

Twisted $2k$ th moments of primitive Dirichlet L -functions: beyond the diagonal

Siegfred Baluyot
American Institute of Mathematics (AIM)

joint work with Caroline Turnage-Butterbaugh
research supported by NSF DMS-1854398 FRG

Number Theory Seminar
UC Irvine
1 Dec 2022

Why study moments of L -functions?

- Moments contain information about the size of the L -functions and the distribution of their values.
- We can use variants of moments to deduce properties of L -functions, such as the distribution of their zeros. Moments are also useful in studying the behavior of arithmetic functions like the divisor function.
- The *Katz-Sarnak philosophy* is the notion that the behavior of families of L -functions is governed by some underlying symmetry groups.
- Studying different families may allow us to compare them and investigate how their (conjectured) symmetry types affect their behavior.

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^{2k}$$

$$\sum_{d \leq X}^b L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi_d)^k$$

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_r} \frac{1}{\omega_r} L(\tfrac{1}{2}, f)^k$$

The family of primitive Dirichlet L -functions

- Paley (1931): For some explicit constant C ,

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q} |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^2 = \frac{\phi^2(q)}{q} \log q + \frac{\phi^2(q)}{q} \left(\sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + C \right) + O(q^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}).$$

- Heath-Brown (1981): If $\phi^*(q) = \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* 1$, then

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^4 = \frac{\phi^*(q)}{2\pi^2} \prod_{p|q} \frac{(1-p^{-1})^3}{(1+p^{-1})} (\log q)^4 + O(2^{\omega(q)} q (\log q)^3).$$

- Soundararajan (2007):

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^4 = \frac{\phi^*(q)}{2\pi^2} \prod_{p|q} \frac{(1-p^{-1})^3}{(1+p^{-1})} (\log q)^4 \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\omega(q)}{\log q} \sqrt{\frac{q}{\phi(q)}} \right) \right) + O(q(\log q)^{7/2}).$$

- Young (2011): If $p > 2$ is a prime, then for some constants c_i ,

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod p}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^4 = \sum_{i=0}^4 c_i p (\log p)^i + O(p^{1-\frac{5}{512}+\epsilon}).$$

Upper and lower bounds for the prime modulus case

$$p(\log p)^{k^2} \ll_k \sum_{\chi \bmod p}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^{2k} \ll_k p(\log p)^{k^2}$$

Lower bound:

- Rudnick and Soundararajan (2005): all rational $k \geq 1$
- Chandee and Li (2012): all rational $0 < k < 1$
- Radziwiłł and Soundararajan (2013): all real $k \geq 1$
- Heap and Soundararajan (2022): all real $k > 0$

Upper bound (conditional on GRH):

- Soundararajan (2008): $\ll_k p(\log p)^{k^2+\varepsilon}$ for all real $k > 0$
- Heath-Brown (2009): $\ll_k p(\log p)^{k^2}$ for all real $0 < k < 2$
- Harper (2013): $\ll_k p(\log p)^{k^2}$ for all real $k > 0$

Additional averaging and the large sieve

If we also average over q , then we can leverage the large sieve

- Huxley (1970):

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^6 \ll Q^2 (\log Q)^9$$

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^8 \ll Q^2 (\log Q)^{16}$$

- Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan (2012): For some explicit constant C_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Lambda(\tfrac{1}{2} + iy, \chi)|^6 dy \\ & \sim C_3 \sum_{q \leq Q} \prod_{p|q} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^5}{(1 + \frac{4}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2})} \phi^b(q) (\log q)^9 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{4} + \tfrac{iy}{2})|^6 dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b$ denotes summation over even primitive characters, $\phi^b(q) = \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b 1$, and $\Lambda(s, \chi)$ is the completed L -function.

The asymptotic large sieve

The main new idea of Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan is a technique called the **asymptotic large sieve**.

- Chandee, Li, Matomäki, and Radziwiłł (2020):

$$\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^6 \sim C_3 \sum_{q \leq Q} \prod_{p|q} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^5}{(1 + \frac{4}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2})} \phi^*(q) (\log q)^9.$$

- Chandee and Li (2014): Assuming GRH, for some explicit constant C_4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Lambda(\tfrac{1}{2} + iy, \chi)|^8 dy \\ & \sim C_4 \sum_{q \leq Q} \prod_{p|q} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^7}{(1 + \frac{9}{p} + \frac{9}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^3})} \phi^b(q) (\log q)^{16} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{4} + \tfrac{iy}{2})|^8 dy. \end{aligned}$$

- Chandee, Li, Matomäki, and Radziwiłł (2022): This asymptotic formula holds *unconditionally*.

The CFKRS recipe (guided by random matrix theory)

Conjecture (Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, & Snaith, 2005):

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \prod_{\alpha \in A} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi\right) \prod_{\beta \in B} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta, \overline{\chi}\right) \\ \sim \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in V} \beta} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m=n \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\tau_{A \setminus U \cup V-}(m) \tau_{B \setminus V \cup U-}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}}$$

- Here, the “shifts” α, β are small complex numbers (say $\ll 1/\log q$)

- Notation: $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta(\alpha + s) =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(n)}{n^s}$ and $U^- := \{-\alpha : \alpha \in U\}$

- We call the cardinality $|U| = |V|$ the number of *swaps*

Dirichlet polynomial approximations

- To understand how the off-diagonal terms cancel, Conrey and Keating (2015) examined **Dirichlet polynomial approximations** of zeta.
- Using Perron's formula and the recipe, we expect that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m) \chi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(n) \chi(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
 & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{s_1+s_2}}{s_1 s_2} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \prod_{\alpha \in A} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + s_1, \chi\right) \prod_{\beta \in B} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta + s_2, \bar{\chi}\right) ds_2 ds_1 \\
 & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{s_1+s_2}}{s_1 s_2} \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha+s_1) - \sum_{\beta \in V} (\beta+s_2)} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m=n \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\tau_{A_{s_1} \setminus U_{s_1} \cup (V_{s_2})}(m) \tau_{B_{s_2} \setminus V_{s_2} \cup (U_{s_1})}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} ds_2 ds_1
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $|U| = |V| = \ell$, then we have $\left(\frac{X}{q^\ell}\right)^{s_1+s_2}$ here. Thus, intuitively, the **ℓ -swap terms** contribute to the main term only if $X \gg q^\ell$

The twisted recipe prediction, averaged over q

- Here we use smooth cutoffs and use Mellin inversion instead of Perron.
- Let W and V be smooth cutoff functions, with W supported on $[1, 2]$ and V on $[0, 1]$, say. Then the recipe predicts

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q < \infty \\ (q, hk)=1}} W\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \chi(h) \bar{\chi}(k) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(m) \chi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_B(n) \bar{\chi}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\
 & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} X^{s_1+s_2} \tilde{V}(s_1) \tilde{V}(s_2) \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q < \infty \\ (q, hk)=1}} W\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha+s_1) - \sum_{\beta \in V} (\beta+s_2)} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mh=nk \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\tau_{A_{s_1} \setminus U_{s_1} \cup (V_{s_2})}(m) \tau_{B_{s_2} \setminus V_{s_2} \cup (U_{s_1})}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} ds_2 ds_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Denote the right-hand side by $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\min\{|A|, |B|\}} \mathcal{I}_{\ell}(h, k)$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\ell}(h, k)$ is the **sum of the ℓ -swap terms**, i.e., the terms that have $|U| = |V| = \ell$.

Main result

Theorem (B. and Turnage-Butterbaugh, 2022)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. If $X = Q^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q < \infty \\ (q, hk)=1}} W\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \chi(h) \overline{\chi}(k) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(m) \chi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_B(n) \overline{\chi}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ = \mathcal{I}_0(h, k) + \mathcal{I}_1(h, k) + \mathcal{E}(h, k),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(h, k)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{h, k \leq Q^\vartheta} \frac{\lambda_h \overline{\lambda}_k}{\sqrt{hk}} \mathcal{E}(h, k) \ll Q^{1+\frac{\vartheta}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2}+\varepsilon} + Q^{\frac{5}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2}+\vartheta+\varepsilon}$$

uniformly for all $0 < \vartheta < 2 - \eta$ and arbitrary complex numbers λ_h such that $\lambda_h \ll h^\varepsilon$.

- One may interpret our theorem as saying that the 1-swap terms predicted by CFKRS are correct for this family (that is averaged over q).

Remarks on main result

$$\sum_{h,k \leq Q^\vartheta} \frac{\lambda_h \bar{\lambda}_k}{\sqrt{hk}} \mathcal{E}(h, k) \ll Q^{1+\frac{\vartheta}{2}+\frac{\eta}{2}+\varepsilon} + Q^{\frac{5}{2}-\frac{\eta}{2}+\vartheta+\varepsilon}$$

uniformly for all $0 < \vartheta < 2 - \eta$ and arbitrary complex numbers λ_h such that $\lambda_h \ll h^\varepsilon$.

- If $X = Q^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then $\mathcal{I}_0(h, k)$ and $\mathcal{I}_1(h, k)$ are each of size about Q^2 . If we also assume that $\vartheta < (\eta - 1)/2$, then our average bound for $\mathcal{E}(h, k)$ is $\ll Q^{2-\varepsilon}$.
- The same method applies to odd characters.
- We look at twisted moments for applications. One of these applications is the generalization of the Conrey-Keating heuristic of combining the 1-swap terms to build the general ℓ -swap terms.
- The 1-swap terms have also been found (conditionally) for other specific families of L -functions.

Evaluating the character sum, and initial splitting

- We start with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(h, k) := & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q < \infty \\ (q, hk)=1}} W\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \chi(h) \overline{\chi}(k) \\ & \times \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(m) \chi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_B(n) \overline{\chi}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right). \end{aligned}$$

- We bring in the sum over χ and use the standard lemma

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \chi(mh) \overline{\chi}(nk) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\substack{cd=q \\ d|(mh+nk)}} \phi(d) \mu(c) + \sum_{\substack{cd=q \\ d|(mh-nk)}} \phi(d) \mu(c) \right)$$

- We split the resulting expression into three parts and write

$$\mathcal{S}(h, k) = \mathcal{L}(h, k) + \mathcal{D}(h, k) + \mathcal{U}(h, k),$$

where, for some parameter C ,

- $\mathcal{L}(h, k)$ = sum of the terms with $c > C$
- $\mathcal{D}(h, k)$ = sum of the “diagonal” terms with $c \leq C$ and $mh = nk$
- $\mathcal{U}(h, k)$ = sum of the “off-diagonal” terms with $c \leq C$ and $mh \neq nk$

Analysis of $\mathcal{L}(h, k)$ (the sum of the terms with $c > C$)

- We use orthogonality of characters to detect the conditions $d \mid mh \pm nk$
- The contribution of the principal character cancels with some term from the analysis of $\mathcal{U}(h, k)$
- For the contribution $\mathcal{L}^r(h, k)$ of the non-principal characters, we apply Mellin inversion and use GLH to bound the m, n -sum to write

$$\sum_{h, k \leq Q^\vartheta} \frac{\lambda_h \overline{\lambda_k}}{\sqrt{hk}} \mathcal{L}^r(h, k) \ll X^\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq q < \infty} W\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \sum_{\substack{c > C, d \geq 1 \\ cd = q}} (cd)^\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod d \\ \psi \text{ even} \\ \psi \neq \psi_0}} \left| \sum_{\substack{h \leq Q^\vartheta \\ (h, q) = 1}} \frac{\lambda_h \psi(h)}{\sqrt{h}} \right|^2$$

- The character sum here has modulus $d \asymp \frac{Q}{c}$ because of the support of W . Thus the large sieve leads to (essentially)

$$\sum_{h, k \leq Q^\vartheta} \frac{\lambda_h \overline{\lambda_k}}{\sqrt{hk}} \mathcal{L}^r(h, k) \ll \frac{Q^{2+\varepsilon}}{C}.$$

This is smaller than the main term (size about Q^2) if we choose $C = Q^{2\varepsilon}$.

Switching to the complementary divisor

- We next look at $\mathcal{U}(h, k)$, which has $1 \leq c \leq C$.
- The key step in the asymptotic large sieve of Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan is to **switch to the “complementary modulus”** d' given by

$$d' = \frac{|mh \pm nk|}{d}$$

- The difficulty with using d is that $d \asymp Q/c$ as we saw earlier, and thus the large sieve gives a bound of $Q^{2+\varepsilon}/c^2$, which is too big for small c .
- On the other hand, if $1 \leq c \leq C$, then d' has size

$$d' \asymp \frac{c|mh \pm nk|}{Q} \ll XCQ^{\vartheta-1}$$

for $m, n \ll X$ and $h, k \ll Q^{\vartheta}$. **This bound is $\ll Q^{1-\varepsilon}$ provided $X \ll Q^{2-\varepsilon}$ and we choose C and Q^{ϑ} to each be $\ll Q^{\varepsilon}$.**

Applying the asymptotic large sieve

- After switching to the complementary divisor, we again use orthogonality of characters to detect the divisibility condition.
- We split the resulting expression to write

$$\mathcal{U}(h, k) = \mathcal{U}^0(h, k) + \mathcal{U}^r(h, k),$$

where \mathcal{U}^0 is the contribution of the principal character.

- The treatment of $\mathcal{U}^r(h, k)$ is basically the same as $\mathcal{L}^r(h, k)$. However, **the calculations are complicated by the switch to the complementary divisor**. We closely follow the arguments in Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan (2019).
- This means the only place the 1-swap terms can be is in $\mathcal{U}^0(h, k)$.

Finding the 1-swap terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^0(h, k) := & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq c \leq C \\ (c, hk)=1}} \mu(c) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ (mn, c)=1 \\ mh \neq nk}} \frac{\tau_A(m) \tau_B(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq e < \infty \\ (e, g)=1}} \frac{\mu(e)}{e} \\ & \times \sum_{a|g} \mu(a) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell < \infty \\ (ea\ell, \frac{mh}{g} \cdot \frac{nk}{g})=1}} \frac{|mh + nk|}{g\ell\phi(ea\ell)} W\left(\frac{c|mh + nk|}{g\ell Q}\right) \\ & + \left(\text{the same sum but with } |mh - nk| \text{ instead of } |mh + nk| \right) \end{aligned}$$

- We need to separate the variables in $|mh + nk|$ in order to write the m, n -sum as an Euler product. To do this, we use

Lemma (Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan, 2019)

If $0 < c < \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ and $r > 0$ with $r \neq 1$, then

$$|1 + r|^{-\omega} + |1 - r|^{-\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-\omega}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{z}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\omega-z}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-z}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-\omega+z}{2})} r^{-z} dz.$$

- We then apply Mellin inversion to get a multiple contour integral. We move the lines of integration to the left one by one. In the process, we find several different residues. **One of them cancels with $\mathcal{L}^0(h, k)$. Of the rest, five are main terms.**

Finding the 1-swap terms

- We move the lines of integration to the left, one after the other. In the process, we find several different residues, of which **five are main terms**.
- We carry out the same kind of residue analysis on the predicted 1-swap terms from an earlier slide:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \chi^{s_1+s_2} \tilde{V}(s_1) \tilde{V}(s_2) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \beta \in B}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq q < \infty \\ (q, hk)=1}} W\left(\frac{q}{Q}\right) \\ & \times \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^b \frac{\mathcal{X}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + s_1\right) \mathcal{X}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta + s_2\right)}{q^{s_1+s_2+\alpha+\beta}} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mh=nk \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\tau_{A_{s_1} \setminus \{\alpha+s_1\} \cup \{-\beta-s_2\}}(m) \tau_{B_{s_2} \setminus \{\beta+s_2\} \cup \{-\alpha-s_1\}}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

- We again find several different residues. **Nine of these are main terms, of which four cancel each other.**
- We match each of the five remaining residues with the five residues from our analysis of $\mathcal{U}^2(h, k)$ and show that corresponding residues are equal (up to negligible error term) via **Euler product identities**.

Finding the 1-swap terms

- We match each of the five remaining residues with the five residues from our analysis of $\mathcal{U}^2(h, k)$ and show that corresponding residues are equal (up to negligible error term) via **Euler product identities**.
- These Euler product identities are consequences of certain properties of the function τ_A , which we recall is defined by

$$\prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta(\alpha + s) =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(n)}{n^s}.$$

- One of the key identities is the following

Lemma (Conrey and Keating, 2015)

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{A \setminus \{\alpha\} \cup \{-\beta\}}(p^j) \tau_{B \setminus \{\beta\}}(p^\ell) \\ & \quad + \tau_{A \setminus \{\alpha\}}(p^j) \tau_{B \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\alpha\}}(p^\ell) - \tau_{A \setminus \{\alpha\}}(p^j) \tau_{B \setminus \{\beta\}}(p^\ell) \\ & = \tau_{A \setminus \{\alpha\} \cup \{-\beta\}}(p^j) \tau_{B \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\alpha\}}(p^\ell) \\ & \quad - p^{\alpha+\beta} \tau_{A \setminus \{\alpha\} \cup \{-\beta\}}(p^{j-1}) \tau_{B \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\alpha\}}(p^{\ell-1}) \end{aligned}$$