

Principles of Programming Languages

Expression

Introduction

- Expressions are the fundamental means of specifying **computations** in a PL
- While variables are the means for specifying **storage**
- Primary use of expressions: assignment
 - Main purpose: change the value of a variable
 - **Essence of all imperative PLs: expressions change contents of variables** (computations change states)
- To understand expression evaluation, need to know orders of operator and operand evaluation
 - Dictated by associativity and precedence rules

Arithmetic Expressions

- ◆ Arithmetic expressions consist of operators, operands, parentheses, and function calls
 - Unary, binary, ternary (e.g., `_?_:_`) operators
- ◆ Implementation involves:
 - Fetching operands, usually from memory
 - Executing arithmetic operations on the operands
- ◆ Design issues for arithmetic expressions
 - Operator precedence/associativity rules?
 - Order of operand evaluation and their side effects?
 - Operator overloading?
 - Type mixing in expressions?

Operator Precedence Rules

- ◆ Define the order in which “adjacent” operators of different precedence levels are evaluated
 - Based on the hierarchy of operator priorities
- ◆ Typical precedence levels
 - parentheses
 - unary operators
 - $**$ (exponentiation, if the language supports it)
 - $*$, $/$
 - $+$, $-$

Operator Associativity Rule

- ◆ Define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated
- ◆ Typical associativity rules
 - Left to right, except $**$, which is right to left
 - Sometimes unary operators associate right to left
- ◆ Precedence and associativity rules can be overridden with parentheses

Conditional Expressions

- ◆ Conditional expressions by ternary operator ? :

- C-based languages (e.g., C, C++), e.g.,

```
average = (count == 0) ? 0 : sum / count
```

- Evaluates as if written like

```
if (count == 0)
```

```
    average = 0
```

```
else
```

```
    average = sum / count
```

Operand Evaluation Order

- ◆ How operands in expressions are “evaluated”?
 - Variables: fetch the value from memory
 - Constants: sometimes fetched from memory; sometimes in the machine language instruction
 - Parenthesized expressions: evaluate all inside operands and operators first
 - Operands on the two sides of an operator: evaluation order is usually irrelevant, except when the operand may cause **side effects**, e.g.,

```
b = a + foo(&a) ;
```

Side Effects in Expressions

- ◆ Functional side effects: a function changes a two-way parameter or a non-local variable
 - i.e., change the state “external” to the function
- ◆ Problem with functional side effects:
 - When a function referenced in an expression alters another operand of the expression:

```
a = 10;
```

```
/* assume foo changes its parameter  
*/
```

```
b = a + foo(&a);
```

Order in which
operand is evaluated
first will make
difference

Functional Side Effects

- Functions in pure mathematics do not have side effects, i.e., $y = f(x)$
 - Input, x , determines output, y ; no states
- Same with pure functional programming languages
- Side effects occur due to von Neumann arch. and associated imperative PL and computation model (state machines)
- Memory/processor, variables/expressions, state/state change

Functional Side Effects

- ◆ Solution 1: define the language by disallowing functional side effects
 - No two-way parameters in functions
 - No non-local references in functions
 - Disadvantage: inflexibility of one-way parameters and lack of non-local references
- ◆ Solution 2: write the language definition to demand that operand evaluation order be fixed
 - Disadvantage: limits some compiler optimizations
 - Java requires that operands appear to be evaluated in left-to-right order

Overloaded Operators

```
int a,b;
```

```
float x,y;
```

```
...
```

```
b = a + 3;
```

```
y = x + 3.0;
```

- ◆ We wish to use the same operator '+' to operate on integers and floating-point numbers
 - Let compiler make proper translation, e.g., ADD vs FADD
 - How about '+' to operate on two array variables?

Overloaded Operators

- ◆ Use of an operator for more than one purpose is called operator overloading
- ◆ Some are common (e.g., `+` for `int` and `float`)
- ◆ Some are troublesome (e.g., `*` in C and C++)
 - Loss of compiler error detection (omission of an operand should be a detectable error)
 - Some loss of readability
- ◆ C++/C# allow user-defined overloaded operator
 - Users can define nonsense operations
 - Readability may suffer, even when operators make sense, e.g., need to check operand types to know

Type Conversions

```
int a,b;
```

```
float x,y;
```

```
a = y;
```

```
x = b;
```

```
b = y + a;
```

- How should data be converted for assignment?
- What kinds of data format should compiler use during evaluation of the expressions?

Type Conversions

- A narrowing conversion is one that converts an object to a type that cannot include all of the values of the original type, e.g., `float` to `int`
 - Not always safe
- A widening conversion is one in which an object is converted to a type that can include at least approximations to all of the values of the original type, e.g., `int` to `float`
 - Usually safe but may lose accuracy

Type Conversions: Mixed Mode

- ◆ A mixed-mode expression is one that has operands of different types
 - Need type conversion implicitly or explicitly
- ◆ Implicit type conversion by compiler: coercion
 - Disadvantage: decrease in the type error detection ability of the compiler
 - In most languages, all numeric types are coerced in expressions, using widening conversions
 - In Ada, there are virtually no coercions in expressions to minimize errors due to mixed-mode expressions

Type Conversions

- ◆ Explicit type conversion by programmer: casting in C-based languages, e.g.,
 - C: `(int) angle`
 - Ada: `Float (Sum)`
- ◆ Causes of errors in expressions
 - Inherent limitations of arithmetic, e.g., division by zero
 - Limitations of computer arithmetic, e.g. overflow
- ◆ Errors often ignored by the run-time system

Relational Expressions

- ◆ Expressions using relational operators and operands of various types; evaluate to Boolean
 - Relational operators: compare values of 2 operands
 - Operator symbols vary among languages (!=, /=, ~=, .NE., <>, #)

Boolean Expressions

- ◆ Expressions using Boolean operators and Boolean operands, and evaluate to Boolean
 - Boolean operands: Boolean variables, Boolean constants, relational expressions
 - Example operators:

FORTRAN 77	FORTRAN 90	C	Ada
<code>.AND.</code>	<code>and</code>	<code>&&</code>	<code>and</code>
<code>.OR.</code>	<code>or</code>	<code> </code>	<code>or</code>
<code>.NOT.</code>	<code>not</code>	<code>!</code>	<code>not</code>

No Boolean Type in C

- ◆ C89 has no Boolean type: it uses `int` type with 0 for false and nonzero for true
 - Expression evaluates to 0 for false and 1 for true
- ◆ One odd characteristic of C's expressions:
`a < b < c` is a legal expression, but the result is not what you might expect:
 - Left operator is evaluated, producing 0 or 1
 - The evaluation result is then compared with the third operand (i.e., `c`)

Precedence Operators in C

Highest postfix ++, --

unary +, -, prefix ++, --, !

*, /, %

binary +, -

<, >, <=, >=

=, !=

&&

Lowest ||

Short Circuit Evaluation

- ◆ An expression in which the result is determined w/o evaluating all operands and/or operators

$(13 * a) * (b / 13 - 1)$

- If a is zero, there is no need to evaluate $(b / 13 - 1)$

- ◆ Problem with non-short-circuit evaluation

```
index = 0;
```

```
while (index < listlen) && (LIST[index]  
    != key)
```

```
    index = index + 1;
```

- When `index==listlen`, `LIST[index]` causes an indexing problem (if `LIST` has `listlen-1` elements)

Short Circuit Evaluation

- ◆ C, C++, and Java: use short-circuit evaluation for the usual Boolean operators (`&&` and `||`), but also provide bitwise Boolean operators that are not short circuit (`&` and `|`)
- ◆ Ada: programmer can specify either (short-circuit is specified with **`and then`** and **`or else`**)
- ◆ Short-circuit evaluation exposes the potential problem of side effects in expressions
e.g., `(a > b) || (b++ / 3)`

Assignment Statements

- ◆ The general syntax

<target_var> <assign_operator> <expression>

- ◆ The assignment operator

- = FORTRAN, BASIC, the C-based languages

- := ALGOLs, Pascal, Ada

- ◆ = can be bad when it is overloaded for the relational operator for equality (that's why the C-based languages use == as the relational operator)

Conditional Targets

- ◆ Conditional targets (Perl)

```
($flag ? $total : $subtotal) = 0
```

- Which is equivalent to

```
if ($flag) {  
    $total = 0  
} else {  
    $subtotal = 0  
}
```


Compound Assignment Operators

- ◆ A shorthand method of specifying a commonly needed form of assignment
- ◆ Introduced in ALGOL; adopted by C
- ◆ Example:

a = a + b

is written as

a += b

Unary Assignment Operators

- ◆ Unary assignment operators in C-based languages combine increment and decrement operations with assignment
- ◆ Examples:
 - `sum = ++count` (count incremented, assigned to sum)
 - `sum = count++` (count assigned to sum and then incremented)
 - `count++` (count incremented)
 - `-count++` (count incremented then negated)

Assignment as an Expression

- In C, C++, and Java, the assignment statement produces a result and can be used as operands

```
while ((ch = getchar()) != EOF) {...}
```

- `ch = getchar()` is carried out; result is used as a conditional value for the `while` statement
- Has expression side effect: `a=b+ (c=d/b) -1`
- Multiple-target assignment: `sum = count = 0;`
- Hard to tell: `if (x = y)` and `if (x == y)`
- Perl and Ruby support list assignments, e.g.,

```
($first, $second, $third) = (20, 30, 40);
```

Mixed-Mode Assignment

- ◆ Assignment statements can also be mixed-mode
- ◆ In Fortran, C, and C++, any numeric type value can be assigned to any numeric type variable
- ◆ In Java, only widening assignment coercions are done
- ◆ In Ada, there is no assignment coercion