Hybrid particle-field model for DNA


Sigbjørn Løland Bore Weekley Hylleraas seminar

10.05.2019

Primer on DNA (1)

Primer on DNA (2)

- Watson and Crick pairing
- Double helix formation
- Three types of helicies:
 - A-DNA
 - ► B-DNA
 - Z-DNA



Primer on DNA (3)

- ► Human DNA: 1-3 m
- Persistence length: 50 nm
- Very flexible
- Environmental effects
 - Temperature
 - Salt






The project:

- Reuse established CG-representations for DNA
- Model nonbonded interactions within hybrid particle-field framework
- Parametrize the model
- Benchmark the model
- No excuses, parallel implementation

Coarse grain representation

- The coarse-grained representation should fulfill:
 - Represent the structural organization
 - > 72 au per bead
- 3SPN-Model of Juan de Pablo
- Replace nonbonded interactions



Bonded interactions

$$egin{aligned} H_0(\{\mathbf{r}\}) &= \sum_i^{N_{
m atom}} rac{1}{2} m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i^2 + \sum_i^{N_{
m bond}} rac{1}{2} k_r (r_i - r_{i0})^2 \ &+ \sum_i^{N_{
m bend}} rac{1}{2} k_{ heta} (heta_i - heta_{i0})^2 - \sum_i^{N_{
m tor}} k_{\phi} \exp\left[-rac{(\phi_i - \phi_{0i})^2}{2\sigma_{\phi}^2}
ight], \end{aligned}$$

Bond	<i>r</i> _{i0} /nm	Bend	θ_{i0}/deg	Torsional	ϕ_{i0}/deg
S-P	0.3899	S-P-S	94.49	P-S-P-S	-154.8
P-S	0.3559	P-S-P	120.15	S-P-S-P	-179.2
S-A	0.4670	A-S-P	112.07	A-S-P-S	-32.8
S-T	0.4189	P-S-A	103.53	S-P-S-A	54.8
S-G	0.4829	T-S-P	116.68	T-S-P-S	-44.8
S-C	0.3844	P-S-T	92.06	S-P-S-T	58.0
		G-S-P	110.12	G-S-P-S	-29.1
		P-S-G	107.40	S-P-S-G	53.9
		C-S-P	110.33	C-S-P-S	-34.1
		P-S-C	103.79	S-P-S-C	57.0




Hybrid particle field method

Mesoscale potentials in molecular dynamics:

$$V_{\text{ext},i} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}_0} \left(k_b T \sum_j \chi_{ij} \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(\sum_j \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) - \tilde{\phi}_0 \right) \right)$$

 χ_{ij} : Flory-Huggins parameter. κ : compressibility. $\tilde{\phi}_0$: system density.



Nonbonded interactions

$$W_{
m elec}\left[
ho
ight] = \int {
m d}{f r} \; V_{
m Coul}({f r})
ho({f r})$$

$$W_{\text{non-elec}}\left[\{\phi\}\right] = \frac{1}{\tilde{\phi}_0} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{k_b T}{2} \sum_{k,\ell} \chi_{k\ell} \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \phi_\ell(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left(\sum_k \phi_k(\mathbf{r}) - \tilde{\phi}_0 \right)^2 \right]$$

	Р	S	Α	Т	С	G	W
Р	χ_{PP}	0	0	0	0	0	ΧPW
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Α	0	0	0	χ_{NN}	0	0	χ_{NW}
Т	0	0	χ_{NN}	0	0	0	χ_{NW}
С	0	0	0	0	0	χ_{NN}	χ_{NW}
G	0	0	0	0	χ_{NN}	0	χ_{NW}
W	χρw	0	χ_{NW}	$\chi_{\it NW}$	χ_{NW}	$\chi_{\it NW}$	0

10.05.2019

-9


Parametrization

- Parameters of the model:
 - $ightharpoonup k_r, k_{\theta}, k_{\phi}, \chi_{NW}, \chi_{NN}, \chi_{PP}, \chi_{PW}$
- Goals:
 - Reproduces well the strcuture of B-DNA
 - Reproduce the persistence length of SS- and DS-DNA

Optimization procedure(1): Fitness parameter

$$\eta = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{r}_{i,1} - \mathbf{r}_{i,2})^2}$$


► Kabsch algorithm




Optimization procedure(2): Optimization method

- Requirements:
 - No gradients
 - Handle noisy fitness
 - Few function calls

Bayesian Optimization




Optimization procedure(3): Implementation



Application of optimization

▶ 32 bp DNA, 100 mM salt




Applications: Structural properties


Best set:

- $\chi_{NW} = 19.0 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- $\chi_{NN} = -12.7 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- $\chi_{PW} = -7.2 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$
- $\chi_{PP} = -4.2 \, \text{kJ} \, \text{mol}^{-1}$

Property	simulation	expt.
Bases per turn	9.6 ± 0.3	10
Rise pr bp/nm	$0.34(5) \pm 0.01$	0.34
Radius/nm	$\textbf{0.88} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	0.94



Applications: Hairpin-formation



Persistence length DS-DNA

$$\left\langle \hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{I} \right\rangle = e^{-I/I_{P}}, \quad \mathbf{t} \equiv \mathbf{r}_{P,i+10} - \mathbf{r}_{P,i}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0.8 \\ \hline \vdots \\ 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$


$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ \vdots \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$$

► Experimental: I_P =40-60 nm

► Simulation: *I*_P =43 nm

Problem with SS-DNA



Both are too stiff!

Outlook

- Redo optimization to get better SS-strand behaviour
 - Less stiff k_φ
 - ▶ Limit $\chi_{NW} \leq 10 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$
- Applications on longer doublestranded DNA
- Investigate the effect of salt on persistence length
- Plans for applying optimization on other systems

Acknowledgements

Morten Ledum Michele Cascella











