

def (Neighborhood)let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ Define, $N_\delta(a) := \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |a-x| < \delta\}$ This is called "an open ball of radius δ " or "a neighborhood".def (Interior point)let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ A point $a \in A$ is called an interior point of A if,
 $\exists \delta > 0$, s.t. $N_\delta(a) \subset A$ def (Limit point)let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ A point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a limit point of A , if,
 $\forall \delta > 0$, $\exists x \in A$, $x \neq a$, s.t.
 $x \in N_\delta(a)$ Def (closed) $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^n if all limit points of A are in A .Def (open) $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n if all points of A are interior points.fact #1 $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^n \iff A^c$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n
(where, A^c is the complement of A)Q

- i) What are the limit points of
- a) $(0,1)$
 - b) $[0,1)$
 - c) $(1,0]$
 - d) $[1,0]$

- ii) let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Can A be
- a) both open and closed
 - b) neither open nor closed
- Choose suitable $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and give an example for both.

hint: can go back to i) for some part of ii)

- iii) Try to prove the fact #1

iv) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0$
 Show that $N_\delta(a)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n .

Now, as it happens, the notions of "open" and "closed" sets can be extended to more general situations.

Much like \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the 'distance' $\|\cdot\|$, we can have any set X with some notion of distance d , and we call (X, d) a **metric space** if certain conditions are satisfied (google!).

(there are even more general definitions, related to topology)

Now, note the ' $\text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$ ' highlighted above. We can talk about a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ being open or closed in some other subset B of \mathbb{R}^n , by suitably modifying the definitions above. (note: to talk about A being open/closed in B , we need A to be a subset of B)

Here we have another fact:

fact #2 Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. A subset A of B is open in B **iff** (if and only if -- i.e., double implication)
 $A = B \cap M$ for some $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, s.t. M is open in \mathbb{R}^n .

given fact#2, can you show that for a set to be open in an open set (the second one being open in \mathbb{R}^n), we need the former to also be open in \mathbb{R}^n ?

Given this, how might we reformulate the definition below, such that we do not use U_1 and U_2 ?

Definition: A subset D of \mathbb{R}^n is called **connected** if it cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty subsets $D_1 \cup D_2$, with $D_1 = D \cap U_1$ and $D_2 = D \cap U_2$, where U_1 and U_2 are open sets.

(now recall the D_1 and D_2 I defined in the recap pertaining to the unit disk - see that one of them is open in D , the other is not)