CP2410 Assignment

Name: Sihan Chen

Student ID: <u>14187662</u>

Question 1

▼ Slow solution

Explanation:

First, we calculate and set an upper limit -- n factorial, which gurantees a smaller evenly divisible exist.

Then, we run a loop from $\mathbf{n+1}$ to $\mathbf{n!}$, and for each variable i, we will execute a second inner loop using variable j from $\mathbf{1}$ to \mathbf{n} , and calculates the reminder of i % j. And if all j pass the test, we know i is the smallest evenly divisible number.

```
×
```

```
def find_the_smallest_evenly_divisible_slow(n: int):
    factorial = 1
                                            # 0(1)
    for i in range(1, n+1):
                                            # 0(n)
        factorial *= i
                                            # 0(1)
    for i in range(n+1, factorial):
                                           # O(n! - n)
        isResult = True
                                            # 0(1)
        for j in range(1, n+1):
                                           # O(n)
            if i % j != 0:
                                           # 0(1)
                isResult = False
                                            # 0(1)
                break
        if isResult:
                                            # 0(1)
            return i
           \therefore In terms of time complexity, (n!-n) is still considered as n
                   \therefore total time cost is: O(n + (n! - n) \cdot n) \Rightarrow O(n^2)
```

Fast solution

```
def get_primes(n):
    result = [True] * (n + 1)
    # 0 and 1 are not prime number
    result[0] = result[1] = False
    for i in range(2, int(n^{**}(0.5))+1):
        if result[i]:
    # number i is a prime number, remove i**2+n*i
            for j in range(i**2, n+1, i):
                result[j] = False
    return [i for i in range(2, n+1) if result[i]]
def find_the_smallest_evenly_divisible_fast(n: int):
    primes = get_primes(n)
    print(primes)
    factors = {}
    for prime in primes:
        factors[prime] = 1
    squart_root = int(n**0.5)
    print(squart_root)
    i = 0
    while primes[i] <= squart root:</pre>
```

```
i += 1
    first_half_for_check = primes[:i]
    # find power for first half
    for num in first_half_for_check:
        power = 0
        while num**power < n:
            power += 1
        factors[num] = power - 1
   # for second half, th
   print(factors)
    result = 1
    for k, v in factors.items():
        result *= (k**v)
    return result
find_the_smallest_evenly_divisible_fast(10)
     [2, 3, 5, 7]
     {2: 3, 3: 2, 5: 1, 7: 1}
     2520
```

Explanation:

Time Complexity Analysis:

Question 2

Slow solution

Explanation:

Firstly, we need to know how to check if a number is a prime number.

Let's assume if n is not a prime, meaning

$$\exists n=a\cdot b$$
 $\Rightarrow orall n$,where $n=a\cdot b$ $\left\{egin{array}{l} a=b=\sqrt{n}\ (\exists a<\sqrt{n})\lor(\exists b<\sqrt{n}) \end{array}
ight.$

 \Rightarrow For all non-prime number n, one of its divisor must be smaller or equal to \sqrt{n} \Rightarrow if $n\%m \neq 0$ for m from 2 to \sqrt{n} (inclusive), then n is a prime number

And to find n'th prime, we will use a variable i with value 2 and increment by 1 for each while loop iteration. Then, we check whether i meets the critiria for a prime number, and store it inside list primes if i is a prime.

The while loop will stop when len(primes) reaches n. And at that point, the last element in primes is the n'th prime.

Time Complexity Analysis:

```
if isPrime = raise  # U(1)
if isPrime:  # O(1)
    primes.append(i)  # O(1)
i += 1  # O(1)
return primes[-1]
```

```
\therefore Total time cost: O(n \cdot \sqrt{n} + 6) \Rightarrow O(n^{1.5})
```

Fast solution

```
def find_nth_prime_fast(n):
    result = [True] * (n + 1)
    # 0 and 1 are not prime number
    result[0] = result[1] = False
    for i in range(2, int(n**(0.5))+1):
        if result[i]:
            # number i is a prime number, remove i**2+n*i
            for j in range(i**2, n+1, i):
                result[j] = False
    return [i for i in range(2, n+1) if result[i]]
```

Explanation:

To find n's prime number, first we need to know how to determine if a number is a prime number.

To do that, we will create a list called <code>result</code>, with n + 1 slots. Each element is representing the integer number equals to its index value, so in total we have integer from 0 to n(inclusive). Initially, all values are set as **true**. And we will turn the boolean value from true to false if we prove the number at that index is not a prime.

```
For example, [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, ...]:

[false, false, true, false, true, false, true, ...]
```

Since we know integer 0 and 1 are not prime numbers, we set result[0] and result[1] as false.

Let's assume if n is not a prime, meaning

$$\exists n=a\cdot b$$
 $\Rightarrow orall n$,where $n=a\cdot b$ $egin{cases} a=b=\sqrt{n}\ (\exists a<\sqrt{n})\lor(\exists b<\sqrt{n}) \end{cases}$

 \Rightarrow For all non-prime number n, one of its divisor must be smaller or equal to \sqrt{n} And from 2 to \sqrt{n} (inclusive)

Time Complexity Analysis:

Question 3

Slow solution

Explanation:

Here we start loop for a, b, c from 3, 4, 5 as 3, 4, 5 is the smallest Pythagorean triplet.

By defination, a Pythagorean triplet a, b, c means a < b < c and $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$. So to find such triplet, we will start looping with variable $\, c \,$ from 5 to n+1, since it is the biggest number.

For each c we run another loop with variable b from 4 to c, and do the same for varaible a from 3 to b. Then, we calculate if such a, b, c combination fits critiria, and return tuple (a, b, c) if they meet standard.

Time Complexity Analysis:

 \therefore The total time cost is: $O(n^3 + 2) \Rightarrow O(n^3)$

Fast solution

Explanation:

To optimize the previous function, we can apply the knowledge of trigonometry: if a, b, c are Pythagorean triplet, then c must be smaller than a + b. And this allow us to narrow down the search range of c to [5, n//2).

As for a and b, which one is bigger should not concern us, so we can assign either a or b for the second loop, and just caculate the other one using substitution, knowing a+b+c=n.

Lastly, the condition check remain the same.

Time Complexity Analysis:

```
def find_pythagorean_triplet_fast(n:int) -> tuple:
    for c in range(5, n//2):  # 0(n)
        for b in range(4, c):  # 0(n)
        a = n - c - b  # 0(1)
        if a**2 + b**2 == c**2 and a + b + c == n: # 0(2)
            return (a, b, c)
    return None
```

 \therefore Total time cost: $O(n^2)$

Colab paid products - Cancel contracts here

8 of 8