

Projecting chains of constrained points

Morten Silcowitz

Feb 2026

1 Formulation

We're going to solve

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p})^\top \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}_i^\top \mathbf{z}_i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

where

- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ is the solution vector,
- $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$ is the vector of target/free fall positions,
- $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{3(n-1)}$ is the vector of difference vectors in the chain,
- $\mathbf{z}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the i th 3-vector in \mathbf{z} ,
- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{3(n-1) \times 3n}$ is the per vector finite-difference matrix
so $(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x})_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i+1}$
- $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n \times 3n}$ is the mass matrix, simply having
the mass (or weight) of each particle
in each 3×3 block diagonal

We want to be working only on the difference vectors in \mathbf{z} . To do that, we skip the details and jump directly to the minimizer for \mathbf{x} , treating \mathbf{z} as a constant for now:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{Rp} - \mathbf{z})$$

where $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{RM}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^T$ is a block tridiagonal matrix. Inserting this into the main problem eliminates $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Rx}$ and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{Rp})^T \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{Rp}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{z}_i^T \mathbf{z}_i = 1 \quad i = 1 \dots n \end{aligned}$$

In this reformulated problem we must find a \mathbf{z} that consist of unit length 3-vectors that minimize the distance to \mathbf{Rp} under the \mathbf{S}^{-1} norm. It is worth noting that it is trivial to project \mathbf{z} to satisfy the unit length constraints, and likewise it is trivial to obtain \mathbf{x} given any \mathbf{z} . This means that whenever we take a newton step on \mathbf{z} we can trivially reign it in to its feasible solution, and in effect only changing the directions of each \mathbf{z}_i vector, never their length.

2 Algorithm

Here we'll outline the algorithm in full before explaining each step in detail:

$\mathbf{L} = \text{cholesky}(\mathbf{S})$	1
$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Rx}$	2
while true do	3
$\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{z} = \text{normalize}(\mathbf{z})$	4
$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Rp} - \mathbf{z}$	5

```

 $\lambda = \text{solve}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Q}^T, \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{y})$  6
 $\mathbf{b}_z = \text{solve}(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{y})$  7
 $\mathbf{b}_\lambda = \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{Q}^T \lambda$  8
 $\text{if } \|\mathbf{b}_z - \mathbf{b}_l\|^2 < \epsilon \text{ then}$  9
     $\mathbf{s} = \text{solve}(\mathbf{L}^T, \mathbf{b}_z)$  10
     $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{s}$  11
     $\text{return } \mathbf{x}$  12
 $\mathbf{D} = \text{diagonal}(\max(0, \lambda))$  13
 $\Delta \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{L} \text{ solve}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{b}_z - \mathbf{b}_\lambda)$  14
 $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z} + \Delta \mathbf{z}$  15

```

Each iteration the algorithm does these main steps:

1. Normalize the 3-vectors in \mathbf{z}
2. Compute the $n - 1$ Lagrange multipliers of the system at \mathbf{z}
3. Check the solution residual, if below threshold compute and return \mathbf{x}
4. Compute the Newton step on \mathbf{z} and go to step 1

Note the following:

- we compute the cholesky decomposition $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T = \mathbf{S}$ to keep the systems we need to solve sparse and symmetric. See more details bellow. Computing cholesky for a block-tridiagonal SPD matrix is simple and fast, and if \mathbf{M} is fixed it can be done off-line/once.
- every `solve()` is at least a block tri-diagonal system (or simpler), which are fast and easy to solve
- to compute the Lagrange multipliers we build the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{3(n-1) \times (n-1)}$, which is simply the \mathbf{z}_i vectors vertically stacked

- the matrix \mathbf{D} is the contribution to the Hessian stemming from the non-linear unit length constraints. We clamp it to be positive to keep the Hessian PD. This is a hack but seems to play out well in practice

2.1 Lagrange multipliers

2.2 Hessian system