Unsupervised Learning

Sidharth Baskaran

June 2021

Clustering

- Given data without labels
- Training set of the form $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$
- Clustering algorithm \rightarrow finds clusters in data
- Examples
 - Market segmentation, social network analysis, organize computing clusters, astronomical data

K-means clustering algorithm

- Randomly initialize 2 points \rightarrow cluster centroids for 2 clusters
- Assigns data points to clusters based on proximity to a centroid
- Then move centroids to average of location of their cluster points
- Reassign centroids again and chante cluster assignments
- After certain number of iterations, k-means converges

Input

- $K \to \text{number of clusters}$
- Training set $\{x^{(1)},\dots,x^{(m)}\}$ Use convention $x^{(i)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and drop $x_0=1$

Randomly initialize K cluster centroids $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_K \in \mathbb{R}^n$

```
Repeat {
for i = 1 \rightarrow m
c^{(i)} := idx of cluster centroid closest to x^{(i)}
for k = 1 \to K
\mu_k := \text{mean of points assigned to cluster } k
```

- Can also apply to seemingly single-cluster set of data \rightarrow k-means still finds clusters
 - Similar to market segmentation

Optimization Objective

- Notation
 - $-c^{(i)} = index of cluster to which <math>x^{(i)}$ is assigned
 - μ_k = cluster centroid k where $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - $\mu_{c^{(i)}} =$ cluster centroid of cluster to which example $x^{(i)}$ has been assigned

$$J(c^{(1)},\dots,c^{(m)},\mu_1,\dots,\mu_K) = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m ||x^{(i)} - \mu_{c^{(i)}}||^2$$

- Distortion cost function
 - Want to find $\mu_{c^{(i)}}$ and $c^{(i)}$ to minimize J
 - Must converge, cannot increase over number of iterations

Random Initialization

- Should have K < k
- Randomly pick K training examples
- Set μ_1, \dots, μ_K equal to these K examples
- K-means converging to local optima \rightarrow leads to bad clustering
 - Multiple random initializations help prevent local convergence
- Pick clustering that gives lowest cost J
- if K is small, then one random initialization is likely to give good clustering

Choosing number of clusters K

- Elbow method
 - Plot J vs K where K is independent var
 - Vertex point of curve gives choice of K to use
 - Is ambiguous
- Evaluate K-means based on metric for performing in a later purpose
 - Choose K based on how many divisions in the metric desired

Dimensionality Reduction

- Data compression through dimensional reduction $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^c$ for n>c
 - Reducing data from 2D to 1D
 - $-x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n \to z^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i \in \text{range}(1,\dots,m)$
 - Allows for faster running algorithms
- New features do not have defined meaning, need to be assigned

Principal Component Analysis

- Problem formulation
 - Finds a lower dimensional space to project data which minimizes distances to surface (projection error)
 - Find k vectors $u^{(1)},\dots,u^{(k)}\in\mathbb{R}^n$ on which to project $\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^k$ where this is the subspace $\mathrm{span}(u^{(1)},\dots,u^{(m)})\in\mathbb{R}^k$
- Is not linear regression
 - Distances are vertical in regression, not orthogonal vector magnitudes

Algorithm

- Data preprocessing
 - Perform feature scaling/mean normalization
 - Compute μ_i , mean of of data set
 - Replace $x_j^{(i)}$ with $x_j \mu_j$
 - Scale features to have comparable values (e.g. divide by s_i)

- Reduced dimension vectors are $z^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^k$
- $u^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define the reduced dimension space
- Algorithm
 - Reduce data from dimension n to k

$$\begin{array}{l} - \text{ Compute covariance matrix} \\ * \; \Sigma = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^{(i)}) (x^{(i)})^T in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ - \text{ Compute eigenvectors of matrix } \sigma \end{array}$$

- - * [U,S,V] = svd(Sigma)
 - * Singular value decomposition (SVD) or eig(Sigma) computes the eigenvectors
 - * Output matrices are U, S, V

$$U = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} | & | & | \\ u^{(1)} & u^{(2)} & \dots & u^{(n)} \\ | & | & | \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

- Use first k columns to get usable u vectors

$$U_{\mathrm{red}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$$

$$z = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & & \\ u^{(1)} & u^{(2)} & \dots & u^{(k)} \\ & & & \end{array}\right]^T x = \left[\begin{array}{c} -\left(u^{(1)}\right)^T - \\ \vdots \\ -\left(u^{(k)}\right)^T - \end{array}\right] x \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

Summary

Perform mean normalization and feature scaling

```
Sigma = 1 \setminus m * x' * x;
[U,S,V] = svd(Sigma);
Ureduce = U(:,1:k);
Z = Ureduce'*x;
```

Reconstruction from compressed representation

- Reconstructing original representation
 - $-U_{\rm red}z = U_{\rm red}U_{\rm red}^Tx \implies x_{\rm app} = U_{\rm red}z \approx x$

Choosing number of principal components

- Choosing k involves minimizing distortion (avg. sqd. projection) error $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||x^{(i)} x_{\text{app}}^{(i)}||^2$
- Total variation in the data is $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m ||x^{(i)}||^2$
- Typically choose k under following constraint
 - 99% of variance is retained

$$\boxed{\frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||x^{(i)} - x_{\text{app}}^{(i)}||^2}{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||x^{(i)}||^2} \le 0.01}$$

- Matrix S from [U,S,V] = svd(Sigma) is diagonal
 - Can be shown that quantity above is equivalent to $1 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k S_{ii}}{\sum_{i=1}^n S_{ii}}$ where $k \leq n$
 - More computationally efficient as requires one svd computation only

Advice for Applying PCA

- PCA can be used to speed up learning algorithm
- Example \rightarrow supervised learning
 - Take labeled data set $(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)}) x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}^{10000} \rightarrow z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1000}$ New training set is $(z^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (z^{(m)}, y^{(m)})$

 - - * Can then feed this to algorithm
 - Run PCA only on training set and use this mapping on CV and test sets
- PCA bad use \rightarrow to prevent overfitting
 - $-\,$ Reduce number of features to k <
 - Less features, less likely to overfit
 - use regularization instead