

Problem 1.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|A\| \neq 0$. First, we note that both AA^* and A^*A are bounded self-adjoint operators,

$$\|A^*A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle x, A^*Ax \rangle| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, Ax \rangle| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|^2 = \left(\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\| \right)^2 = \|A\|^2.$$

Switch A^* with A , we have

$$\|AA^*\| = \|A^*\|^2.$$

By Theorem 5.37,

$$\begin{cases} \|A^*\|^2 = \|AA^*\| \leq \|A\|\|A^*\| \\ \|A\|^2 = \|A^*A\| \leq \|A^*\|\|A\| \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \|A^*\| \leq \|A\| \\ \|A\| \leq \|A^*\| \end{cases}$$

which yields that $\|A\| = \|A^*\|$. As a result,

$$\|AA^*\| = \|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2 = \|A^*\|^2.$$

□

Problem 2.

Proof. Note that $\phi_y(x) = \langle y, x \rangle$. Let's first prove $\langle \phi_x, \phi_y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*} := \langle y, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is indeed an inner product. Let ϕ_x, ϕ_y, ϕ_z be continuous linear functional on \mathcal{H} and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

(a) Note that for any $a \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(a) + \phi_y(a) &= \langle x, a \rangle + \langle y, a \rangle = \langle x + y, a \rangle = \phi_{x+y}(a); \\ \phi_{\lambda x}(a) &= \langle \lambda x, a \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle a, x \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \phi_x(a). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\langle \phi_x, \lambda \phi_y + \mu \phi_z \rangle = \langle \phi_x, \phi_{\bar{\lambda}y + \bar{\mu}z} \rangle = \langle \bar{\lambda}y + \bar{\mu}z, x \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle y, x \rangle + \bar{\mu} \langle z, x \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle \phi_x, \phi_y \rangle + \bar{\mu} \langle \phi_x, \phi_z \rangle.$$

(b)

$$\langle \phi_y, \phi_x \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} = \overline{\langle \phi_x, \phi_y \rangle}.$$

(c)

$$\langle \phi_x, \phi_x \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0,$$

where equal sign is achieved only when ϕ_x is zero operator.

Then we prove the space H^* is complete. Note that

$$\|\phi_x\|^2 = \langle \phi_x, \phi_x \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2,$$

then the completeness of H^* follows from Riesz representation theorem.

□

Problem 3.

Proof. This directly follows from Riesz representation theorem that $T : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^*$ with $T(x) = \phi_x$ is an isometry. □

Problem 4.

Proof. By Proposition 5.30, we know $\text{ran}(A)$ is closed and $\ker(A) = 0$. We guarantee the uniqueness of solution.

Furthermore, by Theorem 8.17 and Theorem 8.18, since $A = A^*$, the equation $Ax = y$ has a solution if y is orthogonal to $\ker(A)$, which is true since $\langle 0, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$. □

Problem 5.

Proof. a Since for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle x, By \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle A^*x, y \rangle.$$

Then by definition and the uniqueness of the adjoint of bounded operator, $B^* = A^*$ which implies that $B = A$.

b For any $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle x + y, (A - B)(x + y) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle + \langle y, (A - B)y \rangle + \langle x, (A - B)y \rangle + \langle y, (A - B)x \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (A - B)y \rangle + \langle y, (A - B)x \rangle. \\ 0 &= \langle x + iy, (A - B)(x + iy) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (A - B)x \rangle + \langle iy, (A - B)iy \rangle + \langle x, (A - B)iy \rangle + \langle iy, (A - B)x \rangle \\ &= i(\langle x, (A - B)y \rangle - \langle y, (A - B)x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $\langle x, (A - B)y \rangle = 0$ for any x, y . Thus by part a, we get $A = B$.

A real Hilbert space, as shown in the above, it won't have such a property due to lack of cancellation. for example we take $L^2 : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ with inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \text{Re} \left(\int_0^1 \overline{f(x)} g(x) dx \right)$$

Take $A = \mathbb{1}$ and $B = i$, then both

$$\langle f, Af \rangle = \text{Re} \left(\int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx \right) = \langle f, Bf \rangle.$$

□