Fengfeng Ke
University of New Mexico, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

Drawing on grounded theory approach and a qualitative meta-analysis, this chapter intends to systematically review and synthesize the theories, methods, and findings of both qualitative and quantitative inquiries on computer-based instructional games. A major purpose of this literature review and meta-analysis is to inform policy and practice based on existing studies. Four major recurring themes concerning the effectiveness of computer-based instructional games have emerged from a comparative analysis with 89 instructional gaming studies and are discussed with the support of exemplar research. The chapter will assist practitioners and policymakers in understanding the "best practices" and key factors of a computer game-based learning program.

#### INTRODUCTION

Recently computer games have been anticipated as a potential learning tool with great motivational appeal and represent an interesting development in the field of education. The literature surrounding computer games and education is vast. For more than two decades, educationalists (e.g., Betz, 1996; Gee, 2003; Gredler, 1996; Kafai, 1995; Malone, 1981; Prensky, 2001; Rieber, 1996; Squire, 2003) have been investigating the potential that exists for the application of computer games to learning.

Given the broad nature of computer games, a substantial question exists as to what basic insights the literature provides on the design and application of computer-based games for learning.

As a recent search shows, there are currently more than 600 research/report articles within the category of computer games in the literature. These articles fall into generalized categories with a great deal of variance within the categories. These categories include theoretical speculation (e.g., Garris, Ahlers, & Driskell, 2002; Gee, 2003), experimental or descriptive clinical study (e.g.,

Ke, 2007; Barab, Sadler, Heiselt, Hickey, & Zuiker, 2007; Squire, 2003), and review of existing research (e.g., Dempsey, Rasmussen, & Lucassen, 1996; Randel, Morris, Wetzel, & Whitehill, 1992). Even within the same general category, games studies vary in theoretical framework, research purpose, methodology of data collection and analysis, and game genre adopted. Further, the findings of these games studies are conflicting (Dempsey et al., 1996; Emes, 1997; Randel et al., 1992).

Given this multi-vocal data pool, a systematic review with rigorous qualitative meta-analysis is warranted to generate a clearer profile of computer games. The review should indicate what meta conjectures or recurring themes we can form from the huge quantity of often disassociated studies on the learning effectiveness of computer games. It should also illustrate what are the best models or best practices of designing and applying computer games for education.

This proposed chapter is an attempt to systematically review and synthesize the literature on the subject of computer-based instructional games. Specifically, the chapter addresses the following questions: (1) What is the cumulative qualitative and quantitative evidence for using computer games for learning, and (2) What are the factors, if any, that weigh in an effective application of instructional gaming?

# **BACKGROUND**

#### **Definition of Terms**

# Computer Game

Scholars (Dempsey et al., 1996; Malone, 1981) defined a game as "usually a contest of physical or mental skills and strengths, requiring the participant(s) to follow a specific set of rules in order to attain a goal" (Hogle, 1996, p. 5). More specifically, Prensky (2001) defined a game as

organized play including six key structural elements: rules, goals and objectives, outcomes and feedback, conflict/competition/challenge/opposition, interaction, and representation or story.

There is a wide category of games under Prensky's game conceptualization. For the purpose of this research, a computer game is:

- Operated on a variety of personal computer platforms
- Developed for formal learning or adapted for informal learning
- Comprising rules, goals and objectives, outcomes and feedback, conflict/competition/challenge/opposition, interaction, and representation or story (Prensky, 2001)

In addition, a game is defined as being separate from a simulation in that a game involves competition. According to Dempsey et al. (1996), a competitive format does not necessarily require two or more participants. If a simulation enables a learner to compete against him or herself by comparing scores over successive attempts at the simulation, or has a game structure imposed on the system, it is regarded as a game mode. If the focus of a simulation involves the completion of an event only, the simulation will not be considered a game.

Multiple categories of computer games have been identified in this review, including but not limited to adventure games, simulation games, board games, puzzle games, business simulation games, action games, and strategy games.

#### Learning

In this study, learning is conceptualized as a multidimensional construct comprising all three components: "skill, metaskill, and will," or in other terms, cognitive learning achievement, metacognition, and motivation (Mayer, 1998, p. 51). Gagne (1985) defined *cognitive learning achievements* as comprising declarative, proce-

dural, and strategic knowledge. Metacognition in this study refers to knowledge or awareness of cognitive processes and the ability to use self-regulatory mechanisms to control these processes (Eggen & Kauchak, 1997). This study adopts an expectancy-value model of motivation. Specifically, the model proposes that there are three motivational components: (a) an expectancy (or perceived competence) component, which includes students' beliefs about their ability to accomplish certain tasks; (b) a value component, which includes students' goals and beliefs about the importance and interest of the task; and (c) an affective component, which includes students' emotional reactions to the task (Pintrich & De Groot, 1990, p. 33).

# **Computer Games for Learning**

# Theoretical Perspectives on Computer Games for Learning

Several theoretical perspectives, such as Piaget's Theory of Intellectual Development, Situated Learning, and Information Processing Theory, may underlie the surging interest in deploying computer games for learning. Piaget (1951) considered play and imitation as two crucial functions in a child's intellectual development process: play as an assimilation strategy and imitation as an accommodation strategy. Extensive research on play with children and adults in anthropology, psychology, and education indicates that play is an important mediator for learning and socialization throughout life (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Provost, 1990). Given the natural role that play and simulation serve to intellectual development, computer games as a vehicle for both play and simulation are not just a diversion to children, but an integral part of their learning and social lives.

Researchers have stressed the importance of anchoring or "situating" learning in authentic situations (Brown, Collins, & Duguid, 1989; Choi & Hannafin, 1995; Cognition and Technology

Group at Vanderbilt, 1990). One benefit is making learners become engaged by the material, thus invoking a state of "mindfulness" in which learners employ effortful and metacognitively guided processes (Salomon, Perkins, & Globerson, 1991). Learning in a mindful way results in knowledge that is considered meaningful and useful, as compared to the inert knowledge that results from decontextualized learning strategies (such as traditional classroom worksheets). With simulated visualization, authentic problem solving, and instant feedback, computer games afford a realistic framework for experimentation and situated understanding, hence can act as rich primers for active learning (Laurel, 1991; Gee, 2003).

Information processing theory (Miller, 1956), along with aspects of dual coding theory (Clark & Paivio, 1991) and cognitive load theory (Sweller, 1988), also sheds light on computer games' potential to facilitate learning. Information processing theory states that novel information must be processed in working memory in order to construct schemata in long-term memory. Multi-sensory information representation in a computer game will facilitate schema construction by offering a learner a "ready-made" explicit representation of the complicated concept, providing just the type of external support that would be required for the construction of a internal mental model. This external support, as stated by Gredler (1996, p. 597), "reduces the cognitive load and allows students to use their precious working memory for higher-order tasks." Furthermore, the multisensory representation in computer games also helps the schema indexed in memory in multiple formats, thus making the schema accessible in more than one way.

# Findings of Previous Gaming Reviews/ Meta-Analysis

A discussion of previous gaming reviews offers an overview of the literature. It also highlights the limitations of previous reviews and illuminates how this current review will expand the previous research using a grounded meta-analysis method.

According to Garris et al. (2002), the following are tangible reasons for using computer games for learning purposes:

- Computer games can invoke an intensity of engagement in learners.
- showing that computer-based instructional games have a wide spectrum of utility for learning (Dempsey et al., 1996; Randel et al., 1992). The learning outcomes measured include attitudes, cognitive strategies, problem solving, rules, and corporate concepts. Computer games have been applied in diverse environments from school education to training in military, healthcare, and management.

Six recent literature reviews (Dempsey et al., 1996; Emes, 1997; Hays, 2005; Randel et al., 1992; Vogel et al., 2006b; Wolfe, 1996b) were identified as being undertaken in areas associated with the use of computer games for learning purpose. The following section summarizes the results of these review articles. Other review reports (e.g., Van-Sickle, 1986; Hogel, 1996; Leemkuil, de Jong, & Ootes, 2000) have not been summarized but used to locate original computer game articles.

Recently, Vogel et al. (2006b) conducted a quantitative meta-analysis with 32 studies on computer games and interactive simulation. They reported strong, positive effect sizes of interactive simulations and games vs. traditional teaching methods for both cognitive gains and attitude. Their analysis also indicated that the effects of games and interactive simulations sustained across people (in terms of gender and age) and situations (in terms of learner control, level of realism, and individual/group usage). However, Vogel et al. (2006b) noted that the effect size analysis of

computer games, different from that of interactive simulations, yielded a low reliability and hence should be considered with caution.

Randel et al.'s (1992) review on video games, examining 68 early studies up to 1991, compared the effect of games and simulations with that of traditional classroom instruction on student performance. It produced the following results: of the 68 studies, 38 (56% of the studies) found no difference, 22 (32%) found differences favoring simulations/games in student performance, 7% favored simulations/games but their controls were questionable, and 5% found differences favoring conventional instruction. The authors concluded that the beneficial effects of games were most likely to be found when specific content was targeted and objectives precisely defined. In many studies students reported more interest in game activities than in conventional classroom instruction. Business games were not included in Randel et al.'s review.

Wolfe (1997), conversely, reviewed only studies regarding computer-based business games used in strategic management coursework. These studies all used comparative design with at least one treatment and one control group. He found evidence for the effectiveness of business games. In every study citied in the article, game application produced significant knowledge-level increases and was superior to conventional case-based teaching in producing knowledge gains.

Dempsey et al. (1996) examined 99 studies for common threads in the instructional game literature. They found the preponderance of games intending to promote higher-level intellectual skills and attitudes learning as opposed to verbal knowledge outcomes. They also found that games served many functions such as tutoring, amusing, helping to explore new skills, promoting self-esteem, practicing existing skills, drilling existing skills, automatizing, and seeking to change an attitude. Practicing existing skills (n = 22) was the highest frequency, and learning new skills (n = 21) was a close second. From

the studies reviewed, they delineated a list of assertions for using and designing instructional games, such as using intrinsically motivating games, employing instructional support features (e.g., debriefing, flexible scoring, progression of complexity), and selecting game genres based on learning objectives.

Another review on instructional games (Emes, 1997) examined games' use with children and found no clear causal relationship between academic performance and the use of computer games. Although Emes' (1997) finding was based on three studies, his conclusion was confirmed by Hays (2005), who examined 105 instructional gaming articles. Hays' review (2005) reported:

There is no evidence to indicate that games are the preferred instructional method in all situations" and "although some games can provide effective learning for a variety of learning for several different tasks (e.g., math, attitudes, electronics, and economics), this does not tell us whether to use a game for our specific instructional task. (p. 6)

These past analyses/reviews highlighted six major themes:

- The literature base is sparse. Although many articles discussed the use of instructional computer games, most of the literature was based on the authors' opinions on the *potential* of instructional games or propositions on how games would be developed to be instructionally sound. Far fewer articles documented the empirical data on the effectiveness of instructional games (Hays, 2005; Dempsey, et al. 1996).
- Empirical studies' findings conflict (Dempsey et al., 1996; Randel et al., 1992; Vogel et al., 2006b). It appears that few firm conclusions can be drawn from the studies and there is no evidence that games can provide effective learning in all situations.

- The empirical research on instructional games is fragmented. Prior studies focused on different clusters of factors when evaluating the effects of an instructional game administrative variables (game environment), learner variables (e.g., gender or academic ability), procedural variables (game-based activity, such as game-facilitated cooperative learning), and game variables (e.g., game genre and media) (Dempsey et al., 1996; Williams, 1980).
- Much of the work on the evaluation of games has been anecdotal, descriptive, or judgmental (Dempsey et al., 1996).
- Longitudinal studies are needed (Emes, 1997).
- A breakdown of the available studies by subject matter reveals that some knowledge domains are particularly suited to gaming, such as math, physics, and language arts (Randel et al., 1992; Hays, 2005).

On the other hand, the prior reviews of instructional computer games had the following limitations:

- Some existing reviews excluded qualitative studies. For example, Vogel et al. (2006b), Randel et al. (1992), Wolfe (1997), and VanSickle (1986) examined all quantitative studies in their reviews.
- Most of the existing reviews (e.g., Dempsey et al., 1996; Hays, 2005; Hogel, 1996; Leemkuil et al., 2000) were narrative literature reviews that did not reveal the decision rules used to synthesize findings from various studies, hence a lack of analytic rigor and objectivity (Hossler & Scalese-Love, 1989).
- Some existing reviews included low-quality studies or non-empirical reports that plagued the analysis result (Slavin, 1986).

## MAIN FOCUS OF THE CHAPTER

## Method

Drawing on grounded theory approach, the author conducted a qualitative meta-analysis to synthesize the theories, methods, and findings of both qualitative and quantitative inquiries of computer-based instructional games. Qualitative meta-analysis basically followed the same, replicable procedure of a quantitative meta-analysis, but was more interpretative than aggregative. Instead of a statistical data analysis, the researcher analyzed textual reports, creating new interpretations in the analysis process.

This study has utilized qualitative rather than quantitative meta-analysis, not because numbers are non-existent. The qualitative variant has been used specifically because it is an approach towards formulating a complete depiction of the subject and because a quantitative meta-analysis will exclude qualitative evaluation that is a major grouping in the literature. As Michelsen, Zaff, and Hair (2002) have stated, "...not every intervention strategy lends itself to an experimental evaluation." This statement is especially true in the case of instructional games research. In the current review, descriptive and case studies comprised almost 50% of the literature. In agreement with this discovery, Dempsey et al. (1996) have argued that although experimental studies have an important place in the instructional games literature, "there is a budding movement" to look at incidental learning using process-oriented inquiry. Because the instructional games literature itself comprises both qualitative and quantitative data, the integration of both qualitative and quantitative information is essential for a thorough synthesis of the literature for a complete state-of-the-art understanding of the domain.

Although some researchers regarded qualitative review methods as appropriate for interpreting qualitative data only, others (e.g., Noblitt & Hare, 1988; Light & Pillemer, 1982) proposed

the possibility of qualitatively synthesizing both qualitative and quantitative information. Specifically, Hossler and Scalese-Love (1989) developed the grounded meta-analysis using Glaser and Strauss's grounded theory approach. Following their example, the study adopted qualitative meta-analysis and a thematic synthesis approach associated with grounded theory.

Trustworthiness of findings was achieved by using multiple coders for peer examination (Creswell, 1994). The actual procedure of research synthesis abided by the proposition of Hossler and Scalese-Love (1989) and is presented in the following sections.

# **Data Collection**

A set of criteria was specified to select appropriate research for this study (Slavin, 1986). Preliminary criteria included:

- Content relevance research focused on the design or application of computer-based games for learning purpose.
- Year of publication was 1985-2007
- English-language publications

The data search was systematic and exhaustive within the data pool consisting of computerized bibliographic databases (i.e., ERIC, PsycInfo, Educational Research Complete, Dissertation Abstracts, ACM), major education and technology journals, conference proceedings, and the reference lists of several reviews. A total of 256 studies were reviewed in the course of this analysis.

# Data Coding and Analysis

When conducting the literature search, the author paid special attention to the studies that established components to be used in creating frameworks for analysis. An initial open-ended coding matrix was developed to delineate each study's stated purpose, method, intervention, learner, sample

size, investigated factor, timeframe, learning settings, learning task and environment, outcome and measurement, game information, findings, specified/inferred implications and recommendations, and overall quality of the study. This coding matrix was constantly refined as synthesis proceeded. Both quantitative and qualitative information was coded using the same coding matrix to permit comparison of findings across studies.

It was an overlapping process of collecting studies, coding information, and analyzing data. Specifically, constant comparative method (Slavin, 1986) was employed. The author and peer investigators constantly compared the data collected/coded to revise the coding categories, reanalyze studies, and gain new insights. Both quantitative data (sample size, methodology, etc.) and qualitative information (learning context, conclusion, etc.) from each study were recorded in coding sheets for further analysis and eventual summarization. A research team of three peer coders was used to assure the consistency and rigorousness of analysis and results (Hossler & Scalese-Love, 1989).

During data analysis, low-quality studies were excluded from the synthesis. In the current analysis, a quantitative study was labeled low quality if it did not explain its methodological design features (such as sample size and study procedure). A qualitative study was excluded when it failed to provide a rich description of the learning context and outcomes or it appeared to be written based on the author's bias rather than field observation. For example, quite a few articles announced the effectiveness of a specific game based on purely design assumptions rather than empirical data from field testing.

#### Results

A total of 256 documents on the design, use, and evaluation of computer-based games were reviewed. Of these, 167 could not be included in the analysis:

- 20 articles focused on the effects of games on non-learning-oriented outcomes, such as the effect of an action game on children's aggression and violent behaviors, and the effect of computer video game on body movements of children with ADHD.
- 13 articles were computer-based instruction studies where gaming was only a contextual element but not the research focus.
- 45 were either *development* articles that described the design and development of a specific instructional game, or *discussion* articles that described opinions on an instructional game without empirical or systematically presented evidence (Dempsey et al., 1996).
- 45 articles presented only theoretical proposition or conceptual analysis on instructional game design principles or potential gamebased learning processes.
- 18 articles were research reviews synthesis of articles concerning games in general.
- 6 articles presented only propositions on future game application and research.
- 20 articles documented studies that were labeled as low quality.

Eighty-nine research articles that provided empirical data on the application and effectiveness of computer-based instructional games were included in the current analysis. Qualitative outlines of empirical studies coded were synthesized and are presented in Table 1. The table also revealed the coding rules used to synthesize findings from various studies and illuminated the potential factors that might weigh in an effective application of instructional gaming.

# **Discussion**

Four major recurring themes concerning the effectiveness and key influence factors of computer-based instructional gaming have emerged from a comparative analysis with 89 computer

Table 1. A summary of empirical studies reviewed

Findings	Gaming promoted far transfer significantly more than the conventional instruction, but not near transfer, there was no difference between the two gaming groups; and conventional instruction group solved significantly more levels.	Trial-and-error strategies were mostly used.	Team dynamics (e.g., cohesiveness and heterogeneity) influenced students' game playing performance and their affect toward game.	Students gained math learning achievement during gaming, but there was no significant effect of goal conditions (cooperative, competitive, and individualistic condition).	Statistically significant gains in near-transfer performance test but not in far-transfer standards-based academic achievement.	There was no significant improvement in knowledge, but subjects reported positive behavior change for divorce adjustment.	Gaming increased knowledge for older children and for those who scored higher at pre-test, and gaming intervention was associated with less	Heghtanzanons. Havorable. favorable.
Learning Outcome	Cognitive strategy near and far transfer	Cognitive strategy	Student affect toward game- based learning	Test-based cognitive learning achievement	Conceptual knowledge and problem solving	Rule learning and self- reported behavior change	Descriptive knowledge and behavior change	Student affect toward game- based learning
Learning Environment	General	Informal learning	Higher education course work	School education course work	School education course work	Informal learning	Health education	Higher education course work
Learning Task	Non-content- related problem- solving strategy	Non-content- related problem solving	Business management	Math	Science education	Divorce adjustment	Asthma self- management skills	Business functions
Learner	College students	College students	College students	Mildly handicapped junior high students	4th graders (gifted students)	Pair of a child (mean age 14) and a biological parent (mean age 44) who had not been divorced for more than 3 years	Children with asthma, ages 7-17	Graduate students
Game Used	Simulation game (as stand-alone or complementary pedagogical instrument)	Puzzle game	Business simulation game	Other (drill- and-practice game)	Massive multiplayer online game	Role-playing/ simulation game	Adventure game	Business simulation game
Timeframe	1 lab session	1 lab session	4 weeks	4 weeks (with 10 minutes per day and 3 days per week)	10 days	1 lab session	40-minute gaming session	1 semester
Sample Size	98	15	172	46	28	26	171	06
Method	Quantitative (experimental)	Mixed-method	Quantitative (correlational - causal)	Quantitative (experimental)	Mixed-method (quasi-experimental & case study)	Mixed-method (quasi-experimental with qualitative interviewing)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (descriptive)
Purpose	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and explore game and explore game-based learning activity/ pedagogy	Explore game- based cognitive process	Explore game- based learning activity	Explore game- based learning activity	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Study	Abbey (1993)	Alkan & Cagiltay (2007)	Anderson (2005)	Bahr & Rieth (1989)	Barab, Sadler, Heiselt, Hickey, & Zuiker (2007)	Barker, Brinkman, & Deardorff (1995)	Bartholomew et al. (2000)	Ben-Zvi (2007)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Learning experience was enhanced, and positive attitudes toward subject were developed.	Simple gaming was not more effective than the conventional instruction in promoting achievement; gaming with questions and elaborative feedback was significantly more effective than the simple gaming and conventional instruction; there was no significant intervention between learners' cognitive style (FD/FI) and gaming.	A deductive model was used predominantly by 11- through 15-year-olds, a nule-based model was used predominantly by the youngest subjects, a development trend was observed from nule-based model to deductive model.	Affective reaction was favorable.	Game promoted student motivation (esp. low achievement students) to learning.	Straightforward drill was more effective than the game format for learning-disabled students.	Game (with pedagogical agent) promoted more learning (marginally significant) than game only. Students learned little from game without any external guidance.	Most girls lacked awareness of math content embedded in the game.
Learning Outcome	Game-based learning process/ experience	Descriptive and conceptual knowledge	Cognitive	Student affect toward game- based learning	Motivation	Factual/ descriptive knowledge	Conceptual knowledge	Game-based learning experience
Learning Environment	Informal health education	Higher education course work	General learning	Higher education course work	Online learning	School education course work	School education course work	School education course work
Learning Task	AIDS education	Knowledge about heart	Non-content- related problem solving	Multiple subject topics	Web navigation skills	Math	Math	Math
Learner	5-8 <sup>th</sup> graders	College students	Children ages 7-15	College students	College students	Elementary- level students (learning- disabled and non-disabled)	7th graders	Students ages 12-13
Game Used	Simulation game	Other (drill- and-practice game)	Puzzle game	Board games	Multi-user game	Arcade drill- and-practice game	Puzzle game	Massive multiplayer online game
Timeframe	n/a	45-minute gaming session	1 lab session	1 lab session	One 2-hour lab session	n/a	One 20-minute gaming session	6 months
Sample Size	3,829	422	120	78	n/a (6 classes)	09	20	104
Method	Quantitative (descriptive)	(experimental)	Qualitative (cognitive task analysis)	Mixed-method (case study)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Qualitative (case study)
Purpose	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and the influence of learner's cognitive style, and explore instructional game design	Explore gamebased cognitive process	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and the influence of learner characteristics	Explore instructional game design	Explore the interaction between learner characteristics and instructional game
Study	Cahill (1994)	Cameron & Dwyer (2005)	Cauzinille- Marmeche & Mathieu (1989)	Chang, Yang, Chan, & Yu (2003)	Chen, Shen, Ou, & Liu (1998)	Christensen & Gerber (1990)	Conati & Zhao (2004)	De Jean, Upitis, Koch, & Young (1999)

Table 1. continued

Findings	All game genres had potential for educational use and different learning outcomes.	80% surveyees had positive simulation-based learning experience.	Game-with-map groups outperformed the no-map group in instant recall test; labels-with-game groups; all groups showed high level of retention of knowledge after 2 weeks; there was no influence of gender on learning or attitude.	Affective feedback to game use was favorable.	The group using game performed significantly better than the control group and comparably to a therapist-directed group on measures of problem solving and engagement, but there was no significant effect of game on (far transier) social behavioral rating scale.	Game improved learning gains and increased the speed of test performance.	Game group was significantly better in flight performance than the control group.	There was evidence for game's effect on text-processing and metacognitive skill development.
Learning Outcome	Affect toward game-based learning	Game-based learning experience	Cognitive recall and retention, and affect toward game-based learning	Affect toward game-based learning	Social problem solving, social behavioral rating, and level of engagement	Conceptual knowledge	Problem solving and motor skills in actual flight performance	Cognitive text- processing and metacognitive skill
Learning Environment	General learning	Higher education course work	School education course work	Higher education course work	Informal	Informal learning	Flight training for cadets	School education course work
Learning Task	Multiple subject topics	Business management	Place location learning	Engineering	Non-content- related social problem solving	Health education	Flight training	Reading
Learner	Adults ages 18-52	College students	4th and 5th graders	College students	Adolescents ages 10-16 with ADHD	Hockey players ages 11-17	Cadets ages 18-20	6 <sup>th</sup> graders
Game Used	A variety of game genres for educational purposes	Business simulation game	Adventure game	Simulation game	Simulation	Puzzle game	Flight simulation game	Adventure game
Timeframe	1 lab session	6 weeks	40-minute gaming session	n/a	Twice (30-50 minutes each session) a week for 4 weeks	One 3-minute gaming session	10 1-hour sessions	n/a
Sample Size	40	30	120	1,200	89	169	28	70
Method	Qualitative	Mixed-method (case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (descriptive)
Purpose	Explore instructional game design	Evaluate effectiveness of game	Explore instructional game design and the influence of learner characteristics (gender)	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Study	Dempsey, Haynes, Lucassen, & Casey (2002)	Doyle & Brown (2000)	Forsyth (1986)	Foss & Eikaas (2006)	Goldsworthy, Barab, & Goldsworthy (2000)	Goodman, Bradley, Paras, Williamson, & Bizzochi (2006)	Gopher, Weil, & Bareket (1994)	Grabe & Dosmann (1988)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Knowledge of the game was developed as a result of inductive discovery process and subjects' gaming performance correlated with their test performance with scientific-technical discovery.	Game was more effective (than lectures) in promoting post-test performance.	Game enhanced learning.	There was no effect of gender on game-based math learning achievement, but females gave more evidence of using metacognitive, cognitive, and cooperative strategies. Females showed higher motivation through relevance, while males were more motivated by challenge in terms of self-estem.	Game facilitated the improvement in multiple cognitive outcomes, from basic recall to higher-level thinking (classification and inference), as well as in usage of scientific language. Transfer was not significant.	Subjects demonstrated substantial skill development and maintenance.	Gender and challenge level in game influenced students' flow experiences and game-playing behaviors. Girls had more tendency playing mind games, boys enjoyed the game playing and forming group more than girls, ludology had more effect than the narratology of games on flow of boys, while girls were the opposite.
Learning Outcome	Cognitive	Conceptual knowledge	Rule learning	Test-based cognitive learning achievement, attitude (value) toward subject	Descriptive knowledge, conceptual knowledge, problem solving, and transfer	Scanning and selection motor skills	Game-based experience
Learning Environment	Informal education	Higher education course work	General learning	School education course work	School education course work	Special education	General
Learning Task	Scientific- technical discovery	Economic education	Information search strategy	Math	Science	Basic motor skills	Social skill development
Learner	College students	College students	College students	9 <sup>th</sup> graders	2nd graders	Non-vocal students (ages 5-8) with severe physical handicaps	Children ages 7-9
Game Used	Entertaining action game	Economic simulation game	Simulation/ modeling game	game)	Microworld simulation game	Action game	A variety of game genres
Timeframe	n/a	l semester	1 tutoring session	1 lab session	45-minute session daily for 6 weeks	n/a	1 hour per week for 6 weeks
Sample Size	200	47	n/a (1 class)	5 classes	20	es es	33
Method	Quantitative (correlational-causal)	Quantitative (experimental)	Qualitative (case study)	Mixed-method (quasi-experimental and qualitative interviewing)	Mixed-method	Qualitative (case study)	Mixed-method (case study)
Purpose	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore the interaction between learner characteristics and game, and instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the interaction between gender and game
Study	Greenfield, Camaioni, Ercolani, & Weiss (1994)	Gremmen & Potters (1997)	Haltunen & Sormunen (2000)	Haynes (2000)	Henderson, Klemes, & Eshet (2000)	Horn, Jones, & Hamlett (1991)	Inal & Cagiltay (2007)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Playing configuration (playing together or not) had a significant effect on motivation; grouping children around one computer did not negatively affect performance and in the case of female/ female groupings, it had a positive effect.	Game promoted statistically significant gain in knowledge and self-efficacy.	Games endeared least information retention and problem solving and most conceptual knowledge. Ludology, role-playing, appropriate complexity level made a game appealing.	There was evidence of improved learning.	The game was engaging and learners made significant literacy gains beyond expectation.	Gaming with a reversal role- playing facilitated conceptual knowledge most, and there were no effects of experimental situations on attitudes/ motivation.	All gaming groups outperformed control group in cognitive learning achievement. Cooperative gaming group outperformed all other groups (competitive and control) in attitudes scale. SEE-disadvantaged students benefited from cooperative gaming most. There was no effect of gender.	Content creation was the main activity causing flow; bad usability and low gameness were cited as obstacles.
Learning Outcome	Cognitive problem- solving tasks and motivation	Descriptive knowledge gain and motivation	Cognitive problem solving, conceptual knowledge, and affect toward game	Conceptual knowledge	Literacy gains	Conceptual knowledge and motivation	Cognitive learning achievement and attitude toward subject	Motivation (flow)
Learning Environment	Informal	Informal learning	Training	After-school learning	Formal adult education	School education course work	School education course work	Informal learning
Learning Task	Non-content- related problem solving	Health education	General cognitive outcomes	Math	Literacy learning	Sex education	Math	General motivation
Learner	School children ages 6-12	General public: from preadolescent to senior citizen	College students (from senior to PhD level), most were female	5th graders	Young adults	2nd- and 3rd-year high school students in Japan, ages 16-18	5 <sup>th</sup> graders	College students
Game Used	Puzzle game	Puzzle game	Adventure	Game design	Adventure game	Simulation and board games	Puzzle games	Role-playing/ simulation game
Timeframe	40-minute gaming session	6-minute gaming session	1-hour gaming session	1 hour a day for 3 days	n/a	50-minute gaming session	Twice a week (40 minutes each session) for 4 weeks	n/a
Sample Size	43.5	446	12	4	n/a (3 UK learning centers)	279	125	18
Method	Mixed-method (experimental and qualitaive observation)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Qualitative (case study)	Qualitative (case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (quasi- experimental)	Mixed-method (case study)
Purpose	Explore game- based learning activity and the influence of learner characteristics	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and explore instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game (as construction kit)	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and the influence of learner characteristics	Explore game- based learning activity and the influence of learner characteristics	Explore instructional game design
Study	Inkpen, Booth, Klawe, & Upitis (1995)	Johnson (1993)	Ju & Wagner (1997)	Kafai & Ching (1996)	Kambouri, Thomas, & Mellar (2006)	Kashibuchi & Sakamoto (2001)	Ke & Grabowski (2007)	Kiili (2005)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Authenticity, group dynamic, and learning by doing were found to be most effective elements for effective instructional game application.	Children's developed cognitive skills over the practice of games, children reported high satisfaction and joy; there was no difference between computer game and traditional game on learning.	Affective feedback on using game was favorable.	Learners without instructional support in game learned to play game rather than domain-specific concepts; the opposite occurred with the learners given advice. Instructional support is essential for instructional games.	There was a significant knowledge gain but the level of engagement of students was low.	Game produced significantly higher continuing motivation and quicker question response, but there was no difference in descriptive knowledge learning in comparison to computer program (with no game feature).	Students with successful game performance performed better on problem-solving transfer tasks than unsuccessful students; low-ability students appeared to perform better at gaming with instructional support.
Learning Outcome	Game-based learning experience	Cognitive skill development (decision making, choice behavior, and use of logical reasoning); affect toward game-based learning	Conceptual knowledge, technical skills, and affect toward game-based learning	Conceptual	Engagement level and conceptual knowledge	Motivation and descriptive knowledge	Cognitive problem solving
Learning Environment	Higher education course work	General	Higher education course work	School and higher education	School education course work	School education course work	School education
Learning Task	Business functions	Basic cognitive skill development	Business functions	Economic education	Science education	Vocabulary skill	Non-content- related strategic planning skill
Learner	College students ages 20-30, all male	Children ages 6-10	College students	7th and 8th graders and college students	4th graders	6-8th graders identified as learning disabled	7th and 8th graders
Game Used	Business simulation game	Board game	Business simulation game	Simulation game	Massive multi- user game	Puzzle game	Strategy game
Timeframe	5-hour gaming session	I lab session	7 weeks	n/a (1 lab session)	Longitudinal	20-minute gaming session	n/a
Sample Size	12	28		182	∞	25	84
Method	Qualitative	Quantitative (experimental)	Mixed-method (case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Mixed-method (case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (correlational-causal)
Purpose	Explore instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore instructional game design, evaluate game effectiveness and the influence of learner characteristics
Study	Kiili (2007)	Ko (2002)	Leger (2006)	Leutner (1993)	Lim, Nonis, & Hedberg (2006)	Malouf (1987)	Mandinch (1987)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Students with high intrinsic motivation did not do more but demonstrated more explorative study behavior, however the learning outcomes of students with high intrinsic motivation were not better.	There was no significant effect of gaming on instant or delayed learning achievement test; gaming promoted significantly more positive attitudes than the other groups (CAI and conventional instruction).	Students in the standard-goal gaming condition learned less qualifative physics than did those in the two alternative conditions (no-goal and specific-path).	Students scored higher on retention, transfer, and program rating in narration condition than in text conditions. The medial desktop displays or had-mounted displays did not affect performance on measures retention, transfer, or program rating.	Agent-based elaborative feedback in the game facilitated learning achievements more than agent-based corrective feedback in the game, due to reductions in cognitive load.	Students learned more deeply from games when agent speaks in personalized speech rather than a non-personalized style. Presentation via head-mounted display (high immersion) did not lead to better performance on descriptive knowledge or problem solving than presentation via desktop computer (low immersion).
Learning Outcome	Descriptive knowledge	Cognitive learning achievement, retention, and attitude toward learning materials	Conceptual knowledge	Descriptive knowledge, problem solving, and affect toward learning materials	Descriptive knowledge, problem solving, and affect toward learning materials	Descriptive knowledge, problem solving, and affect toward learning materials
Learning Environment	Higher education	School	Higher education course work	Higher education course work	Higher education course work	Higher education course work
Learning Task	Game content learning	Science education	Physics: electrical interaction	Natural science	Natural science	Natural science
Learner	College students (20 years old)	6th graders	College students	College students	College students (with a mean age of 18) who are novice in subject knowledge	College students
Game Used	Simulation game	n/a	Microworld simulation game	Simulation game	Simulation game	Simulation game
Timeframe	Maximum was 3 hours	1 lab session	30-minute gaming session	1 lab session	1 lab session	1 lab session
Sample Size	33	37	24	164	104	84
Method	Quantitative (descriptive)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)
Purpose	Explore the intervention between learner characteristics and gaming	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore instructional game design	Explore instructional game design	Explore instructional game design	Explore instructional game design
Study	Martens, Gulikers, & Bastiaens (2004)	McMullen (1987)	Miller, Lehman, & Koedinger (1999)	Moreno & Mayer (2002)	Moreno (2004)	Moreno & Mayer (2004)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Game increased students' awareness toward illegal drug and their self-efficacy.	There were no significant differential effects between drill-and-practice and game on skill acquisition and artitudes, but the game format had a detrimental effect on continuing motivation.	There were no significant differential effects between drill-and-practice and game on knowledge acquisition, but the game had a facilitative effect on continuing motivation of students with low initial attitudes toward math.	Gaming led to increases in active engaged time and decreases in off-task behaviors in all subjects, all subjects also showed some improvement in math performance, but improvement was modest in comparison to conventional instruction condition.	Games increased overt rehearsal strategy use, yet no greater memory recall (in comparison to traditional), and there is no effect of game type.	Game helped all participants develop procedural knowledge gain and helped knowledge retention (in one-week follow up test).	Affective feedback to game use was very high.
Learning Outcome	Expectancy and value	Basic motor skills, attitudes toward subject, and continuing motivation	Descriptive knowledge and continuing motivation	Cognitive math performance and task engagement	Memory rehearsal strategy and recall performance	Procedural knowledge gain and retention	Affect toward game-based learning
Learning Environment	School	Special education	Special	Special education	Preschool education	Informal learning and special education	Higher education course work and workforce training
Learning Task	Drug education	Keyboarding motor skill	Math	Math	Memory- enhancing strategy	Fire safety skill	Business functions
Learner	Children ages 10-11	Learning- disabled high school students	Intermediate- level students with learning disabilities	4.6" graders with ADHD	Children ages 4-7	Children diagnosed with fetal alcohol syndrome, ages 4-7, low or average intellectual functioning	College students and company employees
Game Used	Arcade-style motorcycle action/racing game	Other (drill- and-practice game)	Other (drill- and-practice game)	n/a (educational game)	Puzzle game (with endogenous or exogenous gaming element)	Massive multi- user game	Business simulation game
Timeframe	1 lab session	7-hour gaming session	4 gaming sessions	60-80 minutes in total (4 times a week)	1 lab session	1 lab session	n/a
Sample Size	101	18	14	m	120	v	n/a
Method	Mixed-method (case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental- multiple baseline design)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (pre- /post-case series design)	Quantitative (descriptive)
Purpose	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Study	Noble, Best, Sidwell, & Strang (2000)	Okolo (1990)	Okolo (1992)	Ota & DuPaul (2002)	Oyen & Bebko (1996)	Padgett, Strickland, & Coles (2006)	Pannese & Carlesi (2007)

Table 1. continued

Findings	Games produced significant knowledge gain and attitude change (as opposed to traditional instruction); students with low SES enjoyed and learned from games especially.	No significant effect of games (with or without elements requiring visual perception) was found.	3D game promoted successful subsequent performance on 3D computer-based instructional tasks (as opposed to 2D game), suggesting the extent of recreational game influence depends on how closely the game type matches the design of the tasks in the educational software.	Game players demonstrated complex cognitive processes (e.g., general search heuristics, use of game tools, and a combined approach, metacognitive monitoring, maintaining temporal information for multitasking).	The game provided an engaging experience for participants to work with others.	Game construction promoted active engagement with the content and increased enthusiasm level.	Games promoted significant improvement in conceptual knowledge gain and retention (in comparison to conventional learning).
Learning Outcome	Descriptive, conceptual knowledge, and attitude (value)	Basic motor skill	Time on task, cognitive task performance, and cognitive/meta-cognitive/process	Cognitive	Social skill development	Affect to game- based learning	Conceptual knowledge
Learning Environment	Informal	Preschool education	School education course work	General	Informal learning	Higher education course work	School education course work
Learning Task	Health education	Non-content- related visual perception skill	Environmental education	General problem solving	Social skill development	Computer science (programming)	Physics
Learner	High school students ages 13-18	Kindergarten children in Israel age 5	School children ages 14-16	High school students ages 14-18	Children from social cognitive therapy class	College students	Secondary school students ages 15-16
Game Used	Action games	A variety of games with or without elements requiring visual perception	2D puzzle recreational game and 3D strategy recreational game	Puzzle and strategy entertainment games	Board game	Game design	Other (dialogue games)
Timeframe	30- to 40- minute session	110 minutes total (10 minutes/day for 11 days)	15-minute gaming session	п/а	5 gaming episodes	l semester	20- to 30- minute gaming session
Sample Size	718	89	36	21	∞	n/a	36
Method	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Mixed-method (quasi-experimental & qualitative cognitive task analysis)	Qualitative (cognitive task analysis)	Qualitative (case study)	Qualitative	Quantitative (experimental)
Purpose	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and the influence of learner characteristics	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore gamebased cognitive process	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game (as construction kit)	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Study	Paperny & Stam (1989)	Perzov & Kozminsky (1989)	Pillay (2002)	Pillay, Brownlee, & Wilss (1999)	Piper, O'Brien, Morris, & Winograd (2006)	Rai, Wong, & Cole (2006)	Ravenscroft & Matheson (2002)

Table 1. continued

Findings	All gaming interventions promoted three learning achievements more than the control condition, attitude-triggering elements (roleplaying and group) was necessary and sufficient to modify behavior.	Gaming promoted knowledge gain and retention significantly more than text situation, but not different from test situation; participants in gaming demonstrated significantly higher attitudes than the other two situations.	Game use had positive impact on motivation and classroom dynamics. There was significant difference between gaming group and internal control group in relation to the external control group, but no significant difference between gaming and internal control groups on cognitive learning achievement.	Teacher played important role in effective use of instructional games in classroom.	Students' affective feedback toward game use was favorable.	There was evidence of motivational effects of game.	No significant effects of the game (with conventional teaching) on math learning (in comparison to conventional teaching only) was found.	Failure to understand basic facts drove students to leam; the game can be a powerful tool for engaging learners.
Learning Outcome	Attitude (value), behavior change, and transfer of learning	Descriptive knowledge gain and retention, and attitude (value) toward subject	Cognitive learning achievement and motivation to learn	General school learning	Affect toward game-based learning	Motivation	Cognitive math learning achievement	Game-based learning experience
Learning Environment	Preschool/ general education	Military	School education course work	School education course work	Higher education course work	Musical education	School education course work	School education course work
Learning Task	Traffic safety education	Military rules	Reading, math, and spelling	n/a	Business education	Musical skills (note identification and note playing)	Math	History, geography, and political science
Learner	5-year-old children in school	Military students with a median age of 20	1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>st</sup> graders from socio-economic disadvantaged schools in Chile	Primary and secondary school teacher	College students	College piano students with motivation problem	1st graders	High school students who were academically disadvantaged
Game Used	Simulation games (with or without attitude- triggering elements)	Puzzle game	n/a	n/a	Business simulation game	Other (educational game)	Puzzle games	Massive multi-user entertainment game
Timeframe	One 3-hour gaming session	45-minute gaming session	30 hours over a 3-month period	n/a	3 weeks	5 weekly lessons	20 days	6 weeks (3 times per week, 45 minutes per session)
Sample Size	136	60 (most are male)	1,274	924	41	4	59	18
Method	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Mixed-method (experimental and case study)	Survey research	Quantitative (descriptive)	Qualitative	Quantitative (experimental)	Qualitative (case study)
Purpose	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and explore instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore gamebased learning activity/design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Study	Renaud & Suissa (1989)	Ricci, Salas, & Cannon-Bowers (1996)	Rosas et al. (2003)	Sandford, Ulicsak, Facer, & Rudd (2007)	Santos (2002)	Simms (1998)	Spivey (1985)	Squire & Barab (2004)

Table 1. continued

Gaming group outperformed the conventional instruction.	Cooperative environment resulted in better game-based learning performance than the competitive environment.	Affective feedback toward game use was favorable.	There was no significant effect of game with lecture in comparison to lecture only.	There was significant effect of the game on self-efficacy improvement.	Thirteen categories of motivational elements to play the game emerged: identity presentation, social relations, playing, learning, achievement, rewards, immersive, context, fantasy, uniqueness, creativity, curriosity, control, and ownership.	Potential of using game in classroom setting and relative issues: school infrastructure, role of teacher, classroom culture, distraction in games.	The game with no competition but contextual advisement promoted most positive attitude; and there was no significant difference between gaming and the control condition.	Game did not promote learning, neither more than conventional CAI, deaf children improved learning in conventional tradition more than in gaming.
Conceptual	Game task performance	Affect toward game-based learning	Cognitive academic achievement and attitudes toward subject	Self-efficacy	Motivation	Game-based learning experience	Attitude (value) toward subject	Descriptive and conceptual knowledge
School education course work	School education	Higher education course work	Higher education course work	School education	General	School/higher education course work	School education coursework	School education course work
Electromagnetic	General learning	Business management	Political science	Health education	Science education	Science	Math	Math and language arts
8th graders	4th graders	College students	College students	High-risk adolescents (ages 12-22)	School children	4th and 5th graders, 9th graders, and college students	7th and 8th graders ages 12- 15 in Catholic school	Children ages 7-12 (some were hearing-impaired)
3D simulation game	Other (educational game)	Business strategy game	Simulation game	Adventure game	Massive multi- user game	Massive multi- user game	Simulation/ modeling game (with pedagogical advice or competition scheme)	Other (virtual reality game)
n/a	n/a	n/a	1 lab session	1 lab session	Longitudinal	1 week	50-minute gaming session	2 weeks with 10 minutes per day
96	99	248	194	211	20	77	123	4
Mixed-method (experimental & case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Qualitative (ethnography)	Qualitative (case study)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (quasi- experimental)
Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore gamebased learning activity	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Explore gamebased motivation process	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and explore game-based learning activity design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and explore instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Squire, Barnett, Grant, & Higginbotham (2004)	Strommen (1993)	Stone (1995)	Taylor (1987)	Thomas & Cahill (1997)	Tuzun (2004)	Tuzun (2007)	Van Eck (2006)	Vogel, Greenwood- Ericksen, Cannon-Bowers, & Bowers of (2006)
	arnett, Evaluate the Mixed-method 96 n/a 3D simulation 8th graders Electromagnetic School Conceptual effectiveness of (experimental & game education knowledge than game case study)	Ham game case study)  Explore game-  Barbore game-	arnett, Evaluate the Mixed-method 96 n/a 3D simulation 8th graders effectiveness of (experimental & game game case study)  Explore game- Quantitative 56 n/a Other q <sup>th</sup> graders General School Game task based learning (experimental)  Explore game- Quantitative 56 n/a Other game)  Explore game- Quantitative 36 n/a Business College students Higher Affect toward strategy game activity  Explore game- Quantitative 248 n/a Business College students General Game-based course work learning game-based strategy game	Hander, Evaluate the Mixed-method 96 n/a 3D simulation 8th graders effectiveness of (experimental & game game case study)  Explore game- Quantitative (experimental)  Explore game- Quantitative (exp	Haming game game game game case study)  Explore game case study case st	Evaluate the Mixed-method 96 n'a 3D simulation 8th graders   Electromagnetic School   Conceptual Annual Engine   School   Conceptual Annual Engine   School   Conservation   Conservation   Summer   Conservation   Summer   Conservation   Simulation   College students   College s	Interior Evaluate the curso soff Congenitative and Conference of Confere	Control   Cont

Table 1. continued

Findings	Students whose psychological profiles exhibited significant deviation from that required to function effectively in a team were less satisfied with game use.	Learning took place as a result of simulation participation, but there was no relationship between learning and simulation performance.	Simulation play results primarily in behavioral learning, with cognitive learning playing a secondary role.	No significant effect of computer games in comparison to non-computer games.	Affective feedback was favorable and games encouraged teamwork.	There was no significant effect of gaming, but game with variable payoff resulted in increased persistence.	There was significant effect of games with lecture in comparison to lecture only, and the affective feedback was favorable.
Learning Outcome	Affect toward game-based learning	Cognitive learning achievement	Cognitive process/strategy	Descriptive knowledge and attitudes toward subject	Game-based learning experience	Problem solving and persistence	Descriptive knowledge and affect toward game-based learning
Learning Environment	Higher education course work	Higher education course work	Higher education course work	School education course work	Higher education course work	Military training	Higher education
Learning Task	Business	Business management	Business marketing	Geography	Nursing education	Electric repairs	English vocabulary
Learner	College students	College students	College students	5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> graders	Nursing students	Military	Engineering students
Game Used	Strategy simulation game	Business enterprise simulation game	Business simulation game	Adventure game	Simulation game	Simulation game	Other (online educational game)
Timeframe	Half semester	1992-1997	A semester	1 lab session	n/a	1 lab session	9 weeks
Sample Size	08	474	130	109	557		100
Method	Quantitative (descriptive and correlational-causal)	Quantitative (correlational-causal)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (descriptive)	Quantitative (experimental)	Quantitative (quasi- experimental)
Purpose	Explore the interaction between learner characteristics and game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game	Evaluate the effectiveness of game and explore instructional game design	Evaluate the effectiveness of game
Study	Walters & Others (1997)	Washbush & Gosen (2001)	Wellington, Faria, & Nulsen (1996)	Wiebe & Martin (1994)	Wildman & Reeves (1996)	Whitehill & McDonald (1993)	Yip & Kwan (2006)

game studies and are discussed with the support of exemplar studies.

# Game Research Purpose and Methodology

The empirical studies coded can be classified into five major research purposes<sup>1</sup>: (1) evaluating the effects of computer-based game on learning (65 out of 89 studies), (2) exploring effective instructional game design (17 out of 89), (3) exploring game-based learning activity or pedagogy (9 out of 89), (4) evaluating the influence of learner characteristics on game-based learning process (10 out of 89), and (5) investigating cognitive or motivational processes during game playing (4 out of 89).

# Studies on the Effects of Instructional Gaming

Studies that evaluated the effectiveness of computer-based games for learning purposes are predominant. Among these studies, 69% used quantitative design-experimental, quasi-experimental, correlational-causal, or descriptive. For example, Gopher, Weil, and Bareket (1994) investigated the effect of a flight simulation game on cadets' flight performance by randomly assigning 58 participants into two experimental conditions (gaming vs. conventional instruction). The experiment lasted 10 hours (one hour each session) and the results favored simulation game. Vogel, Greenwood-Ericksen, Cannon-Bowers, and Bowers (2006a) examined the difference between virtual reality games and conventional computer-assisted instruction in promoting math and language arts learning. They assigned 44 primary school students (in intact class unit) to two experimental conditions (lasting two weeks with 10 minute/day) and reported that there was no significant effect of games. Greenfield, Camaioni, Ercolani, and Weiss (1994) used onegroup design in their game study and discovered

that there was no significant correlation between college students' successful game performance and their achievement in scientific-technical discovery. Johnson (1993) surveyed 446 instructional game players after a six-minute gaming session and reported that game promoted significant self-efficacy.

Among the studies examining the effects of games, about 15% employed mixed-method design and another 15% were qualitative ethnography or case study. For example, Barab et al. (2007) evaluated the effects of a massive multiplayer online game on 28 fourth graders with both quantitative pre- and post-tests and qualitative in-field observation. Conversely, Piper, O'Brien, Morris, and Winograd (2006) reported a positive effect of a cooperative tabletop computer game for social skills development only with a thick, qualitative description.

In terms of results, 34 out of the 65 game effectiveness studies reported significant positive effects of computer-based game, 17 reported mixed results (instructional games facilitated certain learning outcomes but not the others), 12 reported no difference between computer games and conventional instruction, and only one study (Christensen & Gerber, 1990) reported conventional instruction as more effective than computer games.

It should be noted that in these 65 studies, computer games were compared with conventional instructions either as a stand-alone pedagogical instrument (e.g., Abbey, 1993; Bahr & Rieth, 1989; Cameron & Dwyer, 2005; Goldsworthy, Barab, & Goldsworthy, 2000) or as a drilling tool complementing the conventional instruction (e.g., Taylor, 1987; Gremmen & Potters, 1997; Yip & Kwan, 2006). In addition, less than 50% of the game evaluation studies were longitudinal; most of them lasted no more than two hours. This finding is in agreement with the claim by Emes (1997) that more longitudinal studies were still needed for game effectiveness evaluation. Another notable pattern is that qualitative studies tend to report

positive effects of instructional games; few of them describe games' negative aspects.

# Studies on Instructional Game Design

Among the 17 studies on game design, 10 are quantitative, three are qualitative, and the remainder are mixed-method. The examined game design features include pedagogical agent within a game, game playing group dynamics, games' goal condition (having specified goal or not), games' interface format (verbal narration, text, personalized speech or not), feedback type (elaborative or not), alignment of game-play and learning task, attitudes-triggering elements (grouping and competition), reward mechanism (at fixed or variable interval), complexity and authenticity level, richness of storyline, and the sort.

Most game design studies indicate significant results. A common finding extracted from these design studies is that instructional support features are a necessary part of instructional computer games. The studies generally conclude that learners without instructional support in game will learn to play the game rather than learn domain-specific knowledge embedded in the game (Leutner, 1993; Mandinch, 1987).

# Studies on Game-Based Pedagogy

In this category of game studies, the researchers generally explore how game-based learning activities should be organized or administered, or how a game-based *external* learning environment should be constructed. For instance, Anderson (2005) examined how team dynamics, such as cohesiveness and heterogeneity, influenced team playing in a business enterprise simulation game and hence individuals' performance and attitudes toward game use. Bahr and Reith (1989), Ke and Grabowski (2006), and Strommen (1993) investigated whether the game-based learning goal structures-cooperative, competitive, and individu-

alistic-influenced learning outcomes. Sandford, Ulicsak, Facer, and Rudd (2007) reported that teachers' facilitation played an important role in an effective use of instructional games in the classroom. These studies assert that the investigation on computer games for learning should focus on how games can be carefully aligned with sound pedagogical strategies or learning conditions to be beneficial.

## Studies on Learner Characteristics

Only 10 out of 89 game studies examine the variable of learner characteristics; this confirms the finding by Dempsey et al. (1996) that studies on the interaction of learner characteristics and instructional game usage are limited. Among the studies reviewed, gender is the most examined learner characteristic. Some research (e.g., De Jean, Upitis, Koch, & Young, 1999; Inal & Cagiltay, 2007) has reported gender difference in terms of game-based learning performance and game design preference, while other research (e.g., Forsyth, 1986; Haynes, 2000; Ke & Grabowski, 2007) has not. Interestingly, the studies reporting gender difference are qualitative in nature, while those failing to find gender difference are mostly experimental and comparative in nature. A potential proposition extracted may be that gender influences game-play and learning processes more than learning outcomes.

Learner psychological profile or cognitive style (Walters et al., 1997; Cameron & Dwyer, 2005) is another examined characteristic variable. Generally, prior studies have reported that individuals' cognitive styles influence their performance in game-based team playing, yet failed to indicate the effect of cognitive styles on game-based individual learning.

In addition, learners with a lower socio-economic status and lower ability have been reported as enjoying games most (Paperny & Starn, 1989; Ke & Grabowski, 2007). Conversely, there is

evidence suggesting learners with lower ability have difficulty extracting target knowledge from games (Mandinch, 1987).

# Studies on Game-Based Cognitive or Motivation Processes

In the four studies that examined game-based cognitive processes (Alkan & Cagiltay, 2007; Cauzinille-Marmeche & Mathieu, 1989; Pillay, Brownlee, & Wilss, 1999; Pillay, 2002), gamebased cognition is a graduate development from random trial-and-error strategy, general deductive reasoning, rule-based learning, purposeful tools usage, to a combined approach. There is also a record of game-based metacognitive self-planning and regulation processes, yet the evidence is descriptive and anecdotal. Tuzun (2004) explored game-based motivation process and found 13 core components of game-facilitated motivation: identity presentation, social relations, playing, learning, achievement, rewards, immersive, context, fantasy, uniqueness, creativity, curiosity, control, and ownership. Although the games used in these types of studies are not necessarily instructional in nature, the results on game-based cognitive or motivational processes address the question as to whether games are a potential anchor to activate learners' cognitive, metacognitive, and motivational processes.

# Learning

As the analysis results indicate, game studies involve a variety of learning settings: informal learning, kindergarten/preschool education, elementary education, secondary education, adult education, business management, military, and healthcare. Business management education seems to be the one associated with the most prevalent positive outcomes.

Learning subject areas in game studies comprise science education, math, language arts, reading, physics, health, natural science, science, and non-content-related social skill and general problem-solving skill development. Although Randel et al. (1992) suggested that a breakdown of the available studies by subject matter reveals that some knowledge domains (i.e., math, physics, and language arts) are particularly suited to games, this pattern is not evident in the current analysis.

Cognitive learning outcomes in those reviewed studies consist of basic motor skill (e.g., Horn, Jones, & Hamlett, 1991), descriptive knowledge (e.g., Bartholomew et al., 2000), conceptual knowledge (e.g., Conati & Zhao, 2004), problem solving (e.g., Moreno, 2004), and general cognitive strategies (e.g., Cauzinille-Marmeche & Mathieu, 1989). An interesting pattern is that games seem to foster higher-order thinking (e.g., planning and reasoning) more than factual or verbal knowledge acquisition, which sustains the finding of Dempsey et al. (1996). Importantly, it should be noted that few game studies directly measured metacognitive process or outcome.

Affective learning outcomes, involving self-efficacy, value (or attitudes toward subject content learning), affective feedback toward game use, and continuing motivation (or persistence), are present in many game studies. Generally, instructional computer games seem to facilitate motivation across different learner groups and learning situations. This finding is in agreement with Vogel et al.'s (2006) quantitative meta-analysis conclusion that the effect size of games vs. traditional teaching methods is highly reliable for attitude outcomes.

#### Learners

In this analysis, school children and college students are predominant among the targeted learner groups. Fewer studies focus on adult learners, especially the elderly. Studies regarding games for learners with disabilities typically report significant positive effects of computer games on their learning performance (e.g., Horn et al.,

1991; Inal & Cagiltay, 2007; Ota & DuPaul, 2002; Padgett, Strickland, & Coles, 2006). This finding suggests that computer games can be a powerful instructional intervention in special education.

# Intervention: Game Genre and Features

Games used in these studies demonstrate a high heterogeneity and can be classified as simulations, puzzles, adventures, board games, action games, strategy games, and business simulation games. These games are different in terms of game genre, media format (2D or 3D), timeframe, game-play design, and instructional support features. Since all of these game features can potentially influence the effectiveness of a game for learning purposes, it is difficult to quantify and synthesize the impact of games across different studies to create a standard effect size, especially when certain gaming studies failed to clearly describe their gaming treatments.

# **FUTURE TRENDS**

This grounded meta-analysis implicates a list of propositions on the future practice and research of instructional gaming. These propositions, with the support of synthesis findings, are discussed below.

# Implications on Future Instructional Gaming Policy and Practice

As the analysis indicates, the learning outcomes achieved through computer games depend largely on how educationalists align learning (i.e., learning subject areas and learning purposes), learner characteristics, and game-based pedagogy with the design of an instructional game. Out of the 89 coded gaming studies, 36 (40%) have investigated the influential role of learning purposes, learner characteristics, game-based pedagogy, and

instructional game features; they generally assert the significant effects of these mediating factors on game-based learning outcomes. Additionally, there is a trend that instructional gaming may serve certain levels of learning objectives (e.g., higherorder thinking and affective outcomes) better than the others (e.g., factual knowledge acquisition) or serve certain learners (e.g., learners with disabilities) better than others. Therefore, educationalists should more frequently ask how (as opposed to whether) games can be incorporated into learning environments. Rather than using games in a oneshot and decontextualized manner, educationalists should take a comprehensive diagnostic approach to identify and measure multiple influential factors in a game-based learning environment, thus deciding how to use games effectively or when to use games.

The analysis results also implicate a careful design of external and internal instructional support features for gaming application, especially when the games are used for factual knowledge development or learners who have lower prior ability and have difficulty extracting target knowledge from games. External instructional support can be provided using teacher facilitation, good team dynamics, or structured cooperative learning/playing (Anderson, 2005; Bahr & Reith, 1989; Ke & Grabowski, 2006; Sandford et al., 2007). Internal instructional support features, as the prior studies suggest, are a necessary part of instructional games and should be embedded within a game through elaborative feedback, pedagogical agent, and multimodal information presentation (Cameron & Dwyer, 2005; Conati & Zhao, 2004; Forsyth, 1986; Moreno & Mayer, 2002; Moreno, 2004).

The current analysis demonstrates that instructional gaming can be used in multiple educational settings that range from informal, community learning to school education. There is no evidence to suggest that gaming is favorable for certain educational settings but not others. Therefore, educational policymakers are encouraged to con-

sider using games as a learning tool in situations both within and beyond the classroom.

# Implications on Future Gaming Research

Consistent with the finding of previous gaming reviews, this analysis indicates that the empirical research on instructional gaming is fragmented by research variables (i.e., research purpose and methodology), administrative variables (i.e., learning setting), learner variables, procedural variables (i.e., game-based pedagogy), and game variables (e.g., game genre and media). It is proposed that instead of adopting one-shot, incoherent experiments, future gaming research should take a systematic, comprehensive approach to examine dynamics governing the relations among multiple influential variables in a game-based learning system.

In addition, it is found that the empirical research on instructional gaming tends to focus on traditional learner groups while ignoring adult learners, especially the elderly. Hence more evaluation studies should be conducted to measure the effects of games in adult education.

Finally, instructional gaming researchers should provide clear descriptions on games used and game application contexts when reporting their game evaluation results. Without knowing the specifics of every game application, the literature reviewers will have difficulty synthesizing the impact of games across different studies using explicit decision rules.

# CONCLUSION

This chapter reports a grounded meta-analysis with 89 empirical studies on instructional gaming. Research features and findings of these empirical studies are synthesized qualitatively under standard coding rules. The four recurring themes (gaming research purpose and methodology,

learning, learner, and instructional game intervention) have been extracted from the analysis to outline the four clusters of influential factors that weigh in the evaluation of instructional gaming. It is argued that the best models or best practices of designing and applying instructional gaming would form by carefully aligning and integrating the three clusters of key variables-learning, learner, and instructional game design.

## NOTE

A single study may serve multiple research purposes.

## **REFERENCES**

Abbey, B.G. (1993, February). The impact of computer instruction on the near transfer and far transfer of a general problem solving strategy. Dissertation, University of North Texas, USA.

Alkan, S., & Cagiltay, K. (2007). Studying computer game learning experience through eye tracking. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *38*(3), 538-542.

Anderson, J.R. (2005). The relationship between student perceptions of team dynamics and simulation game outcomes: An individual-level analysis. *Journal of Education for Business*, 81(2), 85-90.

Bahr, C.M., & Rieth, H.J. (1989). The effects of instructional computer games and drill and practice software on learning disabled students' mathematics achievement. *Computers in School*, 6(3-4), 87-101.

Barab, S., Sadler, T., Heiselt, C., Hickey, D., & Zuiker, S. (2007). Relating narrative, inquiry, and inscriptions: Supporting consequential play. *Journal of Science Education & Technology*, 16(1), 59-82.

Barker, J., Brinkman, L., & Deardorff, M. (1995). Computer intervention for adolescent children of divorce. *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage*, 23(3), 197-213.

Bartholomew, L.K., Gold, R.S., Parcel, G.S., Czyzewski, D.I., Sockrider, M.M., Fernandez, M. et al. (2000). Watch, discover, think, and act: Evaluation of computer-assisted instruction to improve asthma self-management in inner-city children. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 39(2-3), 269-280.

Ben-Zvi, T. (2007). Using business games in teaching DSS. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 18(1), 113-124.

Betz, J.A. (1996). Computer games: Increase learning in an interactive multidisciplinary environment. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 24(2), 195-205.

Bottino, R.M., & Ott, M. (2006). Mind games, reasoning skills, and the primary school curriculum. *Learning, Media, & Technology, 31*(4), 359-375.

Brown, J.S., Collins, A., & Duguid, P. (1989). Situated cognition and the culture of learning. *Educational Researcher*, *18*(1), 32-42.

Bright, G.W. (1988). Time-on-task in computer and non-computer estimation games. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 7(4), 41-46.

Cahill, J.M. (1994). Health works: Interactive AIDS education videogames. *Computers in Human Services, 11*(1), 159-176.

Cameron, B., & Dwyer, F. (2005). The effect of online gaming, cognition and feedback type in facilitating delayed achievement of different learning objectives. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, 16(3), 243-258.

Chang, L.-J., Yang, J.-C., Chan, T.-W., & Yu, F.-Y. (2003). Development and evaluation of multiple competitive activities in a synchronous quiz game

system. *Innovations in Education & Teaching International*, 40(1), 16-26.

Chen, G.-D., Shen, G.-Y., Ou, K.-L., & Liu, B.-J. (1998). Promoting motivation and eliminating disorientation for Web based courses by a multiuser game.

Childress, M.D., & Braswell, R. (2006). Using massively multiplayer online role-playing games for online learning. *Distance Education*, *27*(2), 187-196.

Choi, J.I., & Hannafin, M. (1995). Situated cognition and learning environments: Roles, structures, and implications for design. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 43(2), 53-69.

Christensen, C.A., & Gerber, M.M. (1990). Effectiveness of computerized drill and practice games in teaching basic math facts. *Exceptionality*, *I*(3), 149-165.

Clark, J., & Paivio, A. (1991). *Dual coding theory and education* (Educational Psychology Review, Historical Archive). Plenum.

Conati, C., & Zhao, X. (2004). Building and evaluating an intelligent pedagogical agent to improve the effectiveness of an educational game. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces* (pp. 6-13), Funchal, Madeira, Portugal. Retrieved from *http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/964442.964446* 

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). Flow: The psychology of optimal experience. New York: Harper & Row.

De Jean, J., Upitis, R., Koch, C., & Young, J. (1999). The story of "phoenix quest": How girls respond to a prototype language and mathematics computer game. *Gender and Education*, 11(2), 207-223.

Dempsey, J.V., Rasmussen, K., & Lucassen, B. (1996). Instructional gaming: Implications for instructional technology. *Proceedings of the Annual* 

Meeting of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology, Nashville, TN.

Dempsey, J.V., Haynes, L.L., Lucassen, B.A., & Casey, M.S. (2002). Forty simple computer games and what they could mean to educators. *33*(2), 157-168.

Doyle, D., & Brown, F.W. (2000). Using a business simulation to teach applied skills: The benefits and the challenges of using student teams from multiple countries. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 24(6/7), 330-336.

Eggen, D., & Kauchak, D. (1996). Strategies for teachers: Teaching content and thinking skills. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Emes, C.E. (1997). Is Mr. Pac Man eating our children? A review of the effect of video games on children. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 42(4), 409-414.

Facer, K., Joiner, R., Stanton, D., Reid, J., Hull, R., & Kirk, D. (2004). Savannah: Mobile gaming and learning? *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *20*(6), 399-409.

Forsyth, A.S. Jr. (1986, April 16-20). Applications of microcomputer search-type adventure games in research on spatial orientation, place location, and memory for places. *Proceedings of the 67<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association*, San Francisco, CA.

Foss, B.A., & Eikaas, T.I. (2006). Game play in engineering education-concept and experimental results. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 22(5), 1043-1052.

Garris, R., Ahlers, R., & Driskell, J.E. (2002). Games, motivation, and learning: A research and practice model. *Simulation & Gaming*, *33*(4), 441.

Gillam, R.B. (1999). Treatment for temporal processing deficits: Computer-assisted language intervention using Fast ForWord®: Theoretical

and empirical considerations for clinical decision-making. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 30*(4), 363-370.

Gee, J.P. (2003). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Goldsworthy, R.C., Barab, S.A., & Goldsworthy, E.L. (2000). The STAR project: Enhancing adolescents' social understanding through videobased, multimedia scenarios. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, *15*(2), 13-26.

Goodman, D., Bradley, N.L., Paras, B., Williamson, I.J., & Bizzochi, J. (2006). Video gaming promotes concussion knowledge acquisition in youth hockey players. *Journal of Adolescence*, 29(3), 351-360.

Gopher, D., Weil, M., & Bareket, T. (1994). Transfer of skill from a computer game trainer to flight. *Human Factors*, *36*(3), 387-405.

Grabe, M., & Dosmann, M. (1988). The potential of adventure games for the development of reading and study skills. *Journal of Computer-Based Instruction*, 15(2), 72-77.

Gredler, M.E. (1996). Games and simulations and their relationships to learning. In D.H. Jonassen (Ed.), *Handbook of Research for Educational Communications and Technology* (pp. 571-603). Association for Educational Communications and Technology.

Greenfield, P.M., Camaioni, L., Ercolani, P., & Weiss, L. (1994). Cognitive socialization by computer games in two cultures: Inductive discovery or mastery of an iconic code? *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 15(1), 59-85.

Gremmen, H., & Potters, J. (1997). Assessing the efficacy of gaming in economic education. *Journal of Economic Education*, 28(4), 291-303.

Halttunen, K., & Sormunen, E. (2000). Learning information retrieval through an educational

game. Is gaming sufficient for learning? *Education* for *Information*, 18(4), 289.

Haynes, L.C. (2000). Gender differences in the use of a computer-based mathematics game: Strategies, motivation, and beliefs about mathematics and computers. *ProQuest Information & Learning*, 60(9), 3328-3624.

Hays, R.T. (2005). The effectiveness of instructional games: A literature review and discussion. Retrieved May 10, 2006, from http://adlcommunity.net/file.php/23/GrooveFiles/Instr\_Game\_Review\_Tr\_2005.pdf

Henderson, L., Klemes, J., & Eshet, Y. (2000). Just playing a game? *Educational Simulation Software* and Cognitive Outcomes, 22(1), 105-129.

Hogle, J.G. (1996). Considering games as cognitive tools: In search of effective "edutainment." Retrieved January 12, 2005, from ERIC ED 425737.

Horn, E., Jones, H.A., & Hamlett, C. (1991). An investigation of the feasibility of a video game system for developing scanning and selection skills. *Journal of the Association for Persons with Severe Handicaps*, 16(2), 108-115.

Hossler, D., & Scalese-Love, P. (1989). Grounded meta-analysis: A guide for research synthesis. *Review of Higher Education*, *13*(1), 1-28.

Inal, Y., & Cagiltay, K. (2007). Flow experiences of children in an interactive social game environment. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *38*(3), 455-464.

Johnson, C.W. (1993). Effectiveness of a computer-based AIDS education game: BlockAIDS. Retrieved April 10, 2007, from ERIC ED 363277.

Ju, E., & Wagner, C. (1997). Personal computer adventure games: Their structure, principles, and applicability for training. *SIGMIS Database*, 28(2), 78-92. Retrieved from http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/264701.264707

Kafai, Y.B., & Ching, C.C. (1996). Meaningful contexts for mathematical learning: The potential of game making activities *Proceedings of the 1996 International Conference on Learning Sciences* (pp. 164-171).

Kambouri, M., Thomas, S.N., & Mellar, H. (2006). Playing the literacy game: A case study in adult education. *Learning, Media, & Technology, 31*(4), 395-410.

Kashibuchi, M., & Sakamoto, A. (2001). The educational effectiveness of a simulation/game in sex education. *Simulation & Gaming, 32*(3), 331-343.

Ke, F., & Grabowski, B. (2007). Gameplaying for maths learning: Cooperative or not? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(2), 249-259.

Kenkel, P., & Others, A. (1996). Designing an international joint venture negotiation game. *Simulation & Gaming*, *27*(3), 316-331.

Kiili, K. (2005). Content creation challenges and flow experience in educational games: The IT-Emperor case. *Internet & Higher Education*, 8(3), 183-198.

Kiili, K. (2007). Foundation for problem-based gaming. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(3), 394-404.

Ko, S. (2002). An empirical analysis of children's thinking and learning in a computer game context. *Educational Psychology, 22*(2), 219-233.

Kutnick, P. (1997). Computer-based problem-solving: The effects of group composition and social skills on a cognitive, joint action task. *Educational Research*, 39(2), 135-147.

Laurel, B. (1991). *Computers as theatre*. Boston: Addison-Wesley Longman.

Leemkuil, H., de Jong, T., & Ootes, S. (2000). *Review of educational use of games and simulations*. Knowledge Management Interactive Training System Project No. IST-1999-13078,

University of Twente, The Netherlands. Retrieved August 1, 2006, from http://kits.edte.utwente.nl/documents/D1.pdf

Leger, P.-M. (2006). Using a simulation game approach to teach enterprise resource planning concepts. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 17(4), 441-447.

Leutner, D. (1993). Guided discovery learning with computer-based simulation games: Effects of adaptive and non-adaptive instructional support. *3*(2), 113-132.

Light, R.J., & Pillemer, D.B. (1982). Numbers and narrative: Combining their strengths in research reviews. *Harvard Educational Review*, *52*(1), 1-26.

Lim, C.P., Nonis, D., & Hedberg, J. (2006). Gaming in a 3D multi-user virtual environment: Engaging students in science lessons. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *37*(2), 211-231.

Malone, T.W. (1981). What makes computer games fun? *Byte*, *6*, 258-277.

Malouf, D.B. (1987). The effect of instructional computer games on continuing student motivation. *Journal of Special Education*, 21(4), 27-38.

Malouf, D.B. (1988). The effect of instructional computer games on continuing student motivation. *21*(4), 27-38.

Mandinach, E.B., & Corno, L. (1985). Cognitive engagement variations among students of different ability level and sex in a computer problem solving game. *Sex Roles*, *13*(3-4), 241-251.

Mandinach, E.B. (1987). Clarifying the 'A' in CAI for learners of different abilities. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, *3*(1), 113-128.

Martens, R., Gulikers, J., & Bastiaens, T. (2004). The impact of intrinsic motivation on e-learning in authentic computer tasks. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 20(5), 368-376.

Mayer, R.E. (1998). Cognitive, metacognitive, and motivational aspects of problem solving. *Instructional Science*, 26(1-2), 49-63.

McMullen, D.W. (1987). *Drills vs. games—any differences? A pilot study.* 

Michelsen, E., Zaff, J.F., & Hair, E.C. (2002). *Civic engagement programs and youth development: A synthesis*. Washington, DC: Child Trends.

Miller, C.S., Lehman, J.F., & Koedinger, K.R. (1999). Goals and learning in microworlds. *Cognitive Science: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 23(3), 305-336.

Moreno, R. (2004). Decreasing cognitive load for novice students: Effects of explanatory versus corrective feedback in discovery-based multimedia. *Instructional Science*, *32*(1/2), 99-113.

Moreno, R., & Mayer, R.E. (2002). Learning science in virtual reality multimedia environments: Role of methods and media. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(3), 598-610.

Moreno, R., & Mayer, R.E. (2004). Personalized messages that promote science learning in virtual environments. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *96*(1), 165-173.

Noble, A., Best, D., Sidwell, C., & Strang, J. (2000). Is an arcade-style computer game an effective medium for providing drug education to schoolchildren? *Education for Health: Change in Learning & Practice*, 13(3), 404-406.

Noblitt, G.W., & Hare, R.D. (1988). *Meta-ethnog-raphy: Synthesizing qualitative studies*. London: Sage.

Okolo, C.M., & Others, A. (1990). Learning disabled students' acquisition of keyboarding skills and continuing motivation under drill-and-practice and game conditions. *Learning Disabilities Research*, *5*(2), 100-109.

Okolo, C.M. (1992). The effect of computer-assisted instruction format and initial attitude on

the arithmetic facts proficiency and continuing motivation of students with learning disabilities. *Exceptionality: A Research Journal*, *3*(4), 195-211.

Ota, K.R., & DuPaul, G.J. (2002). Task engagement and mathematics performance in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: Effects of supplemental computer instruction. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 17(3), 242-257.

Oyen, A.-S., & Bebko, J.M. (1996). The effects of computer games and lesson contexts on children's mnemonic strategies. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 62(2), 173-189.

Padgett, L.S., Strickland, D., & Coles, C.D. (2006). Case study: Using a virtual reality computer game to teach fire safety skills to children diagnosed with fetal alcohol syndrome. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 31(1), 65-70.

Pannese, L., & Carlesi, M. (2007). Games and learning come together to maximize effectiveness: The challenge of bridging the gap. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *38*(3), 438-454.

Paperny, D.M., & Starn, J.R. (1989). Adolescent pregnancy prevention by health education computer games: Computer-assisted instruction of knowledge and attitudes. *Pediatrics*, 83(5), 742.

Perzov, A., & Kozminsky, E. (1989). The effect of computer games practice on the development of visual perception skills in kindergarten children. *Computers in the Schools*, *6*(3-4), 113-122.

Pillay, H. (2002). An investigation of cognitive processes engaged in by recreational computer game players: Implications for skills of the future. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 34(3), 336-350.

Pillay, H., Brownlee, J., & Wilss, L. (1999). Cognition and recreational computer games: Implications for educational technology. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 32(1), 203.

Piper, A.M., O'Brien, E., Morris, M.R., & Winograd, T. (2006). SIDES: A cooperative tabletop computer game for social skills development. *Proceedings of the 20th Anniversary Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work* (pp. 1-10), Banff, Alberta, Canada. Retrieved from <a href="http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1180875.1180877">http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1180875.1180877</a>

Pintrich, P.R., & De Groot, E.V. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82(1), 33-40.

Prensky, M. (2001). *Digital game-based learning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Provost, J.A. (1990). *Work, play, and type: Achieving balance in your life*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologist Press.

Rai, S., Wong, K.W., & Cole, P. (2006). Game construction as a learning tool. *Proceedings of the 2006 International Conference on Game Research and Development* (pp. 231-236), Perth, Australia.

Randel, J.M., Morris, B., Wetzel, C., & Whitehill, B. (1992). The effectiveness of games for educational purposes: A review of recent research. *Simulation & Gaming*, *23*(3), 261.

Ravenscroft, A., & Matheson, M.P. (2002). Developing and evaluating dialogue games for collaborative e-learning. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18(1), 93-101.

Renaud, L., & Suissa, S. (1989). Evaluation of the efficacy of simulation games in traffic safety education of kindergarten children. *American Journal of Public Health*, 79(3), 307-309.

Rieber, L.P. (1996). Seriously considering play: Designing interactive learning environments based on the blending of microworlds, simulations, and games. *Educational Technology, Research, and Development*, 44(1), 43-58.

Ricci, K.E., Salas, E., & Cannon-Bowers, J.A. (1996). Do computer-based games facilitate knowledge acquisition and retention? *Military Psychology*, 8(4), 295-307.

Robertson, J., & Good, J. (2005). Children's narrative development through computer game authoring. *TechTrends: Linking Research & Practice to Improve Learning*, 49(5), 43-59.

Salomon, G., Perkins, D.N., & Globerson, T. (1991). Partners in cognition: Extending human intelligence with intelligent technologies. *Educational Researcher*, 20(3), 2-9.

Sandford, R., Ulicsak, M., Facer, K., & Rudd, T. (2007). Teaching with games. *Learning, Media & Technology, 32*(1), 101-105.

Santos, J. (2002). Developing and implementing an Internet-based financial system simulation game. *Journal of Economic Education*, *33*(1), 31-40.

Shaffer, D.W. (2006). Epistemic frames for epistemic games. *Computers & Education*, 46(3), 223-234.

Simms, B.E. (1998). The effects of an educational computer game on motivation to learn basic musical skills: A qualitative study. *ProQuest Information & Learning*, 59(3-A), 766.

Slavin, R.E. (1986). Best-evidence synthesis: An alternative to meta-analytic and traditional reviews. *Educational Researchers*, *15*(9), 5-11.

Spivey, P.M. (1985). The effects of computer-assisted instruction on student achievement in addition and subtraction at first-grade level.

Squire, K., & Barab, S. (2004). Replaying history: Engaging urban underserved students in learning world history through computer simulation games. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Learning Sciences* (pp. 505-512). Santa Monica, CA: International Society of the Learning Sciences.

Squire, K., Barnett, M., Grant, J.M., & Higginbotham, T. (2004). Electromagnetism supercharged! Learning physics with digital simulation games. *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Learning Sciences* (pp. 513-520). Santa Monica, CA: International Society of the Learning Sciences.

Stone, R.A. (1995). The business strategy game: Faculty experiences and student perceptions. *Journal of Management Education*, 19(2), 281-290.

Strommen, E.F. (1993). "Does yours eat leaves?" Cooperative learning in an educational software task. *Journal of Computing in Childhood Education*, *4*(1), 45-56.

Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, *12*, 257-285.

Taylor, M. (1987). The implementation and evaluation of a computer simulation game in a university course. *Journal of Experimental Education*, *55*(2), 108-115.

Thomas, R., & Cahill, J. (1997). Using an interactive computer game to increase skill and self-efficacy regarding safer sex. *Health Education & Behavior*, 24(1), 71-86.

Tuzun, H. (2007). Blending video games with learning: Issues and challenges with classroom implementations in the Turkish context. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *38*(3), 465-477.

Tzeng, S.-C. (2000). Optimizing challenges and skills in the design of an educational computer game and exploring adolescents' gaming beliefs. *ProQuest Information & Learning*, 60(11), 3894.

Van Eck, R. (2006). The effect of contextual pedagogical advisement and competition on middle-school students' attitude toward mathematics and

mathematics instruction using a computer-based simulation game. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics & Science Teaching*, 25(2), 165-195.

Vogel, J.J., Greenwood-Ericksen, A., Cannon-Bowers, J., & Bowers, C.A. (2006a). Using virtual reality with and without gaming attributes for academic achievement. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 39(1), 105-118.

Vogel, J.F., Vogel, D.S., Cannon-Bowers, J., Bowers, C.A., Muse, K., & Wright, M. (2006b). Computer gaming and interactive simulations for learning: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 34(3), 229-243.

Walters, B.A., & Others, A. (1997). Simulation games in business policy courses: Is there value for students? *72*(3), 170-174.

Washbush, J., & Gosen, J. (2001). An exploration of game-derived learning in total enterprise simulations. *Simulation & Gaming*, 32(3), 281.

Wegerif, R., Littleton, K., & Jones, A. (2003). Stand-alone computers supporting learning dialogues in primary classrooms. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 39(8), 851-860.

Wellington, W., Faria, A.J., & Nulsen, R.O. Jr. (1996). An empirical investigation into the nature of the learning process in a computer-based simulation game. *Marketing Education Review*, 6(3), 15-36.

Whitehill, B.V., & McDonald, B.A. (1993). Improving learning persistence of military personnel by enhancing motivation in a technical training program. *Simulation & Gaming*, 24(3), 294-313.

Wiebe, J.H., & Martin, N.J. (1994). The impact of computer-based adventure game achievement and attitudes in geography. *Journal of Computing in Childhood Education*, *5*(1), 61-71.

Wildman, S., & Reeves, M. (1996). The utilization and evaluation of a simulation game in pre-regis-

tration nurse education. *Nurse Education Today,* 16(5), 334-339.

Wolfe, J. (1997). The effectiveness of business games in strategic management course work. *Simulation & Gaming*, 28(4), 360-376.

Yip, F.W.M., & Kwan, A.C.M. (2006). Online vocabulary games as a tool for teaching and learning English vocabulary. *Educational Media International*, *43*(3), 233-249.

# **KEY TERMS**

**Effect Size:** A name given to a family of indices that measure the magnitude of a treatment effect.

Game Genre: Computer games are categorized into genres based on their game-play. Due to a general lack of commonly agreed-upon criteria for the definition of genres, classification of games is not always consistent.

**Game Play:** In computer game terminology, used to describe the overall experience of playing the game. It refers to "what player does."

**Grounded Theory:** A qualitative research method that uses a systematic set of procedures to develop an inductively derived theory about a phenomenon. The primary objective of grounded theory is to expand upon an explanation of a phenomenon by identifying the key elements of that phenomenon, and then categorizing the relationships of those elements to the context and process of the experiment.

**Instructional Support Features:** Instructional support, or "instructional overlay," is the component that serves to optimize learning and motivation within a multimedia learning environment, such as a simulation or game.

**Simulation:** A computer simulation is a computer program that attempts to simulate an abstract model of a particular system.

**Simulation Game:** A game that contains a mixture of skill, chance, and strategy to simulate an aspect of reality, or a simulation that has a game structure imposed on the system.