

ADVANCED JAVA

GENERICS PRIMER

Vivek Shah bonii@di.ku.dk

August 20, 2018

DIKU, University of Copenhagen

- Consider a *pair* class that can hold a pair of objects:

```
class DynamicCheckedPair {  
    Object first;  
    Object second;  
}
```

- Consider a *pair* class that can hold a pair of objects:

```
class DynamicCheckedPair {  
    Object first;  
    Object second;  
}
```

- Given a pair *p*, we can put *any* value into *first* and *second*:

```
p.first = "hello";
```

- We cannot get anything but an `Object` out of the pair.
- We have to *cast*:

```
String x = (String)p.first;
```

- Consider a *pair* class that can hold a pair of objects:

```
class DynamicCheckedPair {  
    Object first;  
    Object second;  
}
```

- Given a pair *p*, we can put *any* value into *first* and *second*:
`p.first = "hello";`
- We cannot get anything but an `Object` out of the pair.
- We have to *cast*:
`String x = (String)p.first;`
- Casting can cause runtime exception. ☹
 - Compiles and runs fine until this line.

- To get static checking, we cannot use casts. Instead make a class for every pair needed:

```
class PairStringInteger {  
    String first;  
    Integer second;  
}
```

MOTIVATION - STATIC CHECKING

- To get static checking, we cannot use casts. Instead make a class for every pair needed:

```
class PairStringInteger {  
    String first;  
    Integer second;  
}
```

- Like before we can put a string into first:

```
p.first = "hello"; ☺
```

- ... but not an integer:

```
p.first = 42; ☹
```

- No need to cast:

```
String x = p.first; ☺
```

MOTIVATION - STATIC CHECKING

- To get static checking, we cannot use casts. Instead make a class for every pair needed:

```
class PairStringInteger {  
    String first;  
    Integer second;  
}
```

- Like before we can put a string into first:

```
p.first = "hello"; ☺
```

- ... but not an integer:

```
p.first = 42; ☹
```

- No need to cast:

```
String x = p.first; ☺
```

- Many different pairs needed → lots of boilerplate. ☹☹☹

- No more boilerplate with *generics*.

```
class Pair<A,B> {  
    A first;  
    B second;  
}
```

- No more boilerplate with *generics*.

```
class Pair<A,B> {  
    A first;  
    B second;  
}
```

- Initial extension by Philip Wadler in 1998.
- Introduced in Java 1.5 (2004).
- *Parametric polymorphism* in Java.

- No more boilerplate with *generics*.

```
class Pair<A,B> {  
    A first;  
    B second;  
}
```

- Initial extension by Philip Wadler in 1998.
- Introduced in Java 1.5 (2004).
- *Parametric polymorphism* in Java.
- A and B are *type parameters*.

- No more boilerplate with *generics*.

```
class Pair<A,B> {  
    A first;  
    B second;  
}
```

- Initial extension by Philip Wadler in 1998.
- Introduced in Java 1.5 (2004).
- *Parametric polymorphism* in Java.
- A and B are *type parameters*.
- Pair is a type indexed family of classes.
 - $\text{Pair} \langle -, - \rangle : \text{Type} \times \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Class}.$

- No more boilerplate with *generics*.

```
class Pair<A,B> {  
    A first;  
    B second;  
}
```

- Initial extension by Philip Wadler in 1998.
- Introduced in Java 1.5 (2004).
- *Parametric polymorphism* in Java.
- A and B are *type parameters*.
- Pair is a type indexed family of classes.
 - $\text{Pair} \langle -, - \rangle : \text{Type} \times \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Class}$.
 - $\text{Pair} \langle \text{String}, \text{Double} \rangle$ is a class.
 - String and Double are called *type arguments*.

- Type parameters can also be used in methods and constructors:

```
class Pair<A,B> {  
    private A first;  
    private B second;  
  
    Pair(A x, B y) {  
        first = x; second = y;  
    }  
  
    A getFirst() {  
        return first;  
    }  
  
    void setFirst(A x) {  
        first = x;  
    }  
}
```

- Type parameters can also be used as type arguments for other generic classes.

```
class Triple<A,B,C> {  
    private Pair<A,B> firstAndSecond;  
    private C third;  
  
    Triple(A x, B y, C z) {  
        firstAndSecond = new Pair(x, y); third = z;  
    }  
  
    A getFirst() {  
        return firstAndSecond.getFirst();  
    }  
  
    C getThird() {  
        return third;  
    }  
}
```

GENERIC CLASSES

- Type parameters can also be used as type arguments for other generic classes.

```
class Triple<A,B,C> {  
    private Pair<A,B> firstAndSecond;  
    private C third;
```

Question:

Say we want pairs to have a method `swap` that return a new pair with `first` and `second` swapped.

- What would the method signature be?
- What would the implementation look like?

```
        return firstAndSecond.getFirst();  
    }  
  
    C getThird() {  
        return z;  
    }  
}
```

GENERIC CLASSES

- Type parameters can also be used as type arguments for other generic classes.

```
class Triple<A,B,C> {  
    private Pair<A,B> firstAndSecond;
```

Answers:

```
public Pair<B, A> swap() {  
    Pair<B, A> p = new Pair<B, A>();  
    p.first = this.second;  
    p.second = this.first;  
    return p;  
}
```

```
}
```

```
C getThird() {  
    return z;  
}
```

```
}
```


- It is also possible to declare generic interfaces.

```
interface Mutation<A> {  
    void mutate(A x);  
}
```

```
class LowerCaseName implements Mutation<Employee> {  
    void mutate(Employee e) { ... }  
}
```

```
class IdentityMutation<A> implements Mutation<A> {  
    void mutate(A x) { ; }  
}
```

- Individual methods can also be generic:

```
public <A> A printReturn(A x) {  
    System.out.println(x);  
    return x;  
}
```

- Individual methods can also be generic:

```
public <A> A printReturn(A x) {  
    System.out.println(x);  
    return x;  
}
```

- Here, type parameter A is not associated with the class instance. The caller must supply type argument:

```
obj.<String>printReturn("hello");  
obj.<Integer>printReturn(42);
```

- Individual methods can also be generic:

```
public <A> A printReturn(A x) {  
    System.out.println(x);  
    return x;  
}
```

- Here, type parameter A is not associated with the class instance. The caller must supply type argument:

```
obj.<String>printReturn("hello");  
obj.<Integer>printReturn(42);
```

- Type argument can usually be inferred:

```
obj.printReturn("hello");
```

- Constructors take type arguments as well:

```
Pair<String, Double> p1 = new Pair<String, Integer>();  
Pair<Double, Double> p2 = new Pair<Double, Double>  
                           (45.3, 0.1);
```

```
p1.setFirst("Hello");  
Double x = p2.getFirst() + p2.getSecond();
```

- Constructors take type arguments as well:

```
Pair<String, Double> p1 = new Pair<String, Integer>();  
Pair<Double, Double> p2 = new Pair<Double, Double>  
                           (45.3, 0.1);
```

```
p1.setFirst("Hello");  
Double x = p2.getFirst() + p2.getSecond();
```

- Since Java 7, you can use the “diamond operator” <> to infer type arguments:

```
Pair<String, Double> p1 = new Pair<>();  
Pair<Double, Double> p2 = new Pair<>(45.3, 0.1);
```

- `interface Collection<E>`
 - `boolean add(E e)`
 - `int size()`

- `interface` Collection<E>
 - `boolean add(E e)`
 - `int size()`
- `interface` List<E> `extends` Collection<E>
 - `E get(int index)`

- `interface` `Collection<E>`
 - `boolean add(E e)`
 - `int size()`
- `interface` `List<E>` `extends` `Collection<E>`
 - `E get(int index)`
- `Map<K,V>`
 - `V put(K key, V value)`
 - `V get(Object o)`

- `interface Collection<E>`
 - `boolean add(E e)`
 - `int size()`
- `interface List<E> extends Collection<E>`
 - `E get(int index)`
- `Map<K,V>`
 - `V put(K key, V value)`
 - `V get(Object o)`
- `Comparator<T>`
 - `int compare(T o1, T o2)`

- `interface` `Collection<E>`
 - `boolean add(E e)`
 - `int size()`
- `interface` `List<E>` `extends` `Collection<E>`
 - `E get(int index)`
- `Map<K,V>`
 - `V put(K key, V value)`
 - `V get(Object o)`
- `Comparator<T>`
 - `int compare(T o1, T o2)`
- `Iterator<E>`
 - `E next()`

- `interface Collection<E>`
 - `boolean add(E e)`
 - `int size()`
- `interface List<E> extends Collection<E>`
 - `E get(int index)`
- `Map<K,V>`
 - `V put(K key, V value)`
 - `V get(Object o)`
- `Comparator<T>`
 - `int compare(T o1, T o2)`
- `Iterator<E>`
 - `E next()`
- `Class<T>`
 - `T newInstance()`

- Omitting type arguments gives *raw* types

```
Pair p1 = new Pair();
```

- Omitting type arguments gives *raw* types

```
Pair p1 = new Pair();
```

- Type parameters are then *erased* to most general type (Object).
- Equivalent to DynamicCheckedPair.
- Not equivalent to Pair<Object, Object>.

- Omitting type arguments gives *raw* types

```
Pair p1 = new Pair();
```

- Type parameters are then *erased* to most general type (Object).
- Equivalent to DynamicCheckedPair.
- Not equivalent to Pair<Object, Object>.
 - Subtle difference related to subtyping.
 - This is where generics gets messy.
- Never use raw types.

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.
 - Generics retrofitted into Java.

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.
 - Generics retrofitted into Java.
- Actual implementation of generics is sort of hacky.
- For all type arguments, a generic class is represented as the raw class.
 - `Pair<String, Double>` represented as `Pair`.
 - `Pair<Foo, Bar>` represented as `Pair`.
 - Called *Type erasure*.

GENERIC CLASSES - RUNTIME REPRESENTATION

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.
 - Generics retrofitted into Java.
- Actual implementation of generics is sort of hacky.
- For all type arguments, a generic class is represented as the raw class.
 - `Pair<String, Double>` represented as `Pair`.
 - `Pair<Foo, Bar>` represented as `Pair`.
 - Called *Type erasure*.
- Type indexed family of classes is only an illusion.

GENERIC CLASSES - RUNTIME REPRESENTATION

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.
 - Generics retrofitted into Java.
- Actual implementation of generics is sort of hacky.
- For all type arguments, a generic class is represented as the raw class.
 - `Pair<String, Double>` represented as `Pair`.
 - `Pair<Foo, Bar>` represented as `Pair`.
 - Called *Type erasure*.
- Type indexed family of classes is only an illusion.
 - ... but it is a type-checked illusion:

```
Pair<Double, Double> p = new Pair<Double, Double>();  
p.first = "Hello"; //Compile-time error, would run.  
Double x = p.first; //Insert (Double) cast.  
String y = p.first; //Compile-time error.
```

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.

Question:

1. What would be the result of the following?

```
Pair<String, Integer> p1 = ...;  
Pair<Double, Double> p2 = ...;  
return p1.getClass() == p2.getClass();
```

2. Is `Pair<A,B>` a complete replacement for `DynamicCheckedPair`?
3. Is `Pair<String, Integer>` a complete replacement for `PairStringInteger`?
4. Is the following legal?

```
Pair<String, Integer> p = ...;  
Pair<Object, Object> p2 = p;
```

GENERIC CLASSES - RUNTIME REPRESENTATION

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.

Question:

Answers:

GENERIC CLASSES - RUNTIME REPRESENTATION

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.

Question:

Answers:

1. true, both classes are the raw pair.

GENERIC CLASSES - RUNTIME REPRESENTATION

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.

Question:

Answers:

1. true, both classes are the raw pair.
2. Yes, even though a single `DynamicCheckedPair` instance can be reused with different types, an instance of `Pair` (the raw type) can be used in exactly the same way.

GENERIC CLASSES - RUNTIME REPRESENTATION

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.

Question:

Answers:

1. true, both classes are the raw pair.
2. Yes, even though a single `DynamicCheckedPair` instance can be reused with different types, an instance of `Pair` (the raw type) can be used in exactly the same way.
3. Not quite. Since we only have the raw types at runtime, we cannot do stuff like
`p instanceof Pair<String, Integer>`, but
`p instanceof PairStringInteger` is perfectly fine.

- Bytecode does not support parametric polymorphism.

Question:

Answers:

1. true, both classes are the raw pair.
2. Yes, even though a single `DynamicCheckedPair` instance can be reused with different types, an instance of `Pair` (the raw type) can be used in exactly the same way.
3. Not quite. Since we only have the raw types at runtime, we cannot do stuff like
`p instanceof Pair<String, Integer>`, but
`p instanceof PairStringInteger` is perfectly fine.
4. No, `p2.first = 42;` is legal but that violates the type of `p`.