Object Oriented Programming – 2018/2019 – 2nd Semester Self-evaluation form

Group: <u>21</u>	Oral discussion date:	Penalization (days):	
Number: <u>70547</u>	Name: <u>João Ferreira</u>	Expected mark: 15	
Number: <u>84109</u>	Name: José Silva	Expected mark: 18	
Number: <u>84144</u>	Name: Miguel D'Ajuda	Expected mark: 17	
Number:	Name:	Expected mark:	
Please fill the following f	form relative to the implementation of the project:		
General aspects:			
	e UML tool used (identify it)? draw.io	☐ Good ☒ Fair ☐ Bad	
	se any external library, besides that provided within a		
No ☐ Yes (which on	• •		
How many packages do	es your application have? 1 2	⋈ ≥ 3: <u>5</u>	
How many interfaces do	bes your application have? 1 2	⋈ ≥ 3: <u>3</u>	
Is your application exten	nsible to further developments? \boxtimes Yes \square N	lo ☐ Partialy	
	ave at least one polymorphic invocation?		
☐ No X Yes (methods			
1	stanceof operator is used in your application (really	y count them)? 0	
In which methods?	1		
1	sed to parse the input file? SAX		
	peen required? ⊠ No ☐ Yes (which ones?): ? ☑ Yes ☐ No When parsing, is XML validated	d against it? Yes □ No	
	the fields, check visibilities that are used in the code		
National Na	☐ Private ☐ Package	✓ Protected	
	the methods, check visibilities that are used in the co		
⋈ Public		☐ Protected	
Concerning visibility of	the classes, check visibilities that are used in the coo	de: 🛛 Public 🔲 Package	
	ontain any static field? X Yes (how many?): 35		
	ontain any static method? X Yes (how many?): 4		
Does your application c	ontain any user defined exceptions? X Yes (how ma	ny?): <u>2</u>	
Simulation problem:			
Data structure of the eve	ents (PEC): Linked List Fr	rom java.util? No XYes	
Is it ordered? ☐ No	☐ Yes, with a: ☐ Comparable ☐ Compara	tor	
Are all events implement	nted as described in the project description and the Fa	AQ?	
Ant Move:	—	ot implemented	
Edge evaporation: XY		ot implemented	
		in the PEC? X Yes ☐ No	
Data structure of the col	•	java.util? No ☐ Yes	
Is it ordered? No	☐ Yes, with a: ☐ Comparable ☐ Compara		
Is the best path stored in	1	rom java.util? No X Yes when needed Other	
-	Found when you run the xml file provided in the Projection		
15 the best path always i	ound when you run the Ann the provided in the Froje	cet webpage: M res 110	

Global evaluation:				
What was the degree of participation of each element in the g	group? (% sho	ould sum 10	0%)?	
Num_70547 : 10 % Num_84109 : 60 % Num_84	<u> 1144 : 30</u>	% Num_		:%
In the extent of your perception of the developed work, fill the	following tab	oles:		
Project documentation			Ye	s No
Is the project correctly documented through comments in the	source code?		\boxtimes	
Was the javadoc tool used to build the documentation of the	developed pac	kages?	×	
Is it complete, with:				
- overview of packages?			\boxtimes	
- summary of classes, interfaces and exceptions?			\boxtimes	
- brief description of classes, interfaces and exceptions?			\bowtie	
- summary of fields, constructors and methods?			\boxtimes	
- detail of fields, constructors and methods?			\boxtimes	
Duoiset compilation			Ye	. No
Project compilation				s No
Does the project compile without errors?			\boxtimes	
Does the project compile without warnings?	9		\boxtimes	
If the answer is no, are all these warnings unchecked warning	<u>gs ?</u>			
Running		Yes	No Wi	th faults
Is the jar file runnable from the shell?		×		
Does the project read correctly the parameters?		$\overline{\boxtimes}$		
Does the project run with the input given in the project webp	age?	\boxtimes		
Does the project generate any supplementary information (sta	-			
D 1	1			
Development environment used? ☐ Linux ☑ Wine	dows	☐ Unix		
Java version used: 11				
Was the final program tested in the laboratory workstations? \square Yes			⊠ No	
The following table is to be filled by the professor :				
Report	Yes/Good	No/Bad	Incomplete	e/Fair
Cover identifies the course, authors and group number				
Goals of the work are very succinct but clearly stated				
Intelligibility of the document				
Structure of the document				
Clear/concise justification of main data structures used				
OO solution (extensibility, polymorphism, etc.)				
Critical evaluation of the application performance				
Description of functionalities beyond requested ones				
Conclusions				