

Benders Decomposition

\mathcal{R} : the set of real numbers.

\mathcal{R}_+ : the set of nonnegative real numbers.

\mathcal{R}_+^n : the set of n -dimensional nonnegative real vectors.

\mathcal{Z} : the set of integers.

\mathcal{Z}_+ : the set of nonnegative integers.

\mathcal{Z}_+^n : the set of n -dimensional nonnegative integer vectors.

$\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{R}^m$, $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{R}^n$, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{R}^p$, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{R}^q$, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{R}^{p \times m}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{R}^{p \times n}$, $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{R}^{q \times m}$.

The original mixed integer linear programming model is formulated as follows.

$$(P1) \quad z_{P1} = \min \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}'\mathbf{y}, \tag{1}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{By} \geq \mathbf{f}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{Ex} \geq \mathbf{g}, \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_+^m, \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_+^n. \tag{5}$$

Given the value of \mathbf{x} , the optimal objective value associated with variable \mathbf{y} can be described as follows.

$$(P2(\mathbf{x})) \quad z_{P2(\mathbf{x})} = \min \mathbf{d}'\mathbf{y}, \tag{6}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{By} \geq \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{Ax}, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}_+^n, \tag{8}$$

where $z_{P2(\mathbf{x})} = +\infty$ if model $P2(\mathbf{x})$ is infeasible.

The dual of model $P2(\mathbf{x})$ is as follows.

$$(D2(\mathbf{x})) \quad z_{D2(\mathbf{x})} = \max \boldsymbol{\pi}'(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{Ax}), \tag{9}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}'\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{d}', \tag{10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathcal{R}_+^p. \tag{11}$$

Note that the feasible set $\Pi = \{\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathcal{R}_+^p : \boldsymbol{\pi}'\mathbf{B} \leq \mathbf{d}'\}$ is independent of \mathbf{x} . If Π is empty, then $D2(\mathbf{x})$ is infeasible for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_+^m$. Because the infeasibility of $D2(\mathbf{x})$ implies that either (i) $P2(\mathbf{x})$ is infeasible, or (ii) $z_{P2(\mathbf{x})} = -\infty$, we conclude that the original problem $P1$ is either infeasible or unbounded.

In the following, we assume that the original problem $P1$ is feasible with a finite optimal objective value, i.e., Π is nonempty. Furthermore, denote $\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_i : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ as the set of extreme points of Π , and $\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_j : j \in \mathcal{J}\}$ as a

complete set of extreme rays of Π . Then we have

- $P2(\mathbf{x})$ is feasible if and only if $\pi_j'(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{Ax}) \leq 0, \forall j \in \mathcal{J}$.
- If $P2(\mathbf{x})$ is feasible with finite optimal objective value, then $z_{P2(\mathbf{x})} = z_{D2(\mathbf{x})} = \max_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \pi_i'(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{Ax})$.

Therefore,

$$z_{P1} = \min \{ \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} + z_{P2(\mathbf{x})} : \mathbf{Ex} \geq \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_+^m \} = \min \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{x} + \eta, \quad (12)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{Ex} \geq \mathbf{g}, \quad (13)$$

$$\eta \geq \pi_i'(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{Ax}), \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (14)$$

$$\pi_j'(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{Ax}) \leq 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Z}_+^m. \quad (16)$$