$\begin{array}{c} sidBison \\ A \ Stepwise \ Interactive \ Debugger \ for \ Bison \end{array}$

Tufts University

Siddhartha Prasad

 $17 \mathrm{th}$ February 2016

Abstract

Parser-generator systems specifications are generally large and hard to debug. Generated code often does not semantically match the programmers intent. Debugging machine-generated parsers is a non-trivial task, requiring knowledge of underlying implementations. This has led to the decline of bottom-up parsergenerators as staples in language design and syntactic analysis workbenches.

Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison is a step-through debugger that preserves an abstraction barrier between Bison specifications and the underlying parser tables. It allows a user to debug a Bison 2.3 specification at the grammar level, requiring minimal knowledge of bottom-up parsing. The ultimate goal of this project is to make parser-generating tools more accessible to the average programmr.

Contents

3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples	1	Introduction 5					
1.2.1 Basic Actions 1.2.2 Lookaheads 1.2.3 Limitations 1.3 Programmer Errors 1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language 1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON 2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		1.1	Machine generated parsers	5			
1.2.2 Lookaheads 1.2.3 Limitations 1.3 Programmer Errors 1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language 1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON 2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		1.2	Bison	5			
1.2.3 Limitations 1.3 Programmer Errors 1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language 1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON 2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study View of Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples			1.2.1 Basic Actions	6			
1.3 Programmer Errors 1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language 1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON 2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples			1.2.2 Lookaheads	6			
1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language 1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON 2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples			1.2.3 Limitations	6			
1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON 2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		1.3	Programmer Errors	7			
2 Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison 2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 6: Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples			1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language	7			
2.1 Using sidBison 2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples			1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON	8			
2.2 Complete Instruction Set 2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples	2	Ste	pwise Interactive Debugger for Bison	9			
2.3 Usability 3 Implementing the Instruction Set 3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		2.1	Using sidBison	9			
3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.3 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		2.2	Complete Instruction Set	9			
3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		2.3	Usability	10			
3.1 step 3.2 steprule 3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples	3	Imp	plementing the Instruction Set	11			
3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.4 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		_	-	11			
3.3 crule 3.4 rulepos 3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 4.5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		3.2	-	12			
3.5 str 3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		3.3		12			
3.6 ctkn 3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		3.4	rulepos	12			
3.7 br 4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		3.5	str	12			
4 Case Studies 4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		3.6	ctkn	13			
4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators 4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators Additional Work Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison 6.2 Examples		3.7	br	13			
4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison	4	Cas	e Studies	14			
4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators 5 Additional Work 6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison		4.1	Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators	14			
6 Appendix 6.1 Using sidBison		4.2		14			
6.1 Using sidBison	5	\mathbf{Adc}	litional Work	15			
6.1 Using sidBison	ճ	Δpr	pendix	16			
6.2 Examples	J			16			
•				16			
		0.4					

	6.2.2	Programmable Computable Functions	18
	6.2.3	Lambda Calculus	21
	6.2.4	Impcore	23
6.3	JSON	Grammar	26
6.4	Source	e Code	28

This document is aimed at audiences who have previously encountered formal grammars and/or concrete syntax trees. Some familiarity with using parsergenerators like YACC or Bison is not required, but will allow for deeper understanding of the posed problem. If not, I recommend reading the Bison user manual at http://www.gnu.org/software/bison/manual/.

Introduction

1.1 Machine generated parsers

A parser, in its most general sense, is a machine that examines a string of characters and acts according to the rules of a formal grammar. In computer science, parsers have widespread applications, ranging from Natural Language Processing to compiler generation. Programmers often use these systems to place information in forms about which they can more easily reason.

Early parsers were developed well before a theory of formal grammars was developed, and were painstaking written into punchcards. With Backhaus and Naur's formalization of EBNF notation for describing languages, programs were soon contracted this tedious job. Recursive Descent parser generating machines soon became the standard, helping programmers put in grammars and get out entire parsers. While these top-down methods were easily understandable and debuggable, the generated machines could not deal with several everyday scenarios, including left-recursion. This led to the generation of **Bottom Up** parsing methods and their generators. These fantanstically complex systems could process most everyday grammars, and preserved the EBNF abstraction afforded earlier to programmers. However, in doing so, these programs became very complex, involving several tables, automata and stacks. They quickly became too complex for most programmers to understand.

1.2 Bison

Bison is a very popular bottom-up (LALR) parser generator that was built for the GNU project. According to the GNU website:

Bison is a general-purpose parser generator that converts an annotated context-free grammar into a deterministic LR or generalized

LR (GLR) parser employing LALR(1) parser tables. As an experimental feature, Bison can also generate IELR(1) or canonical LR(1) parser tables. Once you are proficient with Bison, you can use it to develop a wide range of language parsers, from those used in simple desk calculators to complex programming languages.- *GNU Bison*

1.2.1 Basic Actions

The Bison parser-generator employs a *bottom-up* parsing mechanism. The program uses an input specification to generate a push-down automaton as well as a token stack. Transitions between states are executed on the basis of encountered tokens. Bison has three basic actions:

- shift: As Bison encounters input tokens, it pushes them onto the token stack.
- 2. **reduce**: When the last *k* shifted tokens match a rule, they are merged to form the non-terminal specified in the left hand side of the rule. This is now stored on the token stack. The push down automata then 'pops' back to a previous state.
- 3. **lookahead**: Bison often looks *ahead* at the next coming token before performing a shift or reduce action, in order to better ascertain what to do

Bison 'attempts' to use shifts and reductions (with the aid of lookaheads) to fit input to a specified start symbol in the specification.

1.2.2 Lookaheads

While the need for a look-ahead may not be apparent, the following example shows its effectiveness.

Digit : 1 | 2 | 3

Number : Digit

| Digit Number

On input 12 the parser requires the look-ahead to know that after the digit 1 has been shifted, it should not immediately be reduced to the rule Number.

1.2.3 Limitations

While Bison is able to produce parsers for a wide range of grammars, its underlying LALR(1) parser table implementation is limited to certain forms. Certain specifications can cause shift-reduce and reduce-reduce conflicts, where there is ambiguity in what action should be executed.

Shift-Reduce Conflicts

```
E -> a E
| a
```

In the grammar above, we see that when parsing terminal a, there could be a reduce action to E and a shift action in order to parse the rule a E. In such a situation, an LR parser would not be able to decide on a 'correct' option. BISON shifts in such a situation, which may not echo the programmers intent.

Reduce-Reduce conflicts

```
X -> Y a | Z b
Y -> a
Z -> a
```

In the grammar above, we see that the terminal **a** could be reduced to **Y** or **Z**. Bottom-up parsers, like BISON, do not have a resolution strategy in such a situation.

A list of sources that further explain the functioning of Bison-generated parsers can be found in the Appendix.

1.3 Programmer Errors

Real-world Bison specifications are often very large. Much like any other language, larger specifications create greater room for programmer error. As a result, while generated parsers may accept input, they often do not behave in a way intended by programmers. Debugging these machine-generated parsers is a non-trivial task. While both Bison and iBison provide error messaging in terms of the underlying parser implementation, it is not easy to examine the semantics of a specification. A user needs to be familiar not only with the specified grammar, but also LR parsing to correct errors in their code.

Presented below are Bison specifications that do not accept the exact set of strings a programmer might expect.

1.3.1 Small Specifications: Calculator Language

Defined below is an attempt to specify the grammar for a simple calculator language that accepts integers and performs addition, subtraction, multiplication and division. While this grammar may seem to specify the calculator language at first, it cannot parse any string with more than one operator. For instance, the string 1 + 1 + 1 is not accepted.

Digit : 1

```
1 3
    | 4
    | 5
     6
    | 7
    | 8
    | 9
    10
Number : Digit
         | Digit Number
Operator: +
          | -
          | *
          1/
Expression: Number
           | Number Operator Number
```

While the specification is quite small, it is surprisingly hard to instinctively find the error in the grammar.

1.3.2 Large Specifications: JSON

Larger grammars, by virtue of their size, are hard to debug. The specification in **Appendix 6.3** is intended to describe JSON strings. Upon closer inspection, one might notice that the grammar can parse only 2 members with value separators. Identifying which rule causes the error is almost impossible without traversing the whole grammar. A step-though debugging approach can be hard to execute manually.

As a result of the complexity of these systems, parser generators like Bison are quickly losing ground to parser combinator libraries like Parsec. Increasing the accessibility of these systems could help bottom up parser generators remerge as a staple of the average language designer's work bench.

Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison

iBison is a version of Bison 2.3 that was built by S.K. Popuri at the University of Illinois at Chicago. It generates an interactive interface that allows a user to step through the parsing process, presenting information in terms of a push-down automaton and its state and token stacks. Stepwise Interactive Debugger for Bison (sidBison), leverages this responsive design to allow for debugging at the grammar level. The system is modelled on gdb-style debuggers, allowing for not only the identification of errors in Bison specifications but also those in input strings, maintaining an abstraction barrier between grammars and parser-generated state tables.

The goal of this project is to simplify the parser-generation process for programmers who are not well-versed with the underlying implementation. Debuggers preserving such abstraction barriers could be particularly useful in the process of democratizing language design workbenches.

2.1 Using sidBison

The sidBison system requires a Bison specification and lexer shared object in order to debug a string. A more detailed setup process as well as examples are described in the Appendix.

2.2 Complete Instruction Set

The sidBison instruction set is designed to reinforce the abstraction barrier between a Bison specification and the underlying parser implementation.

1. crule: Returns the current rule being parsed in the Bison specification.

- 2. **steprule**: Steps to the next rule in the Bison specification
- 3. str: Identifies the current position in the entire parsing process.
- 4. **br**: Allows the user to break when a particular token is encountered.
- 5. step: Steps to the next action taken by the parser
- 6. ctkn: Displays the current token being looked at by the parser.
- 7. rulepos: Identifies current position in the rule being parsed.
- 8. **test** <**filename**> : Accepts the input string as a file.
- 9. quit: Ends the sidBison program

The implementation of these commands is described in the Technical Approach section.

2.3 Usability

The success of sidBison is pinned on its usability. It should be able to aid a user with the examples presented earlier. This is predicated on the idea that:

- 1. Humans generally find EBNF easier to understand than push down automata.
- 2. The ability to step through specifications presents bottom-up parsing in an understandable, linear manner.

These criteria are evaluated in two case studies presented later.

Implementing the Instruction Set

sidBison is designed to be a step-through debugger at the grammar level, and is built on top of iBison's interactive debugging mechanism. The mathematical underpinnings of the system involve mapping Bison and iBison constructs like

- 1. Push Down Automata
- 2. State Stacks
- 3. Token Stacks
- 4. Lookaheads

to Extended Backaus Naur Form (EBNF) grammar rules. As a result, each command takes the form of a mathematical function in terms of these variables.

3.1 step

The step command allows the user to step forward to the next action taken by the underlying parser debugger. It is the simplest command for the sidBison system. The rule is of the form $f_1(ss,ts,ct) \rightarrow (ss',ts',tc')$, where:

- 1. ss and ss' are state stacks.
- 2. ts and ts' are token stacks.
- 3. ct and ct' are current tokens.

step provides the basis of mapping actions in term of the underlying parser to those involving Bison specifications. It maps a new lookahead to encountering a new token, a shift to adding the token to the string, and a reduce registers as a parsed string. Several of the more complicated sidBison commands leverage step.

3.2 steprule

The steprule command takes the user to the next rule encountered by the parser. Thus, it presents a step-wise debugging abstraction in terms of the user-provided grammar specification instead of parser-generator options.

The command is implemented by stepping through iBison state change till a reduce action is executed. As a result it has the mathematical form f_2 : Parser Automaton $\rightarrow (ss', ts')$, where:

- 1. ss' represents a new state stack, and by extension a new current state.
- 2. ts' represents a new token stack.

3.3 crule

The crule command returns the current rule being parsed by Bison generated parser. It takes the form of a mathematical function f_3 : (Parser Automaton, Current State, State Stack (Backhaus Naur Form Rule).

In general, a bottom-up parser can not predict the non-terminal to which a partially known sequence of tokens will be reduced. Even juding if a reduction will ever take place is a undecidable problem. As a result, the command cannot be implemented utilizing a single instance of a single-pass parser like Bison. crule therefore utilizes a concurrent, time-travelling heuristic.

A secondary iBison process is spawned and is placed in the same state as the primary one. This secondary debugger is then stepped forward to the first parser *reduce* action where the state stack has either shrunk, or has the same size with a different top element. The reduced rule is precisely the production being parsed.

3.4 rulepos

rulepos enumerates current positions within rules in which the parser may be. It is implemented by examining the rules and positions associated with the current rule in the underlying automaton. It has the mathematical form $f_4: cs \to [(\texttt{Backhaus Naur Form Rule}, p)]$, where cs represents the current state and p represents a position in the rule.

3.5 str

The str command returns the current position in the overall parsing process. It is implemented by displaying the contents of the iBison token stack. It has mathematical form $f_5: cs \to str_{ss}$, where cs represents the current state and str_{ss} is a string representation of the state stack.

3.6 ctkn

The ctkn command displays the token the parser is currently dealing with. It is implemented by presenting newer of the look-ahead token and the top element of the token stack.

3.7 br

The **br** command allows the user to break when a particular token is encountered. This functionality is provided by stepping till the **ctkn** equals the token provided. Thus it has mathematical form $f_6: tkn \to (cs', ss')$, where:

- 1. ss' represents a new state stack, and by extension a new current state.
- 2. ts' represents a new token stack.
- 3. tkn represents the input token.

Case Studies

Aside from being able to remap a Bison automaton to it's specification, sidBison can be considered successful only if it proves to be a useful tool for Bison users unfamiliar with bottom-up parsing. While ease of use and intuitiveness are very hard to quantify, user case studies are a good way to get a sense for the system's effectiveness.

4.1 Case Study 1: Minimal exposure to parser generators

'Buggy' grammars will be presented to two groups of programming languages students. Group one will be given the grammars with just the <code>iBison</code> system, while Group 2 will be given <code>iBison</code> with the <code>sidBison</code> wrapper. The relative average time taken by members of the two groups as well as their general behaviour will be used as an evaluation criterion.

4.2 Case Study 2: Some exposure to parser generators

Students in Comp 181: Compilers who have used ML Yacc will be given the sidBison tool for a parsing project. Their feedback will also be used as evaluation criteria.

Additional Work

The ultimate aim of this project is to make parser-generator systems more accessible.

- 1. Given enough time, the sidBison system could be expanded to deal with LL parser generator systems such as ANTLR.
- 2. $\mathtt{sidBison}$ could also be ported to YACC implementations in languages like C++, F Sharp and ML.
- 3. Syntax highlighting and IDE support for Bison files could help improve the readability and debug-ability of grammar specifications.
- 4. Just In Time compiling within an IDE could help highlight potential conflicts in the specification while it is being written.

Appendix

6.1 Using sidBison

Given an iBison implementation ibison, a Flex file lexer.1, and a Bison file parser.y, the files can be prepared for input to sidBison as follows:

```
ibison -d parser.y
flex lexer.l
gcc -c -fPIC lex.yy.c
gcc -shared -o lex.so lex.yy.o
```

This requires the LD_LIBRARY_PATH environment variable to include the directory in which lexer.so is available and the BISON_PKGDATADIR variable points to the ibison\data directory. sidBison can now be run with parser.y and lex.so as command-line arguments.

6.2 Examples

6.2.1 Calculator

Presented below are Flex and Bison files that represent a calculator. These can be debugged with sidBison are presented below.

Lexer file: calcparser.l

```
%{
# include "calcparser.tab.h"
# undef yywrap
```

```
# define yywrap() 1
%}
%option noyywrap
int [0-9]+
blank [ \t]
%%
{blank}+
[\n] +
           return OPERATOR_PLUS;
\+
          return OPERATOR_MINUS;
\*
          return OPERATOR_MULT;
\/
           return OPERATOR_DIV;
\(
           return LPAREN;
\)
           return RPAREN;
{int}
         return NUMBER;
          {fprintf(stderr,"Invalid token!\n");}
%%
```

Grammar file: calcparser.y

```
%{
/* A simple calculator */
void yyerror(const char *s);
%}
            NUMBER
%token
%token
            OPERATOR_PLUS
%token
                 OPERATOR_MINUS
%token
                 OPERATOR_MULT
%token
                   OPERATOR_DIV
%token
                  LPAREN
%token
                   RPAREN
%left OPERATOR_PLUS OPERATOR_MINUS
%left OPERATOR_MULT OPERATOR_DIV
%%
exp: exp OPERATOR_PLUS exp
  | exp OPERATOR_MINUS exp
  | exp OPERATOR_MULT exp
  | exp OPERATOR_DIV exp
   | LPAREN exp RPAREN
   | NUMBER
```

```
;
%%
void
yyerror(cosnt char *s)
{
   fprintf(stderr, "Syntax error: %s\n",s);
}
```

6.2.2 Programmable Computable Functions

PCF is a simple typed functional language proposed by Dana Scott in 1969. Flex and Bison files that can be debugged with sidBison are presented below.

Lexer file: pcf.l

```
%{
# include "pcf.tab.h"
# undef yywrap
# define yywrap() 1
%}
%option noyywrap
blank [ \t]
word [a-zA-Z][a-zA-Z0-9]*
%%
{blank}+
[\n]+
0
            return ZERO;
            return COLON;
"true"
            return TRUE;
"false"
            return FALSE;
١.
            return DOT;
\(
            return LPAREN;
\)
            return RPAREN;
"fix"
            return FIX;
"zero"
            return ZEROFUNC;
"succ"
            return SUCC;
"pred"
            return PRED;
"if"
            return IF;
"then"
            return THEN;
```

Parser file: pcf.y

```
%{
/* A simple PCF grammar */
%}
%token ZERO
%token TRUE
%token FALSE
%token IDEN
%token FIX
%token ZEROFUNC
%token LPAREN
%token RPAREN
%token SUCC
%token PRED
%token IF
%token THEN
%token ELSE
%token FN
%token COLON
%token DOT
%token NAT
%token ARROW
%token BOOL
%left ARROW
%%
m : ZERO
 | TRUE
```

```
| FALSE
  | var
  | zerofunc
  succ
  | pred
  | ifelse
  | fun
  | app
  | fix
fix : FIX LPAREN m RPAREN
app : LPAREN callfunc argfunc RPAREN
callfunc : m
argfunc : m
tau : NAT
   | BOOL
   | funtau
    | LPAREN funtau RPAREN
funtau : tau ARROW tau
fun : FN var COLON tau DOT m
succ : SUCC LPAREN m RPAREN
pred : PRED LPAREN m RPAREN
zerofunc : ZEROFUNC LPAREN m RPAREN
var : IDEN
ifelse : IF m THEN m ELSE m
%%
/*
app : funcexp argexp
succ : SUCC LPAREN m RPAREN
```

pred : PRED LPAREN m RPAREN
fix : FIX LPAREN m RPAREN

```
funcexp : m
argexp : m

fix : LPAREN m RPAREN

func : FN var COLON tau DOT m

*/
void yyerror (const char *s)
{
fprintf(stderr, "Syntax error: %s\n", s);
}
```

6.2.3 Lambda Calculus

The lambda calculus is a formal system that expresses computation by way of functions. Flex and Bison files that can be debugged with sidBison are presented below.

Lexer file: lambdacalc.l

```
# include "lambdacalc.tab.h"
# undef yywrap
# define yywrap() 1
%}
%option noyywrap
blank [ \t]
word [a-zA-Z][a-zA-Z0-9]*
int [0-9]+
%%
{blank}+
[\n] +
{int}
            return CONSTANT;
{word}
           return IDENT;
١.
           return DOT;
//
           return LAMBDA;
\(
           return LPAREN;
           return RPAREN;
```

```
. {fprintf(stderr, "Invalid token!\n");}
%%
```

Grammar file: lambdacalc.y

```
%{
/* Untyped lambda calculus */
void yyerror(const char *s);
%}
%token IDENT
%token CONSTANT
%token LPAREN
%token RPAREN
%token LAMBDA
%token DOT
%%
exp : var
   | func
    | app
    | CONSTANT
func : LAMBDA var DOT scope
app : LPAREN funcexp argexp RPAREN
scope : exp
funcexp : exp
argexp : exp
var : IDENT
%%
void
yyerror(const char *s)
```

```
{
    fprintf(stderr, "Syntax error: %s\n", s);
}
```

6.2.4 Impcore

Improre is a simple imperative language used as a pedagogical tool in Comp 105: Programming Languages at Tufts University. A lexer and parser for improre are presented below:

Lexer file: imp.l

```
%{
#include "heading.h"
# include "imp.tab.h"
# undef yywrap
# define yywrap() 1
/* Need to include - in word */
%}
%option noyywrap
blank [ \t]
word [a-zA-Z][a-zA-Z0-9]*
int [0-9] +
%%
{blank}+
[\n]+
\(
                return LPAREN;
\)
                return RPAREN;
                return PLUS;
\+
                return MINUS;
\*
                return MUL;
                return DIV;
"="
                return EQ;
\<
                return LT;
\>
                return GT;
"val"
                return VAL;
"define"
                return DEFINE;
"use"
                return USE;
"check-expect" return CHECKEXPECT;
```

```
"set" return SET;
"if" return IF;
"while" return WHILE;
"begin" return BEGN;
"print" return PRINT;
"check-err" return CHECKERR;
{int} {return NUMERAL;}
{word} {return NAME;}
. {fprintf(stderr, "Invalid token!\n");}
```

Parser file: imp.y

```
%{
/* Leaving unit-test out of def */
#include "heading.h"
#include <stdio.h>
void yyerror(const char *s);
int yylex(void);
%}
%token VAL
%token DEFINE
%token LPAREN
%token RPAREN
%token USE
%token CHECKEXPECT
%token SET
%token IF
%token WHILE
%token BEGN
%token PLUS
%token MINUS
%token MUL
%token DIV
%token EQ
%token LT
%token GT
%token PRINT
%token CHECKERR
%token NUMERAL
%token NAME
```

%%

program :

| def program

def : LPAREN VAL variablename exp RPAREN

| LPAREN DEFINE functionname formals exp RPAREN

| LPAREN USE filename RPAREN

| unittest

unittest : LPAREN CHECKEXPECT exp exp RPAREN

| LPAREN CHECKERR exp RPAREN

exp : literal

| variablename

| LPAREN SET variablename exp RPAREN

| LPAREN IF exp exp exp RPAREN

| LPAREN WHILE exp exp RPAREN

| LPAREN BEGN expstar

| LPAREN function expstar

expstar : RPAREN

| exp expstar

formals : LPAREN variablenamestar

variablenamestar : RPAREN

| variablename variablenamestar

literal : NUMERAL

function : functionname

| primitive

primitive : PLUS

| MINUS

25

| exp

6.3 JSON Grammar

```
JSON-text : ws value ws
                 : ws %x5B ws ; [ left square bracket
   begin-array
   begin-object
                  : ws %x7B ws ; { left curly bracket
                   : ws %x5D ws ; ] right square bracket
   end-array
   end-object
                   : ws %x7D ws ; } right curly bracket
   name-separator : ws %x3A ws ; : colon
   value-separator : ws %x2C ws ; , comma
   ws :
                                                               ; Empty string
              | %x20 |
                                ; Space
              %x09 |
                                ; Horizontal tab
                                ; Line feed or New line
              %xOA |
              %x0D
                                ; Carriage return
```

```
value : false | null | true | object
                 | array | number | string
false: %x66.61.6c.73.65
                          ; false
null : %x6e.75.6c.6c
                          ; null
true : %x74.72.75.65
                           ; true
object : begin-object
                         [ member ( value-separator member ) ]
                end-object
member : string name-separator value
array : begin-array [ value *( value-separator value ) ]
                         end-array
number : [ minus ] int [ frac ] [ exp ]
decimal-point : %x2E
                          ; .
digit1-9 : %x31-39
                           ; 1-9
e: %x65 | %x45
                           ; e E
exp : e [ minus | plus ] 1*DIGIT
frac : decimal-point 1*DIGIT
int : zero | ( digit1-9 *DIGIT )
minus : %x2D
plus : %x2B
zero : %x30
                           ; 0
string : quotation-mark *char quotation-mark
char : unescaped
           | escape (
          %x22 |
                                 quotation mark U+0022
          %x5C |
                          ; \
                                 reverse solidus U+005C
                          ; |
                                solidus
           %x2F |
                                                 U+002F
```

```
; b backspace
; f form feed
; n line feed
; r carriage ret
; t tab
            %x62 |
                                                       U+0008
            %x66 |
                                                       U+000C
            %x6E |
                                                       U+000A
                                     line feed
                                     carriage return U+000D
            %x72 |
            %x74 |
                             ; t
                                                       U+0009
            %x75 4HEXDIG ) ; uXXXX
                                                       U+XXXX
escape : %x5C
                              ; \
quotation-mark : %x22
unescaped : %x20-21 | %x23-5B | %x5D-10FFFF
```

6.4 Source Code

Source code can be found at https://github.com/sidprasad/sidbison/.

Bibliography

- [1] Appel, Andrew W. Modern Compiler Implementation in ML. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1998. Print.
- ${\rm "Bison}$ [2] Donnelly, Richard Stallman. The YACC-Charles, and compatible Parser Generator." Bison, The YACC-compatible Parser Generator. N.p., Nov. 1995. Web. Sept. 2015. jhttp://dinosaur.compilertools.net/bison/index.html;.
- [3] Popuri, Satya K. "Understanding C Parsers Generated by GNU Bison." Understanding Parsers Generated by GNU Bison. N.p., n.d. Web. 17 Feb. 2016.
- [4] Popuri, Satya K. "Interactive Mode Bison." ¡i¿Interactive Parsing with GNU Bison;/i¿. University of Illinois, Chicago, n.d. Web. 17 Feb. 2016. https://www.cs.uic.edu/~spopuri/ibison.html
- [5] Bidwell, Daniel R. "History of Parsing Methods." History of Parsing Methods. N.p., n.d. Web. 17 Feb. 2016. https://www.andrews.edu/~bidwell/456/history.html
- [6] "The Bison Parser Algorithm." Bison 1.25. N.p., n.d. Web. 17 Feb. 2016.http://dinosaur.compilertools.net/bison/bison_8.html
- [7] Bray, Tim. "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format." The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format. N.p., n.d. Web. 07 Oct. 2015. http://rfc7159.net/rfc7159.
- [8] Special thanks to Diogenes Nunez and Raoul Veroy for their help in determining the state machine for the if then else grammar.
- [9] "LR Parser." Wikipedia. Wikimedia Foundation, n.d. Web. 28 Mar. 2015.