# CS 6363.004 Algorithms: Programming Project

Siddhant Sahu

April 1, 2018

### 1 Finding Maximum Profit

#### 1.1 Recurrence

We define m(i, w) to be the maximum profit that can be generated using the first i items and w units of gold, where i and w are both non-negative integers. Clearly, the jeweler can't make any profit with 0 items. So, m(0, w) = 0. When the jeweler is unable to meet the minimum quantity  $(k < n_i)$  according to the contract, a fine  $\min(c_i, f_i \cdot (n_i - k))$  is levied. The recurrence for finding maximum profit is:

$$m(i, w) = \begin{cases} \max(m(i - 1, w - k \cdot w_i) + k \cdot p_i - \min(c_i, f_i \cdot (n_i - k))) & \text{if } 0 \le k < n_i \\ \max(m(i - 1, w - k \cdot w_i) + k \cdot p_i) & \text{if } n_i \le k \le x_i \end{cases}$$

where k represents the quantity (i.e. number of copies) of item i.

$$0 \le k \le min\left(x_i, \left\lfloor \frac{w}{w_i} \right\rfloor\right)$$

#### 1.2 Proof of correctness

**Feasibility** Proof by induction on i. We claim that there exists a solution for m(i, w).

Basis Step: Let i = 0. We can not make any profit with 0 items. Thus, m(0, w) = 0, which is correct. This establishes the basis step.

Inductive Step: For any (i, w) and  $0 \le k \le min\left(x_i, \left\lfloor \frac{w}{w_i} \right\rfloor\right)$ , we have two cases as mentioned in the recursion. In both cases, we compute  $m(i-1, w-k\cdot w_i)$ . Since, i-1 < i, by induction hypothesis,  $m(i-1, w-k\cdot w_i)$  is feasible. This completes the inductive step and therefore, the feasibility proof.

**Optimality** Let Opt(i, w) be an optimal solution for the problem by using the first i items and w units of gold. We claim that  $m(i, w) \ge Opt(i, w)$ . Proof by induction on i.

Basis Step: Let i = 0. We can not make any profit with 0 items. Thus, m(0, w) = 0 = Opt(0, w), which is correct.

Inductive Step: Consider i > 0 and  $0 \le k \le min\left(x_i, \left|\frac{w}{w_i}\right|\right)$ . We have two cases here.

• Case 1:  $0 \le k < n_i$ , i.e. the jeweler has to pay a fine. Suppose Opt(i, w) selects k' quantities of item i. Thus,  $Opt(i, w) = Opt(i - 1, w - k' \cdot w_i) + k' \cdot p_i - \min(c_i, f_i \cdot (n_i - k'))$ 

$$\begin{split} m(i,w) &= \max_{0 \leq k \leq \min\left(x_{i}, \left\lfloor \frac{w}{w_{i}} \right\rfloor\right)} \left( m(i-1, w-k \cdot w_{i}) + k \cdot p_{i} - \min(c_{i}, f_{i} \cdot (n_{i}-k)) \right) \\ &\geq m(i-1, w-k' \cdot w_{i}) + k' \cdot p_{i} - \min(c_{i}, f_{i} \cdot (n_{i}-k')) \\ &\geq Opt(i-1, w-k' \cdot w_{i}) + k' \cdot p_{i} - \min(c_{i}, f_{i} \cdot (n_{i}-k')) \\ &\geq Opt(i, w) \end{split}$$

Since i-1 < i,  $m(i-1, w-k' \cdot w_i) \ge Opt(i-1, w-k' \cdot w_i)$  by induction hypothesis.

• Case 2:  $n_i \leq k \leq x_i$ . Proof is almost the same as case 1, without the fines.

This completes the proof for optimality.

#### 1.3 Pseudocode

The input to MAX-PROFIT-CALCULATOR is the total units of gold available W and a list of items *items* with attributes w, p, n, x, f and c. Attribute naming convention is consistent with the problem description.

```
MAX-PROFIT-CALCULATOR (W, items)
 1 \quad N = items.length
    let m[0...N, 0...W] be a new table
    for w = 0 to W
 3
         m[0, w] = 0
 4
    for i = 1 to N
 5
         for w = 1 to W
 6
 7
              m[i, w] = -\infty
               quantity = \min(items[i].x, |w/items[i].w|)
 8
 9
              for k = 0 to quantity
                    q = m[i-1, w-k \cdot items[i], w] + k \cdot items[i], p
10
11
                    if k < items[i]. n
                         q = q - \min(items[i].c, items[i].f \cdot (items[i].n - k))
12
                   if q > m[i, w]
13
                         m[i,w]=q
14
15
    return m
```

Maximum profit is m[N, W]. A quick inspection tells us the running time is O(kNW), where k is the maximum value in x[1..n]. If k = N, running time is  $O(N^2W)$ .

## 2 Counting Number of Solutions

#### 2.1 Recurrence

We define c(i, w) to be the number of solutions that generate the maximum profit m(i, w). With 0 items, the solution set is empty. With one item, there is exactly one solution. Thus, the recurrence is:

$$c(i, w) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in \operatorname{argmax} m(i, w)} c(i - 1, w - k \cdot w_i) & \text{if } i \ge 2\\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

#### 2.2 Pseudocode

The input to Count-Solutions is m, the table returned from Max-Profit-Calculator, W and a list of items.

Count-Solutions(m, W, items)

```
N = items.length
    let c[0...N, 0...W] be a new table
 3
    for w = 0 to W
 4
          c[0, w] = 0
    for w = 0 to W
 5
          c[1, w] = 1
 6
 7
    for i = 2 to N
 8
          for w = 1 to W
 9
               quantity = \min(items[i].x, |w/items[i].w|)
               for k = 0 to quantity
10
                    q = m[i-1, w-k \cdot items[i], w] + k \cdot items[i], p
11
12
                    if k < items[i]. n
13
                          q = q - \min(items[i].c, items[i].f \cdot (items[i].n - k))
14
                    if q == m[i, w]
                         c[i, w] = c[i, w] + c[i - 1, w - k \cdot items[i], w]
15
16
    return c
```

Total number of solutions to the problem is c[N, W]. The running time is same as MAX-PROFIT-CALCULATOR, i.e. O(kNW) or  $O(N^2W)$  if k=N.