# Linear Regresson: Regularization

#### Signal Data Science

Some helpful notes on the glmnet package are in a section at the end of this document. As you work through this assignment, you should **refer to those notes** to understand how glmnet() and cv.glmnet() work.

### Exploring regularization with simulated data

Before using regularized linear regression on real data, we'll build some intuition by using regularization in a simpler context with simulated data.

Define x and y using:

```
set.seed(1); j = 50; a = 0.25
x = rnorm(j)
error = sqrt(1 - a^2)*rnorm(j)
y = a*x + error
```

If you run summary  $(lm(y \sim x - 1))$ , corresponding to a linear model with no constant coefficient, you should get an estimated value of 0.2231 for a.

- Write a function cost(x, y, aEst, lambda, p) which takes
  - two vectors x and y of equal length,
  - a estimate of the value of a, aEst,
  - a regularization parameter lambda, and
  - a number p = 1 or 2, indicating whether  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  regularization is being performed.

Your function should return the sum of squared errors for the model y = aEst\*x plus the  $L^p$  regularization term. Check that cost(1, 2, 3, 4, 2) returns 37.

- Create two vectors, one corresponding to values of  $\lambda$  given by  $2^{-2}, 2^{-7}, \dots, 2^5, 2^6, 2^7$  and another corresponding to values of aEst from -0.1 to 0.3 in equally spaced increments of 0.001.
- Use expand.grid() on the two vectors of parameter to create a data frame called grid with two columns lambda and alpha, where each row

is a unique pair of (lambda, aEst) values.

- Add costL1 and costL2 columns to grid where we'll store the cost of associated with each pair (lambda, aEst) for each of p = 1 and p = 2.
   Fill in those columns with cost().
- Write a function get\_plot(lambda, p) which looks at the rows of grid with the specified value of lambda and returns the qplot() object generated by plotting the corresponding values of aEst on the abscissa (x-axis) and the corresponding values of either the L¹ or L² regularized cost function (depending on p) on the ordinate (y-axis).
- Use lapply() with get\_plot() to create two lists, plotsL1 and plotsL2, where the *i*th plot is generated using the *i*th value of the vector of lambda values.
- Use multiplot with cols=2 and the plotlist parameter set to either plotsL1 or plotsL2 to visualize the results. Interpret the differences between the sets of plots for the  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized cost function with respect to how  $L^1$  regularization drives coefficient estimates to zero whereas  $L^2$  regularization does not.

### Comparing regularization and stepwise regression

We will now begin to use the glmnet package, which provides the functions glmnet() and cv.glmnet() for regularized linear regression.

Continue using the aggregated speed dating dataset (speed-dating-simple) from yesterday. For simplicity, we'll restrict to analyzing average *attractiveness ratings* (attr\_o) for *males*, making predictions for that specific rating in terms of the 17 self-rated activity variables.

#### Getting acquainted with glmnet

glmnet() can perform both  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear regression as well as a mix of the two (which we'll be exploring later). When calling glmnet(), you can set alpha=1 for  $L^1$  regularization and alpha=0 for  $L^2$  regularization.

- Create a activities\_scaled variable which is the result of calling scale() on a data frame containing only the 17 activity variables and an attr\_o variable equal to the (unscaled) attr\_o column of the original dataset. You'll be passing these variables into glmnet().
- Use glmnet() to fit both L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup> regularized linear models for attr\_0 in terms of the variables in activities\_scaled. By default, the function automatically determines a range of different λ values to try. To illustrate

this, access the object returned by glmnet() and print out the values of  $\lambda$  used for each of the two regularized models.

- Write a function get\_rmses(fit, df, target) that takes as input fit, the model object generated by a call to glmnet(), features, the predictors used in the call to glmnet(), and target, the true values of the target variable being predicted. Iterate over the values of λ which glmnet() tried. For each of those values, use predict() to generate predictions for the whole dataset and calculate the corresponding (non-cross-validated) RMSE. Return a vector of the calculated RMSE values.
- For both the  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear fits, use get\_rmses() to plot the RMSE corresponding to each value of  $\lambda$  against  $\lambda$  itself.

We can see that the non-cross-validated RMSE is minimized at lambda = 0! This is not surprising, because on the whole dataset the linear fit which minimizes the RMSE is precisely (and almost tautologically) the one obtained by minimizing the sum of squared errors, without adding on any regularization term. However, the same cannot be said for the *cross-validated* RMSE estimates. Typically, adding in some regularization will reduce the amount of overfitting sufficiently well that the optimal value of  $\lambda$  is greater than 0.

We can automatically generate cross-validated error estimates for a range of different  $\lambda$  values with cv.glmnet(). For each value of  $\lambda$  tested, cv.glmnet() uses n-fold cross-validation (n=10 by default) to calculate an error estimate. After running fit = cv.glmnet(...), the value of  $\lambda$  (out of those tested) corresponding to the lowest error estimate can be accessed with fit\$lambda.min. Similarly, the entire range of  $\lambda$  values tested can be accessed with fit\$lambda and the cross-validated error estimates can be accessed with fit\$cvm. (See the notes on glmnet below for additional clarification.)

• Use cv.glmnet() to fit  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  regularized linear models for attractiveness ratings in terms of the 17 activity variables. For each one, plot the cross-validated error estimates against the values of  $\lambda$  tested. Interpret the results.

#### Making cross-validated RMSE predictions

As you saw in the assignment on resampling, we want to use *cross-validation* to get more accurate estimates of model quality. In particular, stepwise regression tends to *overfit*, because of problems with multiple hypothesis testing, so non-cross-validated estimates of a stepwise regression model's quality are often overly optimistic. (However, stepwise regression is easy to understand and,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Technically, by default cv.glmnet() calculates the *mean squared error* for linear regression models. However, since the square root function is monotonically increasing, it doesn't matter if one compares models using MSE or RMSE.

pedagogically, a good stepping stone to regularization, which is why we include it in our curriculum.)

- Use 10-fold cross validation to generate predictions for attractiveness with (1) stepwise regression, (2) L<sup>1</sup> regularized linear regression, and (3) L<sup>2</sup> regularized linear regression.
- For regularized linear regression, use cv.glmnet() to get cross-validated estimates of the optimal value of λ, and use that to make predictions with predict(fit, test\_data, s=fit\$lambda.min).
- Calculate and view the RMSE associated with each of the three sets of predictions.

Here are some points to keep in mind:

- Within each cross-validation fold, you'll want to scale() the features which you pass into cv.glmnet(). When generating predictions on the *held-out* data, you want to scale the features in the same way (*i.e.*, by applying the same linear transformation). The output of scale() will contain *attributes* which can be accessed and passed into successive calls of scale() to perform the same transformation.
- If you have a string, say, "attr\_o", and you want to pass that into lm() as part of the regression formula, you can paste together the formula's components (e.g., paste("attr\_o", "~.")) and then pass that into the first argument of lm().
- You should be running stepwise regression for each training fold separately.

Explore the difference in model quality between backward stepwise regression,  $L^1$  regularized regression, and  $L^2$  regularized regression when predicting attractiveness ratings.

### Elastic net regression

Instead of penalizing the sum of squared errors by the  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  norm of the regression coefficients, we can penalize with a *combination* of the two, corresponding to setting the alpha parameter in glmnet() to a value *between* 0 and  $1.^2$  This is known as elastic net regularization and usually performs better than pure  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  regularization alone. However, instead of simply finding a *single* hyperparameter  $\lambda$ , we now must find the optimal *pair* of hyperparameters  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  (by testing a large number of such pairs and calculating the cross-validated RMSE corresponding to each one). This is a more difficult task, because we must

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Read the official documentation for glmnet to figure out how the  $\alpha$  parameter works..

search over a 2-dimensional space of hyperparameter combinations instead of a 1-dimensional space for the value of a single hyperparameter.

The caret package allows us to easily obtain a cross-validated estimate of the optimal  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  values. Here's an example of how to use its train() function:

In the above example, we perform 10-fold cross-validation for each pair of hyperparameters  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  to estimate the corresponding RMSE. The 10-fold cross validation is *repeated* three times, each time using a different random set of folds, in order to combat potential bias resulting from any particular choice of random folds. The optimal pair of values  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  is the one corresponding to the lowest cross-validated RMSE.

• Use the caret package, following the above example, to find the optimal values for  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  when predicting attractiveness ratings with elastic net regularization. Extract the minimum RMSE value obtained from the resulting caret\_fit object and compare it to the cross-validated RMSE estimates obtained earlier with backward stepwise regression,  $L^1$  regularized linear regression, and  $L^2$  regularized linear regression.

## A note on glmnet

Here, I'll cover two important points about the behavior of the glmnet package.

#### Passing in data

For lm(), you passed in the entire data frame, including both target variable and predictors. glmnet(features, target, ...) and cv.glmnet(features, target, ...) expect a scaled matrix of predictors for features and a numeric vector for target. Since scale() returns a matrix, you can just call scale() on a data frame of predictors and pass that in as features.

#### Picking values of $\lambda$

Ordinarily, one might expect that, for every different value of  $\lambda$  we want to try using with regularized linear regression, we would have to recompute the entire model from scratch. However, the glmnet package, through which we'll be using regularized linear regression, will automatically compute the regression coefficients for \*a wide range of  $\lambda$  values simultaneously.<sup>3</sup>

When you call glmnet() – or, later, cv.glmnet() – you'll get out an object, which we'll call fit. (You should generally not be specifying which  $\lambda$  values the algorithm should use at this point – it'll try to determine that on its own.) By printing out fit in the console, you can see which values of  $\lambda$  were used by glmnet.

When you want to make predictions with this fit object, you'll have to specify which value of  $\lambda$  to use – instead of calling predict(fit, new\_data), you'll want to call predict(fit, new\_data, s=lambda) for some particular  $\lambda$  = lambda. Similarly, when extracting coefficients, you'll want to call coef(fit, s=lambda).

Finally, cv.glmnet() will use cross-validation to determine fit\$lambda.min and fit\$lambda.1se. The former is the value of  $\lambda$  (out of all those the algorithm evaluated) which minimizes the cross-validated mean squared error (MSE), and the latter is the greatest value of  $\lambda$  (again, of those evaluated by glmnet) such that the MSE corresponding to fit\$lambda.1se is within 1 standard error of the MSE corresponding to fit\$lambda.min.

If it turns out that the optimal value of  $\lambda$  lies at either end of the range of  $\lambda$  values used by glmnet, then you'll want to modify the range of  $\lambda$ . However, the documentation advises against passing in just a single value for the lambda parameter of glmnet() and cv.glmnet(), instead suggesting modifying nlambda and lambda.min.ratio.<sup>4</sup> Nevertheless, there are times when passing in a single

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>"Due to highly efficient updates and techniques such as warm starts and active-set convergence, our algorithms can compute the solution path very fast."

<sup>4&</sup>quot;Typical usage is to have the program compute its own lambda sequence based on nlambda and lambda.min.ratio. Supplying a value of lambda overrides this. WARNING: use with care. Do not supply a single value for lambda (for predictions after CV use predict() instead). Supply instead a decreasing sequence of lambda values. glmnet relies on its warms starts for speed, and it's often faster to fit a whole path than compute a single fit."

value makes sense, like when you've previously determined the optimal  $\lambda$  and want to just use that instead of a range of different  $\lambda$  values.