmetalation between **3b** and silane to generate **4b**.^{42–44,48,49}

The generation of **6** and the intermediates characterized above maps out a potential pathway for the cleavage of aryl alkyl ethers. Notably, this process does not require dihydrogen. To investigate the relevancy of this process for the catalytic reaction, aryl alkyl ethers were subjected to hydrogenolysis under reported reaction conditions (Scheme 2).²² 2-Methoxynaphthalene and 2-hexyloxynaphthalene were selected as sub-


Scheme 2. Isotopic Labeling Studies of Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Aryl Alkyl Ethers



strates. 1,3-Bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolium chloride (SIPr-HCl), NaOtBu , and $\text{Ni}(\text{COD})_2$ in *m*-xylene generate the catalytic species *in situ*. Heating to 120 °C for 16 h under 1 atm of H_2 leads to the formation of naphthalene and aliphatic alcohol (hexyl silyl ether analyzed upon derivatization). To elucidate the origin of the hydrogen delivered to the naphthyl group, substrates labeled with deuterium at the oxygen-bound carbon were prepared (NaphOCD₃ and NaphOCD₂(CH₂)₄CH₃, Naph = 2-naphthyl). Hydrogenolysis under H_2 leads to

the incorporation of deuterium in the resultant naphthalene (>90% Naph-D).^{50,51} The above results support a mechanism in which the aryl C–H is derived primarily from the ether substrate rather than dihydrogen. This finding is consistent with the mechanism observed for the stoichiometric reaction of the aryl ether with pendant phosphines (**1**): aryl C–O bond activation by nickel is followed by β -H elimination and reductive elimination (Scheme 3). Although the overall catalytic reaction is a hydrogenolysis of

Scheme 3. Mechanisms for the Conversion of Aryl Alkyl Ethers to Arenes



ethers, the reactive nickel hydride species may not result from the cleavage of a Ni–alkoxide bond by H_2 , but rather from β -H elimination from an alkoxide ligand. Isotopic labeling experiments with silanes as source of hydride (or deuteride) indicated isotopic scrambling and proved inconclusive (see SI).

Since the mechanism above does not require H_2 for the conversion of aryl ether to arene, the catalytic trials were also performed in the absence of H_2 ; <5% conversion was observed. In a separate experiment, a mixture of 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolium chloride (SIPr-HCl), NaOtBu , and $\text{Ni}(\text{COD})_2$ in toluene was heated under H_2 , concentrated under vacuum to a dark red wax, reconstituted with *m*-xylene, and treated with aryl ether substrate under N_2 . Ether conversion to arene was observed, albeit in lower yields (~50%) compared to standard conditions (~75%). These experiments support the hypothesis that H_2 is necessary for the formation of the active catalyst but not for the actual catalytic transformation.

In summary, the mechanism of nickel-mediated aryl ether hydrogenolysis was investigated. A substrate with pendant phosphines allowed the isolation and characterization of intermediates along the reaction pathway. These support a mechanism involving $\text{Ni}(0)$ coordination to arene, oxidative addition of the aryl C–O bond followed by β -H elimination, and formaldehyde-assisted reductive elimination of the aryl–H bond. Dihydrogen (1 atm) does not compete with the above processes. Isotopic labeling investigations of a catalytic system based on a nickel-NHC catalyst support a similar mechanism that does not require H_2 for turnover. Overall, the present studies

provide mechanistic snapshots of a transformation of interest in organic methodology and with potential for biomass conversion. Future work will focus on detailed investigations of each step of this mechanism toward developing practical catalysts.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

■ Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterization data, and crystallographic details (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

agapie@caltech.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lawrence M. Henling for crystallographic assistance. We are grateful to Caltech, BP, and NSF GRFP (S.L.) for funding. The Bruker KAPPA APEXII X-ray diffractometer was purchased via an NSF CRIF:MU award to Caltech, CHE-0639094. The 400 MHz NMR spectrometer was purchased via an NIH award, RR027690.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *111*, 1346.
- (2) Zim, D.; Lando, V. R.; Dupont, J.; Monteiro, A. L. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3049.
- (3) Dankwardt, J. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2428.
- (4) Johnstone, R. A. W.; Neil McLean, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 5553.
- (5) Guan, B.-T.; Xiang, S.-K.; Wu, T.; Sun, Z.-P.; Wang, B.-Q.; Zhao, K.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 1437.
- (6) Tobisu, M.; Shimasaki, T.; Chatani, N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4866.
- (7) Quasdorf, K. W.; Tian, X.; Garg, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14422.
- (8) Quasdorf, K. W.; Antoft-Finch, A.; Liu, P.; Silberstein, A. L.; Komaromi, A.; Blackburn, T.; Ramgren, S. D.; Houk, K. N.; Snieckus, V.; Garg, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 6352.
- (9) Guan, B.-T.; Wang, Y.; Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.-G.; Shi, Z.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 14468.
- (10) Álvarez-Bercedo, P.; Martin, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 17352.
- (11) Tobisu, M.; Yamakawa, K.; Shimasaki, T.; Chatani, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 2946.
- (12) Wenkert, E.; Michelotti, E. L.; Swindell, C. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 2246.
- (13) Antoft-Finch, A.; Blackburn, T.; Snieckus, V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17750.
- (14) Vicić, D. A.; Jones, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 10855.
- (15) Vicić, D. A.; Jones, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 7606.
- (16) Torres-Nieto, J.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D.; García, J. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 4120.
- (17) van der Boom, M. E.; Liou, S.-Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6531.
- (18) van der Boom, M. E.; Liou, S.-Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Vigalok, A.; Milstein, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1997**, *36*, 625.
- (19) Rinaldi, R.; Schuth, F. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2009**, *2*, 610.
- (20) Zakzeski, J.; Bruijninx, P. C. A.; Jongerius, A. L.; Weckhuysen, B. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3552.
- (21) Hicks, J. C. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2011**, *2*, 2280.
- (22) Sergeev, A. G.; Hartwig, J. F. *Science* **2011**, *332*, 439.
- (23) Velian, A.; Lin, S.; Miller, A. J. M.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 6296.
- (24) Lin, S.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 3828.
- (25) Chao, S. T.; Lara, N. C.; Lin, S.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7529.
- (26) Li, Z.; Zhang, S.-L.; Fu, Y.; Guo, Q.-X.; Liu, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8815.
- (27) An η^6 -aryl ether nickel complex has been characterized: Campora, J.; del Mar Conejo, M.; Reyes, M. L.; Mereiter, K.; Passaglia, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 78.
- (28) van der Boom, M. E.; Milstein, D. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 1759.
- (29) Steinke, T.; Shaw, B. K.; Jong, H.; Patrick, B. O.; Fryzuk, M. D. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 2830.
- (30) Kaufhold, O.; Stasch, A.; Pape, T.; Hepp, A.; Edwards, P. G.; Newman, P. D.; Hahn, F. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *131*, 306.
- (31) Reaction of **1** with Ni(II) reagents results in stoichiometric cleavage of the ArO–CH₃ bond. Investigations of this transformation will be reported in a future manuscript.
- (32) Ozawa, F.; Ito, T.; Yamamoto, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 6457.
- (33) Komiya, S.; Morimoto, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Yamamoto, T. *Organometallics* **1982**, *1*, 1528.
- (34) Bryndza, H. E.; Calabrese, J. C.; Marsi, M.; Roe, D. C.; Tam, W.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4805.
- (35) Blum, O.; Milstein, D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, *593–594*, 479.
- (36) Smythe, N. A.; Grice, K. A.; Williams, B. S.; Goldberg, K. I. *Organometallics* **2008**, *28*, 277.
- (37) Fafard, C. M.; Ozerov, O. V. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2007**, *360*, 286.
- (38) Ritter, J. C. M.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6826.
- (39) Matas, I.; Cámpora, J.; Palma, P.; Álvarez, E. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 6515.
- (40) McCarthy, T. J.; Nuzzo, R. G.; Whitesides, G. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 3396.
- (41) Alexanian, E. J.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15627.
- (42) Zhao, J.; Hesslink, H.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7220.
- (43) Saura-Llamas, I.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 2136.
- (44) Blum, O.; Milstein, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 4582.
- (45) Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Ikeda, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 3350.
- (46) Tatsumi, K.; Nakamura, A.; Komiya, S.; Yamamoto, A.; Yamamoto, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 8181.
- (47) An unidentified species was observed by ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, in an amount roughly proportional to the label incorporation from SiX (X = D or H) into **6b**. This is consistent with decreased generation of formaldehyde and hence lower formation of the nickel carbonyl species upon reductive elimination/decarbonylation.
- (48) Issenhuth, J.-T.; Notter, F.-P.; Dagorne, S.; Dedieu, A.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *2010*, 529.
- (49) Gómez-Gallego, M.; Sierra, M. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 4857.
- (50) Under hydrogenolysis conditions with D₂, *d*₀-naphthalene (*m/z* = 128) incorporates D atoms (up to *m/z* = 133 observed by GC-MS). Similarly, hydrogenolysis reactions of nondeuterated naphthyl alkyl ethers under D₂ lead to scrambling of deuterium atoms into the substrates and resultant naphthalene, complicating analysis.
- (51) After the quenched reaction mixture of NaphOCD₂(CH₂)₄CH₃ under H₂ was subjected to silyl derivatization, (*n*-hexyloxy)trimethylsilane was observed as >90% *d*₀-isotopologue (*m/z*(–CH₃) = 159). Conversely, (*n*-hexyloxy)trimethylsilane from reaction of NaphO(CH₂)₅CH₃ under D₂ returned a base peak of *m/z* = 161. Subjecting hexanol instead of aryl alkyl ether to catalytic conditions under D₂ and derivatization also yielded (*n*-hexyloxy)trimethylsilane, with a base peak of *m/z* = 161.