	ATES DISTRICT COURT RICT OF COLUMBIA		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  vs.	) ) ) CR No. 21-28 ) Washington, D.C. ) November 2, 2021 ) 1:05 p.m.		
KELLY MEGGS (8), KENNETH HARRELSON (10),  Defendants.	) ) ) )		
BEFORE THE HONOF	ARING VIA ZOOM PROCEEDINGS RABLE AMIT P. MEHTA S DISTRICT JUDGE		
APPEARANCES:			
For the Government:	Jeffrey S. Nestler U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 555 Fourth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 252-7277 Email: jeffrey.nestler@usdoj.gov		

### APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

For Defendant Kelly Meggs:

David A. Wilson

LAW OFFICE OF DAVID A. WILSON

201 SW 2nd Street

Suite 101

Ocala, FL 34471 (352) 629-4466

Email: david@dwilsonlaw.com

Jonathon Alden Moseley

JONATHAN MOSELEY ATTORNEY AT LAW

5765-F Burke Centre Parkway

#337

Burke, VA 22015 (703) 656-1230

Email: contact@jonmoseley.com

For Defendant Kenneth Harrelson:

Bradford L. Geyer

FORMERFEDSGROUP.COM LCC 141 I Route 130 South

Suite 303

Cinnaminson, NJ 08077

(856) 607-5708

Email:

bradford.geyer

@formerfedsgroup.com

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
Court Reporter:	William P. Zaremba Registered Merit Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter Official Court Reporter E. Barrett Prettyman CH 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 354-3249
Proceedings recorded by mech produced by computer-aided t	nanical stenography; transcript ranscription

## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 3 This is Criminal Case No. 21-28, United States of America 4 versus Defendant No. 8, Kelly Meggs, and Defendant No. 10, 5 Kenneth Harrelson. 6 Jeffrey Nestler on behalf of the government. 7 David Wilson and Jon Moseley for Defendant 8 Kelly Meggs. Bradford Geyer for Defendant Harrelson. 9 10 Both defendants are appearing remotely for this 11 hearing. 12 THE COURT: Okay, Counsel, good afternoon. 13 Mr. Harrelson, Mr. Meggs, good afternoon to the 14 both of you. 15 If you can hear me, just please give me a 16 thumbs-up to confirm that. 17 DEFENDANT KELLY MEGGS: (Thumbs-up.) 18 DEFENDANT KENNETH HARRELSON: (Thumbs-up.) 19 THE COURT: Okay. Terrific. Thank you, both. 20 So we're here this afternoon because a motion was 21 filed, both by Mr. Wilson -- a motion was filed by 22 Mr. Wilson seeking to withdraw, based upon the fact that 23 Mr. Meggs had -- apparently, has retained new counsel, 24 that's Mr. Moseley. 25 The question was raised about that substitution of

counsel, I think, by the government, because I didn't -- I 1 2 had entered an order approving that substitution. I didn't 3 realize that Mr. Moseley had already entered an appearance 4 on behalf of Mr. Harrelson, and I think the government 5 rightly raised concerns about the substitution. So that's 6 what we're here for this afternoon. 7 Let me just make the following observation, and I'll get everybody's reaction: Based on the affidavit or 8 9 the papers that Mr. Moseley filed, I think it was yesterday, 10 describing his role in representing Mr. Harrelson -- let me 11 back up: 12 I had -- after I realized that Mr. Moseley also 13 was -- had entered an appearance on behalf of Mr. Harrelson, 14 I had sort of two concerns; one was that if Mr. Moseley were 15 to represent Mr. Meggs, whether there would be a risk of 16 sort of disclosure of confidential information that 17 Mr. Harrelson might have provided to Mr. Moseley that would 18 create a problem, that's one; and then two is whether 19 somehow his representation of Mr. Harrelson, particularly if 20 it was intended to be joint, would create a conflict if he 21 were to then represent Mr. Meggs. 22 Mr. Moseley's first -- Mr. Moseley's submission

Mr. Moseley's first -- Mr. Moseley's submission yesterday seems to have answered the first question; that is, he has represented that he hasn't had any conversations with Mr. Harrelson; that he was simply acting as someone to

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clarify; that Mr. Moseley --

```
sponsor Mr. Geyer as a pro hac into this case; has had no
communications with Mr. Harrelson, and, therefore, has not
received any confidences from Mr. Harrelson that might spill
into any representation of Mr. Meggs, that's one.
          And, two, if, in fact, as Mr. Moseley has
represented, that his role here has been limited in terms of
his representation of Mr. Harrelson, the second concern
I have about the conflict is substantially mitigated.
          So that's kind of where my thinking is. So what I
wanted to give you all an opportunity to be heard; and if
your thinking is different, I'd like to hear that.
          So, Mr. Nestler, why don't we start with you, and
then we'll turn to defense counsel, if there's a need for
further comment.
         Mr. Nestler.
          MR. NESTLER: Yes, Judge.
          And seeing what Mr. Moseley filed yesterday, the
government tends to agree with Your Honor that that should
address most of the concerns.
          I think the -- what Mr. Moseley said is that he
had never spoke with Mr. Harrelson, so it's unlikely he, of
course, obtained any confidences from Mr. Harrelson.
I suppose it's possible he obtained confidences via
Mr. Geyer, and so I think that might be one thing to just
```

THE COURT: Right. 1 2 MR. NESTLER: -- did not learn anything about 3 Mr. Harrelson's case from Mr. Geyer, which he certainly 4 would have been entitled to if that had occurred. 5 So I think that's the sort of the first preliminary question 6 we had. 7 And then just as a matter of prudence, we would 8 suggest that Mr. Harrelson and Mr. Meggs just be advised of 9 the situation up until now and make sure they're both aware 10 and will proceed knowingly and voluntarily, if they wanted 11 to proceed with the counsel they wanted. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. NESTLER: That's on this one topic. There is then sort of a related issue of a 14 15 potential conflict with Mr. Meggs related to a civil case 16 also pending before Your Honor with some of these same 17 counsel, and I can flag that for Your Honor now if 18 Your Honor would like. 19 THE COURT: Yeah, why don't you just put it out on 20 the table now. Then we can discuss it. 21 MR. NESTLER: Sure, Judge. So it's come to our attention that there's a civil 22 suit styled Smith versus Trump, the case number is 23 24 21-CV-2265. It's also pending in front of Your Honor.

I don't know if it was a related case to this one or not or

25

1 | if it was randomly assigned to Your Honor.

THE COURT: It was related to earlier suits filed arising out of the events of January 6th.

You can't cross-relate case number to case number, but in any event.

MR. NESTLER: Understood.

So it's also in front of Your Honor.

It also arises out of the events of January 6th, and Ms. Kelly Meggs is also a defendant in that case.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. NESTLER: In that case, he's represented by an attorney named Julia Haller, who is also counsel for Mr. Meggs's wife in this case. And she represents Connie Meggs in this criminal case, and Kelly Meggs in that civil case. And so that is a potential conflict. We do not know if there is a conflict or not, but that is an issue just to put out there.

And then another related piece of that is there was another defendant in the *Smith versus Trump* case, whose name is Zachary Rehl, R-e-h-l, who is also a criminal defendant in a case, I believe, not in front of Your Honor. And Mr. Rehl is represented by Mr. Moseley in both his criminal case, in front of a different judge in this courthouse, and also in the civil case. And so if we're filling this all in correctly, in the civil case, Mr. Meggs

is a defendant, he's co-defendants with Mr. Rehl, and
Mr. Rehl has counsel as Mr. Moseley, who would be now
counsel for Mr. Meggs in the criminal case.

So that's how we see it in terms of the lay of the land. I'm not sure if there are conflicts, and if there are, if they're waivable. But I wanted to put that out there as we puzzle through these various permutations.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it.

I have not -- I'll confess to not sort of carefully scrutinizing who has been entering appearances.

The civil cases seem to get a filing a day in that case, so I haven't picked up on somebody overlapping you've just identified, Mr. Nestler. Thank you.

Before we talk about the civil cases -- I'll just, my one reaction and my observation is, I think the issue of joint representation in a civil context is less of a concern than it is in a criminal case. There are obvious constitutional issues that arise in the context of a criminal case that aren't present in a civil case, and so I think the joint representation and conflict issue in a civil case is, arguably, less significant than it is in a criminal case, but that's just my reaction.

Now, that said, Ms. Haller is also representing
Ms. Meggs in the civil matter. You know, that, arguably -excuse me, is representing Mr. Meggs in the civil case, and

```
is representing Ms. Meggs in the criminal matter.
 1
 2
     arguably, raises some concerns. But in any event, we'll get
 3
     to that in a moment.
 4
               MR. MOSELEY: Your Honor -- Oh, I'm sorry, I
 5
     didn't mean do. I just thought --
 6
               THE COURT:
                           That's okay.
 7
               Why don't we turn to you, Mr. Moseley. And
     I think the first order of business is: You've already
 8
     confirmed in your written submission that you've not had any
 9
10
     direct communications with Mr. Harrelson, and, therefore --
11
     at least not directly received any confidences from
12
    Mr. Harrelson.
13
               I don't recall whether, in your written
14
     submission, you mentioned any indirect confidences that you
15
     may have received through Mr. Geyer.
               MR. MOSELEY: Okay.
16
17
               THE COURT: If you can start there.
18
               MR. MOSELEY: All right.
19
               Yeah, my understanding was that I was only
20
     sponsoring him, not entering an appearance. The procedures
21
     are a little bit different in every area, and maybe I think
22
     I over -- perhaps it was my error to put my name on the
23
     pleadings, because some jurisdictions require that for a
24
     sponsoring attorney, it might have created a misimpression.
25
               But many of the attorneys are discussing concept
```

```
of strategy while we're interested in the
 1
 2
     18 U.S.C. 1512(c)(2) dismissals. So I've discussed with
 3
     Brad Geyer the same kinds of legal strategies that I happen
 4
     to have with other attorneys, like Julia Haller, but not --
 5
     and maybe -- well, I quess there are two different issues,
 6
     but the clients are here on the line, which might be
 7
     important for them to speak to that, but I have dealt with
     legal issue -- talked about legal strategies and concepts,
 8
     but not about Kenneth Harrelson himself.
 9
10
               And, you know, I --
               THE COURT: Well, let me --
11
12
               MR. MOSELEY: -- I'm always -- go ahead.
13
               THE COURT: If I can just interrupt you,
14
    Mr. Moseley. My apologies.
15
               Maybe the better -- the question is better posed
16
     directly to Mr. Geyer, who would have, in theory, been the
17
     conduit for any information.
18
               So, Mr. Geyer, let me just ask you directly:
19
     your knowledge and recollection, do you a member or have you
20
     passed on -- and obviously I'm not asking for any specifics,
21
    but have you passed on or shared any client confidences that
22
     you've learned from Mr. Harrelson with Mr. Moseley?
23
               MR. GEYER: No. No, I wouldn't do that.
24
               We have a weekly conference call with -- well,
25
     it's kind of evolved into a weekly conference call with all
```

the -- most of the defense counsel on this case. We discuss 1 2 legal issues, Your Honor, but I would never share -- no, 3 that didn't happen. 4 THE COURT: Okav. 5 If the answer is no, and I think that's what 6 Mr. Nestler was asking, that's a fair question. 7 If the answer is no, then I think that does 8 address what I sort of framed this issue on, which is a 9 concern of passing confidences along or having confidences 10 disclosed in a new representation. 11 So, Mr. Nestler, does that satisfy the government at least that issue one is taken off the table? 12 1.3 MR. NESTLER: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 I guess the other issue then is just to confirm 16 that Mr. Meggs and Mr. Harrelson are aware of this 17 situation. Both are present here and, therefore, have been 18 listening in. 19 Mr. Harrelson is the one who, in theory, is losing 20 a lawyer, although it doesn't sound like Mr. Moseley really 21 has intended to enter an appearance on behalf of 2.2. Mr. Harrelson. 23 But, nevertheless, let me just turn to 24 Mr. Harrelson and make sure he understands what's happening 25 and that -- what's happened here is that the lawyer,

```
Mr. Moseley, who has sponsored your current lawyer,
 1
 2
     Mr. Geyer, to serve as your lawyer in this case, is asking
 3
     to become counsel for Mr. Meggs.
 4
               Do you understand that, Mr. Harrelson?
 5
               DEFENDANT HARRELSON: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay.
 7
               And do you have any objection or concern --
 8
     do you have any objection to that, Mr. Harrelson?
 9
               DEFENDANT HARRELSON: No, sir.
10
               THE COURT: Do you have any questions or concerns
11
     about that, Mr. Harrelson?
12
               DEFENDANT HARRELSON: Not at this time,
1.3
     Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay.
15
               Then let me just turn to Mr. Meggs.
16
               Mr. Meggs, you too have been on during these
17
     proceedings, and I understand you wish to retain Mr. Moseley
18
     as your counsel; is that right; sir?
19
               DEFENDANT KELLY MEGGS: Yes, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: And your lawyer, Mr. Moseley, has
21
     served as counsel in some capacity in this case for
22
     Mr. Harrelson; at a minimum, he's sponsored Mr. Geyer as a
     lawyer for Mr. Harrelson in this case.
23
24
               You're aware of that, sir?
25
               DEFENDANT KELLY MEGGS: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: And are you prepared to, knowing that,
 1
 2
    move forward with Mr. Moseley as your counsel?
 3
               DEFENDANT KELLY MEGGS: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okav.
 5
               Mr. Nestler, I don't know if that's sufficient in
 6
     your mind.
 7
               You know, I don't think I can be in a position to
    provide advice in terms of ethics and conflicts, but I think
 8
 9
     given the representations that have been made, it's not --
10
     I don't think we need a conflicts counsel, as we've had in
11
     the case with Mr. Meggs and his wife, for example, to advise
12
     these two gentlemen. I think what we've done so far is
1.3
     sufficient, but I'm happy to hear from you if you think
14
     otherwise.
15
               MR. NESTLER: I believe that's sufficient for the
16
     issue about Mr. Harrelson's pro hac sponsor.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
               MR. NESTLER: So I believe that's sufficient for
19
     the first two issues Your Honor addressed.
20
               I think the civil case might pose some thornier
21
     questions, but I think that's -- we're satisfied with that.
2.2.
               THE COURT: Okay.
23
               MR. MOSELEY: May I add to that, though?
24
               Because in consultations, I do believe that
25
     we will -- that we'll have Brad Geyer find another sponsor.
```

```
I've never heard of that procedure, how that would happen,
 1
 2
    but I think --
 3
               THE COURT: Well, I don't know that -- I mean,
 4
     it's up to you, but I don't know that we need another
 5
     sponsor.
 6
               I mean, I've never read our Local Rules to require
 7
     a sponsoring lawyer to enter an appearance. They just
 8
     simply need to have a sponsor to have an out-of-jurisdiction
 9
     lawyer appear pro hac, and so I don't -- and I don't think
10
     there's any currency that's required by a sponsor, so
11
     I don't think that's necessary.
12
               MR. MOSELEY: Well, I just want to have it on the
13
     record that I think it's probably better for me to do that,
14
     to ask -- to have another person sponsor him.
15
               THE COURT: You know, he's already been admitted,
16
     so I don't think there's any further sponsorship required.
17
               I mean, Mr. Geyer may do something at some point
18
     in this case that requires further sponsorship, but that
19
     hasn't happened yet.
20
               All right. So I think that means, Mr. Wilson, you
21
     are relieved of your duties. I'll accept Mr. Moseley's
22
     entry of his appearance, and, Mr. Wilson, you'll be relieved
23
     of your duties in representing Mr. Meggs. I trust that
24
     you'll provide whatever file you have, Mr. Moseley, and I
25
     thank you for your representation of Mr. Meggs. And if
```

```
there's anything you want to add before you sign off, I'm
 1
 2
     happy to hear from you.
 3
               MR. WILSON: Thank you very much. Thank you.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.
 5
               Okay. Let's then turn to this issue of the civil
 6
     representations.
 7
               I think the only one we can really address is
    Mr. Moseley's representation of Zach Rehl. But even then, I
 8
 9
     don't know if that's something we can address in Mr. Rehl's
10
     absence, and, frankly, I'm not sure it's something that is
11
     addressable in this case. In other words, I mean, I suppose
12
     the argument could be made that because he represents
    Mr. Rehl in the civil case and Mr. Harrelson is a defendant
13
14
     in the civil case, that he can't represent Mr. Harrelson in
15
     the criminal case, but I'm happy to hear counsel's thoughts
16
     about that issue.
17
               MR. MOSELEY: Well -- yeah.
18
               THE COURT: Let me just -- what's Mr. Harrelson's
19
     status in the criminal case? Is he -- I mean, in the civil
20
     case? Is he a defendant in that case?
21
               MR. GEYER: Your Honor, my understanding is --
22
     I know literally nothing about this. I do not think that
23
    he's a part of that.
24
               Can I ask Mr. Harrelson if he knows anything?
25
               THE COURT:
                           That's all right. You don't need to
```

```
ask. We can check the docket.
 1
 2
               MR. NESTLER: It was Kelly Meggs who's the
 3
     defendant in the civil case, Judge --
 4
               THE COURT: Right.
 5
               MR. NESTLER: -- not Mr. Harrelson.
 6
               THE COURT: Oh, right, right, right.
 7
               So Mr. Moseley is now going to represent
 8
    Mr. Meggs.
 9
               And, Mr. Moseley, I mean, let me just ask, are
10
     you -- is it the intention of your client to also have you
11
     represent him in the civil matter or just in the criminal
12
     case?
13
               MR. MOSELEY: Well, that's certainly not the plan.
14
               I mean, Mr. Nestler is raising the question, but
15
     the current arrangement is for Julia Haller to represent him
16
     in the civil lawsuit.
17
               And I just know that I've heard the comment that
18
     the Meggs financially would be affected the same. They
19
     have, you know -- but, again, she's not here, and I don't
20
     know about -- but it's not my intention to be involved in
21
     that, although, you know, I realize that questions have been
22
     raised. So I don't know what to think about that.
23
               THE COURT: Well, Mr. Moseley --
24
               MR. MOSELEY: I mean, I don't --
25
               THE COURT: Well, have you looked into this or
```

```
gotten any guidance from your office as to whether -- to
 1
 2
     what extent this presents a conflict that's waivable or not
 3
     waivable?
 4
               MR. MOSELEY: The conflict being between the civil
 5
     and the criminal case; is that correct?
 6
               I mean, because I don't think I would -- oh --
     I never contemplated being involved in the civil lawsuit
 7
 8
     with Kelly Meggs, so I haven't really given that any
 9
     thought.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah, I think the conflict, frankly,
11
     is less -- I think the conflict, in a sense, doesn't involve
12
     Mr. Moseley, it involves Haller, and whether she can kind of
13
     play the dual-role hat of representing Mr. Meggs in the
14
     civil case and representing his wife in the criminal case.
15
     I mean, that's the conflict.
               And absent -- without Ms. Haller here and without
16
17
     Ms. Meggs here, you know, I don't know that I can
18
     appropriately address the conflict, even if it's one that
19
     needs to be addressed, even if just to get consent,
20
     particularly if we need some kind of waivers.
21
               MR. MOSELEY: Yes.
22
               Your Honor, I mean -- because also a lot of the
23
     defendants in the civil case before you are asking for a
24
     stay.
25
               THE COURT:
                           Right.
```

```
MR. MOSELEY: And I don't think I would want to do
 1
 2
     both simultaneously just because of the workload in this
 3
     case.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               MR. MOSELEY: So I helped Zachary Rehl not have a
 6
     default and then asked for a stay. And everybody -- there's
 7
     a lot of motions in front of Your Honor for -- precisely
    because it's a criminal case. So I don't know how that
 8
 9
    plays out, but just FYI.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah.
11
               I mean, I don't think your representation of
12
    Mr. Rehl presents a conflict with your representation of
13
    Mr. Meggs.
14
               I mean, at least -- you know, maybe Mr. Nestler
15
    might have a better sense of that than I would, if he thinks
16
     that there's some conflict between those two individuals,
17
    but I'm certainly not aware of any. And I don't know much
18
     at all about Mr. Rehl's conduct, let alone his relationship,
19
     if any, to Mr. Meggs.
20
               MR. MOSELEY: But, like I say, if the civil
21
     lawsuit is ready to roar ahead, I would not want to
22
    personally handle both because of just the workload issue
23
     and that sort of thing.
24
               THE COURT: Mr. Nestler, are you aware of any
25
    potential ties between Mr. Meggs and Mr. Rehl that could
```

```
give rise to conflict issues?
 1
 2
               MR. NESTLER: I'm not aware of any potential ties.
 3
               I'm aware of what the complaint in the case says
 4
     and seeking to hold some individuals involved in January 6th
 5
     liable for some of the damages that day.
 6
               And so there could be an incentive for Mr. Rehl
 7
     and/or Mr. Meggs to point the finger at one another if that
 8
     case were to proceed. And I think if that were to occur,
 9
     that would pose a conflict for Mr. Moseley representing
10
    Mr. Rehl in the civil case and Mr. Meggs in the criminal
11
     case.
12
               THE COURT: Right.
13
               MR. NESTLER: I don't know what's going to happen
14
     there or what their defenses are going to be, obviously.
15
     All I know is the allegations in the complaint.
               THE COURT: Right.
16
17
               I mean, it's -- yeah.
18
               Although we're sort of a long ways away, I think,
19
     in that case from having any defendant make a statement that
20
    might be used by the government and prosecutors named here
21
    Mr. Meggs here or Mr. Rehl in his case.
22
               Okay.
23
               MR. MOSELEY: Well, Your Honor, that's pretty much
     the reason for the stay, the request for a stay.
24
                           Yeah, I know, I -- I know.
25
               THE COURT:
```

Well, I guess what we ought to do is as follows: 1 2 Let me just say: Mr. Nestler, what is your 3 request then? And to the extent that you have been given 4 quidance or thought through this issue in terms of 5 Ms. Haller, what is the current thinking of your office and 6 your team? 7 MR. NESTLER: We believe that if Ms. Haller and Mrs. Meggs were present in front of Your Honor and 8 9 Your Honor had a similar colloquy that you just had with 10 Mr. Meggs and Mr. Harrelson, that if there was any conflict, 11 that they could waive the conflict. They just need to be aware of it so they can do this knowingly. I'm not sure 12 13 about everyone's status about how aware they are. 14 THE COURT: The only issue with -- I mean, again, 15 I didn't think in this case there was a real concern about 16 conflict. 17 I always hate bringing lawyers in to serve as 18 conflicts counsel just because it's cumbersome, but I don't 19 think a judge ought to be in a position of trying to advise 20 individuals about all the potential conflicts that could 21 arise from these types of representations and then getting a 22 waiver on the record. It risks being incomplete, or, 23 frankly, risks being unduly influencing of the individuals, 24 and so I don't want to put myself in that position. 25 Let me see what I can do. I probably should get a 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conflicts counsel to advise both me and those who are involved here about potential conflicts and get some sense of whether this is waivable, if we need waivers get those on the record, et cetera. So let me go ahead and do that. I'll figure out who I can call on to do this. But give me a few days and I'll figure that out and we'll have the conflicts counsel reach out to everyone. MR. GEYER: Your Honor, you got to the point where I feel like I should disclose something. It's a non-issue, but just so I get it on the record real quick. Some time back when I was talking to Mr. Moseley, he mentioned he needed a power of attorney for his client, Jacob Rehl [sic]. I went in to Philadelphia and met with him for about ten minutes so that he could sign this -- it was a power of attorney for -- so his partner could, you know, do some financial stuff. But we're kind of at the point where I feel like I should just disclose that. Did not discuss his case; I know nothing about that case. It was an accommodation; I would do that professional courtesy for anybody. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, that doesn't strike me as an issue. So I think the way to proceed is this. I'll see if I can line up conflicts counsel to talk to the various

```
counsel that are affected by these representations, and then
 1
 2
     I'll -- once those consultations have been had, we'll have
 3
     to just circle back to get this resolved on the record.
 4
               Does that sound acceptable to everyone?
 5
               MR. MOSELEY: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
               MR. NESTLER: Yes for the government. Thank you.
 7
               THE COURT: Thank you, everyone.
               And is there anything else we need to take up this
 8
 9
     afternoon?
10
               MR. MOSELEY: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
11
               MR. GEYER: Thank you. Have a nice day.
               THE COURT: Thank you, everyone.
12
13
               (Proceedings concluded at 1:31 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

# C E R T I F I C A T E

I, William P. Zaremba, RMR, CRR, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter.

Please note: This hearing occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic and is therefore subject to the technological limitations of court reporting remotely.

Date:\_\_November 4, 2021\_\_\_\_\_/S/\_\_William P. Zaremba\_\_\_\_\_ William P. Zaremba, RMR, CRR

Case 1:21-ci	-33443289 AP 18/5 Docu	innsant 482 Filed 11	/ <b>08/21</b> Page 25 of	<b>29 [1]</b> 9/1
		also [12] 5/12 7/16	Barrett [1] 3/4	co-defendants [1] 9/1
COURTROOM DEDUTY: (41, 4/2	4	7/24 8/7 8/8 8/9 8/12	based [2] 4/22 5/8	colloquy [1] 21/9
DEPUTY: [1] 4/2 DEFENDANT	<b>4466 [1]</b> 2/5	8/20 8/24 9/23 17/10	<b>be [18]</b> 5/15 5/20 6/10	COLUMBIA [1] 1/1
HARRELSON: [3]	5	18/22	6/24 7/8 9/2 11/6 14/7	come [1] 7/22
13/5 13/9 13/12		although [3] 12/20	15/22 16/12 17/18	comment [2] 6/14
DEFENDANT KELLY	<b>555 [1]</b> 1/14	17/21 20/18	17/20 18/19 20/6 20/14	17/17
MEGGS: [4] 4/17	<b>5708 [1]</b> 2/14	always [2] 11/12 21/17	20/20 21/11 21/19	communications [2]
13/19 13/25 14/3	<b>5765-F [1]</b> 2/8	<b>AMERICA [2]</b> 1/3 4/3	because [11] 4/20 5/1	6/2 10/10
DEFENDANT	6	AMIT [1] 1/10	10/23 14/24 16/12 18/6	complaint [2] 20/3
KENNETH	607-5708 [1] 2/14	another [6] 8/18 8/19	18/22 19/2 19/8 19/22 21/18	20/15
HARRELSON: [1]	<b>629-4466</b> [1] 2/5	14/25 15/4 15/14 20/7 <b>answer [2]</b> 12/5 12/7	become [1] 13/3	computer [1] 3/7 computer-aided [1]
4/18	<b>656-1230</b> [1] 2/9	answered [1] 5/23	been [11] 6/6 7/4 9/10	3/7
MR. GEYER: [4] 11/23	6th [3] 8/3 8/8 20/4	any [25]	11/16 12/17 13/16 14/9	concept [1] 10/25
16/21 22/9 23/11	7	anybody [1] 22/21	15/15 17/21 21/3 23/2	concepts [1] 11/8
MR. MOSELEY: [17]	7	anything [4] 7/2 16/1	<b>before [5]</b> 1/10 7/16	concern [5] 6/7 9/16
10/4 10/16 10/18 11/12	1,00 [1] =/ 0	16/24 23/8	9/14 16/1 18/23	12/9 13/7 21/15
14/23 15/12 16/17 17/13 17/24 18/4 18/21	<b>7277 [1]</b> 1/15	apologies [1] 11/14	behalf [4] 4/6 5/4 5/13	concerns [5] 5/5 5/14
19/1 19/5 19/20 20/23	8	apparently [1] 4/23	12/21	6/19 10/2 13/10
23/5 23/10	<b>856 [1]</b> 2/14	appear [1] 15/9	being [4] 18/4 18/7	concluded [1] 23/13
MR. NESTLER: [15]		appearance [6] 5/3	21/22 21/23	conduct [1] 19/18
6/16 7/2 7/13 7/21 8/6	A	5/13 10/20 12/21 15/7	believe [5] 8/21 14/15	conduit [1] 11/17
8/11 12/13 14/15 14/18	about [21] 4/25 5/5 6/8	15/22	14/18 14/24 21/7	conference [2] 11/24
17/2 17/5 20/2 20/13	7/2 9/14 11/8 11/9	appearances [4] 1/12	better [4] 11/15 11/15	11/25
21/7 23/6	13/11 14/16 16/16	1/17 2/19 9/10	15/13 19/15	confess [1] 9/9
MR. WILSON: [1] 16/3	16/22 17/20 17/22	appearing [1] 4/10 appreciate [1] 9/8	<b>between [3]</b> 18/4 19/16 19/25	6/22 6/23 10/11 10/14
THE COURT: [43]	19/18 21/13 21/13	appreciate [1] 9/0		11/21 12/9 12/9
1	21/15 21/20 22/2 22/15	appropriately [1] 10/10	both [10] 4/10 4/14	confidential [1] 5/16
/0.543.04/40	22/20	are [19] 4/10 9/5 9/6	4/19 4/21 7/9 8/22	confirm [2] 4/16 12/15
/ <b>S [1]</b> 24/10	above [1] 24/4	9/17 10/21 10/25 11/5	12/17 19/2 19/22 22/1	<b>confirmed [1]</b> 10/9
0	above-titled [1] 24/4	11/6 12/16 12/17 14/1	Brad [2] 11/3 14/25	conflict [19] 5/20 6/8
<b>08077 [1]</b> 2/13	absence [1] 16/10	15/21 17/9 18/23 19/24	Bradford [2] 2/11 4/9	7/15 8/15 8/16 9/20
	absent [1] 18/16 accept [1] 15/21	20/14 21/13 22/1 23/1	bradford.geyer [1]	18/2 18/4 18/10 18/11
1	acceptable [1] 23/4	area [1] 10/21	2/15	18/15 18/18 19/12
10 [2] 1/7 4/4	accommodation [1]	aren't [1] 9/19	bringing [1] 21/17	19/16 20/1 20/9 21/10
101 [1] 2/4	22/20	arguably [3] 9/21 9/24	Burke [2] 2/8 2/9	21/11 21/16
<b>1230 [1]</b> 2/9	acting [1] 5/25	10/2	<b>business [1]</b> 10/8	conflicts [9] 9/5 14/8
<b>130</b> [1] 2/12	add [2] 14/23 16/1	argument [1] 16/12	С	14/10 21/18 21/20 22/1 22/2 22/7 22/25
141 [1] 2/12	address [5] 6/19 12/8	arise [2] 9/18 21/21 arises [1] 8/8	call [3] 11/24 11/25	Connie [1] 8/13
1512 [1] 11/2	16/7 16/9 18/18	arising [1] 8/3	22/6	consent [1] 18/19
18 U.S.C. 1512 [1] 11/2 19 [1] 24/6	addressable [1] 16/11	arrangement [1] 17/15	can [16] 4/15 7/17 7/20	
1:05 [1] 1/6	addressed [2] 14/19	as [16] 5/25 6/1 6/5 7/7	10/17 11/13 14/7 16/7	constitutional [1] 9/18
<b>1:31</b> [1] 23/13	18/19	9/2 9/7 13/2 13/18	16/9 16/24 17/1 18/12	consultations [2]
	admitted [1] 15/15	13/21 13/22 14/2 14/10	18/17 21/12 21/25 22/6	14/24 23/2
2	advice [1] 14/8 advise [3] 14/11 21/19	18/1 21/1 21/17 22/23	22/25	contact [1] 2/10
<b>20001</b> [1] 3/5	22/1	ask [5] 11/18 15/14	can't [2] 8/4 16/14	contemplated [1] 18/7
<b>201</b> [1] 2/3	advised [1] 7/8	16/24 17/1 17/9	capacity [1] 13/21	context [2] 9/16 9/18
<b>202 [2]</b> 1/15 3/5	affected [2] 17/18 23/1	asked [1] 19/6	carefully [1] 9/10	CONTINUED [2] 2/1
<b>2021 [2]</b> 1/5 24/10	affidavit [1] 5/8	asking [4] 11/20 12/6 13/2 18/23	case [57] cases [2] 9/11 9/14	3/1
<b>20530 [1]</b> 1/14	after [1] 5/12	assigned [1] 8/1	Centre [1] 2/8	conversations [1] 5/24 correct [2] 18/5 24/3
<b>21-28 [2]</b> 1/4 4/3 <b>21-CV-2265 [1]</b> 7/24	afternoon [6] 4/2 4/12	attention [1] 7/22	certainly [3] 7/3 17/13	correctly [1] 8/25
<b>22015</b> [1] 2/9	4/13 4/20 5/6 23/9	attorney [5] 2/7 8/12	19/17	could [7] 16/12 19/25
<b>2265</b> [1] 7/24	again [2] 17/19 21/14	10/24 22/13 22/16	Certified [1] 3/3	20/6 21/11 21/20 22/15
<b>252-7277 [1]</b> 1/15	agree [1] 6/18	<b>ATTORNEY'S [1]</b> 1/13	certify [1] 24/2	22/16
<b>28 [2]</b> 1/4 4/3	ahead [3] 11/12 19/21	attorneys [2] 10/25	cetera [1] 22/4	counsel [20] 4/12 4/23
2nd [1] 2/3	22/5 aided [1] 3/7	11/4	CH [1] 3/4	5/1 6/13 7/11 7/17 8/12
	Alden [1] 2/6	Avenue [1] 3/4	check [1] 17/1	9/2 9/3 12/1 13/3 13/18
3	all [10] 6/10 8/25 10/18	aware [9] 7/9 12/16	Cinnaminson [1] 2/13	13/21 14/2 14/10 21/18
<b>303 [1]</b> 2/13	11/25 15/20 16/4 16/25	13/24 19/17 19/24 20/2	circle [1] 23/3	22/1 22/7 22/25 23/1
<b>3249</b> [1] 3/5	19/18 20/15 21/20	20/3 21/12 21/13	civil [26]	counsel's [1] 16/15
<b>333</b> [1] 3/4	allegations [1] 20/15	<b>away [1]</b> 20/18	clarify [1] 6/25	course [1] 6/22
<b>337</b> [1] 2/8	alone [1] 19/18	В	client [3] 11/21 17/10 22/13	court [4] 1/1 3/2 3/3 24/7
<b>34471</b> [1] 2/4	along [1] 12/9	back [3] 5/11 22/12	clients [1] 11/6	courtesy [1] 22/21
<b>352 [1]</b> 2/5	already [3] 5/3 10/8			Courtedy [1] LL/L1

### Case 1:21-c1/dbc0e2(1/APTVI Docu/rom/atref/2/4) 1#/ded 11/02/via/6 [2/Paz/9:206/9/f /2/Wouldn't [1] 11/23 C he [18] 5/20 5/24 5/24 does [3] 12/7 12/11 Fourth [1] 1/14 **I'd [1]** 6/11 courthouse [1] 8/24 framed [1] 12/8 5/25 6/20 6/21 6/23 7/3 **I'II [8]** 5/8 9/9 9/14 23/4 **COVID** [1] 24/6 doesn't [3] 12/20 18/11 frankly [3] 16/10 18/10 12/24 16/12 16/14 15/21 22/5 22/7 22/24 **COVID-19** [1] 24/6 22/23 16/19 16/20 16/24 21/23 **CR [1]** 1/4 I'm [12] 9/5 10/4 11/12 don't [28] front [6] 7/24 8/7 8/21 19/15 22/13 22/13 create [2] 5/18 5/20 done [1] 14/12 8/23 19/7 21/8 22/15 11/20 14/13 16/1 16/10 created [1] 10/24 **dual [1]** 18/13 he's [5] 8/11 9/1 13/22 16/15 19/17 20/2 20/3 further [3] 6/14 15/16 criminal [16] 4/3 8/14 dual-role [1] 18/13 21/12 15/18 15/15 16/23 8/20 8/23 9/3 9/17 9/19 l'm not [2] 11/20 20/2 **FYI[1]** 19/9 hear [5] 4/15 6/11 during [2] 13/16 24/5 9/22 10/1 16/15 16/19 duties [2] 15/21 15/23 14/13 16/2 16/15 I'm not sure [2] 9/5 17/11 18/5 18/14 19/8 G heard [3] 6/10 15/1 dwilsonlaw.com [1] 20/10 gentlemen [1] 14/12 2/5 17/17 I'm sorry [1] 10/4 cross [1] 8/4 get [9] 5/8 9/11 10/2 hearing [3] 1/10 4/11 **I've [4]** 11/2 15/1 15/6 cross-relate [1] 8/4 18/19 21/25 22/2 22/3 17/17 24/5 CRR [2] 24/2 24/11 earlier [1] 8/2 22/11 23/3 helped [1] 19/5 identified [1] 9/13 cumbersome [1] 21/18 else [1] 23/8 getting [1] 21/21 here [12] 4/20 5/6 6/6 **important** [1] 11/7 **currency [1]** 15/10 **Geyer [13]** 2/11 4/9 6/1 Email [4] 1/15 2/5 2/10 11/6 12/17 12/25 17/19 incentive [1] 20/6 current [3] 13/1 17/15 2/14 6/24 7/3 10/15 11/3 18/16 18/17 20/20 incomplete [1] 21/22 21/5 enter [2] 12/21 15/7 11/16 11/18 13/2 13/22 20/21 22/2 indirect [1] 10/14 CV [1] 7/24 him [5] 10/20 15/14 entered [3] 5/2 5/3 14/25 15/17 individuals [4] 19/16 5/13 give [4] 4/15 6/10 20/1 17/11 17/15 22/15 20/4 21/20 21/23 entering [2] 9/10 10/20 22/6 himself [1] 11/9 influencing [1] 21/23 D.C [3] 1/5 1/14 3/5 given [3] 14/9 18/8 entitled [1] 7/4 his [13] 5/10 5/19 6/6 information [2] 5/16 damages [1] 20/5 21/3 entry [1] 15/22 6/7 8/22 14/11 15/22 11/17 Date [1] 24/10 error [1] 10/22 go [2] 11/12 22/5 intended [2] 5/20 18/14 19/18 20/21 david [4] 2/2 2/3 2/5 et [1] 22/4 go ahead [2] 11/12 22/13 22/16 22/19 12/21 et cetera [1] 22/4 22/5 hold [1] 20/4 intention [2] 17/10 day [3] 9/11 20/5 23/11 ethics [1] 14/8 going [3] 17/7 20/13 Honor [25] 17/20 days [1] 22/6 even [3] 16/8 18/18 20/14 **HONORABLE [1]** 1/10 interested [1] 11/1 dealt [1] 11/7 18/19 good [3] 4/2 4/12 4/13 how [4] 9/4 15/1 19/8 interrupt [1] 11/13 default [1] 19/6 event [2] 8/5 10/2 got [1] 22/9 21/13 involve [1] 18/11 defendant [14] 2/2 events [2] 8/3 8/8 gotten [1] 18/1 involved [4] 17/20 18/7 2/11 4/4 4/4 4/7 4/9 8/9 every [1] 10/21 government [8] 1/13 20/4 22/2 8/19 8/21 9/1 16/13 **everybody** [1] 19/6 4/6 5/1 5/4 6/18 12/11 I believe [3] 8/21 14/15 involves [1] 18/12 16/20 17/3 20/19 everybody's [1] 5/8 20/20 23/6 14/18 is [62] defendants [4] 1/8 everyone [4] 22/8 23/4 guess [3] 11/5 12/15 I can [5] 7/17 14/7 is that correct [1] 18/5 4/10 9/1 18/23 18/17 21/25 22/6 23/7 23/12 21/1 is there [1] 23/8 defense [2] 6/13 12/1 everyone's [1] 21/13 guidance [2] 18/1 21/4 I didn't [2] 5/1 5/2 issue [16] 7/14 8/16 defenses [1] 20/14 I don't [11] 7/25 14/7 **evolved [1]** 11/25 9/15 9/20 11/8 12/8 **describing [1]** 5/10 14/10 15/9 15/11 15/16 example [1] 14/11 12/12 12/15 14/16 16/5 did [2] 7/2 22/19 hac [3] 6/1 14/16 15/9 17/24 18/6 19/1 19/11 excuse [1] 9/25 16/16 19/22 21/4 21/14 didn't [5] 5/1 5/2 10/5 had [16] 4/23 5/2 5/3 extent [2] 18/2 21/3 21/18 22/10 22/23 12/3 21/15 5/12 5/13 5/14 5/24 6/1 I don't recall [1] 10/13 issues [5] 9/18 11/5 different [4] 6/11 8/23 6/21 7/4 7/6 10/9 14/10 I guess [3] 11/5 12/15 12/2 14/19 20/1 10/21 11/5 fact [2] 4/22 6/5 21/9 21/9 23/2 21/1 it [24] 5/9 5/20 7/19 direct [1] 10/10 Haller [8] 8/12 9/23 fair [1] 12/6 I have [3] 6/8 9/9 11/7 7/20 7/25 8/1 8/2 8/8 directly [3] 10/11 11/16 11/4 17/15 18/12 18/16 | I haven't [2] 9/12 18/8 far [1] 14/12 9/4 9/8 9/17 9/21 10/22 11/18 feel [2] 22/10 22/18 21/5 21/7 I just [1] 17/17 10/24 12/20 15/12 17/2 disclose [2] 22/10 few [1] 22/6 handle [1] 19/22 I know [4] 16/22 20/15 17/10 18/12 21/12 22/19 figure [2] 22/5 22/7 happen [4] 11/3 12/3 20/25 22/20 21/22 22/11 22/15 disclosed [1] 12/10 file [1] 15/24 15/1 20/13 I mean [12] 15/3 15/17 22/20 disclosure [1] 5/16 filed [5] 4/21 4/21 5/9 happened [2] 12/25 16/11 16/19 17/9 18/6 it's [16] 6/21 6/23 7/22 discuss [3] 7/20 12/1 6/17 8/2 15/19 18/15 18/22 19/11 7/24 8/7 11/25 14/9 22/19 happening [1] 12/24 filing [1] 9/11 19/14 20/17 22/23 15/4 15/13 16/10 17/20 **discussed [1]** 11/2 filling [1] 8/25 happy [3] 14/13 16/2 I never [1] 18/7 18/18 19/8 20/17 21/18 **discussing [1]** 10/25 financial [1] 22/17 16/15 I should [2] 22/10 22/10 dismissals [1] 11/2 financially [1] 17/18 HARRELSON [35] 22/19 **DISTRICT [3]** 1/1 1/1 find [1] 14/25 **Harrelson's [3]** 7/3 I suppose [1] 6/23 finger [1] 20/7 14/16 16/18 I think [18] 5/1 5/4 5/9 Jacob [1] 22/14 do [20] 8/15 10/5 11/19 first [5] 5/22 5/23 7/5 has [11] 4/23 5/24 6/1 6/20 9/15 10/8 10/21 **January [3]** 8/3 8/8 11/23 13/4 13/7 13/8 12/5 12/7 14/8 14/12 10/8 14/19 6/2 6/5 6/6 9/2 9/10 20/4 13/10 14/24 15/13 **FL** [1] 2/4 12/21 13/1 13/20 14/20 14/21 15/2 15/13 **Jeffrey [2]** 1/13 4/6 15/17 16/22 19/1 21/1 flag [1] 7/17 hasn't [2] 5/24 15/19 16/7 20/8 20/18 jeffrey.nestler [1] 1/16 21/12 21/25 22/5 22/6 joint [3] 5/20 9/16 9/20 hat [1] 18/13 **following [1]** 5/7 I understand [1] 13/17 22/17 22/21 hate [1] 21/17 follows [1] 21/1 I was [1] 10/19 Jon [1] 4/7 do you have [3] 13/7 foregoing [1] 24/3 have [36] I went [1] 22/14 Jon Moseley [1] 4/7 13/8 13/10 formerfedsgroup.com

haven't [2] 9/12 18/8

Do you understand

that [1] 13/4

**[2]** 2/12 2/15

I would [2] 19/1 22/21

**JONATHAN** [1] 2/7

**Rehl's [2]** 16/9 19/18

related [5] 7/14 7/15

relate [1] 8/4

power [2] 22/13 22/16

**precisely [1]** 19/7

preliminary [1] 7/5

### Case 1:21-cr-**Q**0028-APM Docum2066126/8022012ited 11/000000001ed [23].0764224/50f [2002epared [1] 14/1 Mr. Rehl's [2] 16/9 off [2] 12/12 16/1 present [3] 9/19 12/17 Jonathon [1] 2/6 made [2] 14/9 16/12 office [4] 1/13 2/3 18/1 19/18 21/8 make [4] 5/7 7/9 12/24 jonmoseley.com [1] Mr. Wilson [5] 4/21 presents [2] 18/2 2/10 20/19 4/22 15/20 15/22 16/4 Official [1] 3/3 19/12 judge [6] 1/11 6/16 many [1] 10/25 **Mrs. [1]** 21/8 **oh [3]** 10/4 17/6 18/6 pretty [1] 20/23 7/21 8/23 17/3 21/19 matter [5] 7/7 9/24 okay [15] 4/12 4/19 Mrs. Meggs [1] 21/8 Prettyman [1] 3/4 10/1 17/11 24/4 Julia [3] 8/12 11/4 10/6 10/16 12/4 12/14 **Ms. [8]** 8/9 9/23 9/24 **pro [3]** 6/1 14/16 15/9 may [3] 10/15 14/23 17/15 10/1 18/16 18/17 21/5 13/6 13/14 14/4 14/17 **probably [2]** 15/13 15/17 Julia Haller [1] 11/4 14/22 16/5 19/4 20/22 21/25 21/7 maybe [4] 10/21 11/5 jurisdiction [1] 15/8 Ms. Haller [4] 9/23 22/22 **problem [1]** 5/18 jurisdictions [1] 10/23 11/15 19/14 18/16 21/5 21/7 once [1] 23/2 **procedure** [1] 15/1 just [33] me [18] 4/15 4/15 5/7 one [12] 5/14 5/18 6/4 Ms. Kelly [1] 8/9 **procedures** [1] 10/20 5/10 9/25 11/11 11/18 Ms. Meggs [3] 9/24 6/24 7/13 7/25 9/15 proceed [4] 7/10 7/11 12/23 13/15 15/13 10/1 18/17 12/12 12/19 16/7 18/18 20/8 22/24 **KELLY [8]** 1/6 2/2 4/4 16/18 17/9 21/2 21/25 much [3] 16/3 19/17 proceedings [5] 1/10 20/7 4/8 8/9 8/14 17/2 18/8 22/1 22/5 22/6 22/23 20/23 only [3] 10/19 16/7 3/6 13/17 23/13 24/4 Kelly Meggs [1] 4/8 mean [17] 10/5 15/3 my [10] 6/9 9/15 9/15 21/14 produced [1] 3/7 **KENNETH [4]** 1/7 2/11 15/6 15/17 16/11 16/19 9/22 10/19 10/22 10/22 opportunity [1] 6/10 professional [1] 22/21 4/5 11/9 17/9 17/14 17/24 18/6 11/14 16/21 17/20 order [2] 5/2 10/8 prosecutors [1] 20/20 kind [5] 6/9 11/25 18/15 18/22 19/11 myself [1] 21/24 other [3] 11/4 12/15 provide [2] 14/8 15/24 18/12 18/20 22/18 19/14 20/17 21/14 provided [1] 5/17 16/11 kinds [1] 11/3 22/23 otherwise [1] 14/14 prudence [1] 7/7 know [27] means [1] 15/20 name [2] 8/20 10/22 ought [2] 21/1 21/19 put [5] 7/19 8/17 9/6 knowing [1] 14/1 mechanical [1] 3/6 named [2] 8/12 20/20 our [2] 7/22 15/6 10/22 21/24 **knowingly [2]** 7/10 **MEGGS** [40] necessary [1] 15/11 out [10] 7/19 8/3 8/8 **puzzle** [1] 9/7 21/12 Meggs's [1] 8/13 **need [9]** 6/13 14/10 8/17 9/6 15/8 19/9 22/5 **knowledge [1]** 11/19 **MEHTA [1]** 1/10 15/4 15/8 16/25 18/20 22/7 22/8 knows [1] 16/24 member [1] 11/19 21/11 22/3 23/8 question [6] 4/25 5/23 over [1] 10/22 mentioned [2] 10/14 needed [1] 22/13 7/5 11/15 12/6 17/14 overlapping [1] 9/12 22/13 needs [1] 18/19 questions [3] 13/10 land [1] 9/5 Merit [1] 3/2 Nestler [12] 1/13 4/6 14/21 17/21 LAW [2] 2/3 2/7 met [1] 22/14 6/12 6/15 9/13 12/6 p.m [2] 1/6 23/13 quick [1] 22/11 lawsuit [3] 17/16 18/7 might [8] 5/17 6/3 6/24 12/11 14/5 17/14 19/14 **pandemic** [1] 24/6 19/21 10/24 11/6 14/20 19/15 19/24 21/2 papers [1] 5/9 lawyer [8] 12/20 12/25 20/20 never [5] 6/21 12/2 **R-e-h-l [1]** 8/20 **Parkway [1]** 2/8 13/1 13/2 13/20 13/23 mind [1] 14/6 15/1 15/6 18/7 part [1] 16/23 raised [3] 4/25 5/5 15/7 15/9 minimum [1] 13/22 17/22 nevertheless [1] 12/23 particularly [2] 5/19 lawyers [1] 21/17 minutes [1] 22/15 new [2] 4/23 12/10 raises [1] 10/2 18/20 lay [1] 9/4 misimpression [1] raising [1] 17/14 nice [1] 23/11 partner [1] 22/16 LCC [1] 2/12 10/24 **randomly [1]** 8/1 **NJ [1]** 2/13 passed [2] 11/20 11/21 learn [1] 7/2 mitigated [1] 6/8 no [12] 1/4 4/3 4/4 4/4 reach [1] 22/8 passing [1] 12/9 learned [1] 11/22 moment [1] 10/3 reaction [3] 5/8 9/15 6/1 11/23 11/23 12/2 pending [2] 7/16 7/24 least [3] 10/11 12/12 Moseley [30] 12/5 12/7 13/9 23/10 perhaps [1] 10/22 9/22 19/14 Moseley's [4] 5/22 non [1] 22/10 permutations [1] 9/7 read [1] 15/6 legal [4] 11/3 11/8 11/8 5/22 15/21 16/8 non-issue [1] 22/10 person [1] 15/14 ready [1] 19/21 12/2 most [2] 6/19 12/1 personally [1] 19/22 real [2] 21/15 22/11 not [30] less [3] 9/16 9/21 motion [3] 1/10 4/20 note [1] 24/5 Philadelphia [1] 22/14 realize [2] 5/3 17/21 18/11 4/21 realized [1] 5/12 nothing [2] 16/22 picked [1] 9/12 let [12] 5/7 5/10 11/11 motions [1] 19/7 really [3] 12/20 16/7 22/20 piece [1] 8/18 11/18 12/23 13/15 move [1] 14/2 18/8 **November [2]** 1/5 Plaintiff [1] 1/4 16/18 17/9 19/18 21/2 Mr. [126] **Realtime** [1] 3/3 24/10 **plan [1]** 17/13 21/25 22/5 Mr. Geyer [9] 6/1 6/24 now [6] 7/9 7/17 7/20 reason [1] 20/24 play [1] 18/13 **Let's [1]** 16/5 7/3 10/15 11/16 11/18 9/2 9/23 17/7 recall [1] 10/13 **plays [1]** 19/9 liable [1] 20/5 13/2 13/22 15/17 received [3] 6/3 10/11 number [3] 7/23 8/4 pleadings [1] 10/23 like [7] 6/11 7/18 11/4 Mr. Harrelson [30] 10/15 please [2] 4/15 24/5 8/4 12/20 19/20 22/10 Mr. Harrelson's [3] 7/3 recollection [1] 11/19 **NW [2]** 1/14 3/4 point [4] 15/17 20/7 22/18 14/16 16/18 record [6] 15/13 21/22 22/9 22/18 limitations [1] 24/7 Mr. Meggs [26] pose [2] 14/20 20/9 22/4 22/11 23/3 24/3 limited [1] 6/6 Mr. Meggs's [1] 8/13 objection [2] 13/7 13/8 **posed [1]** 11/15 **recorded** [1] 3/6 line [2] 11/6 22/25 Mr. Moseley [27] position [3] 14/7 21/19 Registered [1] 3/2 observation [2] 5/7 listening [1] 12/18 Mr. Moseley's [4] 5/22 Rehl [13] 8/20 8/22 9/1 21/24 literally [1] 16/22 5/22 15/21 16/8 obtained [2] 6/22 6/23 9/2 16/8 16/13 19/5 possible [1] 6/23 little [1] 10/21 Mr. Nestler [10] 6/12 obvious [1] 9/17 19/12 19/25 20/6 20/10 potential [6] 7/15 8/15 Local [1] 15/6 6/15 9/13 12/6 12/11 obviously [2] 11/20 20/21 22/14 19/25 20/2 21/20 22/2 long [1] 20/18 14/5 17/14 19/14 19/24

20/14

Ocala [1] 2/4

occur [1] 20/8

looked [1] 17/25

losing [1] 12/19

lot [2] 18/22 19/7

21/2

Mr. Rehl [9] 8/22 9/1

9/2 16/13 19/12 19/25

### Case 1:21-cr/sNeQ2188APN8/12Documernot 44825 14/11ed 11/10/03/18s1[1]P199915 28 of Alaive [1] 21/11 R she's [1] 17/19 suggest [1] 7/8 this [35] waiver [1] 21/22 related... [3] 7/25 8/2 waivers [2] 18/20 22/3 should [4] 6/18 21/25 suit [1] 7/23 thornier [1] 14/20 8/18 22/10 22/19 **Suite [2]** 2/4 2/13 those [4] 19/16 22/1 want [5] 15/12 16/1 relationship [1] 19/18 sic [1] 22/14 22/3 23/2 19/1 19/21 21/24 suits [1] 8/2 relieved [2] 15/21 sign [2] 16/1 22/15 suppose [2] 6/23 16/11 though [1] 14/23 wanted [4] 6/10 7/10 15/22 thought [3] 10/5 18/9 significant [1] 9/21 sure [6] 7/9 7/21 9/5 7/11 9/6 remotely [2] 4/10 24/7 12/24 16/10 21/12 was [22] 4/20 4/21 similar [1] 21/9 21/4 Reporter [4] 3/2 3/2 thoughts [1] 16/15 4/25 5/9 5/13 5/14 5/20 simply [2] 5/25 15/8 **SW [1]** 2/3 3/3 3/3 5/25 7/25 8/1 8/2 8/19 simultaneously [1] through [3] 9/7 10/15 reporting [1] 24/7 19/2 21/4 10/19 10/19 10/22 12/6 represent [6] 5/15 5/21 sir [3] 13/9 13/18 13/24 table [2] 7/20 12/12 thumbs [3] 4/16 4/17 17/2 21/10 21/15 22/12 16/14 17/7 17/11 17/15 situation [2] 7/9 12/17 take [1] 23/8 22/16 22/20 4/18 representation [10] taken [1] 12/12 Washington [3] 1/5 Smith [2] 7/23 8/19 thumbs-up [3] 4/16 5/19 6/4 6/7 9/16 9/20 talk [2] 9/14 22/25 4/17 4/18 1/14 3/5 so [37] 12/10 15/25 16/8 19/11 so I think [5] 6/24 7/5 talked [1] 11/8 ties [2] 19/25 20/2 way [1] 22/24 talking [1] 22/12 9/20 15/20 22/24 time [2] 13/12 22/12 ways [1] 20/18 representations [4] team [1] 21/6 So it's [1] 7/22 titled [1] 24/4 we [22] 6/12 7/6 7/7 14/9 16/6 21/21 23/1 technological [1] 24/7 some [13] 7/16 10/2 too [1] 13/16 7/20 8/15 9/4 9/7 9/14 represented [4] 5/24 ten [1] 22/15 10/23 13/21 14/20 topic [1] 7/13 10/7 11/24 12/1 14/10 6/6 8/11 8/22 tends [1] 6/18 14/25 15/4 16/7 16/9 15/17 18/20 19/16 20/4 transcript [3] 1/10 3/6 representing [8] 5/10 terms [4] 6/6 9/4 14/8 20/5 22/2 22/12 22/17 17/1 18/20 21/1 21/7 24/3 9/23 9/25 10/1 15/23 21/4 22/3 23/8 somebody [1] 9/12 transcription [1] 3/7 18/13 18/14 20/9 Terrific [1] 4/19 We believe [1] 21/7 **somehow [1]** 5/19 **Trump [2]** 7/23 8/19 represents [2] 8/13 than [3] 9/17 9/21 **someone** [1] 5/25 trust [1] 15/23 we will [1] 14/25 16/12 19/15 something [4] 15/17 trying [1] 21/19 we'll [5] 6/13 10/2 request [2] 20/24 21/3 16/9 16/10 22/10 thank [12] 4/19 9/8 turn [5] 6/13 10/7 14/25 22/7 23/2 require [2] 10/23 15/6 we're [7] 4/20 5/6 8/24 **sorry [1]** 10/4 9/13 15/25 16/3 16/3 12/23 13/15 16/5 required [2] 15/10 **two [7]** 5/14 5/18 6/5 16/4 23/6 23/7 23/10 sort [8] 5/14 5/16 7/5 11/1 14/21 20/18 22/18 15/16 23/11 23/12 7/14 9/9 12/8 19/23 11/5 14/12 14/19 19/16 we've [2] 14/10 14/12 requires [1] 15/18 weekly [2] 11/24 11/25 Thank you [8] 4/19 9/8 20/18 types [1] 21/21 resolved [1] 23/3 9/13 16/3 16/3 16/4 sound [2] 12/20 23/4 well [11] 11/5 11/11 retain [1] 13/17 23/6 23/7 South [1] 2/12 11/24 15/3 15/12 16/17 retained [1] 4/23 **U.S [1]** 1/13 that [119] 17/13 17/23 17/25 speak [1] 11/7 right [15] 7/1 7/12 8/10 that's [24] 4/24 5/5 **U.S.C.** [1] 11/2 20/23 21/1 **specifics [1]** 11/20 10/18 13/18 15/20 16/4 5/18 6/4 6/9 7/5 7/13 understand [2] 13/4 spill [1] 6/3 went [1] 22/14 16/25 17/4 17/6 17/6 9/4 9/22 10/6 12/5 12/6 13/17 were [5] 5/14 5/21 20/8 **spoke [1]** 6/21 17/6 18/25 20/12 20/16 14/5 14/15 14/18 14/21 sponsor [7] 6/1 14/16 understanding [2] 20/8 21/8 rightly [1] 5/5 15/10 15/11 16/9 16/25 10/19 16/21 14/25 15/5 15/8 15/10 what [15] 5/6 6/9 6/17 rise [1] 20/1 17/13 18/2 18/15 20/23 understands [1] 12/24 15/14 6/20 12/5 12/8 14/12 risk [1] 5/15 their [1] 20/14 Understood [1] 8/6 **sponsored** [2] 13/1 17/22 18/2 20/3 20/14 risks [2] 21/22 21/23 them [1] 11/7 unduly [1] 21/23 21/1 21/2 21/5 21/25 13/22 RMR [2] 24/2 24/11 **UNITED [4]** 1/1 1/3 then [16] 5/18 5/21 what's [4] 12/24 12/25 sponsoring [3] 10/20 roar [1] 19/21 6/13 7/7 7/14 7/20 8/18 1/11 4/3 10/24 15/7 16/18 20/13 role [3] 5/10 6/6 18/13 12/7 12/15 13/15 16/5 United States of [1] sponsorship [2] 15/16 whatever [1] 15/24 Route [1] 2/12 16/8 19/6 21/3 21/21 4/3 15/18 when [1] 22/12 **Rules [1]** 15/6 23/1 unlikely [1] 6/21 start [2] 6/12 10/17 where [3] 6/9 22/9 theory [2] 11/16 12/19 **until** [1] 7/9 22/18 **statement [1]** 20/19 there [16] 5/15 7/14 up [9] 4/16 4/17 4/18 whether [6] 5/15 5/18 **STATES [4]** 1/1 1/3 said [2] 6/20 9/23 8/16 8/17 8/18 9/5 9/5 1/11 4/3 5/11 7/9 9/12 15/4 10/13 18/1 18/12 22/3 same [3] 7/16 11/3 status [2] 16/19 21/13 9/7 9/17 10/17 11/5 22/25 23/8 which [3] 7/3 11/6 12/8 17/18 20/6 20/14 21/10 21/15 upon [1] 4/22 stay [4] 18/24 19/6 while [1] 11/1 satisfied [1] 14/21 usdoj.gov [1] 1/16 20/24 20/24 who [9] 8/12 8/20 9/2 satisfy [1] 12/11 used [1] 20/20 there's [7] 6/13 7/22 9/10 11/16 12/19 13/1 stenography [1] 3/6 say [2] 19/20 21/2 15/10 15/16 16/1 19/6 strategies [2] 11/3 22/1 22/6 **says** [1] 20/3 11/8 19/16 who's [1] 17/2 scrutinizing [1] 9/10 **VA[1]** 2/9 therefore [4] 6/2 10/10 strategy [1] 11/1 whose [1] 8/19 **second** [1] 6/7 various [2] 9/7 22/25 Street [2] 1/14 2/3 12/17 24/6 why [3] 6/12 7/19 10/7 see [3] 9/4 21/25 22/24 these [6] 7/16 9/7 versus [3] 4/4 7/23 strike [1] 22/23 wife [3] 8/13 14/11 seeing [1] 6/17 13/16 14/12 21/21 23/1 8/19 stuff [1] 22/17 18/14 seeking [2] 4/22 20/4 very [1] 16/3 **styled [1]** 7/23 they [8] 7/10 7/11 15/7 will [2] 7/10 14/25 seem [1] 9/11 17/18 21/11 21/11 via [2] 1/10 6/23 subject [1] 24/6 William [4] 3/2 24/2 seems [1] 5/23 voluntarily [1] 7/10 submission [3] 5/22 21/12 21/13 24/10 24/11 sense [3] 18/11 19/15 vs [1] 1/5 they're [2] 7/9 9/6 Wilson [8] 2/2 2/3 4/7 10/9 10/14 substantially [1] 6/8 thing [2] 6/24 19/23 4/21 4/22 15/20 15/22 serve [2] 13/2 21/17 W think [38] substitution [3] 4/25 16/4 served [1] 13/21 waivable [4] 9/6 18/2 thinking [3] 6/9 6/11 wish [1] 13/17 5/2 5/5

21/5

sufficient [4] 14/5

18/3 22/3

withdraw [1] 4/22

share [1] 12/2

**shared [1]** 11/21

W Case 1:21-cr -00028-APM Document 482 Filed 11/08/21 Page 29 of 29  without [2] 18/16 18/16 words [1] 16/11
words [1] 16/11
workload [2] 19/2
19/22 would [17] 5/15 5/17
5/20 7/4 7/7 7/18 9/2 11/16 12/2 15/1 17/18
18/6 19/1 19/15 19/21 20/9 22/21
wouldn't [1] 11/23 written [2] 10/9 10/13
<u>Y</u>
yeah [8]     7/19 10/19       16/17 18/10 19/10     20/17 20/25 22/23
Yes [9] 6/16 12/13 13/5       13/19 13/25 14/3 18/21       23/5 23/6
yesterday [3] 5/9 5/23   6/17
yet [1] 15/19 you [60]
you'll [2] 15/22 15/24 You're [1] 13/24
you've [4] 9/12 10/8
10/9 11/22 your [45]
Your Honor [25] Z
Zach [1] 16/8
Zachary [2] 8/20 19/5
24/10 24/11 <b>ZOOM [1]</b> 1/10