

2 ENERGY CORRELATORS AS A PROBE OF THE HARD PROCESS IN RUN 24  $p - p$   
3 COLLISIONS AT  $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$  WITH THE sPHENIX DETECTOR AT RHIC

by

SKADI KURT GROSSBERNDT

6 A dissertation submitted to the Graduate Faculty in Physics in partial fulfillment of the  
7 requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, The City University of New York

2025



13 This manuscript has been read and accepted by the Graduate Faculty in Physics in  
14 satisfaction of the dissertation requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**Professor Stefan Bathe**

---

Date

---

Chair of Examining Committee

15

**Professor Daniel Kabat**

---

Date

---

Executive Officer

**Professor Adrian Dimitru**

**Professor Raghav Kunnawalkam Elayavalli**

16

**Professor Jamal Jallian-Marian**

**Professor Miguel Castro Nunes Fiolhais**

Supervisory Committee

17

THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

## Abstract

ENERGY CORRELATORS AS A PROBE OF THE HARD PROCESS IN RUN 24  $p - p$   
COLLISIONS AT  $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$  WITH THE sPHENIX DETECTOR AT RHIC

by

SKADI K GROSSBERNDT

Adviser: Professor Stefan Bathe

This dissertation consists of three parts in addition to a literature review establishing the state of the art of jet physics and the Energy-Energy correlator at high energies...

**Part 1: Hardware** This part discusses the physical hardware used to make the measurements of the energy in the jets created by the proton-proton collisions. This part contains a discussion of the sPHENIX detector and an in-depth look at the relevant subsystems for the measurements in this analysis. In addition, the Monte Carlo methods and models to discern the expected response and calibrate the detector are discussed in detail, with both subparts coming together in a discussion of backgrounds and error calculation in general and for the observables at hand.

**Part 2: Technicalities** This part builds from first principles up to the jet measurement in a theoretical light, and then discusses the additional computational techniques on display that will provide the bridge between the theory of these observables and the practical application to sPHENIX. This part contains a chapter that is a pared down discussion of a midstream paper proposed as part of the thesis process that establishes the safety of this observable against jet finding choices.

39 **Part 3: Experimental Output** This part is the meat and potatoes of the dissertation,  
40 discussing results from the experiment and the analysis in light of the previous parts and  
41 comparing to the world results from related systems.

# Contents

43	<b>1 Literature Review</b>	<b>1</b>
44	1.1 Jets Definitions . . . . .	2
45	1.1.1 Jet Reconstruction Algorithms . . . . .	2
46	1.2 Jet Substructure Measurements . . . . .	2
47	1.3 N-Point Energy Correlator . . . . .	2
48	<b>I Hardware: The Detector and Simulations</b>	<b>3</b>
49	<b>2 The sPHENIX detector</b>	<b>4</b>
50	2.1 Calorimetry . . . . .	5
51	2.1.1 Hadronic Calorimeters . . . . .	5
52	2.1.2 Electromagnetic Calorimeter . . . . .	6
53	2.2 Tracking . . . . .	7
54	2.3 Event Characterization . . . . .	7
55	<b>3 Monte Carlo Simulations</b>	<b>8</b>
56	<b>4 Determining Backgrounds and Errors</b>	<b>9</b>

57	<b>II Technicalities: The finer points of theory and computing</b>	<b>10</b>
58	5 The Energy Correlator and the primary vertex	11
59	6 Jets in Vacuum and the PDF	12
60	6.1 Jet Identification Algorithms . . . . .	12
61	7 So exactly how intelligent is AI	13
62	8 Proof Solving and Validation as Quality Control Mechanisms	14
63	<b>III Experimental Output: The Main Event</b>	<b>15</b>
64	9 So is sPHENIX actually working?	16
65	10 Measuring the Energy Correlator	17
66	11 The Power of the ENC: $\alpha_s$ at the few GeV scale	18
67	12 Event-by-Event distinguishing	19
68	13 Comparison is the Theft of Joy: What does the LHC say, and how about	
69	STAR?	20
70	14 Entanglement and other Lofty Goals	21
71	<b>IV Wrapping it all up</b>	<b>22</b>
72	15 Observable prospects for Run 25 and the EIC	23
73	16 Remaining Questions	24

74	<b>17 Implementation and application for the remaining sPHENIX data</b>	<b>25</b>
----	---	-----------



## 75 List of Tables

# 76 List of Figures

77	2.1	The sPHENIX detector. The Zero Degree Calorimeter is not picutred but is	
78		located further along the beam pipe. . . . .	5
79	2.2	Figuring out the subfigs first. But Left Pulser and Right LED, top IHCAL	
80		both OHCAL . . . . .	6

# <sup>81</sup> Chapter 1

## <sup>82</sup> Literature Review

## 1.1 Jets Definitions

The study of Quantum Chromodynamics in high energy collisions, such as those at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider or the Large Hadron Collider, is often carried out through investigation of the kinematics of jets. Jets, as objects, sit in the boundary between experiment and theory, being an experimental signature corresponding to final states of quarks and gluons produced in collisions. Jets are a cluster of final state particles that result from the showering and hadronization of the initial parton, that are identified in experiment through use of one of the multiple jet reconstruction algorithms.

### 1.1.1 Jet Reconstruction Algorithms

In Ryan Atkin's paper *Review of jet reconstruction algorithms* [1], Atkin provides an overview of the standard algorithms with a focus on practical implementation for experimental useage.

## 1.2 Jet Substructure Measurements

## 1.3 N-Point Energy Correlator

## Part I

## Hardware: The Detector and

## Simulations

## Chapter 2

### The sPHENIX detector

The sPHENIX detector is part of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) complex at Brookhaven National Laboratories (BNL) in Upton, New York. RHIC is one of two major circular particle colliders in the world, the other of which is the Large Hadron Collider at CERN in Geneva, Switzerland. Over the course of the sPHENIX experiment which will run from run 23 until RHIC ceases operations after run 25, RHIC will be colliding gold nuclei and protons with run 23 having been dedicated to commissioning Au+Au running, run 24 dedicated to p+p with three weeks of Au+Au for further tracking commissioning and run 25 dedicated to physics running in Au+Au collisions. RHIC runs with a center of mass energy of  $\sqrt{s} = 200\text{GeV}$  for both protons and gold, and additionally is the sole collider that collides polarized protons, which allows for more in depth studies of spin physics. At RHIC, sPHENIX's forerunner, the Pioneering High Energy Nuclear Interaction eXperiment (PHENIX), performed the first measurement of the Quark Gluon Plasma droplets [QGP droplets] in nuclei-nuclei collisions.

sPHENIX is designed to offer significant upgrades to PHENIX, specifically providing increased coverage with hadronic and electromagnetic calorimeters, increasing effectiveness in studies of jets and heavy flavor at mid-to-high Bjorken x [sPHENIX TDR][sPHENIX whitepaper][2]

Figure 2.1: The sPHENIX detector. The Zero Degree Calorimeter is not pictured but is located further along the beam pipe.

Broadly, sPHENIX can be broken into three categories of subsystem: Calorimeters, Tracking and Event characterization. sPHENIX is constructed around a 4 Tesla superconducting magnet, cooled by liquid helium, which was previously used on the BABAR experiment at SLAC.

## 2.1 Calorimetry

### 2.1.1 Hadronic Calorimeters

sPHENIX's power in jet physics comes in large part from its calorimeter systems, including two separate hadronic calorimeters. The outer and inner hadronic calorimeter (OHCAL and IHCAL respectively) are both divided into 24 bins in  $\eta$  with coverage of  $|\eta| \leq 1.1$  and full  $\varphi$  coverage with 64 bins in  $\varphi$ , grouped in  $\varphi$  into 32 sectors in each calorimeter. Each hadronic calorimeter therefore has tower size of  $\delta\eta = 0.092$  and  $\delta\varphi = 0.098$ . The outer hadronic calorimeter is constructed of steel and sits outside of the magnet, with inner radius of  $r = 1.1$  m and outer radius of  $r = 1.8$  m. The inner hadronic calorimeter is constructed of aluminum and sits just inside the magnet, with inner radius of  $r = 0.7$  m and outer radius of  $r = 1.1$  m. Each tower corresponds to a readout board that sums readout from four scintillator paddles embedded into the calorimeter as shown in fig. ???. These interface boards form a single readout channel, and can be individually tested via a pulser system that injects charge into the interface board testing readback independent of scintillator response, and LED system that injects a fixed pulse of light into the scintillators to test behavior of the Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPMs). Output of each of these systems is shown in fig 2.2

Figure 2.2: Figuring out the subfigs first. But Left Pulser and Right LED, top IHCAL both OHCAL

## Calibration

The hadronic calorimeters were initially calibrated through use of cosmic ray muons, matching the spectra to Monte Carlo generated by EcoMug [**HCal'Calib**]. This calibration is updated through out the run via continued cosmic ray studies in between physics data taking in addition to ongoing work on in-situo calibrations using tower-slope methods [**tower'slope'hcal**]. These calibrations have yeilded an average conversion factor for the OHCal of and the IHCal of

### 2.1.2 Electromagnetic Calorimeter

Moving in one layer from the hadronic calorimeter, the Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EMCal) has the same coverage in  $\eta - \varphi$  space, but has 16 times as many towers with 96 bins in  $\eta$  and 256 bins in  $\varphi$ . The EMCal is constructed of blocks of tungsten with embedded scintilationg fibers. Similarly to the HCals, the EMCal is also equipped with a pulser and LED, although the increased number of towers and higher varaiblility in response requires that different pulse widths be used for seperate sets of towers to prevent saturation and clipping on the LED pulse.

## Calibration

The EMCal was calibrated to the  $\pi^0$  mass through the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay channel with additional corrections applied via the tower slope. The mean  $m_{\pi^0}$  and width are used as quality assurance plots to monitor radiation damage to the EMCal on an ongoing basis.



156 **2.2 Tracking**

157 **2.3 Event Characterization**

## 158 Chapter 3

## 159 Monte Carlo Simulations

## 160 Chapter 4

# 161 Determining Backgrounds and Errors

## Part II

# Technicalities: The finer points of theory and computing

## 165 Chapter 5

# 166 The Energy Correlator and the 167 primary vertex

# Chapter 6

## Jets in Vacuum and the PDF

### 6.1 Jet Identification Algorithms

As discussed in chapter 1, there are a variety of jet finding algorithms that prioritize different theoretical aspects of the underlying physics while being experimentally realizable [3] [1].

In general, a jet identification algorithm needs to be IRC safe. That is, the jet object needs to display invariance in the Infrared (IR) and Collinear regimes, managing real-virtual cancellation and keeping results meaningful for emission and splitting respectively.

## 176 Chapter 7

177 So exactly how intelligent is AI

## 178 Chapter 8

179 Proof Solving and Validation as

180 Quality Control Mechanisms



## Part III

# Experimental Output: The Main Event

## 184 Chapter 9

185 So is sPHENIX actually working?

## 186 Chapter 10

## 187 Measuring the Energy Correlator

## 188 Chapter 11

### 189 The Power of the ENC: $\alpha_s$ at the few 190 GeV scale

## <sup>191</sup> Chapter 12

### <sup>192</sup> Event-by-Event distinguishing

## 193 Chapter 13

194 Comparison is the Theft of Joy: What  
195 does the LHC say, and how about  
196 STAR?

## <sup>197</sup> Chapter 14

## <sup>198</sup> Entanglement and other Lofty Goals

199

## Part IV

200

## Wrapping it all up



## 201 Chapter 15

# 202 Observable prospects for Run 25 and 203 the EIC

## 204 Chapter 16

## 205 Remaining Questions

## 206 Chapter 17

207 Implementation and application for  
208 the remaining sPHENIX data

## Bibliography

<sup>1</sup>R. Atkin, “Review of jet reconstruction algorithms”, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **645**, 012008 (2015).

<sup>2</sup>R. Belmont, J. Brewer, Q. Brodsky, P. Caucal, M. Connors, M. Djordjevic, R. Ehlers, M. A. Escobedo, E. G. Ferreira, G. Giacalone, Y. Hatta, J. Holguin, W. Ke, Z.-B. Kang, A. Kumar, A. Mazeliauskas, Y. Mehtar-Tani, G. Nukazuka, D. Pablos, D. V. Perepelitsa, K. Rajagopal, A. M. Sickles, M. Strickland, K. Tywoniuk, I. Vitev, X.-N. Wang, Z. Yang, and F. Zhao, “Predictions for the sphenix physics program”, Nuclear Physics A **1043**, 122821 (2024).

<sup>3</sup>Y. L. Dokshitzer, G. D. Leder, S. Moretti, and B. R. Webber, “Better jet clustering algorithms”, JHEP 9708:001,1997 **1997**, 001–001 (1997).