### Your Assignment- due 30aug25

- Take POS tag data from NLTK https://www.nltk.org/
- Use HMM, EnCo-DeCo and any LLM of your choice to compare performance
- You can use pre-written/available/LLM-geneated code, but you will have to explain what the code is doing
- Later you will be asked to implement something innovative on/based-on POS tagging which will require you to code

#### Assignment discussion

You have to strictly follow this format

# Define POS tagging

- Input (caution: you cannot give input inside the code)
- Output

### Data downloading and cleaning

- How much of data did you use
- From what source
- Did you use any cleaning? If so, what and how and why
- Did you use the POS tags as such or modify

#### Our recommendation

- Use 12 universal cross lingual tags (yes 12 only)
- Run
  - import nltk
  - nltk.download('brown')
  - from nltk.corpus import brown
  - print(brown.tagged\_sents(tagset='universal')[ 0])
- This returns Brown corpus sentences mapped to universal tags

# **HMM Based POS tagging**

- What did you read for this part of the assignment
- Why is Viterbi linear time?
- Is your program running?
- If yes, give the demo

#### EnCo-DeCo based

What did you read for this part of the assignment

- What algo does the decoding phase use?
- Is your program running?
- If yes, give the demo

#### LLM based

- What did you read for this part of the assignment
- Which LLM did you use?
- Is your code running?
- If yes, give the demo

#### Compare and contrast

- Give a tabular comparison of Precision (P), Recall (R) and F1 score
- Analyse and explain the observations

# Per POS accuracy

 For each of the 12 tags, compare and contrast as in the previous slide