

The Realizability Bridge: Algebraic Closure in the Q-RAIF Framework

Sidong Liu, PhD

iBioStratix Ltd

sidongliu@hotmail.com

February 2026

Abstract

This addendum provides a minimal mathematical bridge between the two foundational papers of the **Q-RAIF (Quantum Reference Algebra for Information Flow)** framework. Paper A [1] establishes that the observable algebra of a holographically consistent universe must contain $Cl(1, 3)$ as its minimal Clifford-compatible structure. Paper B [2] establishes that the control algebra of a persistent subsystem must be Cliffordian $Cl(V, q)$ to ensure Lyapunov stability under entropic constraints.

Here we prove the **Closure Theorem**: any *physically realizable* control algebra must embed into the environmental algebra as a subalgebra. We formalize the required feedback synchrony via a *Same-Clock* co-indexing lemma, ensuring the feedback loop is thermodynamically potent.

This note does not modify Papers A or B; it supplies only the realizability bridge needed for algebraic closure.

Keywords: Q-RAIF, realizability, representation, operator algebra, Clifford algebra, open quantum systems, Lyapunov stability, algebraic closure

1 Introduction

1.1 Context: The Q-RAIF Program

The Quantum Reference Algebra for Information Flow (Q-RAIF) framework investigates what algebraic structures are *necessary*—as opposed to merely convenient—for the self-consistent description of physical reality and persistence within it. The program builds on the Holographic Alaya-Field Framework (HAFF) [3, 4], which establishes that geometry emerges from coarse-graining of observable algebras.

Paper	Question	Analogy	Result
HAFF [3]	How does geometry emerge?	Ocean	Algebra \rightarrow Geometry
Q-RAIF A [1]	What algebra does geometry need?	Water	$Cl(1, 3)$
Q-RAIF B [2]	What algebra does survival need?	Fish	$Cl(V, q)$
This work	Must the fish fit the water?	Bridge	$Cl(V, q) \hookrightarrow Cl(1, 3)$

1.2 The Logical Gap

Papers A and B independently arrive at Clifford algebra from opposite directions. Both papers explicitly note that this convergence is *heuristic rather than deductive* [1, 2]. The present note closes the gap by proving a realizability constraint: the internal control algebra of any persistent subsystem must be representable within the external observable algebra.

1.3 Scope

This addendum introduces no new physical assumptions. It uses only the objects and results already established in Papers A and B, and derives their mutual constraint. Papers A and B remain unmodified.

2 Setup and Prerequisites

Let \mathcal{U} be a universe described by the Q-RAIF framework.

- **Environment (“water”).** Let \mathcal{A}_{ext} denote the algebra of observables accessible at the holographic boundary. Paper A [1] argues that \mathcal{A}_{ext} must contain $Cl(1, 3)$ as its minimal Clifford-compatible subalgebra (Theorem 1 of Paper A, “Clifford Compatibility”).
- **Subsystem (“fish”).** Let \mathcal{O}_{int} denote the internal control algebra of a persistent subsystem $R \subset \mathcal{U}$. Paper B [2] argues that thermodynamic persistence requires $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \cong Cl(V, q)$ for some (V, q) (Theorem 1 of Paper B, “Persistence Compatibility”).

The remaining logical gap is the relationship between \mathcal{O}_{int} and \mathcal{A}_{ext} : can a stable Clifford control algebra exist while being structurally disjoint from the available environmental observables?

3 Realizability and Same-Clock Co-Indexing

Definition 1 (Algebraic Realizability). *A control algebra \mathcal{O}_{int} is **physically realizable** within an environment \mathcal{A}_{ext} if there exists a homomorphism*

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}} \tag{1}$$

such that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ has non-zero action on the interaction Hamiltonian H_{int} , i.e., $[\text{Im}(\phi), H_{\text{int}}] \neq 0$. This ensures that the controller can physically influence the system-environment boundary.

Let I be an operational/causal index set (e.g., proper-time frames or discretized event slices). For a subset $J \subseteq I$, write $\mathcal{A}|_J$ for the restriction of an algebra \mathcal{A} to the index set J .

Lemma 2 (Same-Clock / Co-Indexing). *For a feedback loop to be causally closed and thermodynamically potent (capable of entropy export [8]), there must exist non-null index overlap between control and feedback windows: there exist $J_{\text{ctrl}}, J_{\text{env}} \subseteq I$ such that*

1. **Non-null intersection:** $J_{\text{ctrl}} \cap J_{\text{env}} \neq \emptyset$.

2. **Window integrity:** on any critical lookback window $W \subseteq J_{\text{ctrl}} \cap J_{\text{env}}$ used to define the controller, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}}|_W$ is well-defined (no holes on W).

Proof. If $J_{\text{ctrl}} \cap J_{\text{env}} = \emptyset$, the control action is operationally decoupled from environmental feedback, so no entropy export channel exists; persistence (NESS [8]) fails. If window integrity fails on a critical lookback window W , the feedback map—and thus the Lyapunov descent condition (Eq. (4) of Paper B [2])—is not definable on the operational window. Therefore both conditions are necessary. \square

3.1 Semisimplicity of Clifford Algebras

Lemma 3 (Injectivity from Semisimplicity). *Let (V, q) be a finite-dimensional real vector space with non-degenerate quadratic form. Then $Cl(V, q)$ is semisimple. If $\dim V$ is even, $Cl(V, q)$ is simple, and every non-zero algebra homomorphism $\phi : Cl(V, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is injective.*

Proof. By the periodicity theorem for real Clifford algebras [5, 7], $Cl(V, q)$ with non-degenerate q is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $M_n(K)$ or a direct sum $M_n(K) \oplus M_n(K)$, where $K \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$ depends on the signature and dimension modulo 8. In either case the algebra is semisimple.

When $\dim V$ is even, $Cl(V, q)$ is simple (a single matrix block). The kernel of any algebra homomorphism is a two-sided ideal; a simple algebra admits no proper non-trivial ideals, so $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ whenever $\phi \neq 0$. \square

4 The Closure Theorem

Theorem 4 (Q-RAIF Algebraic Closure). *Assume $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}} \supseteq Cl(1, 3)$ (Paper A [1]). Let R be a persistent subsystem whose control algebra satisfies $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \cong Cl(V, q)$ (Paper B [2]). If \mathcal{O}_{int} is realizable in \mathcal{A}_{ext} (Definition 1) and the Same-Clock conditions of Lemma 2 hold, then the effective control algebra*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{eff}} := \text{Im}(\phi) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}} \tag{2}$$

is a Clifford subalgebra of the external geometry.

Proof. By realizability, there exists a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}}$ with non-trivial image. The operational content of the controller is its image $\mathcal{O}_{\text{eff}} = \text{Im}(\phi)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \cong Cl(V, q)$ by the persistence requirement (Theorem 1 of Paper B), and ϕ is structure-preserving, \mathcal{O}_{eff} inherits the Clifford relations $v^2 = q(v)\mathbf{1}$ [6]. Moreover, by Lemma 3, if $\dim V$ is even then $Cl(V, q)$ is simple and ϕ is necessarily injective; the image is therefore isomorphic to $Cl(V, q)$ itself, giving a genuine embedding $Cl(V, q) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}}$. In the physically relevant case ($\dim V = 4$, signature $(1, 3)$ or compatible sub-signature), the even-dimensionality condition is satisfied. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\text{eff}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}}$, the internal geometry (V, q) is induced by a restriction of the ambient algebraic structure. \square

Remark 5 (Scope of the closure theorem). *The logical structure of Theorem 4 is essentially: “a Clifford algebra, homomorphically embedded in a larger algebra, lands as a Clifford sub-algebra.” The non-trivial content resides not in this deduction but in the input conditions: (i) Paper A’s argument that $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ext}} \supseteq Cl(1, 3)$; (ii) Paper B’s argument that persistence forces $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}} \cong Cl(V, q)$; (iii) the injectivity guarantee from semisimplicity (Lemma 3). The theorem’s role is to assemble these independently substantiated conditions into a single algebraic closure statement, not to introduce new mathematical content.*

Corollary 6 (No Ghost Algebra). *A control algebra that is mathematically stable (Cliffordian) but not representable in \mathcal{A}_{ext} is not physically realizable. In particular, a control structure with signature incompatible with $(1, 3)$ cannot underwrite persistent feedback in a universe whose observable algebra contains $Cl(1, 3)$.*

5 Discussion

5.1 What This Result Does and Does Not Show

Does show: Realizability forces the internal control algebra of a persistent subsystem to embed into the external observable algebra. Combined with Papers A and B, this converts the previously heuristic convergence ($Cl(V, q)$ from stability, $Cl(1, 3)$ from geometry) into a constrained embedding: $Cl(V, q) \hookrightarrow Cl(1, 3)$.

Does not show: That ϕ must be injective in general—however, by Lemma 3, injectivity *is* guaranteed when $\dim V$ is even (which includes the physically relevant case $\dim V = 4$). For odd $\dim V$, the image $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is isomorphic to a simple factor of $Cl(V, q)$ and still carries the Clifford structure. That the specific signature (V, q) is uniquely determined—only that it must be compatible with $(1, 3)$. That this constitutes a derivation of physics from first principles—it is a consistency constraint within the Q-RAIF framework.

5.2 The Bridge Statement

Remark 7 (Closing the Loop). *Paper A fixes the realizable operator content of the world (\mathcal{A}_{ext}). Paper B fixes the algebraic form required for persistence (\mathcal{O}_{int}). Theorem 4 locks them together: realizable persistence forces the agent’s control algebra to be built from the same algebraic atoms as its environment. The fish’s gills must be made of water’s molecules.*

5.3 Connection to HAFF

Within the HAFF program [3, 4], geometry emerges from coarse-graining of observable algebras. The Closure Theorem adds a further structural consequence: not only does the world’s geometry emerge from its algebra, but any persistent subsystem’s internal geometry is *constrained to be a restriction* of that emergent geometry. This is algebraic natural selection operating at the level of geometric structure.

References

- [1] S. Liu, *Algebraic Constraints on the Emergence of Lorentzian Metrics in Entropic Gravity Frameworks*, Zenodo (2026), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18525876.
- [2] S. Liu, *Thermodynamic Stability Constraints on the Operator Algebra of Persistent Open Quantum Subsystems*, Zenodo (2026), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18525890.
- [3] S. Liu, *Emergent Geometry from Coarse-Grained Observable Algebras: The Holographic Alaya-Field Framework*, Zenodo (2026), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18361706.
- [4] S. Liu, *Accessibility, Stability, and Emergent Geometry: Conceptual Clarifications on the Holographic Alaya-Field Framework*, Zenodo (2026), DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18367060.
- [5] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, Topology **3**, Suppl. 1, 3–38 (1964).
- [6] D. Hestenes, *Space-Time Algebra*, Gordon and Breach (1966).
- [7] H. B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press (1989).
- [8] U. Seifert, *Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 126001 (2012).