# Linear Regresson: Regularization

## Signal Data Science

Some helpful notes on the glmnet package are in a section at the end of this document. If you have problems using functions from glmnet, read that section to see if it answers your questions.

# Exploring regularization with simulated data

Before using regularized linear regression on real data, we'll explore regularization in a simpler context with some simulated data.

Define x and y using:

```
set.seed(1); j = 50; a = 0.25
x = rnorm(j)
error = sqrt(1 - a^2)*rnorm(j)
y = a*x + error
```

If you run summary  $(lm(y \sim x - 1))$ , corresponding to a linear model with no constant coefficient, you should get an estimated value of 0.2231 for a.

- Write a function cost(x, y, aEst, lambda, p) which takes
  - Two vectors x and y of equal length
  - An estimate of the value of a, aEst
  - A regularization parameter lambda
  - A number p = 1 or 2, indicating whether  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  regularization is being performed

and returns the value of the  $L^p$  regularized cost function at y = aEst\*x.

- Create a dataframe with two columns, one corresponding to the values of  $\lambda$  2<sup>-8</sup>, 2<sup>-7</sup>,..., 2<sup>-1</sup>, 2<sup>0</sup>, 2<sup>1</sup>, and for each of these values of  $\lambda$ , values of a from -0.1 to 0.3, in equally spaced increments of 0.001. Use expand.grid() to fill in the grid.
- Add "costL1" and "costL2" columns, where we'll store the cost of associated with each pair (lambda, a), for each of p = 1 and p = 2.

For each of p = 1 and p = 2,

• Use lapply() to make a plots list with 10 ggplot() objects, one for each value of lambda from 2<sup>-8</sup> to 2<sup>1</sup>, graphing values of a on the abscissa (*x*-axis) and values of the cost function on the ordinate (*y*-axis). Then use multiplot with plotlist = "plots" to display these graphs in 2 columns of 5. Pay special attention to the values on the *y*-axis, which vary from plot to plot.

# Comparing regularization and stepwise regression

Install the glmnet package.

We'll continue using the aggregated speed dating dataset (speed-dating-simple) from yesterday. For simplicity, we'll restrict to analyzing attractiveness ratings ("attr\_o") for males.

#### Getting acquainted with glmnet

glmnet() can perform both  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear regression as well as a mix of the two (which we'll be exploring later). Set alpha=1 for  $L^1$  regularization and set alpha=0 for  $L^2$  regularization.

- Use backward stepwise regression to generate attractiveness predictions for the whole dataset. (Don't use cross-validation at this point.)
- Similarly, use glmnet() to generate attractiveness predictions with both  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear regression.
  - Look at which values of  $\lambda$  were used by glmnet().
  - Write a function that (1) takes the model object generated by a call to glmnet() and the true values for the target variable, (2) uses predict() to generate predictions for every value of  $\lambda$  which glmnet() tried, and (3) returns the  $\lambda$  corresponding to the lowest RMSE and the RMSE itself.
- Compare the minimum RMSE for both regularized fits with the RMSE for backward stepwise regression.
- Compare and interpret the coefficients for  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear regression using various values of  $\lambda$ .
- For both  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear regression, plot the RMSE as a function of  $\lambda$ .

The cv.glmnet() function uses cross-validation to determine the optimal value of  $\lambda$ ; after getting a model fit = cv.glmnet(...), the best  $\lambda$  can be accessed with fit\$lambda.min. (See the notes on glmnet below.)

• Use cv.glmnet() and compare its determination of the optimal  $\lambda$  values with your own choices of  $\lambda$ .

## Making cross-validated RMSE predictions

As you saw in the assignment on resampling, we want to use *cross-validation* to get more accurate estimates of model quality. In particular, stepwise regression tends to *overfit*, because of problems with multiple hypothesis testing, so noncross-validated estimates of a stepwise regression model's quality are often overly optimistic. (However, it's easy to understand and, pedagogically, a good stepping stone to regularization, which is why we include it in our curriculum.)

Write a function following these specifications:

- Use 10-fold cross validation to generate predictions for attractiveness with (1) stepwise regression, (2)  $L^1$  regularized linear regression, and (3)  $L^2$  regularized linear regression.
- For regularized linear regression, use cv.glmnet() to get cross-validated estimates of the optimal value of λ, and use that to make predictions with predict(fit, test\_data, s=fit\$lambda.min).
- Return the RMSE associated with each of the three sets of predictions.

Here are some points to keep in mind:

- Within each cross-validation fold, you'll want to scale() the features which you pass into cv.glmnet(). When generating predictions on the held-out data, you want to scale the features in the same way (i.e., by applying the same linear transformation). The output of scale() will contain attributes which can be accessed and passed into successive calls of scale() to perform the same transformation.
- If you have a string, say, "attr\_o", and you want to pass that into lm() as part of the regression formula, you can paste together the formula's components (e.g., paste("attr\_o", "~.")), call formula() on the string to turn it into a formula, and then passing the formula into lm().
- You should be running stepwise regression for each training fold separately.

Use your function to explore the difference in model quality between backward stepwise regression,  $L^1$  regularized regression, and  $L^2$  regularized regression when predicting attractiveness ratings.

# **Elastic net regression**

Instead of penalizing the sum of squared residuals by the  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  norm of the regression coefficients, we can penalize with a combination of the two, corresponding to setting the alpha parameter in glmnet() to a value between 0 and 1. We can use cross-validation to find the optimal *pair* of *hyperparameters*  $(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

Thankfully, we won't have to implement that ourselves (for now)! Instead, we can use the caret package to get a cross-validated estimate of the optimal  $(\alpha, \lambda)$ .

Here's an example of how to use the caret package's train() function:

In the above example, we perform 10-fold cross-validation repeated 3 times.

Whereas cv.glmnet() picks an appropriate sequence of  $\lambda$  values, the caret package does not.

• Run cv.glmnet() on the data for any rating to get a rough sense for what the range of  $\lambda$  should be, and then use that when doing grid search with caret.

Write a function according to the following specifications:

• Use the caret package, following the above example, to find the optimal values for  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  when predicting attractiveness ratings.

#### Finally:

- Calculate the corresponding RMSE and compare the different RMSEs for all combinations of (gender, rating).
- Now that you've gotten some experience with glmnet() and cv.glmnet(), go back and modify your cross-validation function so that you can pass in (1) any of the five rating variables and (2) a gender variable to filter by instead of restricting solely to attractiveness ratings for males.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Read the official documentation to figure out it works.

# A note on glmnet

Here, I'll cover two important points about the behavior of the glmnet package.

#### Passing in data

For lm(), you passed in the entire data frame, including both target variable and predictors. glmnet(features, target, ...) and cv.glmnet(features, target, ...) expect a scaled matrix of predictors for features and a numeric vector for target. Since scale() returns a matrix, you can just call scale() on a data frame of predictors and pass that in as features.

#### Picking values of $\lambda$

Ordinarily, one might expect that, for every different value of  $\lambda$  we want to try using with regularized linear regression, we would have to recompute the entire model from scratch. However, the glmnet package, through which we'll be using regularized linear regression, will automatically compute the regression coefficients for \*a wide range of  $\lambda$  values simultaneously.<sup>2</sup>

When you call glmnet() – or, later, cv.glmnet() – you'll get out an object, which we'll call fit. (You should generally not be specifying which  $\lambda$  values the algorithm should use at this point – it'll try to determine that on its own.) By printing out fit in the console, you can see which values of  $\lambda$  were used by glmnet.

When you want to make predictions with this fit object, you'll have to specify which value of  $\lambda$  to use – instead of calling predict(fit, new\_data), you'll want to call predict(fit, new\_data, s=lambda) for some particular  $\lambda$  = lambda. Similarly, when extracting coefficients, you'll want to call coef(fit, s=lambda).

Finally, cv.glmnet() will use <code>cross-validation</code> to determine fit\$lambda.min and fit\$lambda.1se. The former is the value of  $\lambda$  (out of all those the algorithm evaluated) which minimizes the cross-validated mean squared error (MSE), and the latter is the greatest value of  $\lambda$  (again, of those evaluated by glmnet) such that the MSE corresponding to fit\$lambda.1se is within 1 standard error of the MSE corresponding to fit\$lambda.min.

If it turns out that the optimal value of  $\lambda$  lies at either end of the range of  $\lambda$  values used by glmnet, then you'll want to modify the range of  $\lambda$ . However, the documentation advises against passing in just a single value for the lambda parameter of glmnet() and cv.glmnet(), instead suggesting modifying nlambda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Due to highly efficient updates and techniques such as warm starts and active-set convergence, our algorithms can compute the solution path very fast."

and lambda.min.ratio. Nevertheless, there are times when passing in a single value makes sense, like when you've previously determined the optimal  $\lambda$  and want to just use that instead of a range of different  $\lambda$  values.

 $<sup>3^{\</sup>prime\prime}$  Typical usage is to have the program compute its own lambda sequence based on nlambda and lambda .min.ratio. Supplying a value of lambda overrides this. WARNING: use with care. Do not supply a single value for lambda (for predictions after CV use predict() instead). Supply instead a decreasing sequence of lambda values. glmnet relies on its warms starts for speed, and it's often faster to fit a whole path than compute a single fit."