Self Assessment 2

Signal Data Science

We'll be having another self-assessment. As before,

- Type your answers in a new R script file with comments indicating where the answer to each question begins.
- Email signaldatascience@gmail.com with your R script attached when you finish.
- Work individually. You can however consult R documentation, look at old assignments, use the Internet, etc., but don't copy and paste code verbatim.
- Make your code as clear, compact, and efficient as possible. Use everything that you've learned! Please comment and organize your code so we can easily tell how parts of your R script correspond to specific problems.

Packages you may find useful: dplyr, ggplot2, glmnet, psych, and corrplot.

Part 1: Regularization

In Part 1, you'll be getting some independent practice with the glmnet() function. We'll be returning to the msq dataset from the psych package, which we looked at in the first self-assessment.

Like before, we'll be trying to predict Extraversion and Neuroticism ratings with the columns "active" through "scornful". *Unlike* before, we'll be using *elastic net regression*, so we have two hyperparameters to optimize: \alpha, controlling the balance between L^1 and L^2 regularization, and λ , the strength of the regularization penalty.¹

In short, our goal is to **find the best value for the hyperparameters** (α, λ) when predicting Extraversion and Neuroticism. In order to do so, you'll be using *n-fold cross-validation* to calculate RMSE scores associated with each possible pair of values for (α, λ) .

¹Consult the glmnet documentation if you need a refresher on how α works.

Putting it differently, you'll be performing a *grid search* over values of α while *re-implementing* the functionality of cv.glmnet() for each value of α tested. (You **should not be using cv.glmnet()** in this part of the self-assessment – just regular glmnet().)

Here are some general tips to keep in mind while working on this:

- Keep your code well-commented, so you can understand what each part does.
- Separate different sections of code with a single blank line of whitespace. This will allow you to *visually* see which code blocks correspond to what functionality.
- Use sensibly-named variables.

This is *more involved than it sounds*, so we'll give you a detailed outline of what your code should accomplish:

- For reproducibility, set the seed to 1.
- Choose values of α and λ to iterate over. For consistency with other students' work, set $\alpha = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1$ and $\lambda = 10^s$ where s ranges from 1 to -3 with 50 different values spaced uniformly. Make sure that the sequence for λ values is *decreasing*, because glmnet() wants you to pass in decreasing sequences for its lambda parameter.
- Load the msq dataset and fill in NAs in the numeric columns with column means.
- Make a features variable with the columns in msq from "active" to "scornful". Similarly, make separate variables for the Extraversion and Neuroticism columns.
- Generate *fold assignments* for *n-fold cross-validation* with n = 10. We recommend shuffling the row numbers, taking them modulo n, and adding 1.
- You'll soon implement *n*-fold cross validation, and because of the way you'll structure your code, you'll have to access the train/test data many times. To *reduce on computation time*, it's best to *pre-compute* the subsets of data you need for each fold. To that end, initialize 4 lists of the correct length² in order to hold these precomputed subsets of data *for each cross-validation fold*: (1) the subset of features which you'll train glmnet() on (90% of the data), (2) the subset of features which you'll test the trained model on (10% of the data), (3) the subset of the Extraversion vector which you'll train glmnet() on, and (4) the subset of the Neuroticism vector which you'll train glmnet() on. Next, iterate through the 10 folds and fill in the elements of these 4 lists. When scaling the *test* data, be sure to

²Remember vector("list", list_length).

- pass in center and scale parameters to scale() corresponding to the attributes of the scaled *training* data.
- Create an empty data frame with data.frame() to store the results of your computations. You don't need to do anything aside from setting a variable equal to data.frame(), but in the future you'll be filling in each row with (1) a value of α , (2) a value of λ , (3) the cross-validated RMSE for predicting Extraversion with the selected (α, λ) , and (4) the cross-validated RMSE for predicting Neuroticism with the selected (α, λ) .
- Write a convenience function rmse(x, y) that takes in two vectors x and y and returns the associated RMSE. (It doesn't matter which vector is the "actual" values, because $(x y)^2 = (y x)^2$.)
- Iterate over every value of α . In each iteration, do the following:
 - Print the value of α which you're testing.
 - For each fold of the data, we'll be fitting a regularized linear model to both Extraversion and Neuroticism against the out-of-fold data.
 As such, initialize two lists of length n in which you'll store these fits for later.
 - Iterate over each fold of the data. In each iteration, do the following:
 - * Use glmnet() to fit a regularized linear model for Extraversion with the selected value of α with the training data associated with that fold. Since glmnet() can fit a whole range of λ values simultaneously (it has a cool internal algorithm!), pass in your range of λ values to the lambda parameter as well.
 - * Do the same for Neuroticism.
 - * Store both of those linear fits in the lists you previously created for storing glmnet() fits.
 - Next, iterate over every value of λ . In each iteration, do the following:
 - * Print the value of λ which you're testing.
 - * Initialize vectors of the appropriate length for *predictions* of both Extraversion and Neuroticism.
 - Iterate over each fold of the data. In each iteration, do the following:
 - · Fill in the subset of the Extraversion predictions vector corresponding to the current fold with predictions made with the glmnet() model object previously trained on the out-of-fold data. Remember to pass in the current value of λ to the s parameter of predict().
 - · Do the same for Neuroticism.

- * Calculate the RMSE values corresponding to your predictions of Extraversion and Neuroticism.
- * rbind() the row (alpha, lambda, rmse_extraversion, rmse_neuroticism) into the results data frame you created earlier.
- Set the column names of your results data frame appropriately, to something like c("alpha", "lambda", "rmse_extraversion", "rmse_neuroticism").
- Print out your results data frame and take a look!
- Define a utility function arg_min(v) which returns the index of the vector
 v corresponding to its minimal value. (If there are multiple such indices,
 return any of them.)
- Use your arg_min(v) function to help you concisely extract the rows of your results data frame corresponding to the minimal RMSE values for predicting Extraversion and Neuroticism.
- On the *whole dataset*, train regularized linear models for Extraversion and Neuroticism using the optimal values of (α, λ) you just determined.
- Use coef() to extract the coefficients associated with these regularized linear models for Extraversion and Neuroticism. Don't forget to specify a value of λ for the s parameter of coef().
- Bind the two columns of coefficients together into a single matrix. (You'll have to coerce the outputs of coef() into numeric vectors first.)
 - Set the column names of the matrix equal to c("Extraversion",
 "Neuroticism") (with the two flipped if necessary) and set the row
 names equal to the row names of the objects returned by coef().
 - Remove the top row (corresponding to the intercept term).
 - Remove rows where both coefficients are 0.
 - For each column, call quantile() on its absolute values to get some statistics about the distribution of coefficient magnitudes.
 - Remove every row from the matrix where *both* of the magnitudes of the coefficients fall under the 75th pecentile for their respective columns.
- Use corrplot() with is.corr=FALSE to plot the matrix. Interpret the results.

Finally, take a short (5 minute) break if you've made it all the way here! Get some water, stretch, etc.

Part 2: Probability

In Part 2, we'll take a break from the usual data science problems and work on some more probability!

We **won't** be going over the theoretical solutions to these questions during class time. However:

- If you have time to spare, please do think about *why* the numerical results are what they are.
- After 7 PM, we'll give a short (30-minute) explanation of all three probability questions to anyone who sticks around

Hashmap collisions

From "120 Interview Questions", we have the following:

Your hash function assigns each object to a number between 1:10, each with equal probability. With 10 objects, what is the probability of a hash collision? What is the expected number of hash collisions? What is the expected number of hashes that are unused?

Use sample(..., replace=TRUE) to give estimates of all three.

(*Clarification*: If n objects are assigned to the same hash, that counts as n-1 hash collisions.)

Rolling the dice

Here's a nice question from one of the first cohort's students:

Given a fair, 6-sided dice, what's the *expected number of rolls* you have to make before each number (1, 2, ..., 6) shows up at least once?

Write code to estimate the answer.

Bobo the Amoeba

Here's a problem commonly found in quantitative finance interviews, an easier version of which sometimes appears in data science interviews:

Bobo the amoeba can divide into 0, 1, 2, or 3 amoebas with equal probability. (Dividing into 0 means that Bobo dies.) Each of Bobo's descendants have the same probabilities. What's the probability that Bobo's lineage eventually dies out?

I'll outline a computational path for you to estimate the solution to this problem. In particular, we're going to simulate a large number of amoeba lineages over time to determine how the probability of total extinction changes as we iterate forward in time.

- Write a function next_gen(n) that takes in an initial number of amoebas n, determines how many amoebas are in the next generation according to the probability above, and returns that value. Sanity check: next_gen(1) should return 0, 1, 2, or 3 with equal probability.
- Note the enormous computation time required for next_gen(n) when n is very large. If there are a *large* of amoebas, we can assume (with reasonable confidence) that the lineage isn't going to die out. Pick a reasonably large value of n, like 500 let's call it N and modify next_gen(n) to just return N+1 when n > N. (This is fine because we just want to know if the lineage will *die out* or not how huge the population can get in cases where it doesn't don't really matter to us.)
- We're going to simulate num_lineages lineages for n_gens generations, so set num_lineages = 10000 and n_gens = 30.
- Initialize a matrix of appropriate size and dimensions, where each column represents a single lineage of amoebas and every row represents a different generation. Next, set the initial generation to a population of 1.
- Iterate over the number of generations. For each iteration, apply next_gen(n) to the population of the most recent generation to get the population for the next generation, filling in the values of your population matrix.
- Turn your population matrix into a matrix of 1s and 0s corresponding to whether the lineage was still alive or died out at each step of the simulation.
- Calculate the probabilities of lineage extinction from your population matrix. *Hint:* Take the rowSums() of the matrix.
- qplot() the time evolution of the extinction probability. What do you think it is? Give a numerical estimate using your calculations.

Now, use WolframAlpha to solve the cubic equation $p = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}p + \frac{1}{4}p^2 + \frac{1}{4}p^3$. One of the solutions will numerically correspond to your calculated probability. Which one? Why?