

# Robust Regression: Campbell's Method & Monte Carlo Simulation

---

A PROJECT PRESENTATION BY :

SIDHARTH KUMAR

16BM6P45

RAHUL KUSHWAHA

16BM6JP30

GAURAV KUNAL JAISWAL

13AE30022

# Introduction

---

Problem : Weights of weighted regression

Objective: To find the outliers and determine the weights for the regression.

Approach : Campbell's Robust Covariance Matrix and Monte Carlo Simulations

# Methodology

---

## Campbell's Robust Covariance Matrix

- Weighted mean vector and covariance matrix.
- Mahalanobis distance as a measure of deviation from the center.
- Update the weight in each iteration.
- Use Moore –Penrose inverse incase matrix is not invertible.

## Detect outliers

- Using the weights

Use weights to do weighted least square regression.

Verification using Monte Carlo Simulations

# Campbell's Method

---

Initialize weights to 1

Define  $b_1 = 2$ ,  $b_2 = 1.25$ ,  $m$  = number of features,  $n$  = number of data points

Define  $d_0 = \text{sqrt}(m) + b_1 / \text{sqrt}(2)$

Repeat ( till 1000 steps or cosine similarity between  $(\omega_{\text{new}}, \omega_{\text{old}}) = 1$ )

{

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i x_i / \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i$$

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2 (x_i - \bar{x})' (x_i - \bar{x}) / [\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2 - 1]$$

$$d_i = \{(x_i - \bar{x}) S^{-1} (x_i - \bar{x})'\}^{1/2}$$

$$\omega_i = \frac{\omega(d_i)}{d_i}; i = 1, n: \omega(d_i) = d_i \text{ if } d_i < d_0 \text{ else } \omega(d_i) = d_0 \exp\left[-\frac{0.5(d_i - d_0)^2}{b_2^2}\right]$$

}

# Application

---

## Data set generation

- $y = 8.7 + 15.5 * X_1 + 0.1 * X_2 + 4.6 * X_3 + 0.3 * X_4 + 11.5 * X_5 + \varepsilon$
- Outliers data points injected = 9, 23, 35

## Perform ordinary least square regression

## Perform Campbell's Robust Regression method

- Find outliers
- Regression coefficients

# Results

## OLS Coefficients :

```
Coefficients:
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 197.1820    44.8822   4.393 6.94e-05 ***
x1m          5.0026     1.3421   3.727 0.000549 ***
x2m          0.8435     2.1933   0.385 0.702401
x3m          1.4887     1.2849   1.159 0.252844
x4m         -3.4928     1.3232  -2.640 0.011436 *
x5m         11.6659     2.5865   4.510 4.77e-05 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 17.34 on 44 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.5661,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.5168
F-statistic: 11.48 on 5 and 44 DF,  p-value: 3.973e-07
```

## Robust Regressions Coefficients:

```
Coefficients:
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)   8.92021    2.78368   3.204 0.00252 **
x1m          15.48101    0.09324 166.027 < 2e-16 ***
x2m           0.10102    0.09248   1.092 0.28062
x3m           4.59987    0.10845  42.416 < 2e-16 ***
x4m           0.29719    0.09444   3.147 0.00296 **
x5m          11.50014    0.10909 105.421 < 2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.7275 on 44 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.9992,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.9991
F-statistic: 1.143e+04 on 5 and 44 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

## Outliers points detected: 9, 23, 35

- Corresponding Weights after 1000 iterations : 7.623219e-04, 2.591972e-12, 2.011003e-16

# Monte Carlo Simulations

---

Artificially generated 40 points using  $Y = 80 - 16 * X_1 + 12 * X_2 - 2 * X_3 + \varepsilon$

- Range of  $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  belongs to  $(-10, 50)$

## Experiment 1:

- Added one quantum of random size between  $(-10, -5)$  and  $(5, 10)$  to equi-probably randomly chosen point of every variable.
- Repeat 200 times and find mean and standard deviations of estimates of coefficients .
- Repeat with 2, 3, 5 perturbation quanta.

## Experiment 2:

- Repeat Experiment 1 with only change in quantum of random size between  $(-25, -20)$  and  $(20, 25)$

## Experiment 3:

- Repeat Experiment 1 with only change in quantum of random size between  $(-100, -50)$  and  $(50, 100)$

# Observations

NO	Perturbation	$B_0$	$B_1$	$B_2$	$B_3$	$S(B_0)$	$S(B_1)$	$S(B_2)$	$S(B_3)$	RMSE0	RMSE1	RMSE2	RMSE3
Experiment 1													
1	(-10,-5) and (5,10)	79.5030211	-15.99235	11.99437	-1.988995	0.66909	0.01393	0.01309	0.01418	0.68861	0.01413	0.01347	0.01418
2	(-10,-5) and (5,10)	79.8475179	-15.9852	11.983762	-1.99504	0.628443	0.019682	0.018661	0.019324	0.625149	0.019367	0.017902	0.019904
5	(-10,-5) and (5,10)	79.6339857	-16.00155	12.001344	-1.993572	1.2055	0.03225	0.03771	0.03096	1.20492	0.30297	0.03722	0.03143
10	(-10,-5) and (5,10)	79.6384088	-16.01002	12.028212	-1.997948	1.287027	0.037646	0.041067	0.041088	1.333755	0.038867	0.049739	0.041036
Experiment 2													
1	(-25,-20) and (20,25)	80.5519401	-16.01249	11.997156	-1.996616	1.294308	0.038599	0.040683	0.03864	1.4041	0.040477	0.04068	0.038692
2	(-25,-20) and (20,25)	80.122677	-16.00809	11.99709	-2.002984	1.743259	0.049281	0.044269	0.055807	1.743217	0.049819	0.044254	0.055747
5	(-25,-20) and (20,25)	80.3706982	-16.02217	11.99226	-1.990942	4.62569	0.109905	0.091367	0.111869	4.628979	0.111849	0.091466	0.111956
10	(-25,-20) and (20,25)	79.0139706	-15.98989	12.037518	-1.998332	4.656686	0.12377	0.138297	0.108376	4.748532	0.123873	0.142962	0.108118
Experiment 3													
1	(-100, -50) and (50, 100)	82.4074588	-16.00272	12.016773	-1.999521	15.1667	0.374025	0.402444	0.357036	15.31909	0.373099	0.401787	0.356142
2	(-100, -50) and (50, 100)	85.2187083	-16.02119	12.058956	-1.996796	17.18047	0.588564	0.613068	0.570512	17.91445	0.587473	0.614368	0.569093
5	(-100, -50) and (50, 100)	92.3952885	-15.9214	11.97248	-2.00558	33.93847	0.958865	1.018982	1.0412	36.0514	0.959689	1.016804	1.038609
10	(-100, -50) and (50, 100)	102.592314	-16.07592	12.059889	-2.068453	42.39692	1.18309	1.189815	1.061588	47.9471	1.182568	1.188347	1.061141



# Inferences

---

- For small perturbations Campbell's robust estimator perform well.
- Even on increasing the size of perturbation robust estimator does fairly well but becomes biased.
- Also upon increasing number of perturbation to 10, we corrupt 35% of the data set. Considering size of  $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  is between  $(-10, 50)$ , a perturbation of  $(-100, -50)$  and  $(50, 100)$  is large.

# Conclusion

---

- Effect of outliers while doing OLS
- Introduced the Campbell's robust method (Iterative method)
- Properties of Campbell's Estimator using Monte Carlo Simulation.