## Homework 1

## Siddhartha Parupudi

## November 2023

**2.1** Let  $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  be a convex set, with  $x_1, ..., x_k \in C$ , and let  $\theta_1, ..., \theta_k \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfy  $\theta_i \geq 0$ ,  $\theta_1 + ... + \theta_k = 1$ . Show that  $\theta_1 x_1 + ... + \theta_k x_k \in C$ . (The definition of convexity is that this holds for k = 2; you must show it for arbitrary k.) Hint. Use induction on k.

**Solution :** Base case: k = 2.

From definition of convexity,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  (a convex set), any convex combination of  $x_1, x_2$  also lies in  $\mathcal{C}$  i.e  $\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 1$  Therefore, Base case is true.

Induction Hypothesis:

With  $x_1, ..., x_k \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

Let  $\theta_1 x_1 + ... + \theta_k x_k \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $\theta_1 + ... + \theta_k = 1$  be true.

Induction Step: For k+1, For  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

Consider  $\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_k x_k + \theta_{k+1} x_{k+1}$  with  $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_{k+1} = 1$ .

$$\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k = 1 - \theta_{k+1} \frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta_{k+1}} + \dots + \frac{\theta_k}{1 - \theta_{k+1}} = 1$$

Therefore, from Induction Hypothesis,  $\frac{\theta_1}{1-\theta_{k+1}}x_1+\ldots+\frac{\theta_k}{1-\theta_{k+1}}x_k\in\mathcal{C}$  Therefore,

$$\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_k x_k + \theta_{k+1} x_{k+1} = (1 - \theta_{k+1}) \left( \frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta_{k+1}} x_1 + \dots + \frac{\theta_k}{1 - \theta_{k+1}} x_k \right) + \theta_{k+1} x_{k+1}$$

which  $\in \mathcal{C}$  from the definition of convexity.

**2.9** Voronoi sets and polyhedral decomposition. Let  $x_0, ..., x_K \in \mathbf{R^n}$  be distinct. Consider the set of points that are closer (in Euclidean norm) to  $x_0$  than the other  $x_i$ , i.e.,

$$V = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||x - x_i||_2, i = 1, ..., K\}.$$

V is called the Voronoi region around  $x_0$  with respect to  $x_1, ..., x_K$ 

1

(a) Show that V is a polyhedron. Express V in the form  $V = \{x \mid Ax \leq b\}$ .

**Solution** The point x will be closer to  $x_0$  than  $x_i$  in the Euclidean sense if it is inside the hyperplane separating  $x_0$  and  $x_i$  closer to  $x_0$ .

The normal vector for the hyperplane is  $(x_i - x_0)$  and the hyperplane passes through  $(x_0 + x_i)/2$ , their midpoint.

Therefore, the equation of the hyperplane is:

$$(x_i - x_0)^T x = (x_i - x_0)^T (x_i + x_0)/2$$

Therefore the *Voronoi region around*  $x_0$  w.r.t  $x_1, ..., x_K$  can also be described as:

Hence V is a polyhedron.

(b) Conversely, given a polyhedron P with nonempty interior, show how to find  $x_0, ..., x_K$  so that the polyhedron is the Voronoi region of  $x_0$  with respect to  $x_1, ..., x_K$ .

**Solution** In the previous problem, we proved that a given Voronoi region is a polyhedron and described it as a set of finitely many linear inequalities.

Now, given a polyhedron P with non-empty interior, we can express that polyhedron as a solution set of finitely many linear inequalities as well. We now compare the coefficients of the 2 forms and equate them.

Let the matrix A obtained from the polyhedron P be:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} - & (a_1)^T - & b_1 \\ & & \\ & & \\ & - & (a_K)^T - & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ & \\ & \\ b_K \end{bmatrix}$$

by equating coefficients, we get:

$$x_i = a_i + x_0, b_i = (x_i^T x_i - x_0^T x_0)/2$$

Substituting  $x_i$ , we get (K equations but we have n variables in  $x_0$ ):

$$2b_i - a_i^T a_i = 2a_i^T x_0$$

If  $K \leq n$ , we will have a few free variables and can choose coordinate of  $x_0$  freely by ensuring that the conditions are satisfied.

If  $K \geq n$ , we will have to find  $x_0$  by solving all the equations and check for consistency as well. Once we have  $x_0$ , we can obtain all remaining  $x_i's$  by substituting  $x_0$  in  $x_i = a_i + x_0$ .

(c) We can also consider the sets

$$V_k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid ||x - x_k||_2 \le ||x - x_i||_2, i \ne k\}$$

The set  $V_k$  consists of points in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  for which the closest point in the set  $x_0, ..., x_K$  is  $x_k$ .

The sets  $V_0, ..., V_K$  give a polyhedral decomposition of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . More precisely, the sets  $V_k$  are polyhedra with nonempty interior,

 $\bigcup_{k=0}^{K} V_k = \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{int} V_i \cap \mathbf{int} V_j = \phi$  for  $i \neq j$ , i.e.,  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  intersect at most along a boundary.

Suppose that  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$  are polyhedra with nonempty interior such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m P_i = \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{int} P_i \cap \mathbf{int} P_j = \phi$  for  $i \neq j$ . Can this polyhedral decomposition of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  be described as the Voronoi regions generated by an appropriate set of points?

Solution With just one polyhedron, we were able to calculate coordinates of all the points for the corresponding Voronoi regions (if the system of equations so obtained were consistent). Now we repeat the same procedure for all the polyhedrons and obtain m set of coordinates. If all are the same, we can break it down into a set of Voronoi regions, else, we cannot.

**2.12** Which of the following sets are convex?

(a) A slab ,i.e.,a set of the form  $A = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \alpha \leq a^T x \leq \beta\}$ .

**Solution** Consider 2 points  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ .

Consider their convex combination

$$\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \ge \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\alpha$$

$$\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \le \lambda \beta + (1 - \lambda)\beta$$

Therefore  $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in A$ . Thus, a slab is a convex set.

(b) A rectangle, i.e., a set of the form  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \alpha_i \leq x_i \leq \beta_i, i = 1, ..., n\}$ . A rectangle is sometimes called a hyperrectangle when n > 2.

**Solution** Similar to (a), we can prove that a convex combination of points also lies in the set (bound the value of each coordinate in the convex combination) and conclude that a rectangle is a convex set.

(c) A wedge, i.e.,  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid a_1^T x \le b_1, a_2^T x \le b_2\}.$ 

Solution Same procedure as (a)

(d) The set of points closer to a given point than a given set, i.e.,

$$\{x \mid ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||x - y||_2 \forall y \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

where  $S \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ .

Solution We can express this set as

$$\bigcap_{y \in \mathcal{S}} \{ x \mid ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||x - y||_2 \}$$

which is an intersection of halfspaces. (Voronoi description of a halfspace). Hence it is convex.

(e) The set of points closer to one set than another, i.e.,

$$\{x \mid \mathbf{dist}(x, \mathcal{S}) \leq \mathbf{dist}(x, \mathcal{T})\}\$$

where  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ , and

$$\mathbf{dist}(x,\mathcal{S}) = \inf\{||x - z||_2 \mid z \in \mathcal{S}\}\$$

**Solution** This set is not convex.

Consider  $S = (-\infty, -1) \bigcup (1, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{T} = (-1/2, 1/2)$ 

(f) The set  $\{x \mid x + S_2 \subseteq S_1\}$ , where  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $S_1$  convex.

Solution  $\{x \mid x+S_2 \subseteq S_1\} = \bigcup_{y \in S_2} \{x \mid x+y \in S_1\} = \bigcup_{y \in S_2} (S_1-y)$  An intersection of convex sets.

(g) The set of points whose distance to a does not exceed a fixed fraction  $\theta$  of the distance to b, *i.e.*, the set  $\{x \mid ||x-a||_2 \leq \theta ||x-b||_2\}$ . You can assume  $a \neq b$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

**Solution** The given set is a ball. (a halfspace for  $\theta = 1$ .

$$\{x \mid ||x - a||_2 \le \theta ||x - b||_2 \}$$

$$= \{x \mid ||x - a||_2^2 \le \theta ||x - b||_2^2 \}$$

$$= \{x \mid (1 - \theta^2)x^Tx - 2(a - \theta^2b)^Tx + (a^Ta - \theta^2b^Tb) \le 0 \}$$

$$= \{x \mid (x - x_0)^T(x - x_0) \le R^2 \}$$

where,

$$x_0 = \frac{a - \theta^2 b}{1 - \theta^2}, R = (||x_0||_2^2 + \frac{\theta^2 ||b||_2^2 - ||a||_2^2}{1 - \theta^2})^{1/2}$$

- **2.15** Some sets of probability distributions. Let x be a real-valued random variable with  $\mathbf{prob}(x=a_i)=p_i, i=1,...,n$ , where  $a_1 < a_2 < ... < a_n$ . Of course  $p \in \mathbf{R}^n$  lies in the standard probability simplex  $P = \{p | \mathbf{1}^T p = 1, p \succeq 0\}$ . Which of the following conditions are convex in p? (That is, for which of the following conditions is the set of  $p \in P$  that satisfy the condition convex?)
  - (a)  $\alpha \leq \mathbf{E}(f(x)) \leq \beta$
  - **(b)**  $P(x > \alpha) \le \beta$

- (c)  $\mathbf{E}(|x^3|) \le \alpha \mathbf{E}(|x|)$
- (d)  $E(x^2) \le \alpha$
- (e)  $E(x^2) \ge \alpha$

**Solution** All of the above are linear inequalities in P, hence are convex.

- (f)  $Var(x) \leq \alpha$
- **Solution** not convex in general. As a counterexample, we can take  $n=2, a_1=0, a_2=1,$  and  $\alpha=1/5.$  p=(1,0) and p=(0,1) are two points that satisfy  $\mathbf{Var}(x) \leq \alpha$ , but the convex combination p=(1/2,1/2) does not.
  - (g)  $Var(x) \ge \alpha$

**Solution** The condition is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i a_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i a_i)^2 \ge \alpha$$

$$= b^T p - p^T A p \geq \alpha$$

where  $(b_i = a_i^2, A = aa^T \succeq 0)$ 

Let  $p_1, p_2$  satisfy the above condition. Consider their convex combination  $\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2$ .

$$b^{T}(\lambda p_{1} + (1 - \lambda)p_{2}) - (\lambda p_{1} + (1 - \lambda)p_{2})^{T}A(\lambda p_{1} + (1 - \lambda)p_{2})$$

$$= \lambda b^{T}p_{1} + (1 - \lambda)b^{T}p_{2} - \lambda^{2}p_{1}^{T}Ap_{1} - (1 - \lambda)^{2}p_{2}^{T}Ap_{2} - \lambda(1 - \lambda)(p_{1}^{T}Ap_{2} + p_{2}^{T}Ap_{1})$$

$$\geq \lambda(1 - \lambda)(b^{T}p_{1} + b^{T}p_{2} - p_{1}^{T}Ap_{2} - p_{2}^{T}Ap_{1}) + (1 + 2\lambda^{2} - 2\lambda)\alpha$$

$$\geq \alpha + \lambda(1 - \lambda)(b^{T}p_{1} + b^{T}p_{2} - p_{1}^{T}Ap_{2} - p_{2}^{T}Ap_{1} - 2\alpha)$$

$$\geq \alpha + \lambda(1 - \lambda)(\alpha + p_{1}^{T}Ap_{1} + \alpha + p_{2}^{T}Ap_{2} - p_{1}^{T}Ap_{2} - p_{2}^{T}Ap_{1} - 2\alpha)$$

$$\geq \alpha + \lambda(1 - \lambda)((p_{1} - p_{2})^{T}A(p_{1} - p_{2}))$$

$$\geq \alpha$$

The last inequality follows from  $A \succeq 0$ . Hence this condition is convex in P

**2.28** Positive semidefinite cone for n = 1, 2, 3. Give an explicit description of the positive semidefinite cone  $\mathbf{S}_{+}^{n}$ , in terms of the matrix coefficients and ordinary inequalities, for n = 1, 2, 3. To describe a general element of  $\mathbf{S}^{n}$ , for n = 1, 2, 3, use the notation

$$x_1, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_5 \\ x_3 & x_5 & x_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Solution** (i) We know that  $\mathbf{S}_{+}^{n}$  is a convex cone. We just need to ensure Positive semidefiniteness of each cone as they are already symmetric.

$$z^T x_1 z \ge 0 \implies x_1 \ge 0$$

(ii) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\alpha^2 x_1 + 2\alpha \beta x_2 + \beta^2 x_3 \ge 0$$

$$\alpha = 0 \implies x_3 \ge 0, \beta = 0 \implies x_1 \ge 0$$

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = -1 \implies 2x_2 \le x_1 + x_3 \implies x_2^2 \le x_1 x_3$$

(iii) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_4 & x_5 \\ x_3 & x_5 & x_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

$$\alpha^2 x_1 + \beta^2 x_4 + \gamma^2 x_6 + 2\alpha \beta x_2 + 2\beta \gamma x_5 + 2\gamma \alpha x_3 \ge 0$$
$$\beta, \gamma = 0 \implies x_1 > 0$$

$$\rho, \gamma = 0 \longrightarrow x_1 \ge 0$$

$$\gamma, \alpha = 0 \implies x_4 \ge 0$$

$$\alpha, \beta = 0 \implies x_6 \ge 0$$

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = 0, \gamma = -1 \implies x_3^2 \le x_1 x_6$$

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, \gamma = 0 \implies x_2^2 \le x_1 x_4$$

$$\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, \gamma = -1 \implies x_5^2 \le x_4 x_6$$

**3.15** A family of concave utility functions. For  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  let

$$u_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha}$$

with **dom**  $u_{\alpha} = \mathbf{R}_{+}$ . We also define  $u_{0}(x) = \log(x)$  (with **dom**  $u_{0} = \mathbf{R}_{++}$ )

(a) Show that for x > 0,

$$u_0(x) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} u_\alpha(x)$$

Solution

$$u_0(x) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{e^{\alpha \ln x} - 1}{\alpha} \approx \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{\alpha \ln x + (\alpha \ln x)^2 / 2}{\alpha} = \ln(x) = u_0(x)$$

(b) Show that  $u_{\alpha}$  are concave, monotone increasing, and all satisfy  $u_{\alpha}(1) = 0$ .

**Solution** 
$$u_{\alpha}^{'}(x) = x^{\alpha-1} > 0$$
 (monotone increasing)  $u_{\alpha}^{'}(x) = -(1-\alpha)x^{\alpha-2} < 0$  (concave)  $u_{\alpha}(1) = \frac{1^{\alpha}-1}{\alpha} = 0$ 

These functions are often used in economics to model the benefit or utility of some quantity of goods or money. Concavity of  $u_{\alpha}$  means that the marginal utility (i.e., the increase in utility obtained for a fixed increase in the goods) decreases as the amount of goods increases. In other words, concavity models the effect of satistion.