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ABSTRACT

This template is based on ACM’s ‘Interim Template’ document at <https://www.acm.org/binaries/content/assets/publications/word_style/interim-template-style/interim-layout.docx>. Please see that document for details on formatting etc. This document just has the sections and instructions needed for the workshop proposal.

The abstract is a maximum of 250 words, and should provide an accurate and publishable description of the workshop. URLs are permitted. Abstracts of accepted workshops can be edited in response to reviews.

If the proposal is accepted, the presenters’ name(s) and affiliation(s), the workshop title, and the abstract as entered in EasyChair appear on the SIGCSE Technical Symposium website, in the printed program, and in the proceedings.

Include the names of the proposer and other presenters in the space provided above for authors.

MODE

Specify whether the proposal will be offered On-site or in Hybrid mode.

1  Significance and Relevance of the Topic

Describe (preferably cite evidence) the significance, timeliness, and relevance of the topic.

2  Expertise of Presenter(s)

Summarize the qualifications of the presenter(s) as they relate to the proposed topic.

3  Rough Agenda for the Workshop

Explain how you will budget the time, including time for attendee interaction. Workshops are three hours long, and a 15-minute break should be included. Include details on how participants will be supported in both, the on-site and hybrid, modes.

4  Expected Audience

State the target audience (e.g., high school teachers, those who teach CS1, research proposal authors, etc.). Also, estimate the number of likely participants.

5  Participant Equipment Requirements

Specify whether the attendees will need equipment to fully participate in the workshop. Be sure to also review the section on “Hands-On Workshops” on the website.

* Equipment Required. Participants must have equipment during the workshop in order to achieve the learning outcomes.
* Equipment Recommended. Participants are more likely to fully achieve the learning outcomes if they have equipment during the workshop.
* Equipment Optional. Participants may learn more about the topic if they have equipment during the workshop, but having it is not required to achieve the learning outcomes.
* Equipment Not Required. Having equipment during this workshop is not likely to help participants learn more about the topic.

If appropriate, describe the kind of equipment (hardware and software) participants will need.

6  Advertisement

The advertisement is used by attendees to select workshops. It is a short (two to three paragraphs) but comprehensive synopsis of the workshop, and must address these five points:

1) for whom the workshop is intended,

2) what participants can expect to know after the workshop,

3) highlights from the proposed schedule,

4) equipment requirements for participants, and

5) other important information about workshop for attendees (e.g., registration will be refunded by the workshop presenter/sponsor).

The advertisement can use less formal language and/or include details not appropriate for the abstract.

The advertisement as entered in EasyChair also appears on the website.

7  Enrollment Limit

Specify the maximum number of participants that can be accommodated. If no limit is specified, we will assume a cap of 30 participants, although if interest is strong, we may seek the presenter’s permission to raise the limit to 40, or more.

8  Scheduling Constraints

Workshops are typically scheduled before (Wednesday evening), during (Friday evening), and after (Saturday evening) the daily symposium program. Please indicate known scheduling constraints. At this time, the schedule for Workshop presentations is unknown.

9  Other Critical Information

Include any other notes that will facilitate assessment of the Workshop proposal.
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