SoftSpokenOT protocol

Silence Laboratories

March 2025

This protocol refers to the protocol described in Fig.10 [1] with changes in the reduction of communication by a factor of k due to an increase in computation by a factor of $2^k/k$.

The protocol uses an arbitrary stretch pseudorandom generator, PRG, and a hash functions $\mathsf{H}_1:\{0,1\}^*\to\{0,1\}^s,\;\mathsf{H}_2:\{0,1\}^*\to\{0,1\}^\kappa,\;\mathsf{modeled}$ as a random oracle.

$$s = 128, k = 4.$$

Initialize:

- 1. R samples κ pairs of random κ -bit seed, $\{(\mathbf{k}_0^i, \mathbf{k}_1^i)\}_{i=1}^{\kappa}$
- 2. S samples a random $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{\kappa}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\kappa}$.
- 3. The parties call $\kappa \times OT_{\kappa}$ with inputs Δ and k_0, k_1 .
- 4. S receives $\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_i}^i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, \kappa$.
- 5. R and S run protocols described in Fig.13 and Fig.14 [2] to convert their $\kappa \times \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ -OT to all-but-one $(\kappa/k) \times \begin{pmatrix} 2^k \\ 2^k 1 \end{pmatrix}$ -OT. In $\begin{pmatrix} 2^k \\ 2^k 1 \end{pmatrix}$ -OT a random function $F: \mathbb{F}_{2^k} \to \mathbb{F}_2^l$ is known to R, while S has a random point Δ and the restriction F^* of F to $\mathbb{F}_{2^k} \setminus \{\Delta\}$.

Extend:

1. According to Fig. 7 [2], R computes:

$$\begin{split} r_x^i &= \mathsf{PRG}(F^i(x)) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{l'} \quad \text{for } x \in F_{2^k}, \\ u^i &= \sum_{x \in F_{2^k}} r_x^i, \quad \text{and} \quad v^i = -\sum_{x \in F_{2^k}} r_x^i \cdot x \quad \text{for } i \in 1, \dots, \kappa/k. \end{split}$$

2. S computes:

$$r_x^i = \mathsf{PRG}(F^{*i}(x)) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{l'} \quad \text{for } x \in F_{2^k} \setminus \{\Delta\},$$

$$w^{i} = \sum_{x \in F_{2k} \setminus \{\Delta\}} r_{x}^{i} \cdot (\Delta^{i} - x) \quad \text{for } i \in 1, ..., \kappa/k.$$

3. R inputs the choice bits $x_1, ..., x_l \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Let l' = l + s, and assume that s|l. R picks random $x_{l+1}, ..., x_{l+s} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and sets $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_{l'})$.

R computes:

$$u^i = u^i + \mathbf{x}$$
 for $i \in 1, \dots, \kappa/k$,
 $\chi_j = \mathsf{H}_1(j||u)$ for $j \in 1, \dots, m$.

Consistency check: Let m=l/s. We divide l' OTs into m+1 blocks of s bits, writing $\mathbf{x}=(\hat{x}_1,\ldots,\hat{x}_{m+1})\in\mathbb{F}_{2^s}^{m+1}$, and similarly $v^i=(\hat{v}_1^i,\ldots,\hat{v}_{m+1}^i)\in\mathbb{F}_{2^s}^{m+1}$. Then R computes the following values over F_{2^s} :

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{x}_j \cdot \chi_j + \hat{x}_{m+1}, \quad t^i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{v}_j^i \cdot \chi_j + \hat{v}_{m+1}^i \quad \text{for } i \in 1, \dots, \kappa/k$$

and sends u^i , x, t^i to S.

4. S computes:

$$\mathbf{q}^{i} = \Delta^{i} \cdot u^{i} + w^{i}, \quad \text{for } i \in 1, \dots, \kappa/k$$

$$\mathbf{q}^{i} = (\hat{q}_{1}^{i}, \dots, \hat{q}_{m+1}^{i}) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{s}}^{m+1}$$

$$\chi_{j} = \mathsf{H}_{1}(j||u), \quad \text{for } j \in 1, \dots, m$$

$$q^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{q}_{j}^{i} \cdot \chi_{j} + \hat{q}_{m+1}^{i}, \quad \text{for } i \in 1, \dots, \kappa/k$$

and checks that $q^i = t^i + \Delta^i \cdot x$, for all $i \in 1, ..., \kappa/k$. If any check fails, output **AbortAndBanParty**.

Transpose and randomize:

- 1. Let \mathbf{q}_j denote the j-th row of the $l' \times \kappa$ bit matrix $[\mathbf{q}^1| \dots | \mathbf{q}^{\kappa}]$ held by S, and similarly let v_j be the j-th row of $[v^1| \dots | v^{\kappa}]$, held by R.
- 2. R outputs

$$out_{x_j,j} = \mathsf{H}_2(j||v_j), \quad j \in [l].$$

3. S outputs

$$out_{0,j} = \mathsf{H}_2(j||\mathbf{q}_j)$$
 and $out_{1,j} = \mathsf{H}_2(j||(\mathbf{q}_j + \Delta)), \quad j \in [l].$

 \Diamond

References

- [1] Marcel Keller, Emmanuela Orsini, and Peter Scholl. Actively secure ot extension with optimal overhead. In *Annual Cryptology Conference*, pages 724–741. Springer, 2015.
- [2] Lawrence Roy. Softspokenot: Communication—computation tradeoffs in ot extension. Cryptology ePrint Archive, 2022.