

# System Software Crash Course

Samsung Research Russia  
Moscow 2019


Block C Compiler Construction

8. Semantic Analysis


Eugene Zouev

# Compilation: An Ideal Picture

*A program written by a human  
(or by another program)*



# Semantic analysis



# Type representation (1)

From the  
previous lecture

## C++ type system:

- Fundamental types: integer, float, character, ...
- Class and enumeration types
- Type modifiers: constants, pointers, references, pointers to class members
- Functional types, arrays
- Families of types (templates)

## Many ways for defining new types, for example:

- Reference to pointer `int*& rp = p;`
- Pointer to function `double& (*f)(const C*);`
- Array of pointers to pointers to class members

`C<int,float>::*char A[10];`

## Many complex & non-obvious conversion rules

# Type representation (2)

From the  
previous lecture

Solution for C++:

- Represent types as **type chains**

```
int
int*
long unsigned int**
const int
const int*
const int *const
const C*[10]
int& (*f)(float)const
C::*int
...
f
```

```
tpInt
tpPtr, tpInt
tpPtr, tpPtr, tpULI
tpConst, tpInt
tpPtr, toConst, tpInt
tpConst, tpPtr, tpConst, tpInt
tpArr, 10, tpPtr, tpConst, tpClass, C
tpPtr, f
tpPtrMemb, C, tpInt


tpMembFun, tpRef, tpInt, 1, tpFloat
```

# Type representation (3)

## Typical operations on types (and on type chains)


Taking address:

$\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}^*$



Dereferencing:


$\text{int}^* \rightarrow \text{int}$



Access to the type of an array element:

$\text{tpArr}, 10, \text{tpPtr}, \text{tpConst}, \text{tpClass}, C \rightarrow$


$\text{tpPtr}, \text{tpConst}, \text{tpClass}, C$



# Type representation (4)


## Compound types representation

Compound type: array  
**int[10]**





Compound type: class  
**class C**

Link to the  
AST node for  
class C



# Type representation (5)

```
typedef void (*pf)(int*, const float);
```



In general, a type is represented as a tree but not as a chain.

OR: as a direct acyclic graph, DAG).



# What is semantic analysis for?

```
void f(int p)
{
    int a, b;
    *a = 777;
    return xyz*a+b*f;
}

...
f();
int x = f(1,2);
```

Illegal operation (dereferencing)  
for an object of type **int**

Using uninitialized variables **a** and **b**

Undeclared variable **xyz**

Illegal operand types for  
operator **\***

Returning a value in **void**  
function

Illegal number of arguments in  
calls to function **f**

Illegal position for call to  
function **f**

**Syntactically  
perfect  
program!..**

# What is semantic analysis for?

## Some remarks

1. Errors like "undeclared identifier» are typically detected on syntax analysis stage - while building symbol tables and/or program tree.
2. Errors like "uninitialized variable" usually are not detected by all compilers because it requires deeper control flow and data flow analysis.
3. Analysis of the code snippet `...xyz*a...` typically results in a message like "illegal operand types for \* operator". Formally that's true but in fact the reason is that xyz is not declared - this is an example of and *induced error*.

# Semantic analysis

- Typically semantic analysis runs on the program tree built on previous compilation stages (while syntax analysis).
- Semantic analysis is typically implemented as a series of tree traverses with some actions related to the source language semantics.
- The more complex semantics is the more passes (traverses) are needed.
  - For relatively simple languages semantic analysis can be done **together** with syntax analysis while building the program tree.
  - Usually, the last tree walk implements target code generation - either an intermediate representation (like C--) or assembler code.
  - Often, before code generation, some **additional stages** after semantic analysis are necessary like building CFG & SSA representations...

# Semantic analysis

- One or several semantic actions are performed on each tree walk.
- What's the result of each tree walk?
  - Either a modified program tree with **the same node types**; perhaps complex nodes get replaced for simpler ones.

**Example is C# compiler:** after each tree walk the tree consists of the same node types.
  - Or a modified program tree **with different node types** that are more primitive but are "closer" to the target architecture.

**Example is Scala compiler:** node types representing source program constructs get replaced for more primitive nodes ("ICode"), and the JVM (or MSIL) code is generated from ICode finally.

# Semantic analysis

The result of each tree walk is typically twofold:

- The tree changes its **structure**: some nodes/subtrees are added or removed, some nodes/subtrees get replaced for other nodes/subtrees...
- Tree nodes are annotated ("decorated" 😊) by attributes reflecting various semantic features; the attributes are deduced during the analysis process.

=> The Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) is converted to the **Annotated** Syntax Tree (AAST).

(An alternative solution is **attribute grammars**.)

# Semantic analysis: Actions

## Four categories of actions while semantic analysis:

- Semantic checks

  - Operand types consistency in expressions

  - Проверки корректности конструкций (деструктор)

- Semantic conversions

  - Replacing conversions for function calls

  - Replacing infix operators for operator function calls

  - Inserting necessary type conversions

  - Template instantiating

- Identification of hidden semantics

  - Implicit destructor calls


  - Temporary objects

- Optimizations (!)


# Semantic analysis: Example 1

## Standard conversions

```
int a = 3;  
double d = 7.55;  
float x = a + d;
```



# Semantic analysis: Example 1





# Semantic analysis: Example 2

## Initialization semantics

```
class C { ... };
```

```
...
```

```
C c1;
```

```
C c2(1);
```

```
C c3(c2);
```

```
C c4 = 7;
```

```
C c5 = c1;
```


- Allocate memory for c1 object;
- Call **default constructor** of C for c1.

- Allocate memory for c2 object;
- Call **C(int)** constructor for c2.

- Allocate memory for c3 object;
- Call **copy constructor** for c3.

- Allocate memory for c4 object;
- Create temporary object tmp;
- Call **C(int)** constructor for tmp;
- Call **copy constructor** for c4.

# Semantic analysis: Example 2



# Semantic analysis: Example 3

## User-defined conversions

```
class C {  
private:  
    bool m;  
public:  
    operator bool() { return m; }  
};  
...  
C c;  
...  
if ( c ) ...
```

if ( c ) ...   ←   if ( (bool)c ) ...   ←   if ( c.operator bool() ) ...


# Semantic analysis: Example 3

if ( c ) ...

if ( (bool)c ) ...

if ( c.operator bool() ) ...


if ( C::operator bool(&c) ) ...



# Semantic analysis: Example 4

## Functional objects ("functors")


```
class C {  
public:  
    int operator()(int a,int b)  
    { return a+b; }  
};  
...  
C c1;  
...  
int res = c1(5,7);
```



# Semantic analysis: Example 4

...c1(5,7)...

...C::operator()(&c1,5,7)...



# Semantic analysis: Example 5

## Calculating constant expressions

```
const int Factor = 10;  
int A[Factor*7-1];
```

