Principal Components Analysis

Use the prcomp(..., scale=TRUE) function to explore the principal components of various datasets.

A couple things to keep in mind: Let p = prcomp(df, scale=TRUE) be the result of PCA run on a data frame df. Then:

- p\$rotation gives a matrix with rows corresponding to columns of df, columns corresponding to principal components, and entries corresponding to the *loadings* of a particular column of df on a particular principal component. Put another way, each principal component is formed out of a linear combination of the variables of df, and the column corresponding to each principal column corresponds to the *coefficients* of that linear combination.
- p\$x gives the *principal component scores* for each row of df, that is, the *actual value* of each principal component for every row in df.
- p\$sdev gives the *eigenvalues of the covariance matrix* of the data. One can interpret the nth value in p\$sdev as corresponding to the relative proportion of the variance in the data explained by the nth principal component. Put another way, p\$sdev[n] / sum(p\$sdev) is the proportion of the variance in the data explained by the nth principal component.

For supplementary reading on the theory behind PCA, consult *Introduction to Statistical Learning* or *Applied Predictive Modeling*.

PCA on the msq dataset

Prepare the data in this fashion:

- Extract the columns active: scornful from the msq dataset.
- Look at the number of NAs in each column (hint: use colSums() in conjunction with is.na()). For simplicity's sake, throw out the columns with a huge number of missing values and subsequently remove all the rows with any NAs.

Afterward, run PCA on the remaining variables.

- Write a function top(n) that prints out the top 10 *loadings* of the nth principal component, ordered by absolute value.
- Look at the PCA loadings for the first 5-10 principal components. Use corrplot() on the loadings (with the is.corr=FALSE option) to visually explore these relationships. After doing so, interpret and assign concise names to the principal components which seem to represent something coherent.
- Plot the eigenvalues obtained via prcomp(...)\$sdev. How do their relative magnitudes relate to the interpretability of each principal component?
- Suppose that we use the first nth principal components to predict Extraversion and Neuroticism using a simple, unregularized linear model. Calculate a cross-validated RMSE for $n=1,2,\ldots$, plot them against n, and compare to the cross-validated RMSE which you got in the self-assessment when using regularized linear regression with all of the original variables. Interpret the results.
- Spend a couple minutes reading about the history of trait theories. Can you assign any hierarchical interpretation to the principal components obtained for this dataset? How do they relate to Extraversion and Neuroticism?

PCA on the speed dating dataset

Return to the aggregated speed dating dataset from last week, which you used for logistic regression.

• Drop the rows corresponding to people who didn't answer the activities questions.

Run PCA on the columns corresponding to the activity questions.

- Perform the same analysis which you didd with the msq dataset: looking
 at and interpreting the loadings of each principal component, visualizing them with corrplot(), and looking at the associated eigenvalues.
 Since there aren't very many variables, you can cbind() the activities to
 the principal component scores and then use cor() → corrplot() for
 easy visualization. As before, assign appropriate names to the principal
 components which seem to have a coherent meaning or interpretation.
- Predict gender, race (restricting to whites and Asians), and career code (restricting to academia and business / finance) in terms of varying numbers of the principal components with regularized logistic regression. (You can

- just use L^2 regularization with <code>cv.glmnet()</code> and <code>family="binomial".)</code> Interpret the coefficients.
- For the above regressions, use the pROC package to calculate the associated areas under the ROC curve. Compare to the results of using stepwise or regularized regression on all of the activities for the same predictions.