# Recurrent Neural Network-based Music Language Models for Improving Automatic Music Transcription

## **Abstract**

In this paper, we investigate the use of Music Language Models (MLMs) for improving Automatic Music Transcription (AMT) performance. AMT is the process of converting an acoustic music signal into a symbolic notation, and is considered to be a fundamental problem in music signal processing. The MLMs are trained on sequences of symbolic polyphonic music. We train RNN-based models, as they are capable of capturing complex temporal structure present in the symbolic music data. Similar to the function of language models in automatic speech recognition, we use the MLMs to generate a prior probability for the occurrence of a sequence. The acoustic AMT model is based on probabilistic latent component analysis (PLCA), and prior information from the MLM is incorporated into the transcription framework using Dirichlet priors. We test our hybrid models on a dataset of multiple-instrument polyphonic music and report a significant 2.5% improvement in terms of Fmeasure, when compared to using an acousticonly model.

# 1. Introduction

Automatic Music Transcription (AMT) involves automatically generating a symbolic representation of an acoustic musical signal (Benetos et al., 2013a). AMT has is considered to be a fundamental topic in the field of music information retrieval (MIR) and has noumerous applications in related fields in music technology, such as interactive music applications and computational musicology. Typically, the output of an AMT systemis a *pianoroll* representation, which is a two-dimensional matrix representation of a musical piece where the X-axis represents time quantized into regular intervals, and the Y-axis represents the 88 keys of a piano in increasing pitch. A cell in this matrix is 1 if the key represented by its X-coordinate is sounded at the time

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute. instant represented by its Y-coordinate.

The majority of recent transcription papers utilise and expand spectrogram factorisation techniques, such as nonnegative matrix factorisation (NMF) (Li & Seung, 1999) and its probabilistic counterpart, probabilistic latent component analysis (PLCA) (Smaragdis et al., 2006). Spectrogram factorisation techniques decompose an input twodimensional spectrogram of the audio signal into a product of spectral templates (that typically correspond to musical notes) and component activations (that indicate when each note is active at a given time frame). Spectrogram factorisation-based AMT systems include the work by Bertin et al. (Bertin et al., 2010), who proposed a Bayesian framework for NMF, which considers each pitch as a model of Gaussian components in harmonic positions. Benetos and Dixon (Benetos & Dixon, 2012) proposed a convolutive model based on PLCA, which supports the transcription of multiple-instrument music and supports tuning changes and frequency modulations (modelled as shifts across log-frequency).

In terms of connectionist approaches for AMT, Nam et al. (Nam et al., 2011) proposed a method where features suitable for transcribing music are learned using a deep belief network consisting of stacked restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs). The model performed classification using support vector machines and was applied to piano music. Böck and Schedl used recurrent neural networks (RNNs) with Long Short-Term Memory units for performing polyphonic piano transcription (Bock & Schedl, 2012), with the system being particularly good at recognising note onsets.

There is no doubt that a reliable acoustic model is important for generating accurate symbolic transcriptions of a given music signal. However, since music exhibits a fair amount of structural regularity much like language, it is natural for one to think of the possibility of improving transcription accuracy using a *music language model* (MLM) in a manner akin to the use of a language model to improve the performance of a speech recognizer (Rabiner & Juang, 1993). In (Boulanger-Lewandowski et al., 2012), the predictions of a polyphonic MLM were used to this end, which was further developed in (Boulanger-Lewandowski et al., 2013), where an input/output extension of the RNN-RBM was proposed that learned to map input sequences to output sequences

in the context of AMT. Another example of symbolic information which can improve the performance of acoustic models are *score informed* approaches, which have been applied in music research tasks such as source separation (Ewert & Müller, 2012), voice separation (Ewert & Müller, 2011) and tonic identification (Sentürk et al., 2013).

In the present work, we make use of the predictions made by a Recurrent Neural Network-Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator (RNN-NADE) based polyphonic MLM proposed in (Boulanger-Lewandowski et al., 2012) to refine the transcriptions of a PLCA-based AMT system (Benetos & Dixon, 2012; Benetos et al., 2013b). Information from the MLM is incorporated into the PLCA-based acoustic model as priors for pitch activations during the parameter estimation stage. It was observed that combining the two models in this way boosts transcription accuracy to 100.00% on the Bach10 dataset of multiple-instrument polyphonic music (Duan et al., 2010), where the existing state-of-the-art accuracy is 99.00%.

The outline of this paper is as follows. The PLCA-based transcription system is presented in Section 2. The RNN-RBM-based polyphonic music prediction system that is used as a music language model is described in Section 3. The combination of the two aforementioned systems is presented in Section 4. The employed dataset, evaluation metrics, and experimental results are shown in Section 5; finally, conclusions are drawn and future directions are indicated in Section 6.

## 2. Automatic Music Transcription System

For combining acoustic and music language information in an automatic transcription context, we employ the transcription model of (Benetos & Dixon, 2012), which supports the transcription of multiple-instrument polyphonic music and also supports pitch deviations or frequency modulations. The model of (Benetos & Dixon, 2012) is based on probabilistic latent component analysis (PLCA), which is a latent variable analysis method which has been used for decomposing spectrograms (Shashanka et al., 2008) and can be viewed as a probabilistic version of non-negative matrix factorization (Li & Seung, 1999). For computational efficiency purposes, we employ the fast implementation from (Benetos et al., 2013b), which utilized preextracted note templates that are also pre-shifted across log-frequency, in order to account for frequency modulations or tuning changes. In addition, as was shown in (Smaragdis & Mysore, 2009), PLCA-based models can utilise priors for estimating unknown model parameters, which will be useful in this paper for informing the acoustic transcription system with symbolic information.

The transcription model takes as input a normalised log-

frequency spectrogram  $V_{\omega,t}$  ( $\omega$  is the log-frequency index and t is the time index) and approximates it as a bivariate probability distribution  $P(\omega,t)$ .  $P(\omega,t)$  is decomposed into a series of log-frequency spectral templates per pitch, instrument, and log-frequency shifting (which indicates deviation with respect to the ideal tuning), as well as probability distributions for pitch, instrument, and tuning.

The model is formulated as:

$$P(\omega, t) = P(t) \sum_{p,f,s} P(\omega|s, p, f) P_t(f|p) P_t(s|p) P_t(p)$$
 (1)

where p denotes pitch, s denotes the musical instrument source, and f denotes log-frequency shifting (which indicates tuning/pitch deviations). P(t) is the energy of the log-spectrogram, which is a known quantity.  $P(\omega|s, p, f)$ denote pre-extracted log-spectral templates per pitch p and instrument s, which are also pre-shifted across logfrequency. The pre-shifting operation is made in order to account for pitch deviations, without needing to formulate a convolutive model across log-frequency.  $P_t(f|p)$ is the time-varying log-frequency shifting distribution per pitch,  $P_t(s|p)$  is the time-varying source contribution per pitch, and finally,  $P_t(p)$  is the pitch activation, which essentially is the resulting music transcription. As a timefrequency representation in the log-frequency domain we use the constant-Q transform (CQT) with a log-spectral resolution of 60 bins/octave (Schörkhuber & Klapuri, 2010).

The unknown model parameters  $(P_t(f|p), P_t(s|p), P_t(p))$  can be iteratively estimated using the expectation-maximisation (EM) algorithm (Dempster et al., 1977). For the *Expectation* step, the following posterior is computed:

$$P_t(p, f, s|\omega) = \frac{P(\omega|s, p, f)P_t(f|p)P_t(s|p)P_t(p)}{\sum_{p, f, s} P(\omega|s, p, f)P_t(f|p)P_t(s|p)P_t(p)}$$
(2)

For the *Maximization* step (without using any priors) unknown model parameters are updated using the posterior computed from the Expectation step:

$$P_t(f|p) = \frac{\sum_{\omega,s} P_t(p,f,s|\omega) V_{\omega,t}}{\sum_{f,\omega,s} P_t(p,f,s|\omega) V_{\omega,t}}$$
(3)

$$P_t(s|p) = \frac{\sum_{\omega,f} P_t(p,f,s|\omega) V_{\omega,t}}{\sum_{s,\omega,f} P_t(p,f,s|\omega) V_{\omega,t}}$$
(4)

$$P_t(p) = \frac{\sum_{\omega, f, s} P_t(p, f, s | \omega) V_{\omega, t}}{\sum_{p, \omega, f, s} P_t(p, f, s | \omega) V_{\omega, t}}$$
(5)

We consider the sound state templates to be fixed, so no update rule for  $P(\omega|s,p,f)$  is applied. Using fixed templates, 20-30 iterations using the update rules presented in

235

236

237

238

229

252 253

255 256

261

273

254 257

258 259 260

271 272

274

put of the system is a pitch activation which is scaled by the energy of the log-spectrogram:

the present section are sufficient for convergence. The out-

$$P_t(p) \sum_{\omega} V_{\omega,t} \tag{6}$$

After performing 5-sample median filtering for note smoothing, thresholding is performed on P(p,t) followed by minimum note duration pruning set to 40ms (corresponding to the length of one time frame) in order to convert P(p,t) into a binary piano-roll representation, which is the output of the transcription system, and is also used for evaluation purposes.

# 3. Polyphonic Music Prediction System

Taking inspiration from speech recognition, it has been known that a good statistical model of symbolic music can help the transcription process (Cemgil, 2004). However there are 2 main reasons for the use of MLMs in AMT not being more common. 1. Training models that capture the temporal structure and complexity in symbolic polyphonic music is not an easy task. In speech recognition, often simple language models like n-grams work extremely well. However, symbolic music has more complex structure and simple statistical models like n-grams and HMMs fail to model these characteristics accurately (Boulanger-Lewandowski et al., 2012). 2. There is no consensus on how to incorporate this prior information within the transcription system. Though, recently there have been some successful attempts at using this prior information to improve the accuracy of AMT systems(Boulanger-Lewandowski et al., 2012; 2013).

In this section we discuss the details of the music prediction system, the models used and the methods used to train them. In the next section we discuss how we incorporate the predictions from these models in a PLCA-based music transcription system.

# 3.1. Recurrent Neural Network

A recurrent neural network (RNN) is a powerful model for time-series data which is known to account for longterm temporal dependencies, over multiple time-scales when trained effectively. Given a sequence of inputs  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_T$  each in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the network computes a sequence of hidden states  $\hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2, \dots, \hat{h}_T$  each in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and a sequence of predictions  $\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \dots, \hat{y}_T$  each in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  by iterating the equations

$$h_t = e(W_{\hat{h}x}v_t + W_{\hat{h}\hat{h}}\hat{h}_{t-1} + b_{\hat{h}})$$
 (7)

$$\hat{y}_t = g(W_{u\hat{h}}) \tag{8}$$

where  $W_{u\hat{h}}$ ,  $W_{\hat{h}x}$ ,  $W_{\hat{h}\hat{h}}$  are the weight matrices and  $b_{\hat{h}}$ ,

 $b_y$  are the biases and e and g are pre-defined vector valued functions which are typically non-linear and applied element-wise. The RNN also has a special initial bias  $b_{\hat{h}}^{init}$ which replaces the formally undefined expression  $W_{\hat{h}\hat{h}}\hat{h}_0$ at time t = 1.

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

289

290

291

293

295

296

297

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

324

325

327

328

329

In theory, a recurrent neural network can be easily trained using the gradient-based Back-Propagation Through Time algorithm (Werbos, 1990) using the exactly computable error gradients in the network. However,  $1^{st}$  order gradient methods fail to correctly train RNNs in certain cases. This difficulty has been associated with what is known as the vanishing/exploding gradients phenomenon (Bengio et al., 1994), where the errors exhibit exponential decay/growth as they are back-propagated through time. Several proposals have been made to overcome this difficulty while retaining the predictive power of the RNN (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, 1997; Jaeger, 2002; Martens & Sutskever, 2011).

## 3.2. Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator

The neural autoregressive distribution estimator (NADE) (Larochelle & Murray, 2011) is a graphical model inspired by the Restricted Boltzmann Machine (Smolensky, 1986; Hinton, 2002). It shares the structural properties of the RBM in that it has a visible layer v (with biases  $b_v$ ), a hidden layer h (with biases  $b_h$ ), with these two layers connected by a weight-matrix W. It facilitates the exact inference p(v) given an input vector v, which is not possible in RBMs since there one has to compute the intractable partition function (Larochelle & Murray, 2011). This wass made possible by thinking of the RBM as a fully visible sigmoid belief network (FVSBN) (Neal, 1992). The FVSBN is a special case of a family of models known as fully visible Bayesian networks (Frey, 1998) with the property

$$p(v) = \prod_{i=1}^{D} p(v_i | v_{parents(i)})$$
(9)

where all observation variables  $v_i$  are arranged into a directed acyclic graph and  $v_{parents(i)}$  corresponds to all the variables in v that are parents of  $v_i$ . In an FVSBN, the acyclic graph is obtained by defining the parents of  $v_i$  as all variables that are to its left, or  $v_{parents(i)} = v_{< i}$  where  $v_{< i}$  refers to the subvector containing all variables  $v_j$  such that j < i. In the case of the NADE,  $p(v_i|v_{parents(i)})$  can be computed as follows

$$p(v_i = 1 | v_{parents(i)}) = \sigma(b_v^{(i)}) + (W^T)_{i,.} h_i) (10)$$

$$h_i = \sigma(b_h + W_{.,< i} v_{< i}) \quad (11)$$

Untying the weights W and  $W^T$  results in a more powerful model. In the NADE, the cost of computing p(v) is O(HD), where H is the number of hidden units and D is the dimensionality of the vector v.

## 3.3. Recurrent Neural Network-Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator

Putting together the models described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain the RNN-NADE, which is a model proposed for high-dimensional time-series.

# 4. Combining Transcription and Prediction

In this section, we describe the process for combining the acoustic model with the music language model for deriving an improved transcription. Firstly, the input music signal is transcribed using the process described in Section 2. The resulting piano-roll representation of the transcription system is considered to be a sequence  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_T$  that is placed as input to the MLM presented in Section 3. We compute the probability  $p(v_i=1|v_{parents(i)})$  for all time frames, and use that as prior information for the combined model (the prior information will be denoted as  $P_{MLM}(p,t)$ ).

As shown in (Smaragdis & Mysore, 2009), PLCA-based models use multinomial distributions; since the Dirichlet distribution is conjugate to the multinomial, a Dirichlet prior can be used to enforce structure on the pitch activation distribution  $P_t(p)$ . Following the procedure of (Smaragdis & Mysore, 2009), we define the Dirichlet hyperparameter for the pitch activation as:

$$\alpha(p|t) = \frac{P(p|t)P_{MLM}(p,t)}{\sum_{p} P(p|t)P_{MLM}(p,t)}$$
(12)

where  $\alpha(p|t)$  essentially is a pitch activation probability which is filtered through a pitch indicator function computed from the symbolic prediction step (the denominator is simply for normalisation purposes).

The recording is then re-transcribed, using as aditional information the prior computed from the transcription step. The modified update for the pitch activation which replaces (5) is given by:

$$P_t(p) = \frac{\sum_{\omega,f,s} P_t(p,f,s|\omega) V_{\omega,t} + \kappa \alpha(p|t)}{\sum_{p,\omega,f,s} P_t(p,f,s|\omega) V_{\omega,t} + \kappa \alpha(p|t)}$$
(13)

where  $\kappa$  is a weight parameter expressing how much the prior should be imposed (in (Smaragdis & Mysore, 2009) it decreases from 1 to 0 throughout the iterations). In a larger context, the transcription creates a symbolic prediction, which in turn improves the subsequent re-transcription of the music signal.

#### 5. Evaluation

#### 5.1. Dataset

For testing the transcription system, we employ the Bach10 dataset (Duan et al., 2010), which is a freely available multi-track collection of multiple-instrument polyphonic music, suitable for multi-pitch detection experiments. It consists of ten recordings of J.S. Bach chorales, performed by violin, clarinet, saxophone, and bassoon. Pitch ground truth for each instrument is also provided. Due to the tonal and homogenous content of the dataset, it is suitable for testing the incorporation of music language models in a transcription system. For training the transcription system, pre-extracted and pre-shifted spectral templates are extracted for the instruments present in the dataset, using isolated note samples from the RWC database (Goto et al., 2003).

## 5.2. Metrics

For evaluating the performance of the proposed system for multi-pitch detection, we employ the precision, recall, and F-measure metrics, which are commonly used in transcription evaluations (MIR):

$$Pre = \frac{N_{tp}}{N_{sus}}, \quad Rec = \frac{N_{tp}}{N_{ref}}, \quad F = \frac{2 \cdot Rec \cdot Pre}{Rec + Pre}$$
 (14)

where  $N_{tp}$  is the number of correctly detected pitches,  $N_{sys}$  is the number of detected pitches, and  $N_{ref}$  is the number of ground-truth pitches. As in the public evaluations on multi-pitch detection carried out through the MIREX framework (MIR), a detected note is considered correct is if its pitch is the same as the ground truth pitch and its onset is within a 50ms tolerance interval of the ground-truth onset.

## 5.3. Results

## 6. Conclusions

# References

Music Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange (MIREX). http://music-ir.org/ mirexwiki/.

Benetos, E., Dixon, S., Giannoulis, D., Kirchhoff, H., and Klapuri, A. Automatic music transcription: challenges and future directions. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, 41(3):407–434, December 2013a. doi: 10.1007/s10844-013-0258-3.

Benetos, Emmanouil and Dixon, Simon. A shift-invariant latent variable model for automatic music transcription. *Computer Music Journal*, 36(4):81–94, 2012.

Benetos, Emmanouil, Cherla, Srikanth, and Weyde, Till man. An effcient shiftinvariant model for polyphonic
 music transcription. In 6th International Workshop on
 Machine Learning and Music, 2013b.

- Bengio, Yoshua, Simard, Patrice, and Frasconi, Paolo. Learning long-term dependencies with gradient descent is difficult. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, 5 (2):157–166, 1994.
- Bertin, N., Badeau, R., and Vincent, E. Enforcing harmonicity and smoothness in bayesian non-negative matrix factorization applied to polyphonic music transcription. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 18(3):538–549, March 2010.
- Bock, S. and Schedl, M. Polyphonic piano note transcription with recurrent neural networks. In 2012 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pp. 121–124, March 2012.
- Boulanger-Lewandowski, N., Bengio, Y., and Vincent, P. Modeling temporal dependencies in high-dimensional sequences: Application to polyphonic music generation and transcription. In *29th International Conference on Machine Learning*, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, 2012.
- Boulanger-Lewandowski, N., Bengio, Y., and Vincent, P. High-dimensional sequence transduction. In 2013 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pp. 3178–3182, May 2013.
- Cemgil, A. T. *Bayesian Music Transcription*. PhD thesis, Radboud University of Nijmegen, 2004.
- Dempster, A. P., Laird, N. M., and Rubin, D. B. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 39(1): 1–38, 1977.
- Duan, Z., Pardo, B., and Zhang, C. Multiple fundamental frequency estimation by modeling spectral peaks and non-peak regions. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 18(8):2121–2133, November 2010.
- Ewert, Sebastian and Müller, Meinard. Score-informed voice separation for piano recordings. In *ISMIR*, pp. 245–250, 2011.
- Ewert, Sebastian and Müller, Meinard. Score-informed source separation for music signals. In *Multimodal Music Processing*, pp. 73–94, 2012.
- Frey, Brendam J. *Graphical models for machine learning and digital communication*. The MIT press, 1998.

Goto, M., Hashiguchi, H., Nishimura, T., and Oka, R. RWC music database: music genre database and musical instrument sound database. In *International Conference* on *Music Information Retrieval*, Baltimore, USA, October 2003. 

- Hinton, Geoffrey E. Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence. *Neural computation*, 14 (8):1771–1800, 2002.
- Hochreiter, Sepp and Schmidhuber, Jürgen. Long short-term memory. *Neural computation*, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.
- Jaeger, Herbert. Adaptive nonlinear system identification with echo state networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 593–600, 2002.
- Larochelle, Hugo and Murray, Iain. The neural autoregressive distribution estimator. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15:29–37, 2011.
- Li, D. D. and Seung, H. S. Learning the parts of objects by non-negative matrix factorization. *Nature*, 401:788–791, October 1999.
- Martens, James and Sutskever, Ilya. Learning recurrent neural networks with hessian-free optimization. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML-11)*, pp. 1033–1040, 2011.
- Nam, J., , Ngiam, J., Lee, H., and Slaney, M. A classification-based polyphonic piano transcription approach using learned feature representations. In 12th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, pp. 175–180, Miami, Florida, USA, October 2011.
- Neal, Radford M. Connectionist learning of belief networks. *Artificial intelligence*, 56(1):71–113, 1992.
- Rabiner, Lawrence and Juang, Biing-Hwang. Fundamentals of speech recognition. 1993.
- Schörkhuber, C. and Klapuri, A. Constant-Q transform toolbox for music processing. In *7th Sound and Music Computing Conf.*, Barcelona, Spain, July 2010.
- Sentürk, Sertan, Gulati, Sankalp, and Serra, Xavier. Score informed tonic identification for makam music of turkey. In *14th Int. Soc. for Music Info. Retrieval Conf. Curitiba, Brazil.(to appear)*, volume 195, pp. 206, 2013.
- Shashanka, M., Raj, B., and Smaragdis, P. Probabilistic latent variable models as nonnegative factorizations. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2008. Article ID 947438.

# **Submission and Formatting Instructions for ICML 2014**

Smaragdis, P. and Mysore, G. Separation by "humming": user-guided sound extraction from monophonic mix-tures. pp. 69-72, October 2009. Smaragdis, P., Raj, B., and Shashanka, Ma. A probabilis-tic latent variable model for acoustic modeling. In Neu-ral Information Processing Systems Workshop, Whistler, Canada, December 2006. Smolensky, Paul. Parallel distributed processing: explo-rations in the microstructure of cognition, vol. 1. chapter Information processing in dynamical systems: founda-tions of harmony theory, pp. 194-281. MIT Press, Cam-bridge, MA, USA, 1986. ISBN 0-262-68053-X. Werbos, Paul J. Backpropagation through time: what it does and how to do it. Proceedings of the IEEE, 78(10): 1550-1560, 1990.