IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. CONNIE MEGGS, Defendant.)))) (CR No. 21-28-9) Washington, D.C.) March 26, 2021) 10:00 a.m.))	
TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING VIA ZOOM PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE AMIT P. MEHTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE APPEARANCES:		
For the Government:	Kathryn Leigh Rakoczy Jeffrey S. Nestler U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 555 Fourth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 252-6928 Email: kathryn.rakoczy@usdoj.gov Email: Jeffrey.Nestler@usdoj.gov	
For the Defendant:	David A. Wilson LAW OFFICE OF DAVID A. WILSON 201 SW 2nd Street Suite 101 Ocala, FL 34471 (352) 629-4466 Email: david@dwilsonlaw.com	

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
Pretrial Officer:	Christine Schuck
Court Reporter:	William P. Zaremba Registered Merit Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter Official Court Reporter E. Barrett Prettyman CH 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 354-3249
Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription	

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Good morning, Your Honor. 3 This is Criminal Case No. 21-28-9, the United States of 4 America versus Connie Meggs. 5 Jeffrey Nestler for the government. 6 David Wilson for the defense. 7 Christine Schuck on behalf of Pretrial Services. 8 The defendant's appearing via videoconference for 9 this hearing. 10 THE COURT: All right. Good morning, everybody. 11 Hang on for one second, please. 12 Okay. Sorry about that, everyone. 13 Good morning to everybody. 14 Ms. Meggs, good morning to you. Can you hear me 15 okay? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 So we're here this morning -- my apologies for the 19 delay -- on Ms. Meggs's motion on reconsideration or sort of 20 renewed request for pretrial release. 21 Just at the outset, let me be clear that I'm 22 treating this as an appeal, a de novo appeal from a 23 magistrate judge that found her -- that determined to detain 24 her. 25 So the delay this morning was caused by the

```
Circuit's issuance of United States versus Munchel, which is
 1
 2
     a case that sort of directly concerns detention
 3
     considerations for Capitol riot defendants. And so I wanted
 4
     to take a moment to read that case or at least quickly read
 5
     through it and I want to make sure both sides have had a
 6
     chance to read through it as well. And I gather both sides
 7
     have had the opportunity to review it.
 8
              Mr. Nestler?
 9
              MR. NESTLER: Yes, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: And Mr. Wilson?
11
              MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.
12
               THE COURT: Okay.
13
              All right. So we'll start with the government.
14
              And I guess the question to ask, Mr. Nestler, at
15
     the outset, is whether the decision in Munchel changes the
16
     government's outlook here at all with respect to Ms. Meggs?
17
              MR. NESTLER: It does not, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
19
               Go ahead, Mr. Nestler.
20
              MR. NESTLER: I'm happy to explain it further.
21
               THE COURT: Of course. Go ahead. I'd like you to
22
     do that.
23
              MR. NESTLER: Sure.
24
               So if we look at page 19 of the majority's opinion
25
     in Munchel, Your Honor, it talks about -- the Circuit talks
```

about how Mr. Munchel and his mother came in afterwards, were sort of looky-loos who came into the Capitol building, after others had assaulted officers, had broken down barricades, had broken through doors and windows, and that they were not involved and there were just the two of them.

The Circuit makes clear to say that those who "aided, conspired with, planned, or coordinated the attack on the Capitol are in a different category than Mr. Munchel and his mother."

And that is the category that we're in here,
Your Honor, with Ms. Meggs and with the other defendants as
part of this case. That's where they are charged together
as co-conspirators. They are the ones who coordinated in
advance. They're the ones who others parted the way so that
these defendants could get closer to the door and could, by
their numbers, by their presence, by what they were carrying
with them, by their training actually help break into the
Capitol in order to cause that obstruction.

THE COURT: What do we make of the fact that -
I mean, there's no evidence here, at least with respect to

Ms. Meggs, of engaging in actual destruction of property, of
entering the Capitol building, of -- not assaulting a police
officer in the Capitol building or outside the Capitol
building.

Would you agree with me that those factors sort of

weigh against pretrial-detention determination?

MR. NESTLER: Yes.

1.3

As to Ms. Meggs, she did not personally partake in those activities, as far as the evidence currently shows. But because we believe some of her co-conspirators may have done so and because of the presence of her conspiracy, that would weigh in favor of the detention.

One of the pieces the Circuit referenced was that Mr. Munchel and his mother were able to commit the crimes they committed because of "the presence of the group."

So the group of dozens or hundreds of other individuals that allowed those two defendants in the Circuit case to commit crimes.

Here, there's a different concern. And the Circuit said for those two, now that that mob is no longer at the Capitol, there's less of a concern that those two are going to be able to commit further crimes, because they used that group in order to commit the crimes.

Here, the problem is the group itself. We have this group of Oath Keepers, there are ten charged in this conspiracy right now, as we indicated previously, Your Honor, the investigation continues, and there may be others — we certainly have video and photographs of others working in concert with these ten who are currently charged.

That group itself is a danger. It's the presence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of that group, the training that they did in advance, the communications they did in advance and leading up to, and then the government's concern of what they could do in the future because of their group themselves, the size of their group and the training and organization of their group. THE COURT: What do we know about Ms. Meggs's having participated in a firearms training class? What do we know about that event, if anything? You've alleged that they --MR. NESTLER: We do know that --THE COURT: I'm sorry -- that she participated in a firearms training class in advance of the rally. MR. NESTLER: Yes, Your Honor. We do know that in September of 2020, she, her husband, Kelly Meggs, and another member of the conspiracy, Kenneth Harrelson, "participated in a firearms training class" would be to undersell it, Your Honor. It appears to be some sort of paramilitary class about how to go on the offense, like a military training class, not a firearm safety training class or a protective detail firearm safety training class, how to use guns and be safe; this is how to attack and kill people. THE COURT: And is that --MR. NESTLER: And so we know that she was there. We have --

THE COURT: 1 I'm sorry. 2 MR. NESTLER: Sorry. 3 THE COURT: When you call it a "class" or a 4 "training," is this something -- I'm just trying to 5 understand: Was this something sponsored by an organization? Was it something that was done ad hoc among a 6 group of individuals? Can you describe how this came 7 8 together, to the government's understanding? 9 MR. NESTLER: We don't have much information right 10 now about how it came together, Your Honor, but we do know 11 that at least two of the members who were present that day 12 were wearing Oath Keepers garb while conducting this 1.3 training, including Ms. Meggs's husband, Kelly Meggs. 14 THE COURT: Okay. But that --15 MR. NESTLER: And we do know that --16 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't want to sort of sound 17 too pithy here, but I mean, was this something that was 18 sponsored by a legitimate organization like, say, the NRA, 19 or was this something that was sponsored and carried out by 20 an informal group like the Oath Keepers? 21 MR. NESTLER: I'm not prepared to say or lend any 22 credibility or non-credibility to the organization that 23 sponsored this training. We did not include that 24 organization's name in our pleading; we didn't think it was 25 appropriate to identify them.

It was not the NRA, but it does appear to be a 1 2 business that's based in Florida that does put on various 3 classes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 And --6 MR. NESTLER: And we do know that -- yes, 7 Your Honor. 8 And we do know that Kelly Meggs, or at least the 9 Meggs family, as part of their credit cards, paid \$600 to 10 this facility in late November of 2020. We don't yet know 11 what that payment was for; we're still investigating. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 Can you help me understand a little bit more what 14 you think the evidence -- the note that's pasted in your 15 pleading at 14, what that is? 16 MR. NESTLER: Yes, Your Honor. 17 That is an indication that Connie Meggs was 18 involved in some sort of planning for what the Oath Keepers 19 were going to be doing on January 5th and 6th. We are not 20 alleging that that was planning of storming the Capitol; 21 that's the planning about what their plans were. 22 And the reason we included that was because, at 23 least from Ms. Meggs's bond-review motion and arguments 24 advanced before the magistrate in Florida was that she was 25 not really involved; she was along for the ride with her

husband. 1 2 And this note, to the government's belief, 3 Your Honor, shows quite otherwise, that she was not just 4 following her husband wherever he told her to go, she was 5 actually taking notes about where they were going to be 6 going and what they were going to be doing. 7 THE COURT: Can I ask you -- I mean, the way this is worded struck me as a little ambiguous. 8 I mean, you write: "On January 3rd, the user of 9 10 Defendant Meggs's phone saved the note; " I mean, instead of 11 saying Defendant Meggs saved a note. Is there a reason for the hedge that's used in 12 13 describing the author of the note? 14 MR. NESTLER: No, Your Honor. 15 The reason for the hedge is that no one -- we 16 don't have evidence that Ms. Meggs was the one using her 17 phone at that time, versus someone else using her phone at 18 that time; that there's certainly strong circumstantial 19 evidence that it was her. But without a witness saying she 20 was the one saving that note, we didn't want to necessarily 21 ascribe it to her.

THE COURT: Okay. I see.

23

24

25

I just wanted to be clear that you weren't suggesting that there was some other user of her phone, but I understand.

```
1
               MR. NESTLER: We are not.
               THE COURT: And so the note -- the note does
 2
 3
     reference the U.S. Capitol congressman. Does the government
 4
    have any further insight into what those notations are meant
 5
     to refer to?
 6
               MR. NESTLER: No, Your Honor. This is the note
 7
     itself.
               The redacted portions -- and the full note was
 8
 9
    provided to Mr. Wilson. But the redacted portion are names
10
     or email addresses of people to apparently be in contact
11
     with.
12
               THE COURT: Okay.
13
               And, by the way, some of this is prompted by
14
    Ms. Meggs's -- we tried to get her here; she's been --
15
     remains detained, I believe, in Florida; is that right?
16
              MR. NESTLER: That's my understanding, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
               And part of the reason there was the -- her ring
19
     was not off -- they weren't able to get the wedding ring off
20
    her finger. Has that issue resolved itself?
21
              MR. NESTLER: The marshals have informed me --
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
              MR. NESTLER: -- that that has resolved itself,
24
     yes.
25
               THE COURT: All right.
```

Mr. Nestler, is there anything else you want to 1 2 add? 3 MR. NESTLER: Two more small points, Your Honor; 4 one about detention. 5 Just looking at Judge Katsas's dissent, 6 Your Honor, and there's a point that the Judge makes, which 7 is that in the *Munchel* case, there was no charge that gave 8 rise to a rebuttable presumption, and that, again, affected the Court's analysis in that case. And here we do have that 10 fact; that is an additional fact that was not present in 11 Munchel. 12 The other point I just want to mention, 13 Your Honor -- and I'm sorry, I should have raised this at 14 the outset -- was the conflict issue. In filing the 15 government's opposition to the bond-review motion for 16 Connie Meggs and Kelly Meggs, it's become more and more 17 apparent to the government about the potential for a 18 conflict between Connie Meggs and Kelly Meggs, especially as 19 evidence of what Kelly Meggs did and said as being used by 20 the government. 21 We are using those statements and those actions, 22 including Kelly Meggs's signing up with the Oath Keepers for 23 a lifetime membership with spouse, as evidence against 24 Connie Meggs, and we do believe that does rise to the level 25 of potential conflict with having them represented by the

same counsel.

THE COURT: Well, let me -- I'm glad you raised that, because we ought to -- I think earlier I did raise this issue at the arraignment with Ms. Meggs and both she and Mr. Meggs at least were warned of the conflict and said they understood the conflict and were prepared to waive it.

I guess the question in my mind is: Do I have the authority to override a person's Sixth Amendment right to counsel if there is -- if the conflict rises to something that's not waivable?

And I have to confess, I've not had a husband-wife represented by the same counsel in a case before. And so I mean, I understand the general parameters of the issue, but I don't know what the case law says about whether I could, for example, order that there be a severance, essentially, of counsel, that Mr. Wilson either elect to represent Mr. Meggs or his wife.

Does the government have a view on that?

MR. NESTLER: Not formalized, Your Honor.

We can provide more research, Your Honor, and we can brief the issue, if necessary.

We were hoping that this would resolve itself with speaking on the record with Mr. Wilson and with both of his clients and with Your Honor, but we could continue to brief that issue if Your Honor would like.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, we have -- and I'm happy to do it again -- you know, I'd indicated also that we would get conflicts counsel, although having Ms. Meggs in Florida makes that a little bit more challenging, to advise them, and I still plan to do that.

But, Mr. Wilson, is it still both of your clients' intentions to proceed with a single lawyer?

MR. WILSON: I have discussed this matter initially upon them informing me that they wanted me to represent both of them. I went in great detail into the potential for conflict. And, in fact, what the government's trying to create right now, I predicted and I discussed it with both of them, and they had reviewed and signed a detailed conflict waiver.

I don't see a conflict rising to the level of what the government is contending at this point in time. And I think that if there is a severance down the road, it's not a severance of counsel, it's a severance of Mr. and Ms. Meggs, as far as their case is concerned, and I think that necessity would exist for purposes of trial, regardless of who the attorney is representing Mr. and Ms. Meggs.

If the government intends to introduce a statement made by Ms. Meggs against Mr. Meggs at trial, well, then, regardless of who their attorney is, a severance would be warranted. We haven't gotten there.

```
THE COURT: Well, maybe.
 1
 2
               MR. WILSON: Right.
 3
               THE COURT: The short answer is "maybe."
 4
               Only if it's a testimonial statement. I don't
 5
     know which statement you're referring to. I guess you're
 6
     talking about the post-arrest statement that she's made; and
 7
     even then, you know, it begs the question of whether we
     could redact it in such a way that -- but we're far away
 8
     from that --
 9
10
               MR. WILSON: Correct.
11
               THE COURT: -- date, from that possibility.
12
               But you're right, that's something that's looming
13
     here.
14
               What's your view, to the extent that you have an
     understanding of this, of whether I could compel, contrary
15
16
     to their express view, that they have separate counsel?
17
               MR. WILSON: Well, Judge, the only --
18
               THE COURT: And to be clear, Mr. Wilson, I'm not
19
     suggesting I'm taking any steps today, I just want to know
20
     what your position is.
21
               MR. WILSON: Judge, this is -- I have in the past
22
    had circumstances where I've had individuals in the same
23
     case ask me to represent them, where there, in fact, was a
24
     conflict; and in those circumstances, they each waived the
25
     conflict and no one sought to have me removed.
```

You know, I'm not aware of any particular case law one way or the other. But I do think the Constitution speaks very loudly that an individual has their -- a constitutional right to the attorney of their choice.

I think the only case I've ever seen where an attorney was removed was in the matter of John Doty, and that was, I think, on the government's allegation that his attorney at the time was somehow involved in the conspiracy.

But I don't know, Judge. If the Court wants us parties to brief the Court, we can certainly do that, but I think that the defendants involved should have their say in the matter.

THE COURT: Well, let's put it this way: I mean, there's no motion before me. So if the government is seeking to either move to compel me to require them to both have their own separate counsel, I'll take that up.

I'm certainly not going to order that absent an actual motion from the government and briefing from the defendants. It's too substantial of an issue for me to do on the back of a napkin here. So I'm certainly not going to do that.

And secondarily, you know, if the government thinks that what we've done thus far to ensure that both Mr. and Ms. Meggs are fully and -- fully informed of the potential conflict and that there's more we need to do, I'm

happy to hear that as well, pass this detention hearing 1 2 today to advise both of them to make sure -- Mr. Meggs's 3 detention hearing is this afternoon -- to make sure that 4 they're advised by a neutral lawyer. 5 As I said, I'm not prepared to take any steps 6 today to address that issue absent -- in a formal motion and 7 actual briefing on it, okay? 8 MR. NESTLER: Yes, Your Honor. And the government would prefer to proceed that 9 way, to have conflicts counsel appointed to advise them, and 10 11 we could have -- if they continue to persist in wanting to 12 waive that conflict, then we can address whether the 1.3 government would file a formal motion. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 All right. Mr. Wilson, let me turn to you, then, 16 on the merits of this, and I'll hear from you on your 17 motion. 18 MR. WILSON: Judge, I would first like to address 19 the issue with the ring. 20 Initially, the motion was predicated on the 21 fact that I had been notified by the Marshals Service that she would not be transported and that no party, no --22 23 whether the jail or the U.S. Marshals Service was in a 24 position to remove the ring from her finger. That was the

development that prompted me to file the detention -- the

25

instant motion.

The information then came to light through the discovery process; that is, encompassed in Mr. Meggs's motion to be heard this afternoon. I filed a statement of adoption to try to adopt the arguments and facts in his motion, simply because of the fact that they weren't present; I didn't know that at the time that I filed the motion for her.

The ring was removed.

She is still in Orlando, although I would concede that for the last few days, she's there still because the Court requested that they keep her there for purposes of this hearing. But even if she had been transported, she would not be in Washington, D.C. at this point, based upon the travel that Mr. Meggs engaged in. But I think that with respect to some of the Court's questions of the government as to their filing and the information contained in the filing, I can shed some light.

And in all candor to the Court, I did not see the government's filing until this morning, it was brought to my attention by the media, who I did not respond to. However, with respect to this firearms training, it was a two-hour class on firearms safety. The government would like to transform it into some form of paramilitary training.

I'm not going to mention the name of the facility, but I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very familiar with it. It's a very well-known firearms safety training facility in this part of the state of Florida. It's not some secret, underground training at some top-secret location. They have a website. They're very well known, and it is firearms safety that's given, and it just so happens that this was a two-hour training course. Now, with respect to the \$600, I likewise don't know how much the actual two-hour training for both of them was, but I also know that this particular facility requires a membership if you're going to use their facility. So that may be part of it. You know, there are some statements that are attributed to the instructor in the government's filings. There's no statements of violence or anything else that's attributed to Mrs. Meggs. And, in fact, if someone is undertaking a firearms safety class and there's a component of self-defense in that class, I'm sure that knowing where to shoot and where not to shoot is probably part of the training. But this was in September, and I think it probably pre-dates -- and I haven't compared the date of the training because I just found out what the dates of the communications that the government is alleging led up to the trip to Washington, D.C.

THE COURT: And so --

MR. WILSON: I suspect it was before. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, it certainly pre-dates the 3 election. 4 MR. WILSON: Correct. 5 THE COURT: It must. 6 MR. WILSON: So, you know, I am -- I do --I disagree with the government and their analysis that 7 8 Ms. Meggs is in somewhat of a different place than the Munchels. The evidence that we have is that they went --9 10 now the government refers to as a "stack"; I think that 11 we'll be able to easily dispel that down the road. But the evidence that we've been provided in 12 13 discovery is that Mrs. Meggs did not participate in any 14 damage to the property at the Capitol. The doors were 15 already open by the time that they went there. 16 So to the extent that the government is relying on 17 the presumption afforded to them by the charge of damage to 18 government property in excess of \$1,000, I think the Court 19 can look at the weight of the evidence, in light of the fact 20 any evidence whatsoever, that Mrs. Meggs, or Mr. Meggs, for 21 that matter, but Mrs. Meggs herself participated in violent 22 acts against property or against individuals or that she was 23 involved in the planning of any violent attack against the 24 Capitol, against the property, or against any individuals. She does not present an identified and articulable threat to 25

the community if she's released on bail.

And to the extent that the government says that there's — the fact that there are other people makes her a danger, I'm not following that logic. She has stable residence, she has no prior criminal history, there is no risk of flight, and I don't think that the government can overcome her right to liberty at this point in the proceedings.

THE COURT: Okay.

Can you address, to the extent you're able, and I leave it to you whether to comment on this or not, but the government has extensively suggested that Ms. Meggs engaged in deletion of messages from her cell phone after the 6th.

MR. WILSON: Judge, I can't speak to that.

All I can speak to is the fact that she gave a voluntary interview to law enforcement upon her arrest, she waived her right to counsel, and she provided her phone to the government agents.

I think that the -- there's a message that I saw in the government's filing that indicated that one of the individuals said in the message -- Mr. Meggs probably said that the reason that they had not been arrested was that they assisted a police officer, prevented him from being attacked by the mob.

So if that was their belief, that they weren't

subject to arrest because they assisted a police officer who 1 2 was being threatened, then she would have no reason to think 3 that she was subject to arrest and no reason to delete 4 messages. 5 So, you know, I certainly delete messages on my 6 phone for no nefarious reason other than the fact that I 7 don't want 10,000 messages on my mobile phone. So she's not 8 charged with obstruction of justice, she's not charged with 9 destroying any evidence. I don't think it's of import, but 10 I really can't speak to whether she did or what messages she 11 did delete or when. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 Mr. Nestler, do you want to add anything else at 14 this juncture? 15 MR. NESTLER: Yes, Your Honor, just a couple of 16 points. 17 One, it is not accurate to say that Ms. Meggs, 18 like the Munchels, came upon the Capitol after the doors 19 were opened and walked inside. 20 The video that we provided to the Court, a link to 21 a YouTube video, and provided to defense counsel, shows that 22 the stack of individuals, including Ms. Meggs, walked up the 23 east side of the Capitol steps, while the crowd parted ways 24 for the Oath Keepers stack to go up.

They were on the landing, I don't have an estimate

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
in feet, but they were on the landing in front of the
Columbus Doors of the east side of the Capitol there, as the
crowd, for several minutes, violently attacked the officers
and tried to force the doors open.
          The mob was yelling, "Take their shields, our
house, we want Trump." Rioters are firing pepper spray at
officers. Rioters who are there -- just in front of
Ms. Meggs, she wouldn't be able to miss this -- are
saying -- are beating the officers with flagpoles and with
their shields. And they're throwing bottles and other
things at the officers in order to pull the officers away
from the door, because the officers are there protecting
that door, so that Ms. Meggs and the rest of her group are
then able to enter through those doors and enter into the
Capitol. It is not correct to say that she just came upon
the Capitol later and waltzed inside.
          With respect to the class Your Honor asked
Mr. Wilson about, it's true that a class that we indicated
was back in --
          THE COURT: I'm sorry, Counsel, if I can just
interrupt you.
         MR. NESTLER:
                        Sure.
          THE COURT: And maybe I'm -- and I have to -- as
soon as this hearing is over, I will have to re-read this
decision, but it isn't clear to me that the Munchels,
```

```
Mr. Munchel and his mother, Ms. Eisenhart, they simply
 1
 2
     walked through an open door after a large group of people
 3
     had already entered.
 4
               I mean, at least as described by the Circuit, and
 5
     maybe I'm missing this, I mean, they were a part of a group
 6
     that was attempting to enter all at once. They weren't in
 7
     the front, but they were part of a larger crowd, and they
     were able to enter as the front portion of the crowd moved
 8
     into the Capitol building. Is that inaccurate?
10
               MR. NESTLER: I have not seen the video,
11
     Your Honor, from Munchel. I'm just going from what the
12
     Circuit said on page 4, that Munchel and Eisenhart entered
13
     the Capitol through an open door and stayed for
14
     approximately 12 minutes.
15
               Officers were standing to the right of the door
16
     not blocking their entry.
17
               THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I just -- again, it's a
18
     little -- it's not descriptive, but on page 3 it says that
19
     "They pushed their way through the crowd to continue towards
20
     the Capitol." Again, "Munchel followed Eisenhart, often
21
    holding on to a strap on her back, encouraged people along
22
     the way," et cetera.
23
               So anyway, you know.
24
               MR. NESTLER: That's right.
25
               THE COURT: And I don't want to leave with the
```

impression that somehow for minutes after the larger group had entered, that the door was just propped open and they walked through it. I don't know that that's a fair recitation of what happened.

MR. NESTLER: Understood, Your Honor.

In Munchel, it does appear that the other individuals broke through the doors and then the Munchels were able to walk through the door without being sort of stopped. There was a line of people who appeared to have walked through in that case.

THE COURT: Right.

2.2.

MR. NESTLER: Unlike here where the mob physically forced their way through the door, and Ms. Meggs was part of that mob that forced their way through the door.

And then going to the destruction of evidence,
Your Honor, it is correct that right now, Ms. Meggs is not
charged with obstruction of evidence. But as I indicated
before, the grand jury's investigation is continuing; she
did delete messages from her phone. And, again, we believe
it's hers; no reason to think it's not her. But the
circumstantial evidence is that she deleted messages from
the 6th.

And then we see that she and her husband got rid of the clothing and the gear they were wearing and had with them when they were at the Capitol. And so to Mr. Wilson's

point that people delete messages from their phone all the 1 2 time, the government submits, one, that's not really likely 3 to be the case here, just deleting messages from the 1st to 4 the 6th of January, which is the critical time we're 5 concerned about here. But then afterwards, they appear to 6 have gotten rid of the gear they were wearing that would 7 have captured them on video. And so for Mrs. Meggs, and 8 Mr. Meggs later this afternoon, to say they thought they 9 weren't going to be arrested because they helped the police 10 officers, doesn't really hold any water. 11 And then we even see a text message, which we 12 cited in our supplemental pleading last night, that we just 13 located that Mr. Meggs and Ms. Meggs are making light of the 14 fact that Mr. Meggs, "lost his gear in a boating accident," 15 and Ms. Meggs wrote "LOL." 16 That just doesn't really carry any credibility 17 with the government, Your Honor. It appears that they threw 18 away or somehow got rid of their clothes maybe on the water, 19 for all we know. The hat, the shirt, the helmet, the 20 backpack that Ms. Meggs had, the FBI did not locate it when 21 it searched their home when they were arrested. 22 THE DEFENDANT: It's in my son's room. 23 MR. WILSON: Ms. Meggs. 24 THE COURT: Ms. Meggs, I'll just -- let me just 25 say, I understand the challenges that are here because you

```
can't -- you're not sitting next to your lawyer in an
 1
 2
     ordinary world.
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: I hope you find it.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           Hang on.
 5
               I can understand in an ordinary world, you could
 6
     whisper into his ear and he could speak on your behalf, and
 7
     so we have those challenges here.
               But I just want you to be careful, because
 8
 9
     anything you say is being taken down by a court reporter and
10
     can be considered evidence and used against you.
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: So I would ask you to be mindful of
12
13
     your lawyer's instruction not to speak on the record, okay?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15
               THE COURT: And if you do want to talk to him, I
16
     don't know whether we can make any arrangements to do that,
17
    but just raise your hand and we can try to make that
18
     arrangement during this hearing, okay?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
               THE COURT: All right.
21
               All right. Mr. Nestler, anything else?
22
               MR. NESTLER: No, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               All right. Give me a second, everybody. I'll be
25
     right back, okay?
```

(Pause) 1 2 THE COURT: Okay, everyone. 3 All right. Let me just address the motion for 4 release pending trial. 5 6

Just preliminarily, let me get out of the way that

I do think this detention hearing is appropriate.

7 government has charged Ms. Meggs with destruction of

8 government property under the Bail Reform Act. That charge

is cross-referenced to, I think it's the sort of list of 9

10 terrorism crimes listed -- destruction of a number of

11 properties listed, and, therefore -- and because this

12 particular crime has a ten-year maximum penalty, it's ten

13 years or more, it's proper to hold the detention hearing,

14 Ms. Meggs is eligible for detention.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The fact that she's been charged for destruction of property also gives rise to a rebuttable presumption that no combination of conditions will ensure the safety of the community and that she does present a danger.

That is just a presumption, and the burden is on Ms. Meggs to come forward with evidence to rebut that presumption. She's done that here in numerous ways, not the least of which is to reference the fact that she has no prior criminal history and to emphasize what she did not do on January 6th.

So the burden then shifts and remains with the

government. It's not that it shifts. The burden still remains with the government or is with the government to prove by clear and convincing evidence that no combination of conditions will ensure the safety of the community or any particular person were Ms. Meggs to be released.

Liberty is the norm under the Constitution, and

I am supposed to consider what lesser -- what conditions of
release would be appropriate to ensure the safety of the
community if, nevertheless, she does present some risk on
release.

And so among factors I need to consider here, and we've talked about this at length, are the nature and circumstances of the offense, the weight of the evidence, the history and characteristics of the individual, and the threat that the individual poses to any particular person or to the community.

You know, again, I'll combine the first two as
I have in the past in some of these hearings. And obviously
what Ms. Meggs has been charged with and what the grand jury
has found her to -- for there to be sufficient evidence to
charge her with probable cause is very serious.

As I've said before, this really was an attack on the very fabric of our democracy, and Ms. Meggs and others like her who joined in that day to enter the Capitol posed a threat to the democracy. And but for the brave actions of

police officers, things could have been far worse than they were and turned out to be that day, although many -- some number of lives perished and many officers were injured.

You know, in terms of the evidence against

Ms. Meggs, you know, I have the benefit of being — of

presiding over these detention hearings for each of the

other nine individuals that have been charged in this case.

And the truth is, the evidence against Ms. Meggs is not

quite what it is against others. There is not evidence of

the kind of extensive planning and preparation, particularly

in terms of communications with others, other Oath Keepers

or with other members of other groups, as we've now learned

with the case with Mr. Meggs, for example.

There's no indication that she herself was involved in discussions about bringing weapons to the District of Columbia or stationing a quick reaction force to come into the District if things got heavy, as I think Mr. -- his name is escaping me right now -- has said in his text messages. You know, she's not a recruiter of other individuals, as Ms. Watkins seems to be, and is not a leader frankly, like her husband is alleged to be.

And so the evidence in terms of against her doesn't sort of -- it doesn't weigh quite as heavily in terms of the threat assessment that I need to make to the community and the forward-looking threat assessment. In

```
this case, it is what the government suggests or has argued
 1
 2
     it is, which is sort of a threat to engage in this kind of
 3
     behavior again and sort of engage in acts that would
 4
     threaten democratic institutions and democratic processes,
 5
     and whether Ms. Meggs, if released, would pose a threat to
 6
     that interest.
 7
               You know, to reiterate, she didn't -- there's no
 8
     evidence that day that she herself destroyed property,
 9
     although she is charged with aiding and abetting in the
10
     destruction of property, but there's no evidence that she
11
     herself did so, there's no evidence that she herself
12
     assaulted any police officer or even made her way into the
13
     Senate chamber, into the chamber of any particular
14
     congressperson.
15
               In terms of her nature and her own personal
16
     circumstances, Ms. Meggs has no prior criminal convictions.
17
     She is otherwise a law-abiding person who has strong ties to
18
     her community, as I understand it.
19
               I believe prior to this, she was employed; is that
20
     right, Mr. Wilson?
21
               MR. WILSON: She actually was a volunteer at a
22
     church thrift store.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               But, nevertheless, no prior criminal convictions.
25
               Look, the bottom line is that when I sort of
```

balance all these factors, I think Ms. Meggs is -- and to be 1 2 clear, I mean, I think what the Court -- the Circuit has 3 emphasized in *Munchel* is what I've been trying to 4 communicate through these various detention hearings, is 5 that, one, each of these evaluations I'm required to make 6 are individualized. You know, the fact that there was a mob 7 there that day, I think, has instilled a lot of passion and 8 emotion even among the members on the bench. But ultimately, the question of detention is one that's 9 10 individualized and specific to the person that's before the 11 Court. 12 Second, you know, we are supposed to look at 13 specifically what that person did on January the 6th and 14 before and after. But I think what Munchel emphasizes is 15 that there are certain acts that other -- certain types of 16 acts and certain types of associations on January the 6th 17 that differ in kind in terms of evaluating and predicting 18 somebody's threat to the community and risk of release --19 risk on release. 20 And then finally I think what the Circuit has 21 emphasized, that the question here is a narrow one: What is 22 a person's future risk? What future risk does that person 23 pose to a particular person, individual, or, in this case, 24 to democratic institutions?

And when I'm confronted with the evidence I am

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regarding Ms. Meggs and when I evaluate it against the larger body of evidence that's been presented to me with respect to others, I think once I balance that, particularly in light of Munchel, I don't think there really is a basis to hold Ms. Meggs or continue to hold Ms. Meggs. Now, that said -- so I will grant the motion for release. Ms. Meggs, you will be released, but I am going to release you on fairly strict conditions, at least at the start. And so you will be required to submit to supervision and report to supervision for -- within the district in which you reside and will be released. She lives in Orlando, Mr. Wilson; is that right? MR. WILSON: No, Judge. She lives in, I think, either Marion or Citrus County. She lives near Ocala. she would be reporting to the Ocala division of the Middle District of Florida. THE COURT: Okay. So the Middle District of Florida is where we will ask for courtesy supervision of Ms. Meggs. Mr. Wilson, if she has a passport, she must surrender that passport to the probation office in the Middle District of Florida. She must stay away from the District of Columbia. She also must remain in the Middle District of

Florida and must report to Pretrial Services or the probation office there weekly. Any travel outside must be approved by the Court before she's permitted to do that.

She shall have no contact with anyone associated or affiliated with the Oath Keepers; the only exception to that would be her husband.

She shall not possess any firearm, destructive device, or any weapon. That goes for any firearm or destructive device or weapon that she has a legal -- otherwise legal entitlement to hold. That is not something that she may keep with her and possess with her -- have in her actual or constructive possession while this case is pending.

I am going to put her on 24 hours a day home incarceration at the start. She may leave for medical necessities and court appearances or other activities approved by the Court. Whether continued home incarceration is required as we move forward is something I can revisit in the future.

She will be subject to location monitoring as directed by Pretrial Services or a supervising officer and comply with all the program requirements and instructions that are provided.

In addition, she shall not have access to any computer, smartphones, tablets or any kind of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
electronic-communication device that would allow her to
communicate through either encrypted or non-encrypted
applications. And those will be the conditions of release
for Ms. Meggs.
          Any questions, Mr. Wilson, about those conditions
of release or what your client needs to do in light of my
order?
          MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. They're very
consistent with the conditions that are imposed in this
division routinely, so we're very familiar with them.
          THE COURT:
                     Okay.
          All right. Anything further, folks, before we
adjourn?
         MR. NESTLER: Not from the government, Your Honor.
Thank you.
         MR. WILSON: Not on behalf of Ms. Meggs, Judge.
          THE COURT: All right. Thank you, all, very much.
         Ms. Meggs I'll give you the same warning I give to
others, and that is, you know, again, don't take this as a
reflection of how -- the seriousness of what you've been
accused of. It is serious, but I do think that given what
the legal standards are, you are entitled to be released
pretrial.
          However, these conditions, if they are violated,
could subject you to being placed back and incarcerated.
```

```
Yes, sir.
 1
               THE DEFENDANT:
 2
               MR. WILSON: Judge, may I address one issue,
 3
     please?
 4
               THE COURT: Yes, of course.
 5
               MR. WILSON: In light of our prior -- the Court's
 6
    prior -- our discussion with respect to an additional
 7
     attorney to advise her with respect to the conflict issue, I
 8
    might suggest that -- Ms. Meggs was previously represented
    by the Federal Defender's Office, and perhaps an attorney
 9
10
     from their office could discuss this matter with her --
11
     because there is no conflict between their office and her,
12
     and perhaps that might be a viable choice to advise her with
13
     respect to the potential conflict.
14
               THE COURT: I thought you were going to go
15
     somewhere else, but it's a fair suggestion.
16
               Look, I'll just have to -- what I'm going to do is
17
     call our Federal Defender, and whoever conflicts counsel is
18
     doesn't need to actually speak to Ms. Meggs in person.
19
               MR. WILSON: Okay.
20
               THE COURT: So they can do that via Zoom or by
    phone and have that discussion.
21
22
               So I think I'll just go through our Federal
23
     Defender and have somebody court-appointed here. That'll
24
     save us the bureaucratic challenge of having a Federal
25
     Defender from another district appear.
```

```
MR. WILSON: And that would presume that she would
 1
 2
     be authorized to use an electronic device to communicate
 3
     with the attorney?
               THE COURT: Of course.
 4
 5
               MR. WILSON: Okay.
 6
               THE COURT: As I said, yes, she can -- certainly
 7
     for legal communications and things of that nature, that
 8
     would certainly be acceptable and consistent with the
 9
     conditions of release.
10
               MR. WILSON: Thank you.
11
               THE COURT: Okay?
12
               All right. Thank you, all, very much.
13
               (Proceedings concluded at 11:09 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, William P. Zaremba, RMR, CRR, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-titled matter.

Please note: This hearing occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic and is therefore subject to the technological limitations of court reporting remotely.

Date: April 14, 2021 /S/ William P. Zaremba William P. Zaremba, RMR, CRR

17/12 18/18 20/19 21/6

21/10 21/15 23/20 27/5

Case 1:21-cr **6**00028-APM Document 3374 Filed 04/88/26/2016/29/39 of 45ased [2] 9/2 18/14 agents [1] 21/18 11/1 11/4 11/9 12/21 basis [1] 33/4 **COURTROOM** 629-4466 [1] 1/21 16/24 19/12 19/12 21/3 agree [1] 5/25 be [46] **DEPUTY:** [1] 3/2 **6928 [1]** 1/16 ahead [2] 4/19 4/21 23/6 23/7 23/8 23/9 beating [1] 23/9 MR. NESTLER: [31] **6th [7]** 9/19 21/13 aided [2] 2/8 5/7 23/12 23/13 26/13 because [17] 6/5 6/6 4/9 4/17 4/20 4/23 6/2 25/22 26/4 28/24 32/13 aiding [1] 31/9 26/25 29/12 32/6 32/12 6/10 6/17 7/4 9/22 13/3 7/10 7/13 7/24 8/2 8/9 32/16 all [22] 3/10 3/17 4/13 32/15 34/23 35/9 35/22 18/6 18/11 19/22 22/1 8/15 8/21 9/6 9/16 4/16 11/25 17/15 18/19 35/22 35/24 23/12 26/9 26/25 27/8 10/14 11/1 11/6 11/16 21/15 24/6 26/1 26/19 28/11 36/11 argued [1] 31/1 a.m [2] 1/6 37/13 11/21 11/23 12/3 13/19 27/20 27/21 27/24 28/3 arguments [2] 9/23 become [1] 12/16 17/8 22/15 23/22 24/10 abetting [1] 31/9 32/1 34/22 35/12 35/17 18/5 been [12] 11/14 17/21 abiding [1] 31/17 24/24 25/5 25/12 27/22 35/17 37/12 37/12 arraignment [1] 13/4 18/13 20/12 21/22 able [9] 6/9 6/17 11/19 28/15 29/19 30/1 30/7 allegation [1] 16/7 arrangement [1] 27/18 20/11 21/10 23/8 23/14 MR. WILSON: [22] alleged [2] 7/9 30/21 32/3 33/2 35/20 arrangements [1] 4/11 14/8 15/2 15/10 24/8 25/8 before [11] 1/10 9/24 alleging [2] 9/20 19/23 27/16 15/17 15/21 17/18 20/1 about [18] 3/12 4/25 arrest [4] 15/6 21/16 13/12 16/14 20/1 25/18 allow [1] 35/1 5/1 7/6 7/8 7/18 8/10 20/4 20/6 21/14 26/23 allowed [1] 6/12 22/1 22/3 29/22 32/10 32/14 34/3 9/21 10/5 12/4 12/17 31/21 33/14 35/8 35/16 along [2] 9/25 24/21 arrested [3] 21/22 26/9 35/12 36/2 36/5 36/19 37/1 13/14 15/6 23/18 26/5 already [2] 20/15 24/3 26/21 begs [1] 15/7 29/12 30/15 35/5 37/5 37/10 also [4] 14/2 19/9 articulable [1] 20/25 behalf [3] 3/7 27/6 THE COURT: [57] above [1] 38/4 28/16 33/25 as [36] 3/22 4/6 5/11 35/16 THE DEFENDANT: [8] above-titled [1] 38/4 although [4] 14/3 5/13 6/3 6/4 6/4 6/21 behavior [1] 31/3 absent [2] 16/17 17/6 3/16 11/22 26/22 27/3 9/9 10/8 12/18 12/19 being [7] 12/19 21/23 18/10 30/2 31/9 27/11 27/14 27/19 36/1 acceptable [1] 37/8 am [5] 20/6 29/7 32/25 12/23 14/19 14/19 17/1 22/2 25/8 27/9 30/5 access [1] 34/24 17/5 18/17 20/10 23/2 33/7 34/14 35/25 accident [1] 26/14 23/23 23/24 24/4 24/8 belief [2] 10/2 21/25 **ambiguous** [1] 10/8 **\$1,000 [1]** 20/18 accurate [1] 22/17 25/17 29/17 29/22 believe [5] 6/5 11/15 **Amendment [1]** 13/8 **\$600 [2]** 9/9 19/7 accused [1] 35/21 **AMERICA [2]** 1/3 3/4 30/12 30/17 30/20 12/24 25/19 31/19 Act [1] 28/8 **AMIT [1]** 1/10 30/23 31/18 34/18 bench [1] 32/8 actions [2] 12/21 29/25 34/20 35/19 37/6 benefit [1] 30/5 among [3] 8/6 29/11 /**S [1]** 38/10 activities [2] 6/4 34/16 between [2] 12/18 32/8 ascribe [1] 10/21 acts [4] 20/22 31/3 ask [5] 4/14 10/7 15/23 analysis [2] 12/9 20/7 36/11 32/15 32/16 another [2] 7/15 36/25 27/12 33/20 bit [2] 9/13 14/4 10,000 [1] 22/7 actual [5] 5/21 16/18 answer [1] 15/3 asked [1] 23/17 blocking [1] 24/16 **101 [1]** 1/20 17/7 19/8 34/12 any [24] 8/21 11/4 assaulted [2] 5/3 31/12 boating [1] 26/14 10:00 [1] 1/6 actually [4] 5/17 10/5 15/19 16/1 17/5 20/13 assaulting [1] 5/22 body [1] 33/2 **11:09 [1]** 37/13 31/21 36/18 20/20 20/23 20/24 22/9 **bond [2]** 9/23 12/15 assessment [2] 30/24 **12[1]** 24/14 ad [1] 8/6 26/10 26/16 27/16 29/4 30/25 bond-review [2] 9/23 **14 [2]** 9/15 38/10 add [2] 12/2 22/13 29/15 31/12 31/13 34/2 assisted [2] 21/23 22/1 12/15 **19 [2]** 4/24 38/6 addition [1] 34/24 34/7 34/8 34/8 34/24 associated [1] 34/4 both [11] 4/5 4/6 13/4 1st [1] 26/3 additional [2] 12/10 34/25 35/5 associations [1] 32/16 13/23 14/6 14/10 14/13 36/6 16/15 16/23 17/2 19/8 anyone [1] 34/4 attack [4] 5/7 7/22 address [6] 17/6 17/12 anything [7] 7/8 12/1 20/23 29/22 bottles [1] 23/10 20001 [1] 2/6 17/18 21/10 28/3 36/2 19/14 22/13 27/9 27/21 attacked [2] 21/24 23/3 bottom [1] 31/25 **201 [1]** 1/20 addresses [1] 11/10 35/12 attempting [1] 24/6 brave [1] 29/25 202 [2] 1/16 2/6 adjourn [1] 35/13 anyway [1] 24/23 break [1] 5/17 attention [1] 18/21 **2020 [2]** 7/14 9/10 adopt [1] 18/5 apologies [1] 3/18 attorney [8] 14/21 brief [3] 13/21 13/24 **2021 [2]** 1/5 38/10 adoption [1] 18/5 14/24 16/4 16/6 16/8 16/10 apparent [1] 12/17 **20530 [1]** 1/15 advance [4] 5/14 7/1 apparently [1] 11/10 36/7 36/9 37/3 briefing [2] 16/18 17/7 **21-28-9 [2]** 1/4 3/3 7/2 7/12 **ATTORNEY'S [1]** 1/14 appeal [2] 3/22 3/22 **bringing [1]** 30/15 **24 [1]** 34/14 advanced [1] 9/24 appear [4] 9/1 25/6 attributed [2] 19/13 broke [1] 25/7 **252-6928 [1]** 1/16 advise [5] 14/4 17/2 19/15 broken [2] 5/3 5/4 26/5 36/25 **26 [1]** 1/5 17/10 36/7 36/12 appearances [3] 1/12 author [1] 10/13 brought [1] 18/20 2nd [1] 1/20 advised [1] 17/4 2/1 34/16 building [5] 5/2 5/22 **authority** [1] 13/8 affected [1] 12/8 appeared [1] 25/9 authorized [1] 37/2 5/23 5/24 24/9 affiliated [1] 34/5 appearing [1] 3/8 **Avenue [1]** 2/5 burden [3] 28/19 28/25 **3249 [1]** 2/6 afforded [1] 20/17 appears [2] 7/17 26/17 **333 [1]** 2/5 aware [1] 16/1 after [6] 5/3 21/13 away [4] 15/8 23/11 applications [1] 35/3 bureaucratic [1] 36/24 34471 [1] 1/21 22/18 24/2 25/1 32/14 **appointed [2]** 17/10 26/18 33/24 **business** [1] 9/2 **352 [1]** 1/21 afternoon [3] 17/3 18/4 36/23 **354-3249 [1]** 2/6 26/8 appropriate [3] 8/25 3rd [1] 10/9 afterwards [2] 5/1 26/5 back [5] 16/20 23/19 call [2] 8/3 36/17 28/6 29/8 again [8] 12/8 14/2 came [7] 5/1 5/2 8/7 24/21 27/25 35/25 approved [2] 34/3 24/17 24/20 25/19 backpack [1] 26/20 8/10 18/2 22/18 23/15 **4466 [1]** 1/21 34/17 29/17 31/3 35/19 can [21] 3/14 8/7 9/13 **bail [2]** 21/1 28/8 approximately [1] against [14] 6/1 12/23 balance [2] 32/1 33/3 10/7 13/20 13/21 16/10 24/14

April [1] 38/10

are [32] 5/8 5/12 5/13

Barrett [1] 2/5

barricades [1] 5/4

14/23 20/22 20/22

20/23 20/24 20/24

27/10 30/4 30/8 30/9

555 [1] 1/15

5th [1] 9/19

Case 1:21-cr-0/00028847PM7/20ocu | Coommite1Medggs=[23]d 04/2/28/23131/Pen3316240 of | 4determination [1] 6/1 C critical [1] 26/4 7/21 8/3 18/23 19/17 12/16 12/24 determined [1] 3/23 can... [6] 27/10 27/16 19/18 23/17 23/18 consider [2] 29/7 development [1] 17/25 cross [1] 28/9 27/17 34/18 36/20 37/6 **classes** [1] 9/3 29/11 cross-referenced [1] device [4] 34/8 34/9 can't [3] 21/14 22/10 clear [7] 3/21 5/6 10/23 considerations [1] 4/3 35/1 37/2 27/1 crowd [5] 22/23 23/3 15/18 23/25 29/3 32/2 **considered** [1] 27/10 did [16] 6/3 7/1 7/2 candor [1] 18/19 client [1] 35/6 consistent [2] 35/9 24/7 24/8 24/19 8/23 12/19 13/3 18/19 Capitol [22] 4/3 5/2 5/8 18/21 20/13 22/10 clients [1] 13/24 37/8 **CRR [2]** 38/2 38/11 5/18 5/22 5/23 5/23 22/11 25/19 26/20 clients' [1] 14/6 conspiracy [4] 6/6 currently [2] 6/4 6/24 6/16 9/20 11/3 20/14 **closer [1]** 5/15 28/23 31/11 32/13 6/21 7/15 16/8 20/24 22/18 22/23 23/2 didn't [4] 8/24 10/20 clothes [1] 26/18 conspirators [2] 5/13 23/15 23/16 24/9 24/13 **D.C** [5] 1/5 1/15 2/6 clothing [1] 25/24 18/7 31/7 24/20 25/25 29/24 18/14 19/24 differ [1] 32/17 **co [2]** 5/13 6/5 conspired [1] 5/7 captured [1] 26/7 damage [2] 20/14 Constitution [3] 2/5 different [3] 5/8 6/14 co-conspirators [2] cards [1] 9/9 16/2 29/6 20/17 5/13 6/5 20/8 careful [1] 27/8 **COLUMBIA** [4] 1/1 constitutional [1] 16/4 danger [3] 6/25 21/4 directed [1] 34/21 carried [1] 8/19 28/18 1/14 30/16 33/24 directly [1] 4/2 constructive [1] 34/12 carry [1] 26/16 date [3] 15/11 19/21 Columbus [1] 23/2 contact [2] 11/10 34/4 disagree [1] 20/7 carrying [1] 5/16 38/10 combination [2] 28/17 **contained [1]** 18/17 **discovery [2]** 18/3 case [20] 3/3 4/2 4/4 **contending [1]** 14/16 dates [3] 19/21 19/22 29/3 20/13 5/12 6/12 12/7 12/9 20/2 combine [1] 29/17 continue [4] 13/24 discuss [1] 36/10 13/12 13/14 14/19 david [4] 1/19 1/19 come [2] 28/20 30/17 17/11 24/19 33/5 **discussed [2]** 14/8 15/23 16/1 16/5 25/10 1/22 3/6 continued [2] 2/1 14/12 comment [1] 21/11 26/3 30/7 30/13 31/1 day [6] 8/11 29/24 30/2 commit [4] 6/9 6/12 34/17 discussion [2] 36/6 32/23 34/12 31/8 32/7 34/14 6/17 6/18 continues [1] 6/22 36/21 category [2] 5/8 5/10 committed [1] 6/10 **continuing [1]** 25/18 days [1] 18/11 discussions [1] 30/15 cause [2] 5/18 29/21 communicate [3] 32/4 de [1] 3/22 dispel [1] 20/11 **contrary** [1] 15/15 caused [1] 3/25 35/2 37/2 convictions [2] 31/16 decision [2] 4/15 23/25 dissent [1] 12/5 cell [1] 21/13 Defendant [4] 1/7 1/19 district [13] 1/1 1/1 communication [1] 31/24 certain [3] 32/15 32/15 10/10 10/11 1/11 1/14 30/16 30/17 35/1 convincing [1] 29/3 32/16 33/12 33/17 33/19 defendant's [1] 3/8 communications [4] coordinated [2] 5/7 certainly [9] 6/23 10/18 defendants [6] 4/3 33/23 33/24 33/25 7/2 19/23 30/11 37/7 5/13 16/10 16/17 16/20 20/2 5/11 5/15 6/12 16/11 correct [5] 15/10 20/4 community [8] 21/1 36/25 22/5 37/6 37/8 16/19 28/18 29/4 29/9 29/16 23/15 25/16 38/3 division [2] 33/16 Certified [1] 2/4 **Defender [3]** 36/17 30/25 31/18 32/18 could [13] 5/15 5/15 35/10 certify [1] 38/2 36/23 36/25 compared [1] 19/21 7/3 13/15 13/24 15/8 **do [32]** 4/22 5/19 7/3 cetera [1] 24/22 compel [2] 15/15 16/15 15/15 17/11 27/5 27/6 **Defender's** [1] 36/9 7/6 7/7 7/10 7/14 8/10 **CH [1]** 2/5 30/1 35/25 36/10 defense [3] 3/6 19/17 8/15 9/6 9/8 12/9 12/24 comply [1] 34/22 challenge [1] 36/24 component [1] 19/17 counsel [13] 13/1 13/9 22/21 13/7 14/2 14/5 16/2 challenges [2] 26/25 delay [2] 3/19 3/25 computer [2] 2/8 34/25 13/12 13/16 14/3 14/18 16/10 16/19 16/21 27/7 delete [5] 22/3 22/5 computer-aided [1] 15/16 16/16 17/10 16/25 20/6 22/13 27/15 challenging [1] 14/4 22/11 25/19 26/1 21/17 22/21 23/20 27/16 28/6 28/23 34/3 2/8 **chamber [2]** 31/13 deleted [1] 25/21 35/6 35/21 36/16 36/20 concede [1] 18/10 36/17 31/13 does [12] 4/17 9/1 9/2 concern [3] 6/14 6/16 County [1] 33/15 deleting [1] 26/3 **chance [1]** 4/6 couple [1] 22/15 deletion [1] 21/13 11/2 11/3 12/24 13/18 7/3 changes [1] 4/15 democracy [2] 29/23 20/25 25/6 28/18 29/9 concerned [2] 14/19 course [4] 4/21 19/6 characteristics [1] 29/25 26/5 36/4 37/4 32/22 29/14 court [17] 1/1 2/3 2/4 democratic [3] 31/4 doesn't [5] 26/10 26/16 concerns [1] 4/2 charge [4] 12/7 20/17 concert [1] 6/24 16/9 16/10 18/12 18/19 31/4 32/24 30/23 30/23 36/18 28/8 29/21 doing [2] 9/19 10/6 concluded [1] 37/13 20/18 22/20 27/9 32/2 describe [1] 8/7 charged [11] 5/12 6/20 conditions [9] 28/17 32/11 34/3 34/16 34/17 described [1] 24/4 don't [18] 8/9 8/16 9/10 6/24 22/8 22/8 25/17 10/16 13/14 14/15 15/4 describing [1] 10/13 29/4 29/7 33/8 35/3 36/23 38/7 28/7 28/15 29/19 30/7 descriptive [1] 24/18 35/5 35/9 35/24 37/9 Court's [3] 12/9 18/16 16/9 19/7 21/6 22/7 conducting [1] 8/12 **destroyed** [1] 31/8 22/9 22/25 24/25 25/3 36/5 **choice [2]** 16/4 36/12 destroying [1] 22/9 27/16 33/4 35/19 confess [1] 13/11 court-appointed [1] **Christine [2]** 2/2 3/7 conflict [16] 12/14 36/23 destruction [6] 5/21 done [4] 6/6 8/6 16/23 church [1] 31/22 25/15 28/7 28/10 28/15 12/18 12/25 13/5 13/6 courtesy [1] 33/20 28/21 Circuit [9] 4/25 5/6 6/8 13/9 14/11 14/14 14/15 **COVID** [1] 38/6 31/10 door [10] 5/15 23/12 6/12 6/15 24/4 24/12 destructive [2] 34/7 15/24 15/25 16/25 COVID-19 [1] 38/6 23/13 24/2 24/13 24/15 32/2 32/20 17/12 36/7 36/11 36/13 34/9 25/2 25/8 25/13 25/14 **CR [1]** 1/4 Circuit's [1] 4/1 conflicts [3] 14/3 17/10 create [1] 14/12 detail [2] 7/20 14/10 doors [7] 5/4 20/14 circumstances [4] 36/17 credibility [3] 8/22 detailed [1] 14/14 22/18 23/2 23/4 23/14 15/22 15/24 29/13 confronted [1] 32/25 8/22 26/16 detain [1] 3/23 25/7 31/16 congressman [1] 11/3 credit [1] 9/9 detained [1] 11/15 **Doty [1]** 16/6 circumstantial [2] down [4] 5/3 14/17 detention [14] 1/9 4/2 congressperson [1] crime [1] 28/12 10/18 25/21 6/1 6/7 12/4 17/1 17/3 31/14 **crimes [5]** 6/9 6/13 20/11 27/9 cited [1] 26/12 17/25 28/6 28/13 28/14 **CONNIE [6]** 1/6 3/4 6/17 6/18 28/10 dozens [1] 6/11 Citrus [1] 33/15 30/6 32/4 32/9 criminal [5] 3/3 21/5 9/17 12/16 12/18 12/24 during [2] 27/18 38/5 **class [13]** 7/7 7/12

Case 1:21-ci evidence (429)/5/20 6/44 to coet (2) 723/4 (300006 04) (302 A (1) Bax 69 41 of 45 Id [5] 26/10 28/13 forced [2] 25/13 25/14 9/14 10/16 10/19 12/19 great [1] 14/10 33/5 33/5 34/10 dwilsonlaw.com [1] foregoing [1] 38/3 12/23 20/9 20/12 20/19 group [16] 6/10 6/11 holding [1] 24/21 1/22 20/20 22/9 25/15 25/17 form [1] 18/24 6/18 6/19 6/20 6/25 7/1 home [3] 26/21 34/14 25/21 27/10 28/20 29/3 formal [2] 17/6 17/13 7/4 7/5 7/5 8/7 8/20 34/17 29/13 29/20 30/4 30/8 formalized [1] 13/19 23/13 24/2 24/5 25/1 Honor [33] 3/2 3/16 4/9 each [3] 15/24 30/6 30/9 30/22 31/8 31/10 forward [3] 28/20 groups [1] 30/12 4/17 4/25 5/11 6/22 32/531/11 32/25 33/2 7/13 7/17 8/10 9/7 9/16 30/25 34/18 guess [3] 4/14 13/7 ear [1] 27/6 example [2] 13/15 10/3 10/14 11/6 11/16 forward-looking [1] 15/5 earlier [1] 13/3 12/3 12/6 12/13 13/19 30/25 30/13 guns [1] 7/21 easily [1] 20/11 13/20 13/24 13/25 17/8 exception [1] 34/5 found [3] 3/23 19/22 east [2] 22/23 23/2 Н excess [1] 20/18 29/20 22/15 23/17 24/11 25/5 **Eisenhart [3]** 24/1 had [16] 4/5 4/7 5/3 5/3 25/16 26/17 27/22 35/8 exist [1] 14/20 **Fourth [1]** 1/15 24/12 24/20 5/4 13/11 14/13 15/22 explain [1] 4/20 frankly [1] 30/21 35/14 either [4] 13/16 16/15 express [1] 15/16 15/22 17/21 18/13 **HONORABLE** [1] 1/10 front [4] 23/1 23/7 24/7 33/15 35/2 extensive [1] 30/10 21/22 24/3 25/2 25/24 24/8 hope [1] 27/3 **elect [1]** 13/16 26/20 extensively [1] 21/12 full [1] 11/8 hoping [1] 13/22 **election [1]** 20/3 fully [2] 16/24 16/24 hand [1] 27/17 extent [4] 15/14 20/16 hour [3] 18/22 19/6 electronic [2] 35/1 Hang [2] 3/11 27/4 21/2 21/10 further [4] 4/20 6/17 19/8 happened [1] 25/4 hours [1] 34/14 11/4 35/12 electronic-communica happens [1] 19/6 future [4] 7/4 32/22 house [1] 23/6 tion [1] 35/1 happy [3] 4/20 14/1 fabric [1] 29/23 32/22 34/19 how [8] 5/1 7/18 7/21 eligible [1] 28/14 facility [5] 9/10 18/25 17/1 7/21 8/7 8/10 19/8 else [6] 10/17 12/1 G 19/2 19/9 19/10 Harrelson [1] 7/16 35/20 19/14 22/13 27/21 fact [16] 5/19 12/10 garb [1] 8/12 has [20] 11/20 11/23 However [2] 18/21 36/15 12/10 14/11 15/23 gather [1] 4/6 16/3 21/4 21/5 21/12 35/24 email [4] 1/16 1/17 28/7 28/12 28/22 29/19 hundreds [1] 6/11 17/21 18/6 19/16 20/19 gave [2] 12/7 21/15 1/22 11/10 29/20 30/18 31/1 31/16 husband [8] 7/15 8/13 21/3 21/15 22/6 26/14 gear [3] 25/24 26/6 **emotion [1]** 32/8 28/15 28/22 32/6 26/14 31/17 32/2 32/7 32/20 10/1 10/4 13/11 25/23 **emphasize** [1] 28/23 factors [3] 5/25 29/11 general [1] 13/13 33/21 34/9 30/21 34/6 emphasized [2] 32/3 32/1 get [5] 5/15 11/14 hat [1] 26/19 husband-wife [1] 32/21 facts [1] 18/5 11/19 14/3 28/5 have [46] 13/11 emphasizes [1] 32/14 give [3] 27/24 35/18 haven't [2] 14/25 19/21 fair [2] 25/3 36/15 **employed [1]** 31/19 fairly [1] 33/8 35/18 having [4] 7/7 12/25 encompassed [1] 18/3 given [2] 19/5 35/21 familiar [2] 19/1 35/10 14/3 36/24 I also [1] 19/9 encouraged [1] 24/21 family [1] 9/9 gives [1] 28/16 he [2] 10/4 27/6 I am [5] 20/6 29/7 **encrypted** [2] 35/2 far [5] 6/4 14/19 15/8 hear [3] 3/14 17/1 glad [1] 13/2 32/25 33/7 34/14 17/16 16/23 30/1 go [7] 4/19 4/21 7/18 I believe [2] 11/15 enforcement [1] 21/16 favor [1] 6/7 10/4 22/24 36/14 36/22 31/19 heard [1] 18/4 engage [2] 31/2 31/3 Go ahead [2] 4/19 4/21 I can [4] 18/18 21/15 FBI [1] 26/20 hearing [10] 1/9 3/9 **engaged [2]** 18/15 Federal [4] 36/9 36/17 17/1 17/3 18/13 23/24 27/5 34/18 goes [1] 34/8 21/12 27/18 28/6 28/13 38/5 36/22 36/24 going [16] 6/17 9/19 I can't [1] 21/14 engaging [1] 5/21 feet [1] 23/1 10/5 10/6 10/6 16/17 hearings [3] 29/18 I did [3] 13/3 18/19 ensure [4] 16/23 28/17 few [1] 18/11 16/20 18/25 19/10 30/6 32/4 18/21 29/4 29/8 file [2] 17/13 17/25 24/11 25/15 26/9 33/7 heavily [1] 30/23 I disagree [1] 20/7 enter [5] 23/14 23/14 filed [2] 18/4 18/7 34/14 36/14 36/16 heavy [1] 30/17 I don't think [3] 21/6 24/6 24/8 29/24 22/9 33/4 filing [5] 12/14 18/17 **good [5]** 3/2 3/10 3/13 hedge [2] 10/12 10/15 entered [3] 24/3 24/12 3/14 3/16 helmet [1] 26/19 18/18 18/20 21/20 **I guess [3]** 4/14 13/7 25/2 good morning [3] 3/10 help [2] 5/17 9/13 filings [1] 19/13 15/5 entering [1] 5/22 finally [1] 32/20 3/13 3/14 helped [1] 26/9 I have [6] 13/7 13/11 entitled [1] 35/22 got [3] 25/23 26/18 find [1] 27/3 her [49] 14/8 15/21 24/10 29/18 entitlement [1] 34/10 finger [2] 11/20 17/24 30/17 here [20] 3/18 4/16 I haven't [1] 19/21 entry [1] 24/16 firearm [4] 7/19 7/20 gotten [2] 14/25 26/6 5/10 5/20 6/14 6/19 I just [5] 10/23 12/12 **escaping [1]** 30/18 8/17 11/14 12/9 15/13 15/19 19/22 24/17 34/7 34/8 **government [34]** 1/13 **especially [1]** 12/18 16/20 25/12 26/3 26/5 I mean [10] 5/20 8/17 firearms [8] 7/7 7/12 3/5 4/13 11/3 12/17 **essentially [1]** 13/16 7/16 18/22 18/23 19/1 26/25 27/7 28/21 29/11 10/7 10/9 10/10 13/13 12/20 13/18 14/16 estimate [1] 22/25 14/22 16/14 16/18 32/21 36/23 14/1 24/4 24/17 32/2 19/5 19/16 et [1] 24/22 firing [1] 23/6 16/22 17/9 17/13 18/16 hers [1] 25/20 I should [1] 12/13 et cetera [1] 24/22 first [2] 17/18 29/17 18/23 19/23 20/7 20/10 herself [5] 20/21 30/14 | I think [17] 13/3 16/5 **evaluate** [1] 33/1 **FL [1]** 1/21 20/16 20/18 21/2 21/6 31/8 31/11 31/11 16/7 16/11 18/15 20/10 **evaluating** [1] 32/17 21/12 21/18 26/2 26/17 20/18 21/19 28/9 30/17 flagpoles [1] 23/9 him [2] 21/23 27/15 evaluations [1] 32/5 28/7 28/8 29/1 29/2 32/1 32/2 32/7 32/14 flight [1] 21/6 **his [12]** 5/1 5/9 6/9 **even [5]** 15/7 18/13 13/17 13/23 16/7 18/5 Florida [9] 9/2 9/24 29/2 31/1 35/14 32/20 33/3 33/14 26/11 31/12 32/8 11/15 14/3 19/3 33/17 24/1 26/14 27/6 30/18 I thought [1] 36/14 government's [10] event [1] 7/8 33/19 33/23 34/1 4/16 7/3 8/8 10/2 12/15 30/18 I understand [4] 10/25 ever [1] 16/5 folks [1] 35/12 14/11 16/7 18/20 19/13 history [3] 21/5 28/23 13/13 26/25 31/18 everybody [3] 3/10 followed [1] 24/20 21/20 29/14 I want [1] 4/5 3/13 27/24 following [2] 10/4 21/4 grand [2] 25/18 29/19 hoc [1] 8/6 I wanted [1] 4/3 everyone [2] 3/12 28/2

Case 1:21-cn-in-Qa-Qa-A-P-M14/Document 17/22 Filed 04/23/42133/Page 42 of 48/25 interest [1] 31/6 I will [2] 23/24 33/6 interrupt [1] 23/21 I would [1] 27/12 interview [1] 21/16 **I'd [2]** 4/21 14/2 introduce [1] 14/22 **I'II [8]** 16/16 17/16 investigating [1] 9/11 26/24 27/24 29/17 investigation [2] 6/22 35/18 36/16 36/22 25/18 l'm [27] 3/21 4/20 7/11 involved [7] 5/5 9/18 8/1 8/4 8/21 12/13 13/2 9/25 16/8 16/11 20/23 14/1 15/18 15/19 16/1 30/15 16/17 16/20 16/25 17/5 is [100] 18/25 18/25 19/18 21/4 is there [2] 10/12 12/1 23/20 23/23 24/5 24/11 isn't [1] 23/25 32/5 32/25 36/16 issuance [1] 4/1 I'm going [1] 36/16 issue [11] 11/20 12/14 I'm happy [1] 4/20 13/4 13/13 13/21 13/25 I'm just [2] 8/4 24/11 16/19 17/6 17/19 36/2 I'm not [6] 8/21 15/18 36/7 16/1 17/5 18/25 21/4 it [551 I'm sorry [4] 7/11 8/1 it's [20] 6/25 12/16 12/13 23/20 14/17 14/18 15/4 16/19 I'm sure [1] 19/18 19/1 19/3 22/9 23/18 I've [5] 13/11 15/22 24/17 24/18 25/20 16/5 29/22 32/3 25/20 26/22 28/9 28/12 identified [1] 20/25 28/13 29/1 36/15 identify [1] 8/25 itself [6] 6/19 6/25 11/7 import [1] 22/9 11/20 11/23 13/22 imposed [1] 35/9 impression [1] 25/1 inaccurate [1] 24/9 jail [1] 17/23 incarcerated [1] 35/25 **January [6]** 9/19 10/9 incarceration [2] 34/15 26/4 28/24 32/13 32/16 34/17 Jeffrey [2] 1/13 3/5 include [1] 8/23 Jeffrey.Nestler [1] included [1] 9/22 1/18 **including [3]** 8/13 John [1] 16/6 12/22 22/22 joined [1] 29/24 indicated [5] 6/21 14/2 judge [12] 1/11 3/23 21/20 23/18 25/17 12/5 12/6 15/17 15/21 indication [2] 9/17 16/9 17/18 21/14 33/14 30/14 35/16 36/2 individual [4] 16/3 juncture [1] 22/14 29/14 29/15 32/23 jury [1] 29/19 individualized [2] 32/6 jury's [1] 25/18 32/10 just [29] 3/21 5/5 8/4 **individuals [10]** 6/11 10/3 10/23 12/5 12/12 8/7 15/22 20/22 20/24 15/19 19/6 19/22 22/15 21/21 22/22 25/7 30/7 23/7 23/15 23/20 24/11 30/20 24/17 25/2 26/3 26/12 informal [1] 8/20 26/16 26/24 26/24 27/8 information [3] 8/9 27/17 28/3 28/5 28/19 18/2 18/17 36/16 36/22 informed [2] 11/21 justice [1] 22/8 16/24 **informing [1]** 14/9 initially [2] 14/9 17/20 Kathryn [1] 1/13 injured [1] 30/3 kathryn.rakoczy [1] inside [2] 22/19 23/16 1/17 insight [1] 11/4 Katsas's [1] 12/5 instant [1] 18/1 keep [2] 18/12 34/11 instead [1] 10/10 **Keepers [8]** 6/20 8/12 instilled [1] 32/7 8/20 9/18 12/22 22/24 institutions [2] 31/4 30/11 34/5 32/24 Kelly [7] 7/15 8/13 9/8 instruction [1] 27/13 12/16 12/18 12/19

instructions [1] 34/22

instructor [1] 19/13

intends [1] 14/22

12/22

Kenneth [1] 7/16

know [36] 7/6 7/8 7/10 7/14 7/24 8/10 8/15 9/6 9/8 9/10 13/14 14/2 15/5 15/7 15/19 16/1 16/9 16/22 18/7 19/8 19/9 19/12 20/6 22/5 24/23 25/3 26/19 27/16 29/17 30/4 30/5 30/19 31/7 32/6 32/12 35/19 knowing [1] 19/18 **known [2]** 19/1 19/5 landing [2] 22/25 23/1 large [1] 24/2 larger [3] 24/7 25/1 33/2 last [2] 18/11 26/12 late [1] 9/10 later [2] 23/16 26/8 9/24 law [5] 1/19 13/14 16/1 21/16 31/17 law-abiding [1] 31/17 lawyer [3] 14/7 17/4 32/5 lawyer's [1] 27/13 leader [1] 30/20 leading [1] 7/2 learned [1] 30/12 least [9] 4/4 5/20 8/11 9/8 9/23 13/5 24/4 28/22 33/8 leave [3] 21/11 24/25 34/15 led [1] 19/23 legal [4] 34/9 34/10 35/22 37/7 **legitimate** [1] 8/18 **Leigh [1]** 1/13 lend [1] 8/21 length [1] 29/12 less [1] 6/16 lesser [1] 29/7 let [6] 3/21 13/2 17/15 26/24 28/3 28/5 let's [1] 16/13 level [2] 12/24 14/15 liberty [2] 21/7 29/6 lifetime [1] 12/23 light [7] 18/2 18/18 20/19 26/13 33/4 35/6 like [10] 4/21 7/19 8/18 8/20 13/25 17/18 18/23 22/18 29/24 30/21 likely [1] 26/2 likewise [1] 19/7 limitations [1] 38/7 line [2] 25/9 31/25 link [1] 22/20 list [1] 28/9 listed [2] 28/10 28/11 little [4] 9/13 10/8 14/4 24/18 lives [4] 30/3 33/13

kind [4] 30/10 31/2

32/17 34/25

located [1] 26/13 location [2] 19/4 34/20 logic [1] 21/4 **LOL [1]** 26/15 longer [1] 6/15 look [5] 4/24 20/19 31/25 32/12 36/16 looking [2] 12/5 30/25 looky [1] 5/2 looky-loos [1] 5/2 looming [1] 15/12 loos [1] 5/2 lost [1] 26/14 lot [1] 32/7 **loudly [1]** 16/3 made [3] 14/23 15/6 31/12 magistrate [2] 3/23 majority's [1] 4/24 make [8] 4/5 5/19 17/2 17/3 27/16 27/17 30/24 makes [4] 5/6 12/6 14/4 21/3 making [1] 26/13 many [2] 30/2 30/3 March [1] 1/5 **Marion [1]** 33/15 marshals [3] 11/21 17/21 17/23 matter [6] 14/8 16/6 16/12 20/21 36/10 38/4 maximum [1] 28/12 may [6] 6/5 6/22 19/11 34/11 34/15 36/2 **maybe** [5] 15/1 15/3 23/23 24/5 26/18 me [23] 3/14 3/21 5/25 9/13 10/8 11/21 13/2 14/9 14/9 15/23 15/25 16/14 16/15 16/19 17/15 17/25 23/25 26/24 27/24 28/3 28/5 30/18 33/2 mean [12] 5/20 8/17 10/7 10/9 10/10 13/13 14/1 16/13 24/4 24/5 24/17 32/2 meant [1] 11/4 mechanical [1] 2/7 media [1] 18/21 medical [1] 34/15 **MEGGS [75]** Meggs's [9] 3/19 7/6 8/13 9/23 10/10 11/14 12/22 17/2 18/3 **MEHTA [1]** 1/10 member [1] 7/15 members [3] 8/11 30/12 32/8 membership [2] 12/23 19/10 mention [2] 12/12

locate [1] 26/20

Merit [1] 2/3 **merits [1]** 17/16 message [3] 21/19 21/21 26/11 messages [10] 21/13 22/4 22/5 22/7 22/10 25/19 25/21 26/1 26/3 30/19 Middle [4] 33/16 33/19 33/23 33/25 might [2] 36/8 36/12 **military [1]** 7/19 mind [1] 13/7 mindful [1] 27/12 minutes [3] 23/3 24/14 25/1 miss [1] 23/8 missing [1] 24/5 mob [6] 6/15 21/24 23/5 25/12 25/14 32/6 mobile [1] 22/7 moment [1] 4/4 **monitoring [1]** 34/20 **more [8]** 9/13 12/3 12/16 12/16 13/20 14/4 16/25 28/13 morning [8] 3/2 3/10 3/13 3/14 3/16 3/18 3/25 18/20 mother [4] 5/1 5/9 6/9 24/1 motion [15] 3/19 9/23 12/15 16/14 16/18 17/6 17/13 17/17 17/20 18/1 18/4 18/6 18/8 28/3 33/6 **move [2]** 16/15 34/18 moved [1] 24/8 **Mr [1]** 30/18 **Mr. [38]** 4/8 4/10 4/14 4/19 5/1 5/8 6/9 11/9 12/1 13/5 13/16 13/17 13/23 14/6 14/18 14/21 14/23 15/18 16/24 17/2 17/15 18/3 18/15 20/20 21/21 22/13 23/18 24/1 25/25 26/8 26/13 26/14 27/21 30/13 31/20 33/13 33/21 35/5 Mr. and [3] 14/18 14/21 16/24 Mr. Meggs [10] 13/5 13/17 14/23 18/15 20/20 21/21 26/8 26/13 26/14 30/13 Mr. Meggs's [2] 17/2 18/3 Mr. Munchel [4] 5/1 5/8 6/9 24/1 Mr. Nestler [6] 4/8 4/14

4/19 12/1 22/13 27/21

11/9 13/16 13/23 14/6

31/20 33/13 33/21 35/5

Mr. Wilson's [1] 25/25

Mrs. [5] 19/15 20/13

Mr. Wilson [12] 4/10

15/18 17/15 23/18

Case 1:21-crimonations/[f]M 1/4Documented [2] 15/1100 04/20/50h [8] 29/0 29/101 45/001101 2/2 3/7 3/20 M note [11] 9/14 10/2 33/13 Mrs.... [3] 20/20 20/21 10/10 10/11 10/13 26/7 10/20 11/2 11/2 11/6 Mrs. Meggs [5] 19/15 11/8 38/5 20/13 20/20 20/21 26/7 notes [1] 10/5 Ms [1] 24/1 notified [1] 17/21 Ms. [52] **November [1]** 9/10 Ms. Meggs [46] novo [1] 3/22 Ms. Meggs's [5] 3/19 now [10] 6/15 6/21 7/6 8/13 9/23 11/14 8/10 14/12 19/7 20/10 Ms. Watkins [1] 30/20 25/16 30/12 30/18 33/6 much [4] 8/9 19/8 NRA [2] 8/18 9/1 35/17 37/12 number [2] 28/10 30/3 Munchel [16] 4/1 4/15 **numbers [1]** 5/16 4/25 5/1 5/8 6/9 12/7 numerous [1] 28/21 12/11 24/1 24/11 24/12 **NW [2]** 1/15 2/5 24/20 25/6 32/3 32/14 30/2 33/4 Munchels [4] 20/9 Oath [8] 6/20 8/12 8/20 22/18 23/25 25/7 9/18 12/22 22/24 30/11 must [6] 20/5 33/21 34/5 33/24 33/25 34/1 34/2 obstruction [3] 5/18 my [8] 3/18 11/16 13/7 22/8 25/17 18/20 22/5 22/7 26/22 obviously [1] 29/18 35/6 Ocala [3] 1/21 33/15 33/16 occurred [1] 38/5 name [3] 8/24 18/25 off [2] 11/19 11/19 30/18 offense [2] 7/19 29/13 24/18 names [1] 11/9 office [7] 1/14 1/19 napkin [1] 16/20 33/22 34/2 36/9 36/10 narrow [1] 32/21 36/11 nature [3] 29/12 31/15 officer [6] 2/2 5/23 37/7 21/23 22/1 31/12 34/21 near [1] 33/15 officers [11] 5/3 23/3 necessarily [1] 10/20 23/7 23/9 23/11 23/11 necessary [1] 13/21 23/12 24/15 26/10 30/1 necessities [1] 34/16 30/3 necessity [1] 14/20 Official [1] 2/4 need [4] 16/25 29/11 often [1] 24/20 30/24 36/18 okay [25] 3/12 3/15 needs [1] 35/6 4/12 4/18 8/14 9/4 9/12 nefarious [1] 22/6 10/22 11/12 11/17 17/7 Nestler [8] 1/13 3/5 4/8 17/14 21/9 22/12 27/13 4/14 4/19 12/1 22/13 27/18 27/23 27/25 28/2 27/21 31/23 33/18 35/11 neutral [1] 17/4 36/19 37/5 37/11 33/3 nevertheless [2] 29/9 once [2] 24/6 33/3 31/24 one [15] 3/11 6/8 10/15 next [1] 27/1 10/16 10/20 12/4 15/25 night [1] 26/12 16/2 21/20 22/17 26/2 nine [1] 30/7 32/5 32/9 32/21 36/2 no [33] 1/4 3/3 5/20 ones [2] 5/13 5/14 6/15 10/14 10/15 11/6 only [4] 15/4 15/17 12/7 15/25 16/14 17/22 16/5 34/5 17/22 19/14 21/5 21/5 open [5] 20/15 23/4 22/2 22/3 22/6 25/20 24/2 24/13 25/2 27/22 28/17 28/22 29/3 opened [1] 22/19 30/14 31/7 31/10 31/11 opinion [1] 4/24 31/16 31/24 33/14 34/4 opportunity [1] 4/7 35/8 36/11 **opposition** [1] 12/15 non [2] 8/22 35/2 order [6] 5/18 6/18 26/1 non-credibility [1] 13/15 16/17 23/11 35/7 8/22 ordinary [2] 27/2 27/5 non-encrypted [1] organization [4] 7/5 35/28/6 8/18 8/22 norm [1] 29/6

not [60]

31/17 32/10 32/13 other [16] 5/11 6/11 32/22 32/23 36/18 10/24 12/12 16/2 21/3 **person's [2]** 13/8 22/6 23/10 25/6 30/7 32/22 30/11 30/12 30/12 personal [1] 31/15 30/19 32/15 34/16 personally [1] 6/3 others [9] 5/3 5/14 **phone [11]** 10/10 10/17 6/23 6/23 29/23 30/9 10/17 10/24 21/13 21/17 22/6 22/7 25/19 30/11 33/3 35/19 otherwise [3] 10/3 26/1 36/21 31/17 34/10 photographs [1] 6/23 ought [1] 13/3 **physically [1]** 25/12 our [8] 8/24 23/5 26/12 **pieces [1]** 6/8 29/23 36/5 36/6 36/17 **pithy [1]** 8/17 place [1] 20/8 36/22 out [4] 8/19 19/22 28/5 placed [1] 35/25 Plaintiff [1] 1/4 outlook [1] 4/16 plan [1] 14/5 outset [3] 3/21 4/15 planned [1] 5/7 12/14 planning [5] 9/18 9/20 outside [2] 5/23 34/2 9/21 20/23 30/10 over [2] 23/24 30/6 plans [1] 9/21 overcome [1] 21/7 pleading [3] 8/24 9/15 override [1] 13/8 26/12 own [2] 16/16 31/15 **please [3]** 3/11 36/3 38/5 point [6] 12/6 12/12 page [3] 4/24 24/12 14/16 18/14 21/7 26/1 points [2] 12/3 22/16 paid [1] 9/9 police [6] 5/22 21/23 **pandemic** [1] 38/6 22/1 26/9 30/1 31/12 **parameters** [1] 13/13 portion [2] 11/9 24/8 paramilitary [2] 7/18 portions [1] 11/8 pose [2] 31/5 32/23 18/24 part [9] 5/12 9/9 11/18 posed [1] 29/24 19/2 19/11 19/19 24/5 **poses [1]** 29/15 24/7 25/13 position [2] 15/20 partake [1] 6/3 17/24 parted [2] 5/14 22/23 possess [2] 34/7 34/11 20/12 21/17 22/20 participate [1] 20/13 **possession** [1] 34/12 participated [4] 7/7 **possibility** [1] 15/11 7/11 7/16 20/21 post [1] 15/6 particular [7] 16/1 19/9 **post-arrest** [1] 15/6 28/12 29/5 29/15 31/13 potential [5] 12/17 32/23 12/25 14/11 16/25 particularly [2] 30/10 36/13 pre [2] 19/21 20/2 parties [1] 16/10 pre-dates [2] 19/21 party [1] 17/22 20/2 pass [1] 17/1 predicated [1] 17/20 passion [1] 32/7 predicted [1] 14/12 passport [2] 33/21 predicting [1] 32/17 33/22 **prefer [1]** 17/9 past [2] 15/21 29/18 preliminarily [1] 28/5 pasted [1] 9/14 preparation [1] 30/10 Pause [1] 28/1 prepared [3] 8/21 13/6 payment [1] 9/11 17/5 penalty [1] 28/12 presence [4] 5/16 6/6 pending [2] 28/4 34/13 6/10 6/25 **people [7]** 7/22 11/10 present [6] 8/11 12/10 21/3 24/2 24/21 25/9 18/7 20/25 28/18 29/9 **presented** [1] 33/2 pepper [1] 23/6 presiding [1] 30/6 perhaps [2] 36/9 36/12 **presume** [1] 37/1 **perished** [1] 30/3 presumption [5] 12/8 permitted [1] 34/3 20/17 28/16 28/19 organization's [1] 8/24 persist [1] 17/11 28/21

6/1 34/1 34/21 35/23 pretrial-detention [1] Prettyman [1] 2/5 prevented [1] 21/23 previously [2] 6/21 36/8 prior [7] 21/5 28/23 31/16 31/19 31/24 36/5 probable [1] 29/21 probably [3] 19/19 19/20 21/21 probation [2] 33/22 34/2 probation office [1] 34/2 problem [1] 6/19 proceed [2] 14/7 17/9 proceedings [5] 1/10 2/7 21/8 37/13 38/4 process [1] 18/3 processes [1] 31/4 **produced** [1] 2/8 program [1] 34/22 prompted [2] 11/13 17/25 **proper [1]** 28/13 properties [1] 28/11 property [9] 5/21 20/14 20/18 20/22 20/24 28/8 28/16 31/8 31/10 propped [1] 25/2 protecting [1] 23/12 protective [1] 7/20 **prove [1]** 29/3 provide [1] 13/20 **provided [6]** 11/9 22/21 34/23 pull [1] 23/11 purposes [2] 14/20 18/12 pushed [1] 24/19 put [3] 9/2 16/13 34/14 question [5] 4/14 13/7 15/7 32/9 32/21 questions [2] 18/16 35/5 quick [1] 30/16 quickly [1] 4/4 quite [3] 10/3 30/9 30/23 raise [2] 13/3 27/17 raised [2] 12/13 13/2 **Rakoczy [1]** 1/13 rally [1] 7/12 re [1] 23/24

re-read [1] 23/24

23/24

reaction [1] 30/16

read [4] 4/4 4/4 4/6

really [7] 9/25 22/10

11/23 really... [5] 26/2 26/10 respect [10] 4/16 5/20 26/16 29/22 33/4 18/16 18/22 19/7 23/17 **Realtime** [1] 2/4 33/3 36/6 36/7 36/13 reason [9] 9/22 10/12 respond [1] 18/21 10/15 11/18 21/22 22/2 rest [1] 23/13 22/3 22/6 25/20 review [3] 4/7 9/23 rebut [1] 28/20 12/15 rebuttable [2] 12/8 reviewed [1] 14/13 28/16 revisit [1] 34/18 recitation [1] 25/4 rid [3] 25/23 26/6 26/18 reconsideration [1] ride [1] 9/25 3/19 right [30] 3/10 3/17 record [3] 13/23 27/13 4/13 6/21 8/9 11/15 38/3 11/25 13/8 14/12 15/2 recorded [1] 2/7 15/12 16/4 17/15 21/7 recruiter [1] 30/19 21/17 24/15 24/24 redact [1] 15/8 25/11 25/16 27/20 redacted [2] 11/8 11/9 27/21 27/24 27/25 28/3 refer [1] 11/5 30/18 31/20 33/13 **reference** [2] 11/3 35/12 35/17 37/12 28/22 ring [5] 11/18 11/19 referenced [2] 6/8 28/9 17/19 17/24 18/9 referring [1] 15/5 riot [1] 4/3 refers [1] 20/10 Rioters [2] 23/6 23/7 reflection [1] 35/20 rise [3] 12/8 12/24 **Reform [1]** 28/8 28/16 regarding [1] 33/1 rises [1] 13/9 regardless [2] 14/20 rising [1] 14/15 14/24 risk [6] 21/6 29/9 32/18 Registered [1] 2/3 32/19 32/22 32/22 reiterate [1] 31/7 RMR [2] 38/2 38/11 release [11] 3/20 28/4 road [2] 14/17 20/11 29/8 29/10 32/18 32/19 room [1] 26/22 33/7 33/8 35/3 35/6 routinely [1] 35/10 37/9 released [6] 21/1 29/5 31/5 33/7 33/12 35/22 safe [1] 7/21 relying [1] 20/16 safety [9] 7/20 7/20 remain [1] 33/25 18/23 19/2 19/5 19/17 remains [3] 11/15 28/17 29/4 29/8 28/25 29/2 said [11] 6/15 12/19 remotely [1] 38/7 13/5 17/5 21/21 21/21 remove [1] 17/24 24/12 29/22 30/18 33/6 removed [3] 15/25 37/6 16/6 18/9 same [4] 13/1 13/12 renewed [1] 3/20 15/22 35/18 report [2] 33/11 34/1 save [1] 36/24 reporter [5] 2/3 2/3 2/4 saved [2] 10/10 10/11 2/4 27/9 saving [1] 10/20 reporting [2] 33/16 saw [1] 21/19 say [9] 5/6 8/18 8/21 represent [3] 13/17 16/11 22/17 23/15 26/8 14/10 15/23 26/25 27/9 represented [3] 12/25 saying [3] 10/11 10/19 13/12 36/8 23/9 representing [1] 14/21 says [3] 13/14 21/2 request [1] 3/20 24/18 requested [1] 18/12 Schuck [2] 2/2 3/7 require [1] 16/15 searched [1] 26/21 required [3] 32/5 33/10 second [3] 3/11 27/24 34/18 32/12 requirements [1] 34/22 secondarily [1] 16/22 requires [1] 19/9 secret [2] 19/3 19/4 research [1] 13/20 see [5] 10/22 14/15 reside [1] 33/12 18/19 25/23 26/11 residence [1] 21/5 seeking [1] 16/15 resolve [1] 13/22

self [1] 19/17 **self-defense** [1] 19/17 **Senate [1]** 31/13 **separate [2]** 15/16 16/16 **September [2]** 7/14 19/20 serious [2] 29/21 35/21 seriousness [1] 35/20 **Service [2]** 17/21 17/23 **Services [3]** 3/7 34/1 34/21 several [1] 23/3 **severance** [5] 13/15 14/17 14/18 14/18 14/24 shall [3] 34/4 34/7 34/24 she [60] she's [10] 11/14 15/6 18/11 21/1 22/7 22/8 28/15 28/21 30/19 34/3 **shed [1]** 18/18 shields [2] 23/5 23/10 shifts [2] 28/25 29/1 **shirt** [1] 26/19 shoot [2] 19/18 19/19 **short [1]** 15/3 should [2] 12/13 16/11 **shows [3]** 6/4 10/3 22/21 side [2] 22/23 23/2 sides [2] 4/5 4/6 signed [1] 14/13 signing [1] 12/22 simply [2] 18/6 24/1 single [1] 14/7 sir [5] 4/11 27/11 27/14 27/19 36/1 sitting [1] 27/1 **Sixth [1]** 13/8 Sixth Amendment [1] 13/8 size [1] 7/4 **small [1]** 12/3 smartphones [1] 34/25 so [38] 3/18 3/25 4/3 4/13 4/24 5/14 6/6 6/11 7/24 11/2 13/12 16/14 16/20 19/6 19/10 19/25 20/6 20/16 21/25 22/5 22/7 23/13 24/23 25/25 26/7 27/7 27/12 28/25 29/11 30/22 31/11 33/6 33/10 33/15 33/19 35/10 36/20 36/22 So I think [1] 36/22 So the [1] 6/11 some [14] 6/5 7/18 9/18 10/24 11/13 18/16 18/18 18/24 19/3 19/3 19/12 29/9 29/18 30/2 somebody [1] 36/23 somebody's [1] 32/18 somehow [3] 16/8 25/1 26/18

seen [2] 16/5 24/10

19/16 something [9] 8/4 8/5 8/6 8/17 8/19 13/9 15/12 34/10 34/18 somewhat [1] 20/8 **somewhere [1]** 36/15 son's [1] 26/22 soon [1] 23/24 sorry [6] 3/12 7/11 8/1 8/2 12/13 23/20 sort [13] 3/19 4/2 5/2 5/25 7/18 8/16 9/18 25/8 28/9 30/23 31/2 31/3 31/25 sought [1] 15/25 **sound [1]** 8/16 speak [6] 21/14 21/15 22/10 27/6 27/13 36/18 speaking [1] 13/23 speaks [1] 16/3 **specific** [1] 32/10 specifically [1] 32/13 sponsored [4] 8/5 8/18 talked [1] 29/12 8/19 8/23 spouse [1] 12/23 **spray [1]** 23/6 stable [1] 21/4 stack [3] 20/10 22/22 22/24 standards [1] 35/22 **standing [1]** 24/15 **start [3]** 4/13 33/9 34/15 state [1] 19/2 **statement [5]** 14/22 15/4 15/5 15/6 18/4 statements [3] 12/21 19/12 19/14 **STATES [5]** 1/1 1/3 1/11 3/3 4/1 **stationing [1]** 30/16 stay [1] 33/24 stayed [1] 24/13 stenography [1] 2/7 steps [3] 15/19 17/5 22/23 still [6] 9/11 14/5 14/6 18/10 18/11 29/1 stopped [1] 25/9 store [1] 31/22 storming [1] 9/20 strap [1] 24/21 Street [2] 1/15 1/20 strict [1] 33/8 strong [2] 10/18 31/17 struck [1] 10/8 subject [5] 22/1 22/3 34/20 35/25 38/6 submit [1] 33/10 submits [1] 26/2 **substantial** [1] 16/19 **such [1]** 15/8 sufficient [1] 29/20 suggest [1] 36/8 suggested [1] 21/12 suggesting [2] 10/24 15/19

Case 1:21-ci/resolved (2PM)/2000cu/seemts 17/430/20ed 04/scarred one [2] 0180/474 of |staggestion [1] 36/15 suggests [1] 31/1 **Suite [1]** 1/20 supervising [1] 34/21 supervision [3] 33/11 33/11 33/20 supplemental [1] 26/12 **supposed [2]** 29/7 32/12 sure [6] 4/5 4/23 17/2 17/3 19/18 23/22 **surrender** [1] 33/22 suspect [1] 20/1 **SW [1]** 1/20 tablets [1] 34/25 take [5] 4/4 16/16 17/5 23/5 35/19 taken [1] 27/9 taking [2] 10/5 15/19 talk [1] 27/15 talking [1] 15/6 talks [2] 4/25 4/25 technological [1] 38/7 ten [4] 6/20 6/24 28/12 28/12 ten-year [1] 28/12 terms [6] 30/4 30/11 30/22 30/24 31/15 32/17 terrorism [1] 28/10 testimonial [1] 15/4 text [2] 26/11 30/19 than [4] 5/8 20/8 22/6 30/1 **Thank [4]** 35/15 35/17 37/10 37/12 **Thank you [3]** 35/15 37/10 37/12 that [252] That'll [1] 36/23 that's [17] 5/12 9/2 9/14 9/21 10/12 11/16 13/10 15/12 15/12 19/5 19/14 24/24 25/3 26/2 32/9 32/10 33/2 their [30] 5/16 5/16 5/17 7/4 7/4 7/5 9/9 9/21 14/19 14/24 15/16 16/3 16/4 16/11 16/16 18/17 19/10 20/7 21/25 23/5 23/10 24/16 24/19 25/13 25/14 26/1 26/18 26/21 36/10 36/11 them [17] 5/5 5/17 8/25 12/25 14/4 14/9 14/10 14/13 15/23 16/15 17/2 17/10 19/8 20/17 25/25 26/7 35/10 themselves [1] 7/4 then [15] 7/3 14/23 15/7 17/12 17/15 18/2

22/2 23/14 25/7 25/15

32/20

25/23 26/5 26/11 28/25

there [32] 5/5 6/20 6/22 7/24 10/12 10/24 11/18 12/1 12/7 13/9 13/15 14/17 14/25 15/23 18/11 18/12 19/12 20/15 21/3 21/5 23/2 23/7 23/12 25/9 29/20 30/9 32/6 32/7 32/15 33/4 34/2 36/11 there's [15] 5/20 6/14 6/16 10/18 12/6 16/14 16/25 19/14 19/17 21/3 21/19 30/14 31/7 31/10 31/11 therefore [2] 28/11 38/6 these [8] 5/15 6/24 29/18 30/6 32/1 32/4 32/5 35/24 they [49] they're [5] 5/14 17/4 19/4 23/10 35/8 things [4] 23/11 30/1 30/17 37/7 think [31] 8/24 9/14 13/3 14/17 14/19 16/2 16/5 16/7 16/11 18/15 19/20 20/10 20/18 21/6 21/19 22/2 22/9 25/20 28/6 28/9 30/17 32/1 32/2 32/7 32/14 32/20 33/3 33/4 33/14 35/21 36/22 thinks [1] 16/23 this [64] This is [1] 3/3 those [14] 5/6 5/25 6/4 6/12 6/15 6/16 11/4 12/21 12/21 15/24 23/14 27/7 35/3 35/5 thought [2] 26/8 36/14 threat [8] 20/25 29/15 29/25 30/24 30/25 31/2 31/5 32/18 threaten [1] 31/4 threatened [1] 22/2 threw [1] 26/17 thrift [1] 31/22 through [17] 4/5 4/6 5/4 18/2 23/14 24/2 24/13 24/19 25/3 25/7 25/8 25/10 25/13 25/14 32/4 35/2 36/22 throwing [1] 23/10 thus [1] 16/23 ties [1] 31/17 time [8] 10/17 10/18 14/16 16/8 18/7 20/15 26/2 26/4 titled [1] 38/4 today [3] 15/19 17/2 together [3] 5/12 8/8 8/10 **told [1]** 10/4 too [2] 8/17 16/19 top [1] 19/4

Case 1:21-c1+66Geet-APM19/200c4Ment 174 Filed 04/20e/201/1Page 45 of 45/14 18/23 22/2 26/6 towards [1] 24/19 training [20] 5/17 7/1 7/5 7/7 7/12 7/16 7/19 7/20 7/21 8/4 8/13 8/23 18/22 18/24 19/2 19/3 19/6 19/8 19/19 19/21 transcript [3] 1/9 2/7 38/3 transcription [1] 2/8 transform [1] 18/24 transported [2] 17/22 18/13 travel [2] 18/15 34/2 treating [1] 3/22 trial [3] 14/20 14/23 28/4 tried [2] 11/14 23/4 trip [1] 19/24 true [1] 23/18 Trump [1] 23/6 truth [1] 30/8 try [2] 18/5 27/17 trying [3] 8/4 14/12 32/3 turn [1] 17/15 waive [2] 13/6 17/12 turned [1] 30/2 waived [2] 15/24 21/17 two [10] 5/5 6/12 6/15 waiver [1] 14/14 6/16 8/11 12/3 18/22 19/6 19/8 29/17 two-hour [3] 18/22 19/6 19/8 types [2] 32/15 32/16 **U.S [3]** 1/14 11/3 17/23 ultimately [1] 32/9 under [2] 28/8 29/6 underground [1] 19/3 undersell [1] 7/17

understand [7] 8/5 9/13 10/25 13/13 26/25 27/5 31/18 understanding [3] 8/8 11/16 15/15 understood [2] 13/6 25/5 undertaking [1] 19/16 **UNITED [5]** 1/1 1/3 1/11 3/3 4/1 United States [1] 4/1 United States of [1] 3/3 Unlike [1] 25/12 until [1] 18/20 **up [6]** 7/2 12/22 16/16 19/23 22/22 22/24 upon [5] 14/9 18/14 21/16 22/18 23/15 **us [2]** 16/9 36/24 usdoj.gov [2] 1/17 1/18 use [3] 7/21 19/10 37/2 used [4] 6/17 10/12 12/19 27/10 user [2] 10/9 10/24 using [3] 10/16 10/17 12/21

various [2] 9/2 32/4 versus [3] 3/4 4/1 10/17 very [10] 16/3 19/1 19/1 19/4 29/21 29/23 35/8 35/10 35/17 37/12 via [3] 1/10 3/8 36/20 viable [1] 36/12 video [5] 6/23 22/20 22/21 24/10 26/7 videoconference [1] view [3] 13/18 15/14 15/16 violated [1] 35/24 violence [1] 19/14 violent [2] 20/21 20/23 violently [1] 23/3 voluntary [1] 21/16 volunteer [1] 31/21 **vs [1]** 1/5 waivable [1] 13/10

walk [1] 25/8 walked [5] 22/19 22/22 24/2 25/3 25/10 waltzed [1] 23/16 want [12] 4/5 8/16 10/20 12/1 12/12 15/19 22/7 22/13 23/6 24/25 27/8 27/15 wanted [3] 4/3 10/23 14/9 wanting [1] 17/11 **wants [1]** 16/9 warned [1] 13/5 warning [1] 35/18 warranted [1] 14/25 was [63] Washington [5] 1/5 1/15 2/6 18/14 19/24 water [2] 26/10 26/18 Watkins [1] 30/20 way [13] 5/14 10/7 11/13 15/8 16/2 16/13 17/10 24/19 24/22 25/13 25/14 28/5 31/12 ways [2] 22/23 28/21 we [59] we believe [2] 6/5 25/19 we will [1] 33/19 we'll [2] 4/13 20/11 we're [6] 3/18 5/10 9/11 15/8 26/4 35/10 we've [4] 16/23 20/12 29/12 30/12 weapon [2] 34/8 34/9 weapons [1] 30/15 wearing [3] 8/12 25/24 website [1] 19/4 wedding [1] 11/19

weigh [3] 6/1 6/7 30/23 weight [2] 20/19 29/13 well [11] 4/6 13/2 14/1 14/23 15/1 15/17 16/13 | wouldn't [1] 23/8 17/1 19/1 19/5 20/2 well-known [1] 19/1 went [3] 14/10 20/9 20/15 were [31] 5/2 5/5 5/5

5/16 6/9 8/11 8/12 9/19 9/21 10/5 10/6 13/5 13/6 13/22 20/14 22/19 22/25 23/1 24/5 24/7 24/8 24/15 25/8 25/24 25/25 26/6 26/21 29/5 30/2 30/3 36/14

weren't [6] 10/23 11/19 18/6 21/25 24/6 26/9 what [40]

What's [1] 15/14 whatsoever [1] 20/20 when [8] 8/3 22/11 25/25 26/20 26/21 31/25 32/25 33/1

where [9] 5/12 10/5 15/22 15/23 16/5 19/18 19/18 25/12 33/19 wherever [1] 10/4 whether [11] 4/15

13/14 15/7 15/15 17/12 17/23 21/11 22/10 27/16 31/5 34/17 which [8] 4/1 12/6 15/5 26/4 26/11 28/22 31/2

33/12 while [3] 8/12 22/23 34/12

whisper [1] 27/6 **who [14]** 5/2 5/6 5/13 5/14 6/24 8/11 14/21 14/24 18/21 22/1 23/7 25/9 29/24 31/17 whoever [1] 36/17 wife [2] 13/11 13/17 will [10] 23/24 28/17 29/4 33/6 33/7 33/10 33/12 33/19 34/20 35/3

William [4] 2/3 38/2 38/10 38/11

Wilson [15] 1/19 1/19 3/6 4/10 11/9 13/16 13/23 14/6 15/18 17/15 23/18 31/20 33/13 33/21 35/5

Wilson's [1] 25/25 windows [1] 5/4 within [1] 33/11 without [2] 10/19 25/8 witness [1] 10/19 worded [1] 10/8 working [1] 6/24 world [2] 27/2 27/5 worse [1] 30/1 would [27] 5/25 6/7 7/17 13/22 13/25 14/2 14/20 14/24 17/9 17/13 17/18 17/22 18/10

27/12 29/8 31/3 31/5 33/16 34/6 35/1 37/1 37/1 37/8 write [1] 10/9 wrote [1] 26/15

Yeah [3] 8/16 17/20 24/17 year [1] 28/12 years [1] 28/13 yelling [1] 23/5 ves [17] 3/16 4/9 4/11 6/2 7/13 9/6 9/16 11/22 11/24 17/8 22/15 27/11 27/14 27/19 36/1 36/4 37/6 yet [1] 9/10 you [56] you know [3] 16/22

24/23 32/12 you're [6] 15/5 15/5 15/12 19/10 21/10 27/1 you've [2] 7/9 35/20 your [43]

Your Honor [31] 3/2 3/16 4/9 4/17 4/25 5/11 6/22 7/13 7/17 8/10 9/7 9/16 10/3 10/14 11/6 11/16 12/6 12/13 13/19 13/20 13/24 13/25 17/8 22/15 23/17 24/11 25/16 26/17 27/22 35/8 35/14

YouTube [1] 22/21

Zaremba [4] 2/3 38/2 38/10 38/11 **ZOOM [2]** 1/10 36/20