

Regularized Linear Regression

Some helpful notes on the `glmnet` package are at the end of this document. Look there if you have problems.

Exploring regularization with simulated data

Define `x` and `y` using:

```
set.seed(1); j = 50; a = 0.25
x = rnorm(j)
error = sqrt(1 - a^2)*rnorm(j)
y = a*x + error
```

If you run `summary(lm(y ~ x - 1))`, corresponding to a linear model with no constant coefficient, you should get an estimated value of 0.2231 for `a`.

Write a function `cost(x, y, aEst, lambda, p)` which takes

- Two vectors `x` and `y` of equal length
- An estimate of the value of `a`, `aEst`
- A regularization parameter `lambda`
- A number `p = 1` or `2`, indicating whether L^1 or L^2 regularization is being performed

and returns the L^p regularized cost function associated with the estimate $y = aEst \cdot x$.

Create a dataframe with two columns, one corresponding to the values of λ $2^{-8}, 2^{-7}, \dots, 2^{-1}, 2^0, 2^1$, and for each of these values of λ , values of `a` from -0.1 to 0.3, in equally spaced increments of 0.001. Use `expand.grid()` to fill in the grid.

Add "costL1" and "costL2" columns, where we'll store the cost of associated with each pair (λ, a) , for each of $p = 1$ and $p = 2$.

For each of $p = 1$ and $p = 2$,

- Use `lapply` to make a `plots` list with 10 `ggplot()` objects, one for each value of `lambda` from 2^{-8} to 2^1 , graphing values of `a` on the [abscissa](#)

(x-axis) and values of the cost function on the [ordinate](#) (y-axis). Then use `multiplot` with `plotlist = "plots"` to display these graphs in 2 columns of 5. Pay special attention to the values on the y-axis, which vary from plot to plot.

Comparing regularization and stepwise regression

Install the `glmnet` package.

We'll continue using the simplified speed dating dataset from yesterday. For now, please restrict analyzing *attractiveness ratings* ("`attr_o`") for *males*.

Getting acquainted with `glmnet`

The `glmnet()` function can perform both L^1 and L^2 regularized linear regression as well as a mix of the two (which we'll be exploring later). Set `alpha=1` for L^1 regularization and set `alpha=0` for L^2 regularization.

- Use backward stepwise regression to generate attractiveness predictions for the whole dataset. (Don't use cross-validation at this point.)
- Use `glmnet()` to generate similar predictions with both L^1 and L^2 regularized linear regression.
 - Look at which values of λ were used by `glmnet()`.
 - Write a function that (1) takes the model object generated by a call to `glmnet()` and the true values for the target variable, (2) uses `predict()` to generate predictions for every value of λ which `glmnet()` tried, and (3) returns the λ corresponding to the lowest RMSE and the RMSE itself.
- Compare the minimum RMSE for both regularized fits with the RMSE for backward stepwise regression.
- Compare and interpret the coefficients for L^1 and L^2 regularized linear regression using various values of λ .
- For both L^1 and L^2 regularized linear regression, plot the RMSE as a function of λ .

The `cv.glmnet()` function uses cross-validation to determine the optimal value of λ ; after getting a model `fit = cv.glmnet(...)`, the best λ can be accessed with `fit$lambda.min`. (See the notes on `glmnet` below.)

- Use `cv.glmnet()` and compare its determination of the optimal λ values with your own choices of λ .

Making cross-validated RMSE predictions

As you saw in the assignment on resampling, we want to use *cross-validation* to get more accurate estimates of model quality. In particular, stepwise regression tends to *overfit*, because of problems with multiple hypothesis testing, so non-cross-validated estimates of a stepwise regression model's quality are often overly optimistic. (However, it's easy to understand and, pedagogically, a good stepping stone to regularization, which is why we include it in our curriculum.)

Write a function following these specifications:

- Use 10-fold cross validation to generate predictions for attractiveness with (1) stepwise regression, (2) L^1 regularized linear regression, and (3) L^2 regularized linear regression.
- For regularized linear regression, use `cv.glmnet()` to get cross-validated estimates of the optimal value of λ , and use that to make predictions with `predict(fit, test_data, s=fit$lambda.min)`.
- Return the RMSE associated with each of the three sets of predictions.

Here are some points to keep in mind:

- Within each cross-validation fold, you'll want to `scale()` the features which you pass into `cv.glmnet()`. When generating predictions on the *held-out* data, you want to scale the features in the same way (*i.e.*, by applying the same linear transformation). The output of `scale()` will contain *attributes* which can be accessed and passed into successive calls of `scale()` to perform the same transformation.
- If you have a string, say, "attr_o", and you want to pass that into `lm()` as part of the regression formula, you can paste together the formula's components (*e.g.*, `paste("attr_o", "~. ")`), call `formula()` on the string to turn it into a *formula*, and then passing the formula into `lm()`.
- You should be running stepwise regression for each training fold separately.

Use your function to explore the difference in model quality between backward stepwise regression, L^1 regularized regression, and L^2 regularized regression when predicting attractiveness ratings.

Elastic net regression

Instead of penalizing the sum of squared residuals by the L^1 or L^2 norm of the regression coefficients, we can penalize with a combination of the two, corresponding to setting the alpha parameter in `glmnet()` to a value between

0 and 1.¹ We can use cross-validation to find the optimal *pair of hyperparameters* (α, λ) .

Thankfully, we won't have to implement that ourselves (for now)! Instead, we can use the `caret` package to get a cross-validated estimate of the optimal (α, λ) .

Here's an example of how to use the `caret` package's `train()` function:

```
param_grid = expand.grid(.alpha = 1:10 * 0.1,  
                        .lambda = 10^seq(-3, 0, length.out=10))  
control = trainControl(method="repeatedcv", number=10,  
                      repeats=3, verboseIter=TRUE)  
caret_fit = train(x=features, y=target, method="glmnet",  
                 tuneGrid=param_grid, trControl=control)
```

In the above example, we perform *10-fold cross-validation* repeated 3 times.

Whereas `cv.glmnet()` picks an appropriate sequence of λ values, *the `caret` package does not*.

- Run `cv.glmnet()` on the data for any rating to get a rough sense for what the range of λ should be, and then use that when doing grid search with `caret`.

Write a function according to the following specifications:

- Use the `caret` package, following the above example, to find the optimal values for (α, λ) when predicting attractiveness ratings.

Finally:

- Calculate the corresponding RMSE and compare the different RMSEs for all combinations of (gender, rating).
- Now that you've gotten some experience with `glmnet()` and `cv.glmnet()`, go back and modify your cross-validation function so that you can pass in (1) any of the five rating variables and (2) a gender variable to filter by instead of restricting solely to attractiveness ratings for males.

A note on `glmnet`

Here, I'll cover two important points about the behavior of the `glmnet` package.

¹Read the [official documentation](#) to figure out it works.

Passing in data

For `lm()`, you passed in the entire data frame, including both target variable and predictors. `glmnet(features, target, ...)` and `cv.glmnet(features, target, ...)` expect a *scaled matrix of predictors* for features and a numeric vector for target. The `scale()` function returns a matrix, so you can just call `scale()` on a data frame of predictors and pass that in as `features`.

Picking values of λ

“Ordinarily”, one might expect that, for every different value of λ we want to try using with regularized linear regression, we would have to recompute the entire model from scratch. However, the `glmnet` package, through which we’ll be using regularized linear regression, will automatically compute the regression coefficients for *a wide range of λ values simultaneously*.²

When you call `glmnet()` – or, later, `cv.glmnet()` – you’ll get out an object, `fit`. (You should generally not be specifying *which* λ values the algorithm should use at this point – it’ll try to determine that on its own.) By printing out `fit` in the console, you can see which values of λ were used by `glmnet`.

When you want to make predictions with this `fit` object, you’ll have to specify *which* value of λ to use – instead of calling `predict(fit, new_data)`, you’ll want to call `predict(fit, new_data, s=lambda)` for some particular $\lambda = \text{lambda}$. Similarly, when extracting coefficients, you’ll want to call `coef(fit, s=lambda)`.

Finally, `cv.glmnet()` will use *cross-validation* to determine `fit$lambda.min` and `fit$lambda.1se`. The former is the value of λ (out of all those the algorithm evaluated) which minimizes the cross-validated mean squared error (MSE), and the latter is the greatest value of λ (again, of those evaluated by `glmnet`) such that the MSE corresponding to `fit$lambda.1se` is within 1 standard error of the MSE corresponding to `fit$lambda.min`.

If it turns out that the optimal value of λ lies at either end of the range of λ values used by `glmnet`, then you’ll want to modify the range of λ . However, the documentation advises against passing in just a single value for the `lambda` parameter of `glmnet()` and `cv.glmnet()`, instead suggesting modifying `nlambda` and `lambda.min.ratio`.³ Nevertheless, there are times when passing in a single

²“Due to highly efficient updates and techniques such as warm starts and active-set convergence, our algorithms can compute the solution path very fast.”

³“Typical usage is to have the program compute its own `lambda` sequence based on `nlambda` and `lambda.min.ratio`. Supplying a value of `lambda` overrides this. WARNING: use with care. Do not supply a single value for `lambda` (for predictions after CV use `predict()` instead). Supply instead a decreasing sequence of `lambda` values. `glmnet` relies on its warm starts for speed, and it’s often faster to fit a whole path than compute a single fit.”

value makes sense, like when you've previously determined the optimal λ and want to just use that instead of a range of different λ values.