

Programming in C++: Assignment Week 6

Total Marks : 30

Partha Pratim Das
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology
Kharagpur – 721302
partha.p.das@gmail.com

September 18, 2020

Question 1

Consider the program below.

[MCQ Mark 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class A {
public:
    void fun1() { cout << "A::fun1" << endl; }
    virtual void fun2() { cout << "A::fun2" << endl; }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    void fun1() { cout << "B::fun1" << endl; }
    void fun2() { cout << "B::fun2" << endl; }
};

int main() {
    A *t = new B();

    t->fun1();
    t->fun2();

    return 0;
}
```

What will be the output?

- a) A::fun1
B::fun2
- b) A::fun1
A::fun2
- c) B::fun1
B::fun2

d) B::fun1
A::fun2

Answer: a)

Explanation: As `fun1()` is a non-virtual function, for the `fun1()` function call, static binding is done. So, function of pointer type will be called.

As `fun2()` is a virtual function, for the virtual `fun2()` function call, dynamic binding is done. So, function of object type will be called.

Question 2

Consider the following program.

[MSQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Myclass {
public:
    virtual void fun() = 0;
};

void Myclass::fun() {                // LINE-1
    cout << "Pure virtual function";
}

int main() {
    Myclass m;                      // LINE-2
    Myclass *p = new Myclass();     // LINE-3

    p->fun();                        // LINE-4

    return 0;
}
```

The given program does not compile. Identify the correct reason/s.

- a) LINE-1: Pure virtual function in **Base** cannot have a body
- b) LINE-2: Cannot instantiate abstract class
- c) LINE-3: Invalid operator new expression for abstract class type
- d) LINE-4: Cannot de-reference a null pointer

Answer: b), c)

Explanation:

- a) Pure virtual function can have a body. Incorrect reason
- b) Abstract base class (**Base**) cannot be instantiated. Correct reason
- c) We cannot use new operator for an abstract base class. Correct reason
- d) Null pointer is checked at the run-time only. Incorrect reason

Question 3

What will be the output of the following program?.

[MCQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class base {
public:
    virtual void fun() { cout << "base::fun" << endl; }
};

class derived : public base {
public:
    void fun() { cout << "derived::fun" << endl; }
};

int main() {
    derived t1;
    base *t2 = new derived();
    base *t3 = &t1;

    t2->fun();
    t3->fun();

    return 0;
}
```

- a) base::fun
base::fun
- b) base::fun
derived::fun
- c) derived::fun
derived::fun
- d) derived::fun
base::fun

Answer: c)

Explanation:

The function `fun()` is declared as `virtual` in the `base` class. `derived` class object is assigned to both pointer variables (`t2` and `t3`) which are of type `base`. So, dynamic binding is done for both pointer. So, option (c) is correct.

Question 4

What will be the output of the below program?

[MCQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int x = 0;

class myClass {
public:
    myClass() { x++; }
    ~myClass() { x--; }
};

class test : public myClass {
public:
    test() { x += 5; }
    ~test() { x -= 2; }
};

void fun() {
    test t;
    myClass *t1 = new test();

    cout << x << " ";

    delete t1;
}

int main() {
    fun();

    cout << x;

    return 0;
}
```

- a) 12 8
- b) 12 6
- c) 10 8
- d) 10 6

Answer: a)

Explanation: When the function `fun` is called, a static object of class `test` is created, which increase the value of global variable by $(5+1)=6$. Again an object of class `test` is created which will increase global variable `x` by 6. So, 12 is printed first. When it is returned from function, destructor for both object is called. In this process, for the dynamic object (`t1`), only base class destructor is called because of not being virtual. But, for the static object (`t`), both class destructor will be called. So, `x` is decreased by only 4. So, 8 will be printed.

Question 5

Consider the following program.

[MSQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class X {
public:
    virtual void fun() { }
};

class Y : public X {
public:
    void fun(int i) { }
};

int main() {
    Y t1;
    X *t2 = new Y();

    t1.fun();           // LINE-1
    t1.fun(3);          // LINE-2
    t2->fun();           // LINE-3
    t2->fun(3);          // LINE-4

    return 0;
}
```

Which line/lines will give you error?

- a) LINE-1
- b) LINE-2
- c) LINE-3
- d) LINE-4

Answer: a), d)

Explanation:

The function `fun()` of class `X` is overloaded in class `Y`. So, base class function become hidden for derived class. So, LINE-1 will give error. On the other hand, class `X` doesn't have `fun(int)` in its definition. So, LINE-4 will give error.

Question 6

Consider the program below.

[MCQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class A {
public:
    A() { cout << "A "; }
    ~A() { cout << "~A "; }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    B() { cout << "B "; }
    virtual ~B() { cout << "~B "; }
};

class C : public B {
public:
    C() { cout << "C "; }
    ~C() { cout << "~C "; }
};

int main() {
    A *t1 = new C;

    delete t1;

    return 0;
}
```

What will be the output?

- a) A B C ~C ~B ~A
- b) A B C ~C ~B
- c) A B C ~B ~A
- d) A B C ~A

Answer: d)

Explanation:

When the object of class C is created, it calls constructor of class C which in turn calls constructor of class B and A respectively. So, it will print A B C.

Whenever, the object is deleted, it calls destructor of class A first. The destructor of class A is not **virtual**, so it will not call child class destructor. So, final result will be A B C ~A.

This leads to a partial wrap-up of the object C and a corresponding system exception as well.

Question 7

Consider the program below.

[MCQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class A {
public:
    virtual void f1() { cout << "A::f1" << endl; }
    void f2() { cout << "A::f2" << endl; }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    void f1() { cout << "B::f1" << endl; }
    virtual void f2() { cout << "B::f2" << endl; }
};

class C : public B {
public:
    void f1() { cout << "C::f1" << endl; }
    void f2() { cout << "C::f2" << endl; }
};

int main() {
    A *a = new C();

    a->f1();
    a->f2();

    return 0;
}
```

What will be the output of the above code.

- a) A::f1
B::f2
- b) B::f1
C::f2
- c) A::f1
C::f2
- d) C::f1
A::f2

Answer: d)

Explanation:

Whenever we are declaring a function as `virtual` in a class, it will remain virtual throughout the classes inherited from that class. Both functions are called using pointer of class **A** which is assigned by an object of class **C**. So, in this case, the function **f1** is called from class **C** because of dynamic binding and function **f2** is called from class **A** because of static binding property.

Question 8

Consider the following program.

[MCQ, Marks 2]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class A {
    int a;
public:
    A(int i) : a(i) { }
    virtual void fun(A *) { cout << a << endl; }
};

class B : public A {
    int b;
public:
    B(int i = 0, int j = 0) : A(i), b(j) { }
    void fun(B *) { cout << b << endl; }
};

int main() {
    A *t1 = new B(1, 2);

    t1->fun(new B);          // LINE-1

    return 0;
}
```

What will be the output?

- a) 0
- b) 1
- c) 2
- d) garbage

Answer: b)

Explanation:

The function in class A is overloaded in class B. So, base class function is not available in derived class B. So, the function call at Line-1 will call base class function `A::fun(A *)`. This function call will print data member value of object of class A which is 1.

Question 9

Identify the abstract class/es from the following code snippet.

[MCQ, Marks 2]

```
class Flower {
public:
    virtual void Petals() = 0 { cout << "Flower"; }
};

class FlowerWSmell : public Flower {
    void Petals() { cout << "Flower with smell"; }
};

class FlowerWOSmell : public Flower { };

class Rose : public FlowerWSmell {
public:
    void Petals() { cout << "Rose Flower"; }
};

class Jasmine : public FlowerWSmell {
public:
    void Petals() { cout << "Jasmine Flower"; }
};

class Sunflower : public FlowerWOSmell {
public:
    void Petals() { cout << "Sunflower flower"; }
};

class Hibiscus : public FlowerWOSmell { };
```

- a) Flower, FlowerWSmell, FlowerWOSmell
- b) Flower, FlowerWOSmell, Hibiscus
- c) Flower, FlowerWSmell, FlowerWOSmell, Sunflower
- d) Flower

Answer: b)

Explanation:

An abstract base class contains at least one pure virtual function. Moreover a class derived from an abstract base class will also be abstract unless you override each pure virtual function in the derived class with non-pure ones. So option b) is the correct answer.

Programming Assignments

Question 1

Consider the program below. Fill in the blank at LINE-1 with abstract function definition for fun(), and at LINE-2 and LINE-3 fill in the blanks with appropriate initialization list so that it satisfies the given test cases. *Do not change any other part of the code.* [Marks 3]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Base {
public:
    -----; // LINE-1: Define fun as pure virtual function
};

class Derived1 : public Base {
    int d1;
public:
    Derived1(int n) : ----- { } // LINE-2: Complete constructor definition
    void fun() {
        cout << d1 << " ";
    }
};

class Derived2 : public Base {
    int d2;
public:
    Derived2(int n) : ----- { } // LINE-3: Complete constructor definition
    void fun() {
        cout << d2 << " ";
    }
};

int main() {
    int i;
    cin >> i;

    Base *b1 = new Derived1(i);
    Base *b2 = new Derived2(i);

    b1->fun();
    b2->fun();

    return 0;
}
```

Public Test Case 1

Input: 5

Output: 5 10

Public Test Case 2

Input: 10

Output: 10 20

Private Test Case

Input: 1

Output: 1 2

Answer:

Line 1: `virtual void fun() = 0;`

Line-2: `d1(n)`

Line-3: `d2(2*n)`

Explanation:

We need to declare function `fun()` as pure virtual in `Base` class, so that we can call it using `Base` class pointer. So, `LINE-1` will be filled as `virtual void fun() = 0;`. `LINE-2` and `LINE-3` will be filled with `d1(n)` and `d2(2*n)` respectively in order to complete constructor definition.

Question 2

Consider the program below. Fill in the blank at LINE-1 with abstract function declaration for Salary(). Fill in the at LINE-2 with proper header of the function. Fill in the blanks at LINE-3 and LINE-4 with appropriate statement to call function `computeAllowance()` such that that it satisfies the given test cases. *Do not change any other part of the code.* [Marks 3]

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Professor { double allowance = 10;
public:
    -----;          // LINE-1
    double computeAllowance(int);
};

----- (int basic) {    // LINE-2
    return (basic*allowance / 100);
}

class HOD : public Professor { int basic;
public:
    HOD(int _b) : basic(_b) {    }
    void Salary() {
        double a = -----;    // LINE-3: Call computeAllowance()
        cout << "HOD Salary = " << (basic + a) << endl;
    }
};

class Director : public Professor { int basic;
public:
    Director(int _b) : basic(_b) {    }
    void Salary() {
        double a = -----;    // LINE-4: : Call computeAllowance()
        cout << "Director Salary = " << (basic + a) << endl;
    }
};

int main() {
    int h, d;
    Professor *p;

    cin >> h >> d;

    p = new HOD(h);
    p->Salary();

    p = new Director(d);
    p->Salary();

    return 0;
}
```

Public Test Case 1

Input: 500 1000
Output:
HOD Salary = 550
Director Salary = 1100

Public Test Case 2

Input: 1000 1900
Output:
HOD Salary = 1100
Director Salary = 2090

Private Test Case

Input: 50000 80000
Output:
HOD Salary = 55000
Director Salary = 88000

Answer:

LINE-1: `virtual void Salary() = 0;`
LINE-2: `double Professor::computeAllowance`
LINE-3 & Line-4: `Professor::computeAllowance(basic)`

Explanation:

We need to declare function `Salary(.)` as pure virtual in LINE-1 so that the function can be defined differently in derived classes. So, it can be filled as

```
virtual void Salary() = 0;
```

At LINE-2, we need to complete function header with scope resolution operator as it is being defined outside of the class. So, it can be filled as

```
double Professor::computeAllowance(int basic)
```

At LINE-3 and LINE-4, we need to call base class `computeAllowance` function in order to compute allowance amount. So, it can be filled as

```
double a = Professor::computeAllowance(basic)
```

Question 3

Consider the program below. Fill in the blanks at LINE-1 and LINE-2 to define appropriate destructors such that it matches given test cases. *Do not change any other part of the code.*
Marks: 3

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Test {
public:
    Test() { cout << "1 "; }
    -----;    // LINE-1
};

Test::~Test() { cout << "2 "; }

class DerivedTest : public Test {
public:
    DerivedTest() { cout << "3 "; }
    DerivedTest(int i) { cout << 2 * i << " "; }
    -----;    // LINE-2
};

DerivedTest::~DerivedTest() { cout << "4 "; }

int main() {
    int n;
    cin >> n;

    DerivedTest *d = new DerivedTest(n);
    Test *t = d;

    delete t;

    return 0;
}
```

Public Test Case 1

Input: 3

Output: 1 6 4 2

Public Test Case 2

Input: 5

Output: 1 10 4 2

Private Test Case

Input: 2

Output: 1 4 4 2

Answer:

LINE-1: `virtual ~Test();`

LINE-2: `~DerivedTest();` OR `virtual ~DerivedTest();`

Explanation:

From the test cases, it can be noticed that both class destructor is being called while calling delete of pointer `t` of class type `Test`. So, we need to declare base class destructor as virtual. So, LINE-1 will be filled as `virtual ~Test();`.

LINE-2 destructor declaration may or may not be virtual. So, it can be filled as `~DerivedTest();`
OR `virtual ~DerivedTest();`

Question 4

Fill in the blanks at LINE-1 with proper access modifier, at LINE-2 so that global function **addition** can access private data member of **Base** class and at LINE-3 to call **Base** class **show()** function such that it matches the given test cases. *Do not change any other part of the code.*

Marks: 3

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Base {
    int b;
public:
    Base(int n) : b(n) { }
    ----- void show() {           // LINE-1
        cout << b << " ";
    }
    -----;                       // LINE-2
};

class Derived : public Base {
    int d;
public:
    Derived(int m, int n) : Base(m), d(n) { }
    void show() {
        -----;                   // LINE-3
        cout << d << " ";
    }
};

void addition(Base &x, Base &y) {
    x.b = x.b + y.b;
}

int main() {
    int m, n;
    cin >> m >> n;

    Base *t1 = new Derived(m, n);
    Base *t2 = new Base(n);

    addition(*t1, *t2);
    t1->show();

    return 0;
}
```

Public Test Case 1

Input: 5 6

Output: 11 6

Public Test Case 2

Input: 2 5

Output: 7 5

Private Test Case

Input: 5 10

Output: 15 10

Answer

LINE-1: `virtual`

LINE-2: `friend void addition(Base&, Base&)`

LINE-3: `Base::show()`

Explanation:

`show()` function in `Base` class should be declared as `virtual` such that `Derived` class function can be called using base class pointer type. Global function `addition` can access private data members of `Base` class only if it is a friend function of that class. So, LINE-2 can be filled as `friend void addition(Base &, Base &)`. `Base` class `show` function can be called at LINE-3 as, `Base::show()`.