MA 205: $\mathbb{C}$omplex Analysis
TSC

Siddhant Midha

2nd September 2022

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022!

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022! Before we start, here are some things to note,

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022! Before we start, here are some things to note,

- These slides, along with tutorial solutions and some other material can be found at this page – tinyurl.com/ma-205-22.

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022! Before we start, here are some things to note,

- These slides, along with tutorial solutions and some other material can be found at this page – tinyurl.com/ma-205-22.
- Feel free to stop me and ask questions.

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022! Before we start, here are some things to note,

- These slides, along with tutorial solutions and some other material can be found at this page – tinyurl.com/ma-205-22.
- Feel free to stop me and ask questions.
- Given the rough time limit of two hours, we will not be able to cover everything done in the lectures.

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022! Before we start, here are some things to note,

- These slides, along with tutorial solutions and some other material can be found at this page – tinyurl.com/ma-205-22.
- Feel free to stop me and ask questions.
- Given the rough time limit of two hours, we will not be able to cover everything done in the lectures.
- It follows that this session is purely a supplementary one, not a compensation for the lectures.

Welcome!

Welcome to the (first?) TSC for Complex Analysis 2022! Before we start, here are some things to note,

- These slides, along with tutorial solutions and some other material can be found at this page – tinyurl.com/ma-205-22.
- Feel free to stop me and ask questions.
- Given the rough time limit of two hours, we will not be able to cover everything done in the lectures.
- It follows that this session is purely a supplementary one, not a compensation for the lectures.
- Finally, if you notice some mistake, do let me know.

Table of Contents

Definition (Open Disks)

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and for any $r > 0$ define the open disk, denoted $B(z, r)$ as

$$B(z, r) := \{z_1 | d(z, z_1) < r\}$$

Open and Closed Sets

Definition (Open Disks)

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and for any $r > 0$ define the open disk, denoted $B(z, r)$ as

$$B(z, r) := \{z_1 | d(z, z_1) < r\}$$

Definition (Open Sets)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be open if for all $z \in S$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B(z, r) \subset S$.

Open and Closed Sets

Definition (Open Disks)

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and for any $r > 0$ define the open disk, denoted $B(z, r)$ as

$$B(z, r) := \{z_1 | d(z, z_1) < r\}$$

Definition (Open Sets)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be open if for all $z \in S$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B(z, r) \subset S$.

Definition (Closed Sets)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be closed if its complement is open.
Equivalently, a set is closed if it contains all of its limit points.

Open and Closed Sets

Definition (Open Disks)

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and for any $r > 0$ define the open disk, denoted $B(z, r)$ as

$$B(z, r) := \{z_1 | d(z, z_1) < r\}$$

Definition (Open Sets)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be open if for all $z \in S$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B(z, r) \subset S$.

Definition (Closed Sets)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be closed if its complement is open. Equivalently, a set is closed if it contains all of its limit points.

Recall: $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a limit point of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ if there exists a sequence $z_n \in \Omega$, $z_n \neq z$, such that $z_n \to z$.

Connectedness

Definition (Connected)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be connected if given any 2 points $x, y \in S$, there exists a continuous path joining them. i.e, a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \to S$ such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(1) = y$.

Connectedness

Definition (Connected)

A subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be connected if given any 2 points $x, y \in S$, there exists a continuous path joining them. i.e, a continuous function $f : [0,1] \to S$ such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(1) = y$.

Definition (Domain)

A open and connected subset of $\mathbb{C}$ is called a domain.

Questions

Questions?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
    - Open?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No.

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected?

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.
   - Closed?

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.
   - Closed? No.
4. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}$

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.
   - Closed? No.
4. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}$
   - Open?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.
   - Closed? No.
4. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}$
   - Open? No.

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.
   - Closed? No.
4. $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}$
   - Open? No.
   - Closed?

Questions

Questions?

1. $\mathbb{C}$ minus the non-zero real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
2. [2020 Quiz] $\mathbb{C}$ minus the rational real numbers, is
   - Open? No. Closed? No!
   - Connected? Yes.
3. $(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$
   - Open? Yes.
   - Closed? No.
4. $(0,1) \subset \mathbb{C}$
   - Open? No.
   - Closed? No.

Sequences and Convergence

Definition (Sequences)

A sequence in $\mathbb{C}$ is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$. We denote $z_n = f(n)$.

Sequences and Convergence

Definition (Sequences)

A sequence in $\mathbb{C}$ is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$. We denote $z_n = f(n)$.

Definition (Convergence)

A sequence z_n is said to be converging to some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$n > N_\epsilon \implies |z - z_n| < \epsilon$$

Sequences and Convergence

Definition (Sequences)

A sequence in $\mathbb{C}$ is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$. We denote $z_n = f(n)$.

Definition (Convergence)

A sequence z_n is said to be converging to some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$n > N_\epsilon \implies |z - z_n| < \epsilon$$

Theorem

If $z_n = x_n + \iota y_n$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{C}$, then

$$z_n \to z = x + \iota y \Leftrightarrow x_n \to x \text{ and } y_n \to y$$

Table of Contents

Continuity

Definition (Continuity)

A function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be continuous at a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = f(z_0)$$

Equivalently[a], f is continuous at z_0 if for all sequences $(z_n)_n$ $(z_n \in \Omega)$ such that $z_n \to z_0$ we have $f(z_n) \to f(z_0)$.

[a] The $\epsilon - \delta$ continuity definition $\Leftrightarrow$ the sequential definition

Continuity

Definition (Continuity)

A function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be continuous at a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = f(z_0)$$

Equivalently[a], f is continuous at z_0 if for all sequences $(z_n)_n$ ($z_n \in \Omega$) such that $z_n \to z_0$ we have $f(z_n) \to f(z_0)$.

[a] The $\epsilon - \delta$ continuity definition $\Leftrightarrow$ the sequential definition

- f is said to be continuous if it is continuous at all $z_0 \in \Omega$.

Continuity

Definition (Continuity)

A function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be continuous at a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = f(z_0)$$

Equivalently[a], f is continuous at z_0 if for all sequences $(z_n)_n$ $(z_n \in \Omega)$ such that $z_n \to z_0$ we have $f(z_n) \to f(z_0)$.

[a] The $\epsilon - \delta$ continuity definition $\Leftrightarrow$ the sequential definition

- f is said to be continuous if it is continuous at all $z_0 \in \Omega$.
- f is continuous iff u and v are continuous.

Differentiability

Definition (Complex Differentiability (CD))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be complex-differentiable at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists. If it does, we denote it by $f'(z_0)$.

Differentiability

Definition (Complex Differentiability (CD))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be complex-differentiable at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists. If it does, we denote it by $f'(z_0)$.

- Note that h above is *complex*.

Definition (Complex Differentiability (CD))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be complex-differentiable at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists. If it does, we denote it by $f'(z_0)$.

- Note that h above is *complex*.
- Clearly this is stronger than differentiability of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (Why?). As a result, we do **not** get an iff condition as in the case for continuity.

Definition (Complex Differentiability (CD))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be complex-differentiable at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists. If it does, we denote it by $f'(z_0)$.

- Note that h above is *complex*.
- Clearly this is stronger than differentiability of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (Why?). As a result, we do **not** get an iff condition as in the case for continuity.
- f is said to be CD on Ω if it is CD on all $z \in \Omega$.

Differentiability

Definition (Complex Differentiability (CD))

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be complex-differentiable at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if the limit

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists. If it does, we denote it by $f'(z_0)$.

- Note that h above is *complex*.
- Clearly this is stronger than differentiability of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ (Why?). As a result, we do **not** get an iff condition as in the case for continuity.
- f is said to be CD on Ω if it is CD on all $z \in \Omega$.
- Differentiability $\implies$ Continuity.

Holomorphicity

Definition (Holomorphicity)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic on Ω if it is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ and the derivative f' is continuous on Ω. We denote $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

Holomorphicity

Definition (Holomorphicity)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic on Ω if it is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ and the derivative f' is continuous on Ω. We denote $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

- Can we drop the $C^1(\Omega)$ condition?

Holomorphicity

Definition (Holomorphicity)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic on Ω if it is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ and the derivative f' is continuous on Ω. We denote $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

- Can we drop the $C^1(\Omega)$ condition?
- f is called holomorphic **at a point** if it is holomorphic on an open disk containing that point.

Holomorphicity

Definition (Holomorphicity)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic on Ω if it is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ and the derivative f' is continuous on Ω. We denote $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

- Can we drop the $C^1(\Omega)$ condition?
- f is called holomorphic **at a point** if it is holomorphic on an open disk containing that point.
- A function holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be entire.

Holomorphicity

Definition (Holomorphicity)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic on Ω if it is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ and the derivative f' is continuous on Ω. We denote $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

- Can we drop the $C^1(\Omega)$ condition?
- f is called holomorphic **at a point** if it is holomorphic on an open disk containing that point.
- A function holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be entire.
- Holomorphic at a point $\implies$ CD at a point. Reverse?

Holomorphicity

Definition (Holomorphicity)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be holomorphic on Ω if it is complex differentiable at each $z_0 \in \Omega$ and the derivative f' is continuous on Ω. We denote $f \in C^1(\Omega)$.

- Can we drop the $C^1(\Omega)$ condition?
- f is called holomorphic **at a point** if it is holomorphic on an open disk containing that point.
- A function holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be entire.
- Holomorphic at a point $\implies$ CD at a point. Reverse?
- **Remark**: A function can be CD at a point and not holomorphic at the same point. Consider $f(z) = |z|^2$.

Properties

If $f : \Omega \to A$ and $g : \Omega \to B$ are holomorphic on Ω, then,

- $c_1 f + c_2 g$ is holomorphic on Ω, and $(c_1 f + c_2 g)' = c_1 f' + c_2 g'$.

Properties

If $f : \Omega \to A$ and $g : \Omega \to B$ are holomorphic on Ω, then,

- $c_1 f + c_2 g$ is holomorphic on Ω, and $(c_1 f + c_2 g)' = c_1 f' + c_2 g'$.
- (fg) is holomorphic on Ω, and $(fg)' = f'g + g'f$.

Properties

If $f : \Omega \to A$ and $g : \Omega \to B$ are holomorphic on Ω, then,

- $c_1 f + c_2 g$ is holomorphic on Ω, and $(c_1 f + c_2 g)' = c_1 f' + c_2 g'$.
- (fg) is holomorphic on Ω, and $(fg)' = f'g + g'f$.
- If $h : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic on A, then $h \circ f(z) := h(f(z))$ is holomorphic on Ω, and $(h \circ f)'(z) = h'(f(z))f'(z)$.

Properties

If $f : \Omega \to A$ and $g : \Omega \to B$ are holomorphic on Ω, then,

- $c_1 f + c_2 g$ is holomorphic on Ω, and $(c_1 f + c_2 g)' = c_1 f' + c_2 g'$.
- (fg) is holomorphic on Ω, and $(fg)' = f'g + g'f$.
- If $h : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic on A, then $h \circ f(z) := h(f(z))$ is holomorphic on Ω, and $(h \circ f)'(z) = h'(f(z))f'(z)$.
- For $z_0 \in \Omega$ s.t. $g(z_0) \neq 0$, f/g is holomorphic at z_0, and,

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)(z_0) = \frac{f'(z_0)g(z_0) - g'(z_0)f(z_0)}{g(z_0)^2}$$

Questions

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz] If the composite of two non-constant, continuous complex functions defined on all of $\mathbb{C}$ is entire - do the functions themselves need to be entire? (Converse of the composition property?)

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz] If the composite of two non-constant, continuous complex functions defined on all of $\mathbb{C}$ is entire - do the functions themselves need to be entire? (Converse of the composition property?) No.

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz] If the composite of two non-constant, continuous complex functions defined on all of $\mathbb{C}$ is entire - do the functions themselves need to be entire? (Converse of the composition property?) No. Consider $f(z) = g(z) := \bar{z}$.

Real Differentiability

For some $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ we will denote $F : \Omega_R \to \mathbb{R}^2$ the corresponding real function.

Real Differentiability

For some $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ we will denote $F : \Omega_R \to \mathbb{R}^2$ the corresponding real function.Further, we let

$$F(x, y) = \big(u(x, y), v(x, y)\big)^T$$

Real Differentiability

For some $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ we will denote $F : \Omega_R \to \mathbb{R}^2$ the corresponding real function.Further, we let

$$F(x, y) = \big(u(x, y), v(x, y)\big)^T$$

Real Differentiability

$F : \Omega_R \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is differentiable at $(x, y) \in \Omega$ if there exists a 2×2 matrix $DF(x, y)$ such that

$$\lim_{h,k \to 0} \frac{|| \begin{pmatrix} u(x+h, y+k) \\ v(x+h, y+k) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u(x, y) \\ v(x, y) \end{pmatrix} - DF(x, y) \begin{pmatrix} h \\ k \end{pmatrix} ||}{|| \begin{pmatrix} h \\ k \end{pmatrix} ||} = 0$$

If so, we have

$$DF(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{pmatrix}$$

Necessary Conditions for (complex) differentiability

Theorem (The CR Equations)

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ be defined on some open set Ω. Suppose that $f'(z_0)$ exists for some point $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$. Then the first order partial derivatives of u and v exist at that point (x_0, y_0) and satisfy the CR equations

$$u_x = v_y \ , \ v_x = -u_y$$

at that point.

Necessary Conditions for (complex) differentiability

Theorem (The CR Equations)

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ be defined on some open set Ω. Suppose that $f'(z_0)$ exists for some point $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$. Then the first order partial derivatives of u and v exist at that point (x_0, y_0) and satisfy the CR equations

$$u_x = v_y \ , \ v_x = -u_y$$

at that point.

i.e., $CD \implies CR$.

Necessary Conditions for (complex) differentiability

Theorem (The CR Equations)

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ be defined on some open set Ω. Suppose that $f'(z_0)$ exists for some point $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$. Then the first order partial derivatives of u and v exist at that point (x_0, y_0) and satisfy the CR equations

$$u_x = v_y \ , \ v_x = -u_y$$

at that point.

i.e., $CD \implies CR$.

Theorem

Let f be defined on some open set Ω be differentiable at some $z = (x + \iota y) \in \Omega$. Then, the real counterpart F will be differentiable at $(x, y) \in \Omega_R$.

Necessary Conditions for (complex) differentiability

Theorem (The CR Equations)

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ be defined on some open set Ω. Suppose that $f'(z_0)$ exists for some point $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$. Then the first order partial derivatives of u and v exist at that point (x_0, y_0) and satisfy the CR equations

$$u_x = v_y \ , \ v_x = -u_y$$

at that point.

i.e., $CD \implies CR$.

Theorem

Let f be defined on some open set Ω be differentiable at some $z = (x + \iota y) \in \Omega$. Then, the real counterpart F will be differentiable at $(x, y) \in \Omega_R$.

i.e., $CD \implies RD$.

Remark

We have seen that CR and RD are both necessary conditions for CD.

Remark

We have seen that CR and RD are both necessary conditions for CD. But, none of them implies CD.

Remark

We have seen that CR and RD are both necessary conditions for CD. But, none of them implies CD. Consider,

- $f(z) = \bar{z}$. RD, not CD.

Remark

We have seen that CR and RD are both necessary conditions for CD. But, none of them implies CD. Consider,

- $f(z) = \bar{z}$. RD, not CD.
- [2020 Quiz] Consider,

$$f(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

Remark

We have seen that CR and RD are both necessary conditions for CD. But, none of them implies CD. Consider,

- $f(z) = \bar{z}$. RD, not CD.
- [2020 Quiz] Consider,

$$f(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

  CR equations are satisfied at zero, but it is not CD at zero.

Remark

We have seen that CR and RD are both necessary conditions for CD. But, none of them implies CD. Consider,

- $f(z) = \bar{z}$. RD, not CD.
- [2020 Quiz] Consider,

$$f(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z} & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

  CR equations are satisfied at zero, but it is not CD at zero.
- We shall see that together they are sufficient to show CD.

Necessary and Sufficient Condition

Theorem

Let $f(z) = u(x,y) + \iota v(x,y)$ be defined on some open set Ω and let $F : \Omega_R \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the corresponding real function. For some $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$, if

1. F is differentiable at (x_0, y_0).
2. The $DF(x_0, y_0)$ is of the following form
$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$
(equivalently, the CR equations are satisfied at (x_0, y_0))

Then, we have that $f'(z_0)$ exists and equals $a + \iota b$. Further, the converse holds.

Another Sufficient Condition

Theorem

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ be defined on some open set Ω. For some $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$, if

1. the partial derivatives of u and v exist in some neighbourhood of (x_0, y_0) and are continuous at (x_0, y_0), and
2. the CR equations are satisfied at (x_0, y_0)

Then, we have that $f'(z_0)$ exists.

Another Sufficient Condition

Theorem

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ be defined on some open set Ω. For some $z_0 = x_0 + \iota y_0 \in \Omega$, if

1. the partial derivatives of u and v exist in some neighbourhood of (x_0, y_0) and are continuous at (x_0, y_0), and
2. the CR equations are satisfied at (x_0, y_0)

Then, we have that $f'(z_0)$ exists.

This turns out to be easier to check.

Harmonic Functions

Definition (Harmonic Function)

A function $g : \Omega_R \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be harmonic if it has continuous partial derivatives of the first and second order, and satisfies

$$\triangle g(x, y) = g_{xx}(x, y) + g_{yy}(x, y) = 0 \ \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_R$$

Harmonic Functions

Definition (Harmonic Function)

A function $g : \Omega_R \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be harmonic if it has continuous partial derivatives of the first and second order, and satisfies

$$\triangle g(x, y) = g_{xx}(x, y) + g_{yy}(x, y) = 0 \ \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_R$$

Theorem

If a function $f(z) = u(x, y) + \iota v(x, y)$ is CD in a domain Ω, then u and v are harmonic in D_R.

Summarizing ...

- CD $\implies$ RD.

Summarizing ...

- CD $\implies$ RD.
- RD $\not\Longrightarrow$ CD. CD is a special case of RD.

Summarizing ...

- CD $\implies$ RD.
- RD $\not\Longrightarrow$ CD. CD is a special case of RD.
- $CD \implies CR$.

Summarizing ...

- CD $\implies$ RD.
- RD $\not\Longrightarrow$ CD. CD is a special case of RD.
- $CD \implies CR$.
- $CR \not\Longrightarrow CD$.

Summarizing ...

- CD $\implies$ RD.
- RD $\not\Longrightarrow$ CD. CD is a special case of RD.
- $CD \implies CR$.
- $CR \not\Longrightarrow CD$.
- $(CR + RD) \iff CD$

Table of Contents

Series

Definition (Series)

A *series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n z_n$, for $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Series

Definition (Series)

A *series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n z_n$, for $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. A *series* $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge to L if the *sequence* $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_n$ converges to L.

Series

Definition (Series)

A *series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n z_n$, for $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. A *series* $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge to L if the *sequence* $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_n$ converges to L.
2. Absolute Convergence: A series $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge absolutely if $\sum_n |z_n|$ converges.

Series

Definition (Series)

A *series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n z_n$, for $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. A *series* $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge to L if the *sequence* $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_n$ converges to L.
2. Absolute Convergence: A series $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge absolutely if $\sum_n |z_n|$ converges.
3. **Fact**: Absolute Convergence $\implies$ Convergence.

Series

Definition (Series)

A *series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n z_n$, for $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. A *series* $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge to L if the *sequence* $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} z_n$ converges to L.
2. Absolute Convergence: A series $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge absolutely if $\sum_n |z_n|$ converges.
3. **Fact**: Absolute Convergence $\implies$ Convergence.

Definition (Power Series)

A *power series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n a_n(z - z_0)^n$, for $a_n, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Series

Definition (Series)

A *series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n z_n$, for $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. A *series* $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge to L if the *sequence* $s_n := \sum_{i=0}^n z_n$ converges to L.
2. Absolute Convergence: A series $\sum_n z_n$ is said to converge absolutely if $\sum_n |z_n|$ converges.
3. **Fact**: Absolute Convergence $\implies$ Convergence.

Definition (Power Series)

A *power series* is an expression of the form $\sum_n a_n(z - z_0)^n$, for $a_n, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

The word 'expression' signifies that the series/power series may or may not be meaningful (read convergent).

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

such that $a_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall n$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that *only one* of the following is true

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

such that $a_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall n$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that *only one* of the following is true

1. P converges only at $z = z_0$.

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

such that $a_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall n$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that *only one* of the following is true

1. P converges only at $z = z_0$.
2. P converges at all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

such that $a_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall n$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that *only one* of the following is true

1. P converges only at $z = z_0$.
2. P converges at all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
3. There exists $R \in \mathbb{R}$, $\infty > R > 0$, such that P converges for all $z : |z - z_0| < R$ and diverges for all $z : |z - z_0| > R$.

The Convergence Theorem

Convergence of Power Series

Given the power series,

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

such that $a_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall n$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have that *only one* of the following is true

1. P converges only at $z = z_0$.
2. P converges at all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
3. There exists $R \in \mathbb{R}$, $\infty > R > 0$, such that P converges for all $z : |z - z_0| < R$ and diverges for all $z : |z - z_0| > R$.[a]

Usually, we allow for $R = 0, \infty$ for convenience.

[a] no comments on the boundary!

Follow up theorem

Convergence of Power Series

The *radius of convergence* of a power series as defined before is given as

$$R = \frac{1}{\limsup |a_n|^{1/n}}$$

Follow up theorem

Convergence of Power Series

The *radius of convergence* of a power series as defined before is given as

$$R = \frac{1}{\limsup |a_n|^{1/n}}$$

Herein, we allow for $R = 0, \infty$ by letting $1/0 = \infty, 1/\infty = 0$.

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1} \ldots\} \forall n$$

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1} \dots\} \forall n$$

Define $\limsup x_n := \lim s_n$.

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1} \dots\} \forall n$$

Define $\limsup x_n := \lim s_n$.

Points to be noted,

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1} \dots\} \forall n$$

Define $\limsup x_n := \lim s_n$.

Points to be noted,

- s_n is a **non-increasing** sequence. (Why?)

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1} \dots\} \forall n$$

Define $\limsup x_n := \lim s_n$.

Points to be noted,

- s_n is a **non-increasing** sequence. (Why?)
- Because of that, the limit of s_n always exists (can be $\pm\infty$). (Why?)

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1} \ldots\} \forall n$$

Define $\limsup x_n := \lim s_n$.

Points to be noted,

- s_n is a **non-increasing** sequence. (Why?)
- Because of that, the limit of s_n always exists (can be $\pm\infty$). (Why?)
- Thus, <u>the lim-sup always exists. The limit might not</u>.

What is the limsup?

Definition

limsup For a **real** sequence x_n, define,

$$s_n := \sup\{x_n, x_{n+1}\ldots\}\forall n$$

Define $\limsup x_n := \lim s_n$.

Points to be noted,

- s_n is a **non-increasing** sequence. (Why?)
- Because of that, the limit of s_n always exists (can be $\pm\infty$). (Why?)
- Thus, the lim-sup always exists. The limit might not.
- When the limit exists, the limsup is equal to the limit.

Power series are holomorphic

Theorem

1. The power series $\sum_n a_n(z - z_0)^n$ defines a holomorphic function $f : D(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) := \sum_n a_n(z - z_0)^n$ where R is the RoC.

Power series are holomorphic

Theorem

1. The power series $\sum_n a_n(z-z_0)^n$ defines a holomorphic function $f : D(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) := \sum_n a_n(z-z_0)^n$ where R is the RoC.
2. The derivative of f is given by term by term differentiation of the power series. Further, it has the same RoC as of the power series defining f.

Power series are holomorphic

Theorem

1. The power series $\sum_n a_n(z - z_0)^n$ defines a holomorphic function $f : D(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) := \sum_n a_n(z - z_0)^n$ where R is the RoC.
2. The derivative of f is given by term by term differentiation of the power series. Further, it has the same RoC as of the power series defining f.
3. Thus, power series are infinitely differentiable in their disc of convergence.

Power series are holomorphic

Theorem

1. The power series $\sum_n a_n(z-z_0)^n$ defines a holomorphic function $f : D(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(z) := \sum_n a_n(z-z_0)^n$ where R is the RoC.
2. The derivative of f is given by term by term differentiation of the power series. Further, it has the same RoC as of the power series defining f.
3. Thus, power series are infinitely differentiable in their disc of convergence.

This leads to the statement

$$\textbf{Analytic} \implies \textbf{Holomorphic}$$

Questions

Questions?

Questions?

1. [2020 Quiz] RoC of

$$\sum_n (2 + (-1)^n)^n (z+1)^{n^2}$$

Questions

Questions?

1. [2020 Quiz] RoC of

$$\sum_{n} (2 + (-1)^n)^n (z+1)^{n^2}$$

Answer: 1

Questions

Questions?

1. [2020 Quiz] RoC of

$$\sum_n (2+(-1)^n)^n (z+1)^{n^2}$$

   Answer: 1

2. [2020 Endsem] RoC of

$$\sum_n \frac{(-1)^n z^{n^2}}{n!}$$

Questions

Questions?

1. [2020 Quiz] RoC of

$$\sum_n (2 + (-1)^n)^n (z+1)^{n^2}$$

Answer: 1

2. [2020 Endsem] RoC of

$$\sum_n \frac{(-1)^n z^{n^2}}{n!}$$

Answer: 1

Questions

Questions?

1. [2020 Quiz] RoC of

$$\sum_n (2 + (-1)^n)^n (z+1)^{n^2}$$

   Answer: 1

2. [2020 Endsem] RoC of

$$\sum_n \frac{(-1)^n z^{n^2}}{n!}$$

   Answer: 1

Checking Convergence

Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT)

For a **real** sequence x_n, we have that if x_n is monotone and bounded, then it converges.

Checking Convergence

Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT)

For a **real** sequence x_n, we have that if x_n is monotone and bounded, then it converges.

Let $\sum_n z_n$ be a complex series. Note the following,

Checking Convergence

Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT)

For a **real** sequence x_n, we have that if x_n is monotone and bounded, then it converges.

Let $\sum_n z_n$ be a complex series. Note the following,

1. **Necessary Condition for Convergence** If $\sum_n z_n$ converges, then $|z_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Checking Convergence

Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT)

For a **real** sequence x_n, we have that if x_n is monotone and bounded, then it converges.

Let $\sum_n z_n$ be a complex series. Note the following,

1. **Necessary Condition for Convergence** If $\sum_n z_n$ converges, then $|z_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. (Recall the tutorial question about $\sum nz^n$)
2. **Necessary & Sufficient Condition for Convergence** Note that $|z_n| \geq 0$ (thus $s_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} |z_k|$ is monotonic increasing), we have that $\sum_n |z_n|$ converges iff $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} |z_k|$ is bounded above. (follows from the MCT, recall the tutorial question about $\sum z^n/n^2$)

Table of Contents

Integration Along Curves

Definition (Curve)

A curve in $\mathbb{C}$ is an infinitely differentiable (smooth) map $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$.

Integration Along Curves

Definition (Curve)

A curve in $\mathbb{C}$ is an infinitely differentiable (smooth) map $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$.

- We have, that

$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz := \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt$$

Integration Along Curves

Definition (Curve)

A curve in $\mathbb{C}$ is an infinitely differentiable (smooth) map $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$.

- We have, that
$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz := \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt$$

- $|\int_\gamma f(z)dz| \leq \max_{z \in \mathrm{Image}(\gamma)} |f(z)| \cdot \mathrm{length}(\gamma)$

Integration Along Curves

Definition (Curve)

A curve in $\mathbb{C}$ is an infinitely differentiable (smooth) map $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$.

- We have, that
$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz := \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt$$
- $\left| \int_\gamma f(z)dz \right| \leq \max_{z \in \mathrm{Image}(\gamma)} |f(z)| \cdot \mathrm{length}(\gamma)$
- If f is holomorphic on an open set containing $\mathrm{Image}(\gamma)$, then,

Integration Along Curves

Definition (Curve)

A curve in $\mathbb{C}$ is an infinitely differentiable (smooth) map $\gamma : [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$.

- We have, that
$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz := \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt$$
- $\left|\int_\gamma f(z)dz\right| \leq \max_{z \in \text{Image}(\gamma)} |f(z)| \cdot \text{length}(\gamma)$
- If f is holomorphic on an open set containing Image(γ), then,
$$\int_\gamma f'(z)dz = f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a))$$

Primitives etc.

Definition (Primitives)

A holomorphic function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to admit a primitive F in Ω if $F'(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Primitives etc.

Definition (Primitives)

A holomorphic function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to admit a primitive F in Ω if $F'(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Theorem

If γ is a closed curve in an open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ has a primitive in Ω, then,

$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$$

Primitives etc.

Definition (Primitives)

A holomorphic function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to admit a primitive F in Ω if $F'(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Theorem

If γ is a closed curve in an open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ has a primitive in Ω, then,

$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$$

Follows that $f(z) := 1/z$ does not have a primitive in $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Primitives etc.

Definition (Primitives)

A holomorphic function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to admit a primitive F in Ω if $F'(z) = f(z)$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Theorem

If γ is a closed curve in an open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ has a primitive in Ω, then,

$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$$

Follows that $f(z) := 1/z$ does not have a primitive in $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Theorem

If f is holomorphic in a domain (thus, connected), and $f' \equiv 0$ in that region, then f is a constant.

The Cauchy Theorem(s)

Cauchy Integral Theorem

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f(z)dz = 0$$

The Cauchy Theorem(s)

Cauchy Integral Theorem

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f(z)dz = 0$$

Cauchy Integral Formula

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then for all $z \in \Omega$, we have,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{\eta - z} d\eta$$

The Cauchy Theorem(s)

Cauchy Integral Theorem

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f(z)dz = 0$$

Cauchy Integral Formula

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then for all $z \in \Omega$, we have,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{\eta - z} d\eta$$

Note that, in these theorems we are dealing with $\partial\Omega$ being traversed anticlockwise.

The Cauchy Theorem(s)

Cauchy Integral Theorem

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f(z)dz = 0$$

Cauchy Integral Formula

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}$ with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is holomorphic on Ω. Then for all $z \in \Omega$, we have,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{\eta - z} d\eta$$

Note that, in these theorems we are dealing with $\partial\Omega$ being traversed anticlockwise. Also, we do *not* need $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, as holomorphicity of f guarantees holomorphicity and thus continuity of f'.

Another way

Another way to state the CIT, which can avoid possible mistakes.

Another way

Another way to state the CIT, which can avoid possible mistakes.

CIT - Aliter

If $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Ω is a **simply connected** domain, then for every closed piecewise smooth curve γ within Ω we have,

$$\int_\gamma f(z)dz = 0$$

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^z \sin(z) - z}{z^2 \cos(z)} dz$$

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^z \sin(z) - z}{z^2 \cos(z)} dz$$

Answer: 0

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^z \sin(z) - z}{z^2 \cos(z)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

2. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{z}{(z-3)^2(z-1)} dz$$

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^{z}\sin(z) - z}{z^{2}\cos(z)}\,dz$$

   Answer: 0

2. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{z}{(z-3)^{2}(z-1)}\,dz$$

   Answer: 0

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^z \sin(z) - z}{z^2 \cos(z)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

2. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{z}{(z-3)^2(z-1)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

3. [Slides]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{e^z}{z^2(z-1)} dz$$

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^z \sin(z) - z}{z^2 \cos(z)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

2. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{z}{(z-3)^2(z-1)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

3. [Slides]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{e^z}{z^2(z-1)} dz$$

   Answer:

Questions

1. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^z \sin(z) - z}{z^2 \cos(z)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

2. [2020 Quiz]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{z}{(z-3)^2(z-1)} dz$$

   Answer: 0

3. [Slides]

$$\int_{|z|=5} \frac{e^z}{z^2(z-1)} dz$$

   Answer: $2\pi\iota(e-2)$.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Strong Regularity** If f is holomorphic at some z_0, then the derivatives of all orders are holomorphic at that point.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Strong Regularity** If f is holomorphic at some z_0, then the derivatives of all orders are holomorphic at that point. Further, we have
$$f^{(n)}(z_0) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota}\int_{D(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\eta)}{((\eta - -z_0)^{n+1}} d\eta$$
for some small r.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Holomorphic $\implies$ Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Holomorphic** $\implies$ **Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r. Where,

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Holomorphic** $\implies$ **Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r. Where,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{D(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z_0)^{n+1} d\eta}$$

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Holomorphic $\implies$ Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r. Where,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{D(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z_0)^{n+1} d\eta}$$

  Note that any r s.t. $D(z_0, r)$ is contained in the region of holomorphicity gives the same a_n. This means that an entire function has RoC $= \infty$ when expanded about any point as a power series.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Holomorphic $\implies$ Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r. Where,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{D(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z_0)^{n+1} d\eta}$$

Note that any r s.t. $D(z_0, r)$ is contained in the region of holomorphicity gives the same a_n. This means that an entire function has RoC $= \infty$ when expanded about any point as a power series. Also, we had previously seen that power series are holomorphic in their region of convergence.

- **Holomorphic $\implies$ Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r. Where,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{D(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z_0)^{n+1} d\eta}$$

Note that any r s.t. $D(z_0, r)$ is contained in the region of holomorphicity gives the same a_n. This means that an entire function has RoC $= \infty$ when expanded about any point as a power series. Also, we had previously seen that power series are holomorphic in their region of convergence. Thus, Analytic $\implies$ Holomorphic.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- **Holomorphic $\implies$ Analytic**. If f is holomorphic at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z-z_0)^n$ for all $z : |z - z_0| < r$ for some small r. Where,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{D(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z_0)^{n+1} d\eta}$$

Note that any r s.t. $D(z_0, r)$ is contained in the region of holomorphicity gives the same a_n. This means that an entire function has RoC $= \infty$ when expanded about any point as a power series. Also, we had previously seen that power series are holomorphic in their region of convergence. Thus, Analytic $\implies$ Holomorphic. Hence we have the statement,

Holomorphic $\Leftrightarrow$ Analytic

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z)^{n+1}} d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z)^{n+1}} d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta-z)^{n+1}}d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

$$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| \leq \frac{n!M_R}{R^n} \textbf{ Cauchy's Estimate}$$

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta-z)^{n+1}}d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

$$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| \leq \frac{n!M_R}{R^n} \quad \textbf{Cauchy's Estimate}$$

if f is holomorphic on a open set containing $D(z_0, R)$ and $M_R = \max\{|f(z)| : |z - z_0| = R\}$

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z)^{n+1}} d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

$$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| \leq \frac{n! M_R}{R^n} \textbf{ Cauchy's Estimate}$$

  if f is holomorphic on a open set containing $D(z_0, R)$ and $M_R = \max\{|f(z)| : |z - z_0| = R\}$
- **Louiville's Theorem**: A bounded above entire function is a constant.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta - z)^{n+1}} d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

  $$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| \leq \frac{n! M_R}{R^n} \textbf{ Cauchy's Estimate}$$

  if f is holomorphic on a open set containing $D(z_0, R)$ and $M_R = \max\{|f(z)| : |z - z_0| = R\}$
- **Louiville's Theorem**: A bounded above entire function is a constant.
- **FTC**: A non-constant complex polynomial has atleast one root in $\mathbb{C}$.

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta-z)^{n+1}} d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

$$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| \leq \frac{n! M_R}{R^n} \textbf{ Cauchy's Estimate}$$

  if f is holomorphic on a open set containing $D(z_0, R)$ and $M_R = \max\{|f(z)| : |z - z_0| = R\}$
- **Louiville's Theorem**: A bounded above entire function is a constant.
- **FTC**: A non-constant complex polynomial has atleast one root in $\mathbb{C}$.
- **Mean Value Property**: If f is holomorphic on Ω and $D(z_0, r) \subset \Omega$, then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{\iota t}) dt$$

Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem(s)

- $f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi\iota}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{f(\eta)}{(\eta-z)^{n+1}}d\eta$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Particularly,

  $$|f^{(n)}(z_0)| \leq \frac{n! M_R}{R^n} \textbf{ Cauchy's Estimate}$$

  if f is holomorphic on a open set containing $D(z_0, R)$ and $M_R = \max\{|f(z)| : |z - z_0| = R\}$
- **Louiville's Theorem**: A bounded above entire function is a constant.
- **FTC**: A non-constant complex polynomial has atleast one root in $\mathbb{C}$.
- **Mean Value Property**: If f is holomorphic on Ω and $D(z_0, r) \subset \Omega$, then,

  $$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{\iota t})dt$$

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$.

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

- **Isolated Zeros**: Zeros of a non constant analytic function on a domain Ω are *isolated*. Formally, the set of zeros do not have a limit point.

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

- **Isolated Zeros**: Zeros of a non constant analytic function on a domain Ω are *isolated*. Formally, the set of zeros do not have a limit point.
- **Vanishing Behaviour**

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

- **Isolated Zeros**: Zeros of a non constant analytic function on a domain Ω are *isolated*. Formally, the set of zeros do not have a limit point.
- **Vanishing Behaviour**
  1. Vanishes at a sequence of points with a limit point in $\Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

- **Isolated Zeros**: Zeros of a non constant analytic function on a domain Ω are *isolated*. Formally, the set of zeros do not have a limit point.
- **Vanishing Behaviour**
  1. Vanishes at a sequence of points with a limit point in $\Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.
  2. Vanishes on an open subset $A \subset \Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

- **Isolated Zeros**: Zeros of a non constant analytic function on a domain Ω are *isolated*. Formally, the set of zeros do not have a limit point.
- **Vanishing Behaviour**
  1. Vanishes at a sequence of points with a limit point in $\Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.
  2. Vanishes on an open subset $A \subset \Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.
  3. $f^{(n)}(z_0) = 0 \forall n$ for some $z_0 \in \Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.

Zeros of Analytic Functions

Theorem

Suppose $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, where Ω is a domain. Further suppose $f(z_0) = 0$. Then, we have

1. $f \equiv 0$ on Ω, or,
2. $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g(z_0) \neq 0$ and $f(z) = (z - z_0)^m g(z)$ in $D(r, z_0)$ for some small r.

- **Isolated Zeros**: Zeros of a non constant analytic function on a domain Ω are *isolated*. Formally, the set of zeros do not have a limit point.
- **Vanishing Behaviour**
  1. Vanishes at a sequence of points with a limit point in $\Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.
  2. Vanishes on an open subset $A \subset \Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.
  3. $f^{(n)}(z_0) = 0 \forall n$ for some $z_0 \in \Omega \implies f \equiv 0$ on Ω.
- **Identity Principle**: If f, g holomorphic agree on a 'suitable' set of points, then $f \equiv g$ on Ω.

Questions

1. [2020 Endsem] Comment on the topology of the set of zeros of an entire function.

Questions

1. [2020 Endsem] Comment on the topology of the set of zeros of an entire function.
2. [2020 Endsem] A holomorphic function $f = u + \iota v$ defined on a non-empty domain satisfies $v^2 = u^3$ at all points in the domain.

Questions

1. [2020 Endsem] Comment on the topology of the set of zeros of an entire function.
2. [2020 Endsem] A holomorphic function $f = u + \iota v$ defined on a non-empty domain satisfies $v^2 = u^3$ at all points in the domain.
3. [2020 Endsem] Extensions of real analytic functions onto $\mathbb{C}$.

Questions

1. [2020 Endsem] Comment on the topology of the set of zeros of an entire function.
2. [2020 Endsem] A holomorphic function $f = u + \iota v$ defined on a non-empty domain satisfies $v^2 = u^3$ at all points in the domain.
3. [2020 Endsem] Extensions of real analytic functions onto $\mathbb{C}$. Use $f(x) := 1/(1 + x^2)$

Questions

1. [2020 Endsem] Comment on the topology of the set of zeros of an entire function.
2. [2020 Endsem] A holomorphic function $f = u + \iota v$ defined on a non-empty domain satisfies $v^2 = u^3$ at all points in the domain.
3. [2020 Endsem] Extensions of real analytic functions onto $\mathbb{C}$. Use $f(x) := 1/(1 + x^2)$
4. [∫(͡° ͜ʖ ͡°)dx] Consider the RHCP $\{z | Re(z) > 0\}$. Can we have a holomorphic function on this set, which vanishes on $\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Does this not contradict the theorems we have seen?

And that's a wrap!

Thank you! All the best for the quiz.