

More Linear Regression

We'll be doing more simple linear regression, with an open-ended focus toward interpreting the results. It should be assumed that after every step which produces a new result, you should stop and think about what the results *mean*.

If you need a refresher on what linear regression is, refer to yesterday's email on the theory of least squares and skim the relevant sections in *Applied Predictive Modeling*.

For linear regression results in particular,

- What do the coefficients mean, especially when you take into account their p-values?
- Sometimes, when you add or remove variables from a regression, the magnitudes, signs, and p-values of coefficients change significantly. Be sure to interpret these changes.
- Pay attention to how the adjusted R-squared changes (or doesn't change) as you add or remove variables from a regression. You can consider these changes to represent the associated *change in predictive power* as you adjust the model.

States dataset

We'll begin by studying the effect of educational expenditures on test scores.

- Load the `States` dataset from the `car` package into a variable `df` and read about it using `help(States)`.
- Try computing the correlations between the columns with `cor()`.

Visualizing correlations

You can display the correlations visually using the library `corrplot`, which you should install and load.

- Set `states_cor = cor[df[-1]]` and pass `states_cor` into `corrplot()`.
- Experiment with different values of the `method` parameter for `corrplot()` until you find one you like. (I like `method="pie"`.)
- Interpret the results.

Engineering a new feature

Sometimes, it's useful to combine existing dataset features in creative ways to form new ones.

- Add an **SAT** column defined as the sum of **SATV** and **SATM**.
- Run each of the following regressions in sequence, each time using **summary()** to inspect the coefficients, multiple R-squared statistic, and adjusted R-squared statistic.
 - i. SAT against pop, percent, dollars and pay
 - ii. SAT against pop, dollars and pay
 - iii. SAT against dollars and pay
 - iv. SAT against dollars
 - v. SAT against pay
 - vi. percent against pop, dollars and pay.
- Interpret the results.

Regional-level analysis

We'll also sometimes want to take a step back and group some of our observations together to do data analysis at a different level.

- Aggregate at the level of regions using the **aggregate()** function. (*Hint: Pass in FUN=median.*)
- Compute the correlations between the resulting columns.
- How do these compare with the correlations you calculated at the state level? What do you think explains the difference?

Massachusetts Test Score dataset

- Load the MCAS dataset from the **car** package into a variable **df** and read about it using **help(MCAS)**.

Cleaning the dataset

- Find the total number of rows.
- Remove the rows with missing values, and compute the number of rows of the resulting data frame.

- Is the number of rows appreciably smaller?
- Anticipate some statistical problems that this naive row removal could cause in our analyses.

Preliminary analysis

We'll start out with some more simple linear regressions before moving to a slightly more advanced technique.

- Compute the correlations of `totsc4` and `totsc8` with the other features in the dataset.
 - Why do you think the correlations with `totsc8` tend to be larger than the correlations with `totsc4`?
- Run a regression of `totsc8` against total expenditure per student, `totday`.
 - What does this say about the effect of spending per student on standardized test scores?
- Form a new data frame `df1` by removing the non-numeric columns and `totsc4`.
- Run a regression of `totsc8` against the other features using `lm(totsc8 ~ . , df1)`.
 - Should we be including `code` as a predictor? If not, remove it and see how the results change.
- Run a regression of `totsc8` against the 3 predictors with p-value < 0.01 in the above regression.
 - Is the predictive power appreciably lower?

Stepwise linear regression

In general, the problem of *feature selection* is a difficult one. We ideally want to maximize predictive power using as few features as possible, because adding redundant features actually works *against* interpretability and pulls weight away from the less-redundant ones; however, with n features, we have 2^n possible combinations of features to regress against.

The most simplistic way of solving this problem is with stepwise linear regression. It works like so:

- In *forward* linear regression, we initialize the linear model with no predictors (so the model is just a constant), and we keep adding predictors which, when added, provide the greatest incremental boost to the model quality.

- In *backward* linear regression, we start with all of the predictors added to the model and successively remove predictors which, when removed, are associated with the smallest incremental drop in model quality.
- Forward and backward linear regression can be combined for a method where predictors can both be added or removed based on how doing so affects model quality.
- Eventually, according to some statistical criterion, we reach a stopping point.

The evaluation of “model quality” is often done via the Akaike information criterion, which can intuitively be thought of as being analogous to the *entropy* of a model. (Minimizing the AIC is broadly equivalent to maximizing the entropy in a thermodynamic system.)

Before learning how to run a stepwise regression in R, briefly read about its implementation.

Use stepwise regression by writing:

```
model_init = lm(totsc8 ~ 1, df1);
model = formula(lm(totsc8 ~ ., df1))
step_reg = step(model_init, model, direction = "both")
```

- How does the resulting model differ from the model above?
- Interpret the order in which coefficients are added and removed from the stepwise model.
- What does this say about the effect of educational expenditure on student test scores for schools in the dataset?
 - Reconcile this with your earlier results.
- Repeat the above with `totsc8` replaced by `totsc4` and compare the results.

California Test Score dataset

Explore questions analogous to the ones above for the `Caschool` dataset in the `Ecdat` library, and interpret the results.

Educational effects of smaller class sizes

Open the `Star` dataset from the `Ecdat` library, restricting consideration to those students who were either in regular classes or in small classes. Explore questions analogous to those above, and interpret the results.