

Computational Optimization & Applications

Siju Swamy

2025-11-17

Table of contents

Course Vision & Context	5
The Optimization Revolution in Computational Sciences	5
Course Syllabus (48 Hours / 12 Weeks)	5
Course Overview	5
Course Objectives	5
Course Outcomes	6
Assessment Plan (70 Marks Internal)	7
12-Week Delivery Plan (4 Hours/Week)	7
Thematic Project: Campus City Supply Chain	9
Detailed Mark Distribution	10
Learning Outcomes Mapping	10
Grading Rubrics	11
Success Strategy	11
Weekly Preparation Guide	12
1 Introduction to Computational Optimization and Applications	13
1.1 Why Optimization Matters Now More Than Ever	13
1.2 Programme Objectives & Learning Outcomes	13
1.2.1 Core Educational Mission	13
1.2.2 The “Smart City Logistics” Experiential Thread	14
1.3 Course Roadmap & Syllabus Integration	15
1.3.1 Module Progression: From Foundations to Frontiers	15
1.3.2 Assessment Strategy: Theory Meets Practice	15
1.4 Optimization in the AI/ML Ecosystem	16
1.4.1 The Central Role in Machine Learning	16
1.4.2 Emerging Trends & Future Directions	16
1.5 Technical Ecosystem & Tools	16
1.5.1 Why Python for Optimization?	16
1.5.2 Core Toolchain	17
1.6 Getting Started: Your Learning Journey	17
1.6.1 Prerequisites & Preparation	17
1.6.2 How to Maximize Your Learning	17
1.6.3 Course Structure and Expectations	18
1.7 Welcome to the Journey	18

2	Module 1: Basics of Optimization and Linear Programming	19
2.1	Module Overview	19
2.1.1	Learning Objectives	19
2.1.2	Smart City Context: Warehouse Location Challenge	19
2.2	Foundations of Optimization	19
2.2.1	What is Optimization?	19
2.2.2	Optimization Problem Classification	20
2.2.3	Real-World Applications in CSE	20
2.3	Basic Python for Optimization	20
2.3.1	Essential Python Libraries Setup	20
2.3.2	Python Fundamentals for Optimization	21
2.4	Linear Programming Fundamentals	21
2.4.1	Mathematical Definition of Linear Programming	21
2.4.2	Standard Forms of Linear Programming	22
2.4.3	Key Concepts in Linear Programming	22
2.4.4	Smart City Case: Warehouse Location Formulation	23
2.4.5	Graphical Method for Two-Variable Problems	24
2.4.6	Simplex Method Theory	25
2.5	Types of Linear Programming Solutions	26
2.5.1	Unique Optimal Solution	26
2.5.2	Multiple Optimal Solutions (Alternative Optima)	27
2.5.3	Unbounded Solution	27
2.5.4	Infeasible Problem	27
2.6	Duality in Linear Programming	28
2.6.1	The “Mirror Image” of Optimization	28
2.6.2	Why do Computer Scientists care?	28
2.6.3	The Mathematical Transformation	28
2.6.4	Key Theorems	28
2.6.5	Sensitivity Analysis	29
2.6.6	Special Cases in Linear Programming	29
2.7	Linear Programming Problems — Graphical Method	30
2.8	Practical Implementation with Python	32
2.8.1	Mathematical Formulation	32
2.8.2	Complete Linear Programming Model	33
2.9	Linear Programming Problems Collection	34
2.9.1	Problem 1	34
2.9.2	Problem 2: Diet Problem	36
2.10	Micro-Project 1: Campus City Emergency Supply Distribution	38
2.10.1	Problem Statement	38
2.10.2	Facilities Data (From facilities.csv)	39
2.10.3	Warehouse Data (From warehouses.csv)	39
2.10.4	Transportation Costs (From transportation_costs.csv)	39
2.10.5	Financial Constraints	40

2.10.6 Physical & Business Constraints	40
2.10.7 Learning Objectives	40

Course Vision & Context

The Optimization Revolution in Computational Sciences

In an era dominated by Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Data Science, *optimization forms the fundamental backbone* that powers intelligent decision-making systems. From recommending your next movie to orchestrating global supply chains, from training deep neural networks to scheduling autonomous vehicles—optimization algorithms are the invisible engines driving technological progress.

This course positions you at the intersection of *mathematical theory* and *computational practice*, equipping you with both the conceptual understanding and hands-on skills to design, implement, and deploy optimization solutions for real-world challenges.

Course Syllabus (48 Hours / 12 Weeks)

Course Overview

Course Code: 20MAT382

Course Name: Computational Optimization and Applications

Duration: 12 Weeks (4 hours/week)

Credits: 4

Total Marks: 150 (Internal: 70 + External: 80)

Intensive Learning Approach

Accelerated project-based curriculum focusing on core optimization concepts with immediate practical application through integrated micro-projects.

Course Objectives

S.No	COURSE OBJECTIVES
1	To gain a comprehensive understanding of optimization concepts and their real-world relevance, emphasizing Python as a practical tool for optimization.
2	To develop proficiency in Python for optimization, including formulating and solving linear programming problems, implementing nonlinear optimization and analysing optimization solutions.
3	To acquire skills in project planning and optimization techniques using Python.
4	To master optimization techniques in the context of machine learning, including Gradient Descent, Stochastic Gradient Descent, and various optimization algorithms, all implemented in Python.
5	To apply optimization knowledge and Python skills to solve combinatorial and graph-based problems, while also considering the ethical aspects of optimization in engineering, logistics, and decision-making.

Course Outcomes

At the end of the course students will be able to:

CO Code	COURSE OUTCOMES	REVISED BLOOM'S TAXONOMY LEVEL
CO1	Demonstrate a thorough understanding of optimization concepts, problem types, and their real-world applications.	3
CO2	Formulate and solve linear programming problems using Python.	3
CO3	Implement nonlinear optimization algorithms, and analyse optimization solutions using Python.	2
CO4	Develop practical project planning skills and proficiency in applying heuristic algorithms to real-world scenarios.	2
CO5	Demonstrate mastery of optimization in Machine Learning, with the ability to apply Gradient Descent, Stochastic Gradient Descent.	3

Core Competencies

- Formulate real-world problems as mathematical optimization models

- Implement optimization algorithms in Python using industry tools
- Analyze and validate optimization solutions
- Develop end-to-end optimization systems for practical applications

Assessment Plan (70 Marks Internal)

Continuous Evaluation (70 Marks)

A. Theory Components (20 Marks)

- **Internal Exam 1:** 10 marks (Week 6)
- **Internal Exam 2:** 10 marks (Week 12)

B. Practical Components (50 Marks)

- **Assignments/Micro Projects:** 15 marks (3 projects \times 5 marks each)
- **Lab Exams:** 10 marks (2 exams \times 5 marks each)
- **Day-to-Day Lab Work:** 15 marks
- **Attendance:** 10 marks

External Examination (80 Marks)

- **End Semester Theory Exam:** 80 marks

12-Week Delivery Plan (4 Hours/Week)

Phase 1: Foundation & Linear Methods (Weeks 1-4)

Week 1: Optimization Fundamentals & Python Setup (4 hours)

- **Theory (2h):** Optimization concepts, problem classification, LP formulation
- **Lab (2h):** Python environment setup, PuLP introduction
- **Lab Work:** Basic LP implementation (1 mark)
- **Micro-Project 1 Launch:** Campus facility location problem

Week 2: Linear Programming & Solution Methods (4 hours)

- **Theory (2h):** Graphical method, Simplex algorithm
- **Lab (2h):** PuLP implementation, constraint handling
- **Lab Work:** Complex constraint implementation (1 mark)
- **Attendance:** Week 1-2 (2 marks)

Week 3: Advanced LP & Real Applications (4 hours)

- **Theory (1h):** Sensitivity analysis, duality
- **Lab (3h):** Transportation problems, case studies
- **Lab Work:** Transportation problem solution (1 mark)
- **Micro-Project 1 Due:** Submission (5 marks)

Week 4: Nonlinear Optimization Foundations (4 hours)

- **Theory (2h):** Unconstrained optimization, Golden Section
- **Lab (2h):** SciPy optimization, function minimization
- **Lab Work:** Nonlinear solver implementation (1 mark)
- **Attendance:** Week 3-4 (2 marks)

Phase 2: Constrained & Combinatorial Methods (Weeks 5-8)

Week 5: Constrained Optimization (4 hours)

- **Theory (2h):** KKT conditions, constraint handling
- **Lab (2h):** Constrained NLP implementation
- **Lab Work:** KKT condition implementation (1 mark)
- **Micro-Project 2 Launch:** Nonlinear cost optimization
- **Lab Exam 1:** Basic LP/NLP implementation (5 marks)

Week 6: Project Planning & Heuristics (4 hours)

- **Theory (1h):** CPM/PERT fundamentals
- **Lab (3h):** Project scheduling, greedy algorithms
- **Internal Exam 1:** Theory assessment (10 marks)
- **Lab Work:** Project scheduling implementation (1 mark)

Week 7: Graph Algorithms I (4 hours)

- **Theory (1h):** Graph theory, shortest path concepts
- **Lab (3h):** NetworkX implementation, Dijkstra's algorithm
- **Lab Work:** Shortest path implementation (1 mark)
- **Micro-Project 2 Due:** Submission (5 marks)
- **Attendance:** Week 5-7 (2 marks)

Week 8: Graph Algorithms II (4 hours)

- **Theory (1h):** MST, network flows, TSP overview
- **Lab (3h):** Advanced graph algorithms
- **Lab Work:** MST implementation (1 mark)
- **Micro-Project 3 Launch:** Routing optimization

Phase 3: Advanced Applications & Integration (Weeks 9-12)

Week 9: Machine Learning Optimization I (4 hours)

- **Theory (2h):** Gradient Descent, SGD, optimization in ML
- **Lab (2h):** Basic GD implementation
- **Lab Work:** Gradient descent implementation (1 mark)
- **Attendance:** Week 8-9 (2 marks)

Week 10: Machine Learning Optimization II (4 hours)

- **Theory (1h):** Advanced optimizers, neural networks
- **Lab (3h):** TensorFlow/PyTorch optimization
- **Lab Work:** Advanced optimizer implementation (1 mark)
- **Lab Exam 2:** Graph and ML optimization (5 marks)

Week 11: Integrated Applications (4 hours)

- **Workshop (4h):** Comprehensive system implementation
- **Lab Work:** Integrated system development (2 marks)
- **Micro-Project 3 Due:** Submission (5 marks)

Week 12: Review & Final Assessment (4 hours)

- **Internal Exam 2:** Theory assessment (10 marks)
- **Course Review:** Comprehensive concepts revision
- **Lab Work:** Final implementation polish (1 mark)
- **Attendance:** Week 10-12 (2 marks)

Thematic Project: Campus City Supply Chain

Micro-Projects (15 Marks Total)

Micro-Project 1: Basic LP Implementation (5 marks)

- **Timeline:** Week 1-3
- **Scope:** 6 facilities, 3 warehouses, linear costs
- **Assessment:** Model correctness (2), Code quality (2), Documentation (1)

Micro-Project 2: Nonlinear Optimization (5 marks)

- **Timeline:** Week 4-7
- **Scope:** Enhanced cost models, KKT conditions
- **Assessment:** Algorithm implementation (2), Analysis (2), Validation (1)

Micro-Project 3: Graph & Network Optimization (5 marks)

- **Timeline:** Week 8-11
- **Scope:** Routing, shortest paths, resource allocation
- **Assessment:** System design (2), Performance (2), Documentation (1)

Detailed Mark Distribution

Day-to-Day Lab Work (15 Marks)

- **Weekly Implementation Tasks:** 12 marks (1 mark \times 12 weeks)
- **Integrated System Development:** 3 marks (Week 11)

Attendance (10 Marks)

- **Weekly Attendance:** 2 marks per 3-week block
- **Full Attendance Bonus:** 2 marks for 100% attendance

Lab Exams (10 Marks)

- **Lab Exam 1** (Week 5): Basic LP/NLP implementation (5 marks)
- **Lab Exam 2** (Week 10): Graph and ML optimization (5 marks)

Internal Exams (20 Marks)

- **Internal Exam 1** (Week 6): Modules 1-2 theory (10 marks)
- **Internal Exam 2** (Week 11): Modules 3-4 theory (10 marks)

Learning Outcomes Mapping

Theory Outcomes (Internal Exams + External)

- Formulate optimization problems mathematically
- Understand algorithm properties and convergence
- Analyze problem structures and solution methods

Practical Outcomes (Lab Work + Projects)

- Implement optimization algorithms in Python
- Develop end-to-end optimization systems
- Validate and analyze optimization results
- Create professional documentation and visualizations

Grading Rubrics

Micro-Projects (5 marks each)

- **Excellent (5):** Flawless implementation with advanced features
- **Very Good (4):** Correct implementation with good documentation
- **Good (3):** Basic functionality with minor issues
- **Satisfactory (2):** Meets minimum requirements
- **Poor (1):** Significant functionality missing

Lab Work (Weekly 1 mark)

- **Complete (1):** Task fully implemented and demonstrated
- **Partial (0.5):** Basic implementation with issues
- **Incomplete (0):** Task not attempted or completely non-functional

Lab Exams (5 marks each)

- **Algorithm Implementation:** 2 marks
- **Problem Solving:** 2 marks
- **Code Quality:** 1 mark

Success Strategy

Maximizing Internal Marks

- **Consistent Attendance:** 10 marks easily achievable
- **Regular Lab Work:** 15 marks through weekly completion
- **Quality Projects:** 15 marks with careful implementation
- **Lab Exam Preparation:** 10 marks with practice
- **Internal Exam Focus:** 20 marks through concept mastery

External Exam Preparation (80 Marks)

- Comprehensive theory coverage from all modules
- Problem-solving practice with various optimization types
- Mathematical formulation skills
- Algorithm analysis and comparison

Weekly Preparation Guide

Before Each Week

- Review weekly objectives and deliverables
- Prepare development environment
- Read theoretical concepts in advance

During Each Week

- Attend all sessions (critical for attendance marks)
- Complete lab work during sessions
- Start micro-projects early
- Seek clarification immediately

After Each Week

- Submit all lab work promptly
- Review concepts for internal exams
- Prepare for upcoming assessments
- Maintain code repository

This assessment-focused syllabus ensures students can maximize their 70 internal marks through consistent performance while preparing comprehensively for the 80-mark external examination. The structured approach balances theoretical understanding with practical implementation skills.

1 Introduction to Computational Optimization and Applications

Welcome to Computational Optimization & Applications
Where Mathematics Meets Computational Intelligence
Bridging Theory and Practice in the AI Revolution

1.1 Why Optimization Matters Now More Than Ever

The exponential growth in data complexity and computational requirements has transformed optimization from a theoretical discipline to an essential toolkit for every computer scientist and data professional. Consider these real-world contexts:

- **AI Systems:** Training neural networks is essentially an optimization process (Gradient Descent)
- **Operations Research:** Logistics, scheduling, and resource allocation drive billion-dollar efficiencies
- **Data Science:** Model selection, hyperparameter tuning, and feature engineering are optimization problems
- **Autonomous Systems:** Path planning, control systems, and decision-making rely on optimization algorithms
- **Quantum Computing:** Many quantum algorithms are designed to solve optimization problems more efficiently

1.2 Programme Objectives & Learning Outcomes

1.2.1 Core Educational Mission

This minor programme is designed to bridge the critical gap between theoretical optimization mathematics and practical computational implementation. Upon successful completion, you will be able to:

Domain	Learning Outcomes
Theoretical Foundation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formulate real-world problems as mathematical optimization models • Understand optimality conditions and convergence properties • Analyze problem structures to select appropriate solution methods
Computational Skills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement classical and modern optimization algorithms in Python • Utilize industry-standard optimization libraries and frameworks • Develop end-to-end optimization pipelines for practical applications
AI/ML Integration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understand optimization’s role in training machine learning models • Implement gradient-based methods for neural network optimization • Apply optimization to hyperparameter tuning and model selection
Problem-Solving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design optimization solutions for complex, multi-objective problems • Evaluate solution quality and algorithm performance • Communicate optimization insights to technical and non-technical stakeholders

1.2.2 The “Smart City Logistics” Experiential Thread

Throughout this course, we’ll employ a *continuous practical thread*: optimizing logistics and operations for a smart city ecosystem. This narrative provides:

- **Real-world context** for theoretical concepts
- **Progressive complexity** as we advance through modules
- **Portfolio-building** implementation experience
- **Industry-relevant** problem-solving skills

Smart City Optimization Journey:

- **Module 1:** Warehouse Location (Linear Programming)
- **Module 2:** Fuel Cost Optimization (Nonlinear Programming)
- **Module 3:** Delivery Routing (Graph Algorithms)
- **Module 4:** Dynamic Scheduling (Heuristic Methods)
- **Module 5:** Demand Prediction (ML Integration)

1.3 Course Roadmap & Syllabus Integration

1.3.1 Module Progression: From Foundations to Frontiers

Our journey through computational optimization is strategically sequenced to build from fundamental principles to advanced applications:

1.3.1.1 Foundation Phase: Mathematical Underpinnings

- **Module I:** Linear Programming & Formulation Skills
- **Module II:** Nonlinear Optimization & Constraint Handling

1.3.1.2 Advanced Phase: Algorithmic Thinking

- **Module III:** Project Planning & Heuristic Methods
- **Module IV:** Combinatorial & Graph Optimization

1.3.1.3 Integration Phase: AI/ML Applications

- **Module V:** Gradient Methods & Machine Learning Optimization

1.3.2 Assessment Strategy: Theory Meets Practice

To ensure comprehensive understanding and skill development, assessment integrates both theoretical knowledge and practical implementation:

- **Series Examinations:** Test conceptual understanding and problem formulation
- **Practical Assignments/ Micro Project:** Evaluate implementation skills and computational thinking
- **Final Project:** Assess integrated problem-solving and solution design
- **Continuous Evaluation:** Monitor progress through micro-projects and code reviews

1.4 Optimization in the AI/ML Ecosystem

1.4.1 The Central Role in Machine Learning

Optimization isn't just adjacent to machine learning—it **is machine learning**. The entire process of training machine learning models revolves around optimization principles:

- **Loss Minimization:** Finding model parameters that minimize prediction error
- **Convergence Analysis:** Understanding when and how algorithms reach optimal solutions
- **Regularization:** Balancing model complexity with performance through constrained optimization
- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Optimizing the optimization process itself

1.4.2 Emerging Trends & Future Directions

The field of optimization is rapidly evolving, driven by advances in:

- **Large-Scale Optimization:** Methods for billion-parameter models in deep learning
- **Automated Optimization:** AutoML and neural architecture search
- **Quantum Optimization:** Quantum annealing and hybrid quantum-classical algorithms
- **Federated Optimization:** Privacy-preserving distributed learning
- **Multi-Objective Optimization:** Pareto optimization for conflicting objectives
- **Explainable Optimization:** Interpretable and transparent optimization processes

1.5 Technical Ecosystem & Tools

1.5.1 Why Python for Optimization?

Python has emerged as the **lingua franca** for computational optimization due to:

- **Rich Ecosystem:** Comprehensive libraries for every optimization paradigm
- **AI/ML Integration:** Seamless connection with machine learning frameworks
- **Performance:** C/Fortran-backed numerical computing with Python simplicity
- **Community:** Vibrant ecosystem with continuous algorithm development
- **Industry Adoption:** Widely used in both academia and industry

1.5.2 Core Toolchain

Throughout this course, we'll work with industry-standard tools:

Our Computational Optimization Stack:

Category	Tools
Numerical Computing	NumPy, SciPy
Linear Programming	PuLP, CVXPY
Machine Learning	Scikit-learn, TensorFlow, PyTorch
Graph Algorithms	NetworkX
Visualization	Matplotlib, Plotly, Seaborn
Development	Jupyter, VSCode, Git

1.6 Getting Started: Your Learning Journey

1.6.1 Prerequisites & Preparation

To succeed in this course, you should have:

- **Programming Fundamentals:** Basic Python proficiency
- **Mathematical Background:** Linear algebra and calculus foundations
- **Computational Mindset:** Willingness to experiment and debug
- **Problem-Solving Attitude:** Persistence through challenging concepts
- **Curiosity and Creativity:** Interest in exploring multiple solution approaches

1.6.2 How to Maximize Your Learning

1. **Engage Actively:** Don't just read—implement every concept in code
2. **Think Critically:** Question why certain methods work better for specific problems
3. **Experiment Freely:** Modify parameters, break code, and learn from failures
4. **Connect Concepts:** Relate theoretical principles to practical implementations
5. **Build Portfolio:** Document your work for future career opportunities
6. **Collaborate Effectively:** Learn from peers through code reviews and discussions
7. **Stay Updated:** Follow recent developments in optimization research

1.6.3 Course Structure and Expectations

This course is designed as a **blended learning experience** combining:

- **Theoretical Foundations:** Mathematical principles and algorithm concepts
- **Practical Implementation:** Hands-on coding exercises and projects
- **Real-World Applications:** Industry-relevant case studies and problems
- **Assessment and Feedback:** Continuous evaluation and improvement

1.7 Welcome to the Journey

You are beginning a journey into one of the most fundamental and powerful domains of computer science and artificial intelligence. The skills you develop here will serve as a foundation for advanced work in machine learning, operations research, data science, and algorithmic design.

As we progress through the modules, remember that each concept builds toward a comprehensive understanding of how to make computers not just compute, but **optimize**—transforming them from calculators into intelligent decision-makers.

The journey through computational optimization is challenging but immensely rewarding. You'll gain not just technical skills, but a new way of thinking about problem-solving that will serve you throughout your career in technology.

“Optimization is the science of better. In a world of limited resources and unlimited wants, optimization provides the mathematical foundation for making the best possible decisions.”

2 Module 1: Basics of Optimization and Linear Programming

2.1 Module Overview

2.1.1 Learning Objectives

- Understand fundamental optimization concepts and problem classification
- Formulate real-world problems as Linear Programming models
- Solve LP problems using graphical and computational methods
- Implement LP solutions in Python for practical applications
- Apply optimization thinking to facility location problems

2.1.2 Smart City Context: Warehouse Location Challenge

In our Smart City Logistics project, we face a critical business decision: *where to locate new distribution warehouses* to minimize transportation costs while serving all city facilities efficiently. This module provides the mathematical foundation to solve this strategic problem.

2.2 Foundations of Optimization

2.2.1 What is Optimization?

Optimization is the science of finding the *best possible solution* from all feasible alternatives under given constraints. In computational terms, it involves:

- **Decision Variables:** Quantities we can control (e.g., warehouse locations, shipment quantities)
- **Objective Function:** What we want to maximize or minimize (e.g., total transportation cost)
- **Constraints:** Limitations and requirements (e.g., budget, capacity, demand)

2.2.2 Optimization Problem Classification

Problem Type	Characteristics	Smart City Example
Linear Programming	Linear objective and constraints	Warehouse location with fixed costs
Nonlinear Programming	Nonlinear relationships	Fuel costs that increase with distance
Constrained Optimization	With limitations	Budget constraints on construction
Unconstrained Optimization	No limitations	Theoretical ideal locations
Discrete Optimization	Integer decisions	Yes/no decisions for locations
Continuous Optimization	Real-valued decisions	Precise coordinates for facilities

2.2.3 Real-World Applications in CSE

- **Resource Allocation:** CPU time, memory allocation in operating systems
- **Network Optimization:** Internet routing, data flow optimization
- **Machine Learning:** Model training via loss function minimization
- **Database Systems:** Query optimization and indexing
- **Computer Graphics:** Rendering optimization and path tracing

2.3 Basic Python for Optimization

2.3.1 Essential Python Libraries Setup

```
# Core optimization stack installation
# pip install numpy scipy matplotlib pulp pandas jupyter
```

💡 Key Python Libraries Required for Computational Part

- **NumPy:** Numerical computing and array operations
- **SciPy:** Scientific computing and optimization algorithms
- **Matplotlib:** Data visualization and result plotting

- PuLP: Linear programming interface
- Pandas: Data manipulation and analysis

2.3.2 Python Fundamentals for Optimization

```
# Essential operations we'll use frequently
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Array operations for constraint matrices
coefficients = np.array([[2, 1], [1, 3], [4, 2]])

# Function definitions for objectives
def transportation_cost(warehouses, facilities):
    return np.sum(warehouses * facilities)

# Data handling for problem parameters
demand_data = {'Hospital': 50, 'School': 30, 'Mall': 80}
```

2.4 Linear Programming Fundamentals

2.4.1 Mathematical Definition of Linear Programming

A Linear Programming (LP) problem can be formally defined as:

Objective Function:

$$\text{Optimize } Z = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \cdots + c_nx_n$$

Subject to Constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n &\leq b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n &\leq b_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n &\leq b_m \end{aligned}$$

Non-negativity Conditions:

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

Where:

- Z is the objective function to be optimized (maximized or minimized)
- x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are the decision variables
- c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are the coefficients of the objective function
- a_{ij} are the technological coefficients
- b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m are the right-hand side constants

2.4.2 Standard Forms of Linear Programming

2.4.2.1 Canonical Form (Maximization)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize } Z &= \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{Subject to } \mathbf{Ax} &\leq \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{x} &\geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

2.4.2.2 Standard Form (Maximization)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize } Z &= \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{Subject to } \mathbf{Ax} &= \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{x} &\geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

2.4.3 Key Concepts in Linear Programming

2.4.3.1 Feasible Region

The set of all points that satisfy all constraints simultaneously:

$$F = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$$

2.4.3.2 Optimal Solution

A point \mathbf{x}^* in the feasible region that gives the best value of the objective function.

2.4.3.3 Corner Point (Extreme Point)

A point in the feasible region that cannot be expressed as a convex combination of two other distinct points in the region.

2.4.4 Smart City Case: Warehouse Location Formulation

2.4.4.1 Problem Statement

We need to choose locations for 2 warehouses from 4 potential sites to serve 3 major facilities while minimizing total costs.

2.4.4.2 Decision Variables

Let: - x_{ij} : Amount shipped from warehouse i to facility j - y_i : Binary variable (1 if warehouse i is built, 0 otherwise)

Where: - $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (warehouse sites) - $j = 1, 2, 3$ (facilities: Hospital, Mall, School)

2.4.4.3 Objective Function

Minimize total cost:

$$\text{Minimize } Z = \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^3 c_{ij}x_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^4 f_i y_i$$

Where: - c_{ij} : Transportation cost per unit from warehouse i to facility j - f_i : Fixed construction cost for warehouse i

2.4.4.4 Constraints

Demand Constraints (Each facility's demand must be met):

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 x_{ij} = d_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3$$

Where d_j is the demand of facility j .

Capacity Constraints (Warehouse capacity cannot be exceeded):

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 x_{ij} \leq C_i y_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

Where C_i is the capacity of warehouse i .

Budget Constraint:

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 f_i y_i \leq B$$

Where B is the total budget available.

Warehouse Selection Constraint (Select exactly 2 warehouses):

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 y_i = 2$$

Non-negativity and Binary Requirements:

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for all } i$$

2.4.5 Graphical Method for Two-Variable Problems

2.4.5.1 Step-by-Step Procedure

1. **Plot Constraints:** Convert each inequality to equality and plot the line
2. **Identify Feasible Region:** Determine which side of each line satisfies the inequality
3. **Find Corner Points:** Identify intersection points of constraint boundaries
4. **Evaluate Objective Function:** Calculate objective value at each corner point
5. **Identify Optimal Solution:** Select the corner point with best objective value

2.4.5.2 Example: Simple Warehouse Problem

Consider a simplified version with 2 warehouses and 1 facility:

Problem:

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 40x_1 + 30x_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 100$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 90$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$$

Step 1: Plot Constraints - Constraint 1: $2x_1 + x_2 = 100 \rightarrow$ Line through (0,100) and (50,0)
- Constraint 2: $x_1 + 3x_2 = 90 \rightarrow$ Line through (0,30) and (90,0)

Step 2: Identify Corner Points - Intersection of axes: (0,0), (0,30), (50,0) - Intersection of constraints: Solve:

$$2x_1 + x_2 = 100$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 = 90$$

Solution: $x_1 = 42, x_2 = 16$

Step 3: Evaluate Objective Function

- At (0,0): $Z = 0$
- At (0,30): $Z = 900$
- At (50,0): $Z = 2000$
- At (42,16): $Z = 40 \times 42 + 30 \times 16 = 2160$

Optimal Solution: $x_1 = 42, x_2 = 16$ with $Z = 2160$

2.4.6 Simplex Method Theory

2.4.6.1 Fundamental Theorem of Linear Programming

If an LP problem has an optimal solution, then there exists at least one corner point of the feasible region that is optimal.

2.4.6.2 Simplex Algorithm Steps

1. Convert to Standard Form

- Convert inequalities to equations using slack variables
- Ensure all variables are non-negative
- Convert minimization to maximization if needed

2. Initial Basic Feasible Solution

- Identify basic variables (variables with coefficient 1 in one equation and 0 in others)
- Set non-basic variables to zero

3. Optimality Test

- Calculate reduced costs for non-basic variables
- If all reduced costs ≤ 0 (for maximization), current solution is optimal

4. Pivot Column Selection

- Choose non-basic variable with most positive reduced cost (for maximization)

5. Pivot Row Selection

- Use minimum ratio test: $\min \left\{ \frac{b_i}{a_{ij}} : a_{ij} > 0 \right\}$

6. Pivot Operation

- Make pivot element equal to 1
- Make other elements in pivot column equal to 0

7. **Repeat** until optimality condition is satisfied

2.4.6.3 Simplex Tableau Format

The simplex method uses a tableau to organize calculations:

Basic Var	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	RHS
s_1	2	1	1	0	100
s_2	1	3	0	1	90
Z	-40	-30	0	0	0

Where s_1, s_2 are slack variables.

2.5 Types of Linear Programming Solutions

When we feed a problem into a solver (like the Simplex algorithm), we usually expect a single, perfect answer. But algorithms are strict logicians. If we ask for the impossible or the infinite, they will tell us exactly that. In Linear Programming, there are four distinct outcomes.

2.5.1 Unique Optimal Solution

The “Ideal” Scenario

This is the standard result. Geometrically, the feasible region is a polygon, and the Objective Function (the “slope” of profit or cost) cuts through the region such that it touches exactly **one** corner point (vertex) last.

- **Mathematical Context:** The slope of the objective function does *not* match the slope of any binding constraint.
- **Engineering Implication:** There is exactly one best way to allocate your resources. Any deviation from this plan results in lower profit or higher cost.

2.5.2 Multiple Optimal Solutions (Alternative Optima)

The “Flexibility” Scenario

Sometimes, you might get a result where the solver says: *“You can choose Point A ($x = 2, y = 5$) or Point B ($x = 4, y = 3$), and the profit is exactly the same.”*

- **Why this happens:** The slope of your Objective Function is **parallel** to one of the binding constraint lines. Instead of touching a single corner, the objective function lies flat against an entire edge of the feasible region.
- **Engineering Implication:** This is actually great news for a designer! It means you have **flexibility**. Mathematically, the cost is the same, but maybe Plan A is politically easier to implement than Plan B. You can choose based on secondary factors (like aesthetics or risk) without losing optimality.

2.5.3 Unbounded Solution

The “Too Good to Be True” Scenario

Imagine writing a program to maximize profit, and the output is **Infinity**. While exciting, this is invariably a **modeling error**.

- **Why this happens:** The feasible region is not closed (it extends infinitely in one direction), and you are trying to maximize in that direction. You have forgotten a constraint.
- **Real-world Example:** “Maximize production.” If you don’t add a constraint for “Available Raw Materials” or “Factory Capacity,” the math assumes you can produce infinite units.
- **Mathematical Check:** In the Simplex tableau, this occurs when a variable can enter the basis, but no variable leaves (no positive ratio in the pivot test).

2.5.4 Infeasible Problem

The “Impossible” Scenario

This is the most common frustration in real-world engineering. You hit “Run,” and the solver returns an error.

- **Why this happens:** The constraints are contradictory. There is no overlap in the shaded regions. The Feasible Region is empty ($F = \emptyset$).
- **Real-world Example:** “Build a warehouse that is within 5km of the city center ($x \leq 5$) AND at least 10km away from residential zones ($x \geq 10$).” It is mathematically impossible to satisfy both.
- **Debugging:** You must relax (loosen) one or more constraints to find a solution.

2.6 Duality in Linear Programming

2.6.1 The “Mirror Image” of Optimization

Every Linear Programming problem (which we call the **Primal**) has a ghostly twin brother called the **Dual**.

If the **Primal** problem asks: “*How do I mix these ingredients to make the most profit?*” The **Dual** problem asks: “*How much are these ingredients actually worth to me?*”

2.6.2 Why do Computer Scientists care?

1. **Computational Efficiency:** Sometimes the Primal problem has 100,000 constraints and is slow to solve. The Dual might have only 100 constraints and is much faster. Solving the Dual gives you the exact same optimal value (Z^*) as the Primal.
2. **Shadow Prices (Economic Interpretation):** The solution values of the Dual variables (y_1, y_2, \dots) tell you the **Shadow Price** of your resources.
 - *Insight:* If the shadow price of “Machine Hours” is \$50, it means acquiring **one extra hour** of machine time will increase your total profit by exactly \$50. This tells managers where to invest!

2.6.3 The Mathematical Transformation

We convert a Primal to a Dual by flipping the matrix: * **Maximization** becomes **Minimization**. * **Right-Hand Side** (b) constants of Primal become **Objective Coefficients** (c) of Dual. * **Constraint Matrix** (A) is transposed (A^T).

2.6.4 Key Theorems

- **Weak Duality Theorem:** The value of *any* feasible solution to the Maximization problem is always \leq the value of *any* feasible solution to the Minimization problem. They bound each other.
- **Strong Duality Theorem:** If the Primal has an optimal solution, the Dual has an optimal solution, and **their Objective Values are equal** ($Z_{\text{primal}} = W_{\text{dual}}$).

Every LP problem (primal) has a corresponding dual problem:

Primal Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Maximize } Z = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ &\text{Subject to } \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b} \\ &\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Dual Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Minimize } W = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ &\text{Subject to } \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \\ &\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

2.6.4.1 Duality Theorems

1. **Weak Duality Theorem:** For any feasible solution \mathbf{x} of primal and \mathbf{y} of dual:

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$$

2. **Strong Duality Theorem:** If either primal or dual has an optimal solution, then both have optimal solutions and:

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^*$$

2.6.5 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis examines how changes in parameters affect the optimal solution:

2.6.5.1 Changes in Objective Function Coefficients

- Range of optimality for each coefficient
- Effect on optimal solution when coefficients change

2.6.5.2 Changes in Right-Hand Side Constants

- Shadow prices (dual variables)
- Range of feasibility for each constraint

2.6.5.3 Changes in Constraint Coefficients

- Effect of adding new variables or constraints
- Impact of changing technological coefficients

2.6.6 Special Cases in Linear Programming

2.6.6.1 Transportation Problems

Special structure where sources supply destinations with minimum transportation cost.

2.6.6.2 Assignment Problems

Special case of transportation problem where each source is assigned to exactly one destination.

2.6.6.3 Network Flow Problems

Optimization problems defined on networks with flow conservation constraints.

2.7 Linear Programming Problems — Graphical Method

Below are **five LPP problems** designed to be solved using the **graphical method**. For each problem:

1. Draw each constraint as a straight line in the x_1 - x_2 plane.
2. Identify the feasible region (including $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$).
3. Find all corner (vertex) points of the feasible region.
4. Evaluate the objective Z at each corner to determine the optimum.
5. State the optimal solution and the optimal value Z^* .

Problem 1 — Simple maximization

Maximize

$$Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$$

Subject to

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 4,$$

$$x_1 \leq 3,$$

$$x_2 \leq 2,$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

Problem 2 — Two binding inequalities

Maximize

$$Z = 5x_1 + 4x_2$$

Subject to

$$2x_1 + x_2 \leq 8,$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 8,$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

Problem 3 — Minimization with intersection corner

Minimize

$$Z = 4x_1 + 6x_2$$

Subject to

$$x_1 + x_2 \geq 4,$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 \geq 6,$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

Problem 4 — Resource allocation (mix of \leq and \geq)

A factory makes two products P_1 and P_2 with profits \$7 and \$5 respectively.

Maximize profit

$$Z = 7x_1 + 5x_2$$

Subject to resource limits

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 18 \quad (\text{machine-hours}),$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 4 \quad (\text{minimum production constraint}),$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

Problem 5 — Problem with a redundant constraint

Maximize

$$Z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$

Subject to

$$x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 12,$$

$$2x_1 + 8x_2 \leq 24,$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 5,$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$$

2.8 Practical Implementation with Python

Problem Statement

A Smart City logistics company needs to determine the optimal distribution strategy between two warehouses (Warehouse A and Warehouse B) to minimize total operational costs. The company must decide how many units to ship from each warehouse while considering capacity constraints and transportation costs.

2.8.0.1 Problem Data:

- **Warehouse A:** Transportation cost = \$40 per unit
- **Warehouse B:** Transportation cost = \$30 per unit
- **Constraint 1:** Minimum demand exceeds 60
- **Constraint 2:** Warehouse A has a maximum capacity of 50
- **Constraint 3:** Warehouse B has a maximum capacity of 40
- **Constraint 4:** Total units from both warehouses cannot exceed 200 (2 units from A + 3 unit from B combination)
- Both warehouses have non-negative shipment quantities

2.8.1 Mathematical Formulation

2.8.1.1 Decision Variables

Let: - \$ x_1 \$: Number of units to ship from Warehouse A - \$ x_2 \$: Number of units to ship from Warehouse B

2.8.1.2 Objective Function

Minimize the total transportation cost:

$$\text{Minimize } Z = 40x_1 + 30x_2$$

2.8.2 Complete Linear Programming Model

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize } Z &= 40x_1 + 30x_2 \\ \text{Subject to } x_1 + x_2 &\geq 60 \\ x_1 &\leq 50 \\ x_2 &\leq 40 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 &\leq 200 \\ x_1 &\geq 0 \\ x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using PuLP for LP Problems

PuLP provides a high-level interface for formulating and solving optimization problems:

```
import pulp

# Create optimization problem
model = pulp.LpProblem("Warehouse_Location", pulp.LpMinimize)

# Define decision variables
x1 = pulp.LpVariable('Warehouse_A', lowBound=0, cat='Continuous')
x2 = pulp.LpVariable('Warehouse_B', lowBound=0, cat='Continuous')

# Define objective function
model += 40*x1 + 30*x2, "Total_Cost"

# Add realistic constraints
model += x1 + x2 >= 60, "Minimum_Demand"
model += x1 <= 50, "Warehouse_A_Capacity" # Warehouse A max capacity
model += x2 <= 40, "Warehouse_B_Capacity" # Warehouse B max capacity
model += 2*x1 + 3*x2 <= 200, "Budget_Constraint" # Combined resource constraint

# Solve the problem
model.solve()

# Print results
print(f"Status: {pulp.LpStatus[model.status]}")
print(f"Optimal Cost: ${pulp.value(model.objective):.2f}")
print(f"Warehouse A: {x1.varValue} units")
print(f"Warehouse B: {x2.varValue} units")
```

Status: Optimal

Optimal Cost: \$2000.00
Warehouse A: 20.0 units
Warehouse B: 40.0 units

2.9 Linear Programming Problems Collection

2.9.1 Problem 1

A manufacturing company produces two products (A and B) using three machines (M1, M2, M3). The profit per unit is \$50 for product A and \$40 for product B. Machine time requirements and availability are given below. Determine the optimal production quantities to maximize profit.

Machine	Product A (hrs/unit)	Product B (hrs/unit)	Available Hours
M1	2	1	100
M2	1	2	80
M3	1	1	60

Mathematical Formulation

Decision Variables:

- x_1 : Units of Product A to produce
- x_2 : Units of Product B to produce

Objective Function:

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 50x_1 + 40x_2$$

Constraints:

$$2x_1 + x_2 \leq 100 \quad (\text{Machine M1})$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 80 \quad (\text{Machine M2})$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 60 \quad (\text{Machine M3})$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Python Solution

```

import pulp

# Create the optimization problem
model = pulp.LpProblem("Product_Mix_Optimization", pulp.LpMaximize)

# Decision variables
x1 = pulp.LpVariable('Product_A', lowBound=0, cat='Continuous')
x2 = pulp.LpVariable('Product_B', lowBound=0, cat='Continuous')

# Objective function
model += 50*x1 + 40*x2, "Total_Profit"

# Constraints
model += 2*x1 + x2 <= 100, "Machine_M1"
model += x1 + 2*x2 <= 80, "Machine_M2"
model += x1 + x2 <= 60, "Machine_M3"

# Solve
model.solve()

# Results
print("=== PRODUCT MIX OPTIMIZATION ===")
print(f"Status: {pulp.LpStatus[model.status]}")
print(f"Optimal Profit: ${pulp.value(model.objective):.2f}")
print(f"Product A: {x1.varValue} units")
print(f"Product B: {x2.varValue} units")
print(f"Machine M1 usage: {2*x1.varValue + x2.varValue}/100 hours")
print(f"Machine M2 usage: {x1.varValue + 2*x2.varValue}/80 hours")
print(f"Machine M3 usage: {x1.varValue + x2.varValue}/60 hours")

```

```

=== PRODUCT MIX OPTIMIZATION ===
Status: Optimal
Optimal Profit: $2800.00
Product A: 40.0 units
Product B: 20.0 units
Machine M1 usage: 100.0/100 hours
Machine M2 usage: 80.0/80 hours
Machine M3 usage: 60.0/60 hours

```

2.9.2 Problem 2: Diet Problem

A nutritionist needs to design a minimum-cost diet that meets daily nutritional requirements. Two foods are available with different nutrient contents and costs. Determine the optimal food quantities.

Nutrient	Food 1 (units/kg)	Food 2 (units/kg)	Minimum Daily Requirement
Protein	2	1	8 units
Carbs	1	2	10 units
Fat	1	1	6 units
Cost (\$)	3	2	-

Mathematical Formulation

Decision Variables:

- x_1 : kg of Food 1 to include in daily diet
- x_2 : kg of Food 2 to include in daily diet

Objective Function:

Minimize the total daily cost:

$$\text{Minimize } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$$

Constraints:

Protein Requirement:

$$2x_1 + x_2 \geq 8$$

Carbohydrates Requirement:

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 10$$

Fat Requirement:

$$x_1 + x_2 \geq 6$$

Non-negativity Constraints:

$$x_1 \geq 0, \quad x_2 \geq 0$$

Complete Linear Programming Model

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Minimize } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2 \\
&\text{Subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \geq 8 \\
&\quad x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 10 \\
&\quad x_1 + x_2 \geq 6 \\
&\quad x_1 \geq 0 \\
&\quad x_2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Python Implementation

```

import pulp

# Create the optimization problem
model = pulp.LpProblem("Diet_Problem", pulp.LpMinimize)

# Define decision variables
x1 = pulp.LpVariable('Food_1', lowBound=0, cat='Continuous')
x2 = pulp.LpVariable('Food_2', lowBound=0, cat='Continuous')

# Define objective function (minimize cost)
model += 3*x1 + 2*x2, "Total_Cost"

# Add nutritional constraints
model += 2*x1 + x2 >= 8, "Protein_Requirement"
model += x1 + 2*x2 >= 10, "Carbohydrates_Requirement"
model += x1 + x2 >= 6, "Fat_Requirement"

# Solve the problem
model.solve()

# Display results
print("=== DIET PROBLEM OPTIMIZATION ===")
print(f"Solution Status: {pulp.LpStatus[model.status]}")
print(f"Minimum Daily Cost: ${pulp.value(model.objective):.2f}")
print(f"Optimal Food Quantities:")
print(f"  Food 1: {x1.varValue:.2f} kg")
print(f"  Food 2: {x2.varValue:.2f} kg")

# Verify nutritional intake
print(f"\nNutritional Analysis:")
print(f"Protein Intake: {2*x1.varValue + x2.varValue:.1f} units (Minimum: 8 units)")
print(f"Carbohydrates Intake: {x1.varValue + 2*x2.varValue:.1f} units (Minimum: 10 units)")

```

```

print(f"Fat Intake: {x1.varValue + x2.varValue:.1f} units (Minimum: 6 units)")

# Cost breakdown
print(f"\nCost Breakdown:")
print(f"Food 1 Cost: ${3*x1.varValue:.2f}")
print(f"Food 2 Cost: ${2*x2.varValue:.2f}")
print(f"Total Cost: ${pulp.value(model.objective):.2f}")

```

=== DIET PROBLEM OPTIMIZATION ===

Solution Status: Optimal

Minimum Daily Cost: \$14.00

Optimal Food Quantities:

Food 1: 2.00 kg

Food 2: 4.00 kg

Nutritional Analysis:

Protein Intake: 8.0 units (Minimum: 8 units)

Carbohydrates Intake: 10.0 units (Minimum: 10 units)

Fat Intake: 6.0 units (Minimum: 6 units)

Cost Breakdown:

Food 1 Cost: \$6.00

Food 2 Cost: \$8.00

Total Cost: \$14.00

2.10 Micro-Project 1: Campus City Emergency Supply Distribution

As an optimization analyst at **Campus City Logistics**, you've been tasked with designing the optimal supply distribution network for essential resources across campus facilities. The current ad-hoc system is inefficient and costly.

2.10.1 Problem Statement

Determine the optimal warehouse locations and distribution plan that minimizes total annual costs while meeting all facility demands, respecting warehouse capacity constraints, and operating within the allocated budget.

2.10.2 Facilities Data (From facilities.csv)

Based on our dataset, we have 15 facilities with the following key attributes:

Critical Facilities Selected for Micro-Project 1:

Facility ID	Facility Name	Type	Daily Demand
MED_CENTER	Campus Medical Center	Hospital	80 units
ENG_BUILDING	Engineering Building	Academic	30 units
SCIENCE_HALL	Science Hall	Academic	35 units
DORM_A	North Dormitory	Residential	55 units
DORM_B	South Dormitory	Residential	45 units
LIBRARY	Main Library	Academic	25 units

Total Daily Demand: 270 units

2.10.3 Warehouse Data (From warehouses.csv)

Warehouse ID	Warehouse Name	Daily Capacity	Construction Cost	Operational Cost/Day
WH_NORTH	North Campus Warehouse	400 units	\$300,000	\$800
WH_SOUTH	South Campus Warehouse	350 units	\$280,000	\$700
WH_EAST	East Gate Warehouse	450 units	\$320,000	\$900

Total Available Capacity: 1,200 units

2.10.4 Transportation Costs (From transportation_costs.csv)

- **Data Source:** Pre-calculated matrix with actual distances
- **Cost Range:** \$3.68 - \$5.03 per unit between selected locations
- **Calculation:** Based on real geographic coordinates using Haversine formula

2.10.5 Financial Constraints

- **Annual Budget:** \$1,500,000
- **Operational Period:** 365 days (annual calculation)
- **Construction Cost:** Amortized over 10 years
- **All costs must be annualized**

2.10.6 Physical & Business Constraints

1. **Warehouse Selection:** Select exactly 2 warehouses for redundancy
2. **Demand Satisfaction:** Each facility must receive exactly its daily demand $\times 365$
3. **Capacity Limits:** Shipments from each warehouse \leq capacity $\times 365$
4. **Budget Limit:** Total annual cost \leq \$1,500,000
5. **Non-negativity:** All shipment quantities ≥ 0

2.10.7 Learning Objectives

Technical Skills

- Formulate Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) problem
- Implement optimization model using PuLP
- Handle real geographic and cost data
- Validate constraint satisfaction

Analytical Skills

- Interpret optimization results in business context
- Analyze cost breakdown and efficiency metrics
- Provide actionable recommendations

Project Deliverables

1. Deliverable 1: Mathematical Formulation (3 Marks)
2. Deliverable 2: Report in .pdf format (7 Marks)