

# Fair Price Discovery with Decentrized Exchange

Yehuda Jay Berg  
jaybny@gmail.com

May 2021

## Abstract

Ever since bitcoin solved peer to peer digital cash was possible, poeple have been trying to apply similar technoques to solve other hard problems. One such problem, peer to peer exchange, is one of the most difficult of these problems.

An exchange is a financial market, where trading of securities occur. The pupose of an exchange is two fold. 1) for price discovery, 2) for counter party settlement..

Centralized Exchanges (CEX), have been well researched and developed in traditional finaince for well over a century. [exchange cite] They evoled from trading under a tree, the Chicago trading pits, to electroic exchanges with continuous limit order books. Modern exchanges provide 24/7 trading, and offer co-location for the most proflc traders, High Freaquency Trading (HFT) bots.

Decentralized exchange (DEX), aims to bring tradition centralized exchanges into a peer to peer blockchain protocol. Due to early bitcoin CEX hacks, most DEXs have been focused on the settlement utility of exchange. As price discovery is an emergent property of the real-time trading and difficult to research.

We present a DEX with focus on providing price discovery. Our solution, Fair Price Discovery (FPD)

## 1 Introduction

Ever since bitcoin solved peer to peer digital cash was possible, poeple have been trying to apply similar technoques to solve other hard problems. One such problem, peer to peer exchange, is one of the most difficult of these problems.

An exchange is a financial market, where trading of securities occur. The pupose of an exchange is two fold. 1) for price discovery, 2) for counter party settlement..

Centralized Exchanges (CEX), have been well researched and developed in traditional finaince for well over a century. [exchange cite] They evoled from trading under a tree, the Chicago trading pits, to electroic exchanges with continuous limit order

books. Modern exchanges provide 24/7 trading, and offer co-location for the most prolific traders, High Frequency Trading (HFT) bots.

Decentralized exchange (DEX), aims to bring traditional centralized exchanges into a peer to peer blockchain protocol. Due to early bitcoin CEX hacks, most DEXs have been focused on the settlement utility of exchange. As price discovery is an emergent property of the real-time trading and difficult to research.

The word "central" is part of the common definition of an exchange. "A central place where buying and sellers come to find price and execute trades.

The purpose of exchange is two fold. 1 - for price discovery [cite] 2 - for counter party settlement

Due to early bitcoin exchange hacks, Decentralized Exchange or (DEX), has been mostly focused on the non-custodial side for the settlement utility.

We focusing on the real public service of an Exchange, the price discovery utility. We design price discovery within a DEX, with a purpose of "Fair Price Discovery".

With, Fair Price Discovery (FPD), as our goal. We focus on a mechanism designed exchange, for reaching equilibrium which produces price.

Taking inspiration from Rational Protocol Design analysis of Bitcoin, and looking back to the original Bitcoin white-paper.

examining the state of the art in price-discovery, High Frequency Market Making, and electronic exchange matching engines

Since Bitcoin showed us how peer to peer electronic cash was possible, we have re-searching if and how peer-to-peer exchange was possible.

Exchanges are a critical part of the financial markets.

Ecological balance otherwise the order-driven market can collapse Transparency is an important feature

first to win is most important

## Price Discovery

Price discovery as a key goal in the design of the market structure. In fact, the goal of the architecture of an exchange mechanism, is to attract as much liquidity needed to for price discovery. [3]

Price discovery is described in microstructure research as a search for an equilibrium price, from new external information. This new information is reflected in the traders orders, and is ultimately converted into a market price. [5]

price discovery is dynamic in nature, and an efficient price discovery process is characterized by the fast adjustment of market prices from the old equilibrium to the new equilibrium with the arrival of new information [6]

From a definitional perspective, any trading facility that has as its primary function the delivery of good price discovery can, de facto at least, be considered an exchange. Unfortunately, however, the price discovery function of an exchange typically receives insufficient attention in market structure discussions. This is largely attributable to the non-observability of equilibrium prices and, therefore, to the difficulty of quantifying the deviations of transaction prices from their equilibrium values [3]

**Limit order books** and price discovery are tightly related. [5] [4]

To achieve price discovery exchanges offer two order types. Limit orders, and Market orders. All orders are sent to a centralized matching engine in the exchange servers.

Market orders have a quantity but no price.

1. "Buy 1 @ market" - an order to buy 1 unit of the asset at the market

Limit orders have a quantity and a price.

1. "Buy 1 @ 100" - an order to buy 1 unit of the asset at the market

**Continuous limit order books (CLOB)** are the market micro structure that leads to price discovery <sup>1</sup>. There are two order types. Limit orders, where you provide your own price, with the risk of waiting to be matched. And market-orders, where you get filled immediately in return for a possible worse price.

Directional liquidity traders - use market orders Market Makers - use limit orders

HFT-bandit

**Adverse Selection** Show HFT Alpha Show how market-orders are the cryponite

## Perfect Alpha and the High Frequency Trading (HFT) arms race

We define *Perfect Alpha* as recurring risk-less real-time arbitrage with positive EV. Believe it or not, *Perfect Alpha* is a product of centralized exchanges and continuous limit-order books.

**Theorem 1** *When 2 or more orders come in after your order, there exists a free arbitrage, provided 1) each order is for 1 share at a time, 2) you are first to act. Perfect Alpha exists in Continuous Limit Order books.*

1. HFT - "Buy 1 @ 100"

---

<sup>1</sup>Other types of markets such as call auctions, and dealer markets, don't provide the robustness of limit orders for price discovery. [2]

2. Bob - "Buy 1 @ 100"

qty	bid	ask	qty
2	100		

## 1.1 Decentralized Limit Order Books

make these problems much worse, by removing the one defensive market-order

## 1.2 Standard Blockchain Solutions

### 1.2.1 Permissionless

**Ethereum** smart contracts create MEV

MEV and front-running

Uniswap -

Total Ordering Consensus

### 1.2.2 Permissioned blockchains

remove the issues with open blockchains, and uses BFT techniques

### 1.2.3 Why does bitcoin work

Only when asking why? do we come with a new theory *Ration Design*

### 1.2.4 Why does it not work with Ethereum, Aequitas and BFT?

The problem is abstractions. Solving generic solution with frameworks vs solving for a specific utility

## 2 A new blockchain abstraction

Only when designing for a specific utility are we able to use designer intent vs adversarial motivations

1. First design with intent using Mechanism Design
2. Release the code and protocol
3. Test results from empirical evidence

We now have mechanism designed system that matched a reational design theory of decentrlaized exchange.

## 3 Fair Price Discovery

### 3.1 Preliminaries

Order book transaction are like advertisements. Sender wants to broadcast intent. Intent of other seeing your transactions eliminates the commit/reveal strategies

1. Full transparency - no commit-reveal
2. Remove rent-seeking co-location
3. Acknolege impossibility of total-ordering Consensusns
4. Make front-runnig explicit, but limited to reording - no inseting new orders

Centralized matching gives market-order privacy in return for co-location issues  
Decentralized matching removes co-location advantage in return for loss of market-order privacy

**Covert Adversaries** we learn that just being able to show cheating can reduce it.

### 3.2 On-Chain Solution

We focus on solving for decentralized matching engine that results in price discovery. Note, an on-chain solution with assets controls by the procol eliminates the centralized custody and settlement issues.

Goal is to eliminate front-running when there is consensus on order, and allow explic front-running where total order consensus is not possible.

Step1: Hybrid blockchain, acts permissioned with BFT consensus by each node selecting quarum slices ( Stellar ) Step2: Node select quarums based on reputation and trading activity. Bigger trading operations with good uptime, and heavy volume, will be sleected by many. Step3: Reach consensus on mempool on past 5 seconds. Step4: Pay to re-order blocks by bribing bitcoin miners, or paying centralized exchange operator or burying coins.

#### 3.2.1 Order transaction types

- limit and mraket and cancels.

Buy 1 at 100 Sell 1 at 101 Sell 1 at market Cancel order

Separating consensus on the orders from the state and result of those orders.

Order-book is deterministic based on the set of transactions and the ordering of those transactions

As a new on-chain protocol, with no dependence on smart-contracts or layer 1 like Ethereum. The state and code is contained within the node.

Node maintains a matching-engine and the state of the market in real-time. Nodes gossip their ordering in the background - this is not used for consensus but for preventing covert adversaries. Nodes reach quorum with slices on mempool. Nodes use results of auction, for reordering block

Node maintains multiple states by looping over all permutations. Most permutations will not change the state. When a permutation changes state the node will compare results and put a value on the results - and enter auction when needed.

Honest nodes will agree to default to actual real-time "true" orderings.

**Example Honest Front-running** Limit order cancelled immediately after getting filled by a market-order

**Example Dis-Honest Front-running** Limit order inserted with a higher price to standing limit-order to front-run the fills, due to new market move

In this example, the adversary will try to get a new order in at the end of the time-block. Note: doing this immediately becomes honest front-running

Difference between honest and dis-honest front-running, is when

**Example2 Dis-Honest Front-running** Limit order cancelled immediately after getting filled by a market-order, but gossiping the cancel before gossiping the market order.

### 3.2.2 Designer intended result

is a decentralized matching engine where no front-running occurs in real-time.

No advantage to real-time front-runnings. Disadvantage by losing honest reputation, where nodes will not sure to add you to their quorum slice.

### 3.2.3 note

note how we don't have liveness or consistency or censorship resistance guarantees, as those are concepts for the design of protocols with different utilities. As we are seeking the utility of Fair Price Discovery from a decentralized on-chain blockchain matching engine exchange protocol.

## 4 Results

[1]

## References

- [1] Jing Chen and Silvio Micali. ALGORAND. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.01341.pdf>, 2017.
- [2] Thierry Foucault, Ohad Kadan, and Eugene Kandel. Limit order book as a market for liquidity. Post-print, HAL, 2005.
- [3] Reto Francioni and Robert A. Schwartz. *Equity Markets in Transition The Value Chain, Price Discovery, Regulation, and Beyond*. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [4] Kenneth French and Richard Roll. Stock return variances: The arrival of information and the reaction of traders. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 17(1):5–26, 1986.
- [5] Andrew Lo, A. Craig MacKinlay, and June Zhang. Econometric models of limit-order executions. NBER Working Papers 6257, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, 1997.
- [6] Bingcheng Yan and Eric Zivot. The dynamics of price discovery. Working Papers UWEC-2005-01-R, University of Washington, Department of Economics, 2007.