

Problem 1

a)

We write the plant as

$$(s^3 + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0)y = (b_2s^2 + b_1s + b_0)u \quad (1)$$

$$s^3y + [a_2, a_1, a_0]\alpha_2y = [b_2, b_1, b_0]\alpha_2u. \quad (2)$$

We then get

$$s^3y = [b_2, b_1, b_0, a_2, a_1, a_0][\alpha_2u, -\alpha_2y]^\top \quad (3)$$

where we let $\theta^{*\top} = [b_2, b_1, b_0, a_2, a_1, a_0]$ be our vector of unknown parameters. This form however is not realizable, as we have a triple derivative of y . We combat this by defining a Hurwitz polynomial

$$\Lambda(s) = s^3 + \lambda_2s^2 + \lambda_1s + \lambda_0 \quad (4)$$

and filter both sides with it's reciprocal, obtaining

$$z = \frac{s^3y}{\Lambda(s)} = \theta^{*\top} \left[\frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda(s)u}, -\frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda(s)y} \right]^\top. \quad (5)$$

That is, we have defined $\phi = [\frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda(s)u}, -\frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda(s)y}]^\top$, hence having the usual form $z = \theta^{*\top}\phi$.

b)

If we instead know $[a_2, a_1, a_0]$ and wish to parametrize the plant in terms of $\theta^{*\top} = [b_2, b_1, b_0]$ we rewrite (1) to

$$(s^3 + [a_2, a_1, a_0]\alpha_2)y = [b_2, b_1, b_0]\alpha_2u. \quad (6)$$

Using the same filter as before, we obtain the realizable adaptive law

$$z = \frac{s^3 + [a_2, a_1, a_0]\alpha_2}{\Lambda}y = [b_2, b_1, b_0]\frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda}u = \theta^{*\top}\phi. \quad (7)$$

If we were to let $\lambda_i = a_i$ in Λ , the fraction would in fact cancel and we would have $y = \theta^{*\top}\phi$.

c)

On the flip side, if we know $[b_2, b_1, b_0]$, we find

$$[b_2, b_1, b_0] \alpha_2 u = (s^3 + [a_2, a_1, a_0] \alpha_2) y \quad (8)$$

which in turn yields

$$\frac{s^3 y - [b_2, b_1, b_0] \alpha_2}{\Lambda} u = -[a_2, a_1, a_0] \frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda} y. \quad (9)$$

Given that $[b_2, b_1, b_0] = [0, 0, 1]$, we then obtain $s^3 y - [b_2, b_1, b_0] \alpha_2 = s^3 y - u$, and so $z = \frac{s^3 y - u}{\Lambda}$, $\theta^{*\top} = [b_2, b_1, b_0]$ and $\phi = -\frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda} y$.

Problem 2

a)

We define states

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x, \quad \text{and} \\ x_2 &= \dot{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Rewriting the system on the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{k}{M} x_1 - \frac{f}{M} x_2 + \frac{1}{M} u \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

then lets us write the system on the general state space representation

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \quad (12)$$

with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{k}{M} & -\frac{f}{M} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{M} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

b)

Using s as a differential operator we obtain

$$Ms^2 x + fsx + kx = u \quad (14)$$

which yields the transfer function from x to u

$$\frac{x}{u}(s) = \frac{1}{Ms^2 + fs + k} = \frac{\frac{1}{M}}{s^2 + \frac{f}{M}s + \frac{k}{M}}. \quad (15)$$

c)

We now want to find an adaptive law with $\theta^{*\top} = [M, f, k]$. We write

$$u = [M, f, k]\alpha_2 x, \quad (16)$$

but the right hand side in this is not proper and hence non-realizable. We thus define a Hurwitz polynomial

$$\Lambda = s^2 + \lambda_1 s + \lambda_0 \quad (17)$$

and divide both sides with it obtaining the adaptive scheme

$$z = \frac{u}{\Lambda} = [M, f, k] \frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda} x. \quad (18)$$

That is, $\phi = \frac{\alpha_2}{\Lambda} x$.

Problem 3

a)

From I&S page 50-51 we have the realization

$$\dot{\phi}_1 = \Lambda_c \phi + l u, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\Lambda_c = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_{n-1} & -\lambda_{n-2} & \dots & -\lambda_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad l = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Problem 4

a)

We have that

$$\|u\|_1 = \int_0^\infty |u| dt = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n \frac{1}{n^3} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \quad (21)$$

where the last result is obtained from recognizing the sum as the one in the Basel problem. That implies $u \in \mathcal{L}_1$. However,

$$\|u\|_2 = \int_0^\infty dt = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n=1}{\infty} \rightarrow \infty \implies u \notin \mathcal{L}_2 \quad (22)$$

and

$$\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{t \geq 0}(u) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k \implies u \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty. \quad \blacksquare \quad (23)$$

b)

We recognize $G(s)$ as the laplace transform of $g(t) = e^{-t}$ and $y = g * u$. Since g decays exponentially fast, it is clearly in \mathcal{L}_1 . Thus, since $u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ corollary 3.3.1 in I&S (ii) gives that

$$y \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t = 0. \quad \blacksquare \quad (24)$$

Problem 5

a)

Consider theorem 3.5.1 in I&S. Clearly, (i) holds, since all poles are clearly the left half plane. In this case the relative order is $n^* = 1$. We have

$$\Re[G(j\omega)] = \Re \left[\frac{j\omega + 5}{(j\omega)^2 + 5j\omega + 4} \right] \quad (25)$$

Then, we find

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow \infty} \omega^2 \Re \left[\frac{j\omega + 5}{-\omega^2 + 5j\omega + 4} \right] = -1 \quad (26)$$

and as such, G is not SPR. It is neither PR either since the residue at -4 is

$$\text{Res}(G, -4) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -4} (s + 4)G(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -4} \frac{s + 5}{s + 1} = -\frac{1}{3} \neq 0. \quad (27)$$

b)

This tf is not PR since it has a non-simple pole (of order 2) in $s = -2$.

c)

This time all poles are again in the left half plane, but we have a zero in the rhp. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[G(j\omega)] &= \Re \left[\frac{j\omega - 2}{(j\omega)^2 + 8j\omega + 15} \right] \\ &= \Re \left[\frac{j\omega - 2}{-\omega^2 + 8j\omega + 15} \right] \\ &= \Re \left[\frac{(j\omega - 2)(-\omega^2 + 15 - 8j\omega)}{(-\omega^2 + 8j\omega + 15)(-\omega^2 + 15 - 8j\omega)} \right] \\ &= \frac{8\omega^2 - 2\omega^2 - 30}{(-\omega^2 + 15)^2 + 64\omega^2} \\ &= \frac{6\omega^2 - 30}{\omega^4 + 34\omega^2 + 15^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Because

$$\operatorname{Res}(G, -3) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -3} (s+3)G(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -3} \frac{s-2}{s+5} = -\frac{5}{2} \neq 0 \quad (29)$$

this is not PR.

d)

This is, by corollary 3.5.1, not PR since $|n^*| = 2 > 1$.