

Linear Regression: Regularization

Signal Data Science

Some helpful notes on the `glmnet` package are in a section at the end of this document. As you work through this assignment, you should **refer to those notes** to understand how `glmnet()` and `cv.glmnet()` work.

Exploring regularization with simulated data

Before using regularized linear regression on real data, we'll build some intuition by using regularization in a simpler context with simulated data.

Define `x` and `y` using:

```
set.seed(1); j = 50; a = 0.25
x = rnorm(j)
error = sqrt(1 - a^2)*rnorm(j)
y = a*x + error
```

If you run `summary(lm(y ~ x - 1))`, corresponding to a linear model with no constant coefficient, you should get an estimated value of 0.2231 for `a`.

- Write a function `cost(x, y, aEst, lambda, p)` which takes
 - two vectors `x` and `y` of equal length,
 - a estimate of the value of `a`, `aEst`,
 - a regularization parameter `lambda`, and
 - a number `p = 1` or `2`, indicating whether L^1 or L^2 regularization is being performed.

Your function should return the sum of squared errors for the model $y = aEst \cdot x$ plus the L^p regularization term. Check that `cost(1, 2, 3, 4, 2)` returns 37.

- Create two vectors, one corresponding to values of λ given by $2^{-2}, 2^{-1}, \dots, 2^5, 2^6, 2^7$ and another corresponding to values of `aEst` from -0.1 to 0.3 in equally spaced increments of 0.001.
- Use `expand.grid()` on the two vectors of parameter to create a data frame called `grid` with two columns `lambda` and `aEst`, where each row is

a unique pair of `(lambda, aEst)` values.

- Add `costL1` and `costL2` columns to `grid` where we'll store the cost of associated with each pair `(lambda, aEst)` for each of $p = 1$ and $p = 2$. Fill in those columns with `cost()`.
- Write a function `get_plot(lambda, p)` which looks at the rows of `grid` with the specified value of `lambda` and returns the `qplot()` object generated by plotting the corresponding values of `aEst` on the [abscissa](#) (x -axis) and the corresponding values of either the L^1 or L^2 regularized cost function (depending on p) on the [ordinate](#) (y -axis).
- Use `lapply()` with `get_plot()` to create two lists, `plotsL1` and `plotsL2`, where the i th plot is generated using the i th value of the vector of `lambda` values.
- Use `multiplot` with `cols=2` and the `plotlist` parameter set to either `plotsL1` or `plotsL2` to visualize the results. Interpret the differences between the sets of plots for the L^1 and L^2 regularized cost function with respect to how L^1 regularization drives coefficient estimates to zero whereas L^2 regularization does not.

Comparing regularization and stepwise regression

We will now begin to use the `glmnet` package, which provides the functions `glmnet()` and `cv.glmnet()` for regularized linear regression.

Continue using the aggregated speed dating dataset (`speed-dating-simple`) from yesterday. For simplicity, we'll restrict to analyzing average *attractiveness ratings* (`attr_o`) for *males*, making predictions for that specific rating in terms of the 17 self-rated activity variables.

Getting started with `glmnet()`

`glmnet()` can perform both L^1 and L^2 regularized linear regression as well as a mix of the two (which we'll be exploring later). When calling `glmnet()`, you can set `alpha=1` for L^1 regularization and `alpha=0` for L^2 regularization.

- Create a `activities_scaled` variable which is the result of calling `scale()` on a data frame containing only the 17 activity variables and an `attr_o` variable equal to the (unscaled) `attr_o` column of the original dataset. You'll be passing these variables into `glmnet()`.
- Use `glmnet()` to fit both L^1 and L^2 regularized linear models for `attr_o` in terms of the variables in `activities_scaled`. By default, the function automatically determines a range of different λ values to try. To illustrate

this, access the object returned by `glmnet()` and print out the values of λ used for each of the two regularized models.

- Write a function `get_rmse(fit, df, target)` that takes as input `fit`, the model object generated by a call to `glmnet()`, `features`, the predictors used in the call to `glmnet()`, and `target`, the true values of the target variable being predicted. Iterate over the values of λ which `glmnet()` tried. For each of those values, use `predict()` to generate predictions for the whole dataset and calculate the corresponding (non-cross-validated) RMSE. Return a vector of the calculated RMSE values.
- For both the L^1 and L^2 regularized linear fits, use `get_rmse()` to plot the RMSE corresponding to each value of λ against λ itself.

Making cross-validated RMSE estimates

We can see that the non-cross-validated RMSE is minimized at $\lambda = 0$! This is not surprising, because *on the whole dataset* the linear fit which minimizes the RMSE is precisely (and almost tautologically) the one obtained by minimizing the sum of squared errors, without adding on any regularization term. However, the same cannot be said for the *cross-validated* RMSE estimates. Typically, adding in some regularization will reduce the amount of overfitting sufficiently well that the optimal value of λ is greater than 0.

We can automatically generate cross-validated error estimates for a range of different λ values with `cv.glmnet()`. For each value of λ tested, `cv.glmnet()` uses n -fold cross-validation ($n = 10$ by default) to calculate an error estimate.¹ After running `fit = cv.glmnet(...)`, the value of λ (out of those tested) corresponding to the lowest error estimate can be accessed with `fit$lambda.min`. Similarly, the entire range of λ values tested can be accessed with `fit$lambda` and the cross-validated error estimates can be accessed with `fit$cvm`. (See the notes on `glmnet` below for additional clarification.)

- Use `cv.glmnet()` to fit L^1 and L^2 regularized linear models for attractiveness ratings in terms of the 17 activity variables. For each one, plot the cross-validated error estimates against the values of λ tested. Interpret the results.

Stepwise regression vs. regularization

Stepwise regression tends to *overfit* because of problems with [multiple hypothesis testing](#). It's easy to understand as an introduction to model comparison and

¹Technically, by default `cv.glmnet()` calculates the *mean squared error* for linear regression models. However, since the square root function is monotonically increasing, it doesn't matter if one compares models using MSE or RMSE.

serve as a good stepping stone to regularization, which is why we include it in our curriculum. However, *in practice*, regularizing a linear model is typically strictly superior to selecting a subset of the predictors with a stepwise method.

Read the entirety of this section before writing any code.

- Implement **20-fold** cross-validation. Within each fold, you should fit a model predicting attractiveness in terms of the 17 activities (1) with `step()` for backward stepwise regression and (2) with `cv.glmnet()` for both L^1 and L^2 regularized linear regression. Use the fitted model to make predictions on the held-out fold for all three methods. At the end of the 10 iterations, calculate and return the RMSE corresponding to each method. Interpret the results.

Keep the following in mind while you work:

- After fitting a model with `cv.glmnet()`, be sure to pass `s=fit$lambda.min` to `predict()`, because by default `predict()` does *not* use the value of λ which minimizes the cross-validated error.
- Within each cross-validation fold, you'll want to `scale()` the features which you pass into `cv.glmnet()`. However, when making predictions on the *held-out* data, you don't want to simply call `scale()` on that data directly; instead, you want to apply the *same transformations* which were applied to the training set. To do so, (1) extract the scaling parameters from the scaled training data (stored as attributes) and (2) pass them in as additional parameters to `scale()` when calling it on the test set.

Elastic net regression

Instead of penalizing the sum of squared errors by the L^1 or L^2 norm of the regression coefficients, we can penalize with a *combination* of the two, corresponding to setting the `alpha` parameter in `glmnet()` to a value *between* 0 and 1.² This is known as **elastic net regularization** and usually performs better than pure L^1 or L^2 regularization alone. However, instead of simply finding a *single* hyperparameter λ , we now must find the optimal *pair* of hyperparameters (α, λ) (by testing a large number of such pairs and calculating the cross-validated RMSE corresponding to each one). This is a more difficult task, because we must search over a 2-dimensional space of hyperparameter combinations instead of a 1-dimensional space for the value of a single hyperparameter.

The `caret` package allows us to easily obtain a cross-validated estimate of the optimal (α, λ) values. Here's an example of how to use its `train()` function:

```
# Set grid of parameter values to search over
param_grid = expand_grid(alpha = 1:10 * 0.1,
```

²Read the [official documentation for glmnet](#) to figure out how the α parameter works..

```

lambda = 10^seq(-4, 0, length.out=10))

# Set 10-fold cross validation repeated 3x
control = trainControl(method="repeatedcv", number=10,
                        repeats=3, verboseIter=TRUE)

# Search over the grid
caret_fit = train(x=features, y=target, method="glmnet",
                  tuneGrid=param_grid, trControl=control,
                  preProc=c("center", "scale"))

# View the optimal values of alpha and lambda
caret_fit$bestTune

# View the cross-validated RMSE estimates
caret_fit$results$RMSE

```

In the above example, we perform *10-fold cross-validation* for each pair of hyperparameters (α, λ) to estimate the corresponding RMSE. The 10-fold cross validation is *repeated* three times, each time using a different random set of folds, in order to combat potential bias resulting from any particular choice of random folds. The optimal pair of values (α, λ) is the one corresponding to the lowest cross-validated RMSE.

- Use the caret package, following the above example, to find the optimal values for (α, λ) when predicting attractiveness ratings with elastic net regularization. Extract the minimum RMSE value obtained from the resulting `caret_fit` object and compare it to the cross-validated RMSE estimates obtained earlier with backward stepwise regression, L^1 regularized linear regression, and L^2 regularized linear regression.

A note on glmnet

Here, I'll cover two important points about the behavior of the `glmnet` package.

Passing in data

For `lm()`, you passed in the entire data frame, including both target variable and predictors. `glmnet(features, target, ...)` and `cv.glmnet(features, target, ...)` expect a *scaled matrix of predictors* for features and a numeric vector for target. Since `scale()` returns a matrix, you can just call `scale()` on a data frame of predictors and pass that in as features.

Picking values of λ

Ordinarily, one might expect that, for every different value of λ we want to try using with regularized linear regression, we would have to recompute the entire model from scratch. However, the `glmnet` package, through which we'll be using regularized linear regression, will automatically compute the regression coefficients for a wide range of λ values simultaneously.³

When you call `glmnet()` – or, later, `cv.glmnet()` – you'll get out an object, which we'll call `fit`. (You should generally not be specifying *which* λ values the algorithm should use at this point – it'll try to determine that on its own.) By printing out `fit` in the console, you can see which values of λ were used by `glmnet`.

When you want to make predictions with this `fit` object, you'll have to specify *which* value of λ to use – instead of calling `predict(fit, new_data)`, you'll want to call `predict(fit, new_data, s=lambda)` for some particular $\lambda = \text{lambda}$. Similarly, when extracting coefficients, you'll want to call `coef(fit, s=lambda)`.

Finally, `cv.glmnet()` will use *cross-validation* to determine `fit$lambda.min` and `fit$lambda.1se`. The former is the value of λ (out of all those the algorithm evaluated) which minimizes the cross-validated mean squared error (MSE), and the latter is the greatest value of λ (again, of those evaluated by `glmnet`) such that the MSE corresponding to `fit$lambda.1se` is within 1 standard error of the MSE corresponding to `fit$lambda.min`.

If it turns out that the optimal value of λ lies at either end of the range of λ values used by `glmnet`, then you'll want to modify the range of λ . However, the documentation advises against passing in just a single value for the `lambda` parameter of `glmnet()` and `cv.glmnet()`, instead suggesting modifying `nlambda` and `lambda.min.ratio`.⁴ Nevertheless, there are times when passing in a single value makes sense, like when you've previously determined the optimal λ and want to just use that instead of a range of different λ values.

³“Due to highly efficient updates and techniques such as warm starts and active-set convergence, our algorithms can compute the solution path very fast.”

⁴“Typical usage is to have the program compute its own `lambda` sequence based on `nlambda` and `lambda.min.ratio`. Supplying a value of `lambda` overrides this. WARNING: use with care. Do not supply a single value for `lambda` (for predictions after CV use `predict()` instead). Supply instead a decreasing sequence of `lambda` values. `glmnet` relies on its warm starts for speed, and it's often faster to fit a whole path than compute a single fit.”