CSCI E-124 Data Structures and Algorithms — Spring 2015

PROBLEM SET 6

Due: 11:59pm, Wednesday, April 1

See homework submission instructions at http://sites.fas.harvard.edu/~cs124/e124/problem_sets.html

Problem 5 is worth 40% of this problem set, and problems 1-4 constitute the remaining 60%.

Upon completing this problem set, if you have roughly two minutes to spare then please fill out this survey: http://goo.gl/forms/yq46D6jckP

1 Problem 1

In class we analyzed QuickSelect using the notion of "good" recursive calls: a recursive call was good if it reduced the number of working elements by a factor of at least 3/4. Instead, we could adopt an analysis for QuickSelect similar to that for QuickSort. Suppose we call QuickSelect(A, k), to find the kth smallest element in an array A of size n. Assume the elements of A are distinct. Note the running time of QuickSelect is proportional to the number of comparisons. Thus if we let $X_{i,j}$ be a random variable which is 1 if ith smallest item and jth smallest item are ever compared throughout the execution of QuickSelect(A, k), then the running time is proportional to $\sum_{i < j} X_{i,j}$.

- (a) For i < j, give an exact expression for $\mathbb{E} X_{i,j}$ in terms of i, j, k, n. You may need to employ case analysis.
- (b) Using (a), show that $\mathbb{E} \sum_{i < j} X_{i,j} = O(n)$.

2 Problem 2

Consider the following implementation of a binary search tree (BST). When searching, we search based on key as in a normal BST. When inserting an element with key k, we assign the element a uniformly random ID in [0,1]. We first insert the element into the BST based on its key as normal. We then *rotate* the node it lands in upward to preserve the invariant that, when looking at node IDs instead of node keys, the tree should be a min heap.

Suppose the keys in the BST at some point are $k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n$. When searching for key k_r , note that we touch the node with key k_i if and only if it is an ancestor of the node with k_r in the BST. Using this fact, show that the expected time to perform a query is $O(\log n)$. Also show that the expected time to insert a new key into the data structure is also $O(\log n)$.

3 Problem 3

Suppose we use a hash table with chaining, and we hash n distinct items in the set $\{1, \ldots, U\}$ into a table of size m = n. Furthermore suppose that the hash function is totally random. We saw in class that for any item, its expected query time is O(1). Show that if the hash function is totally random, then in addition we have the stronger property that with probability 99%, no linked list in the entire table has size larger than $O(\log n/\log \log n)$. You can use the following two facts without proof:

- (1) for all $1 \le k \le n$, $(n/k)^k \le {n \choose k} \le (en/k)^k$, and
- (2) the "union bound": for any set of probabilistic events $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i=1}^t$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1 \text{ or } \mathcal{E}_2 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } \mathcal{E}_t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_i).$$

4 Problem 4

We will use the following scheme to hash $n \leq m/2$ items into a hash table A of size m. Each item x with key k is associated with a permutation π_k chosen uniformly at random from all m! permutations of the elements of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. When we insert x, we first try putting it in $A[\pi_k(1)]$, then $A[\pi_k(2)]$ if that cell was already occupied, etc. A search is also done by probing locations in that order.

- (a) Show that for any of the n insertions, the probability the insertion makes more than t probes is at most $1/2^t$.
- (b) Let X_i be the number of probes performed when inserting item i. Show that $\mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i = O(\log n)$. It may be helpful to use the general fact (which you can use without justification) that if Z is a random variable taking non-negative integer values, then $\mathbb{E} Z = \sum_{z=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z > z)$.

5 Problem 5

Solve "Problem A - Primes" on the programming server; see the "Problem Sets" part of the course web page for the link. **Hint:** You may find this list of primes helpful: http://primes.utm.edu/lists/small/millions/.