
# community values in the dublin core metadata initiative:

a look back with value sensitive design

ana bennett (+ andy peterson)
the information school at the university of washington

#### vsd tripartite methodology



## historical analysis and the vsd tripartite methodology

important stakeholder moments values at specific points in time

empirical

conceptual

looked at specific growth periods in dc technological development for the DCMI

the effect of stakeholders and values on the development of the dublin core as a technology

[abbreviated] historic socio-technical

### investigated events

oclc/ncsa metadata workshop [1995]

dc-6: 6th dublin core metadata workshop [1998]

dc-2001 conference [2001]

publication of dublin core abstract model [2004]

reestablishment as a project with asis&t [2013]

#### document analysis: conference proceedings, github repository, the internet archive, dcmi specifications, digital library magazine archive, workshop papers, stakeholder websites

#### **VSD METHODS**

- → stakeholder analysis
- → value source analysis
- → value tensions





#### considered values

discovery · standardization · community · practicality · urgency · complexity · reliability · easy to use · consensus · interoperability · availability · education · communication



community consensus [communication]





#### dcmi values timeline

## thank you + further thoughts

- explicitness of the relationship between values and the production of artifacts
- strengthening the legitimacy of methodological approach
- currently breadth over depth how to reverse this
- our emails: ab233@uw.edu + ajpatuw@uw.edu