

Let $(z_n)_n$ be a sequence in \mathbb{C} .
w/ $z_n \in \mathbb{C} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

1) $(z_n)_n$ is said to be convergent if

$\exists l \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ s.t.}$

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t.}$

$|z_n - l| < \epsilon$ whenever $n > N$.

2) $(z_n)_n$ is said to be Cauchy if

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t.}$

$\forall n, m > N$ we have $|z_n - z_m| < \epsilon$.

fact: Convergent \Rightarrow Cauchy

let (z_n) be conv. $\rightarrow L$
i.e., $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbit.

let $\epsilon_1 := \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$. By conv,

we have $\exists N \equiv N(\epsilon_1)$ s.t.

$\forall n > N$ we have $|z_n - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

now let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$n, m > N$.

then,

$$|z_n - z_m| = |(z_n - L) + (L - z_m)|$$

|| (how)

$$|z_n - L| + |z_m - L|$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{(how)}$$

\Rightarrow for arbit. $\epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$
s.t.

$$|z_n - z_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \\ n, m > N.$$

Thus, $\{2^n\}$ is Cauchy.

Fact: Cauchy \Rightarrow Conv. **IN \mathbb{C}** .
(and in any "complete" space, by def.)
!Q., \mathbb{C} is said to be "complete".

There can be "spaces" where a Cauchy seq. doesn't converge.

es. $(X, d) = ((0, 1), |\cdot|)$ $\xrightarrow{\text{usual IR distance}}$

Consider $z_n = \frac{1}{n}$, clearly does not

converge in X . But one can show that it is Cauchy in X . (PTU)

Def: A space in this context is any set X with a distance notion d .
es. $(X, d) = (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$ or $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$

Pf: $(\frac{1}{n})_n$ is Cauchy.
 $2n = \frac{1}{n}$

let $\varepsilon > 0$.
 $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|2n - 2m| = \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| = \left| \frac{n-m}{nm} \right|$$

\wedge
 $\left| \frac{1}{mn} \right|$

let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $N > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$.

now, if $n, m > N$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{nm} < \frac{1}{N^2} < \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{mn} \right| < \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |2n - 2m| \leq \left| \frac{1}{mn} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

\therefore Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we were able to find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

∴ Candy



Now,

1) is $[0, 1)$ complete?

2) is $(0, 1]$ complete?

3) is $[0, 1]$ complete?